
Influence of Sole and Combined Application Of NPK (15:15:15) Fertilizer and Poultry Manure on Growth and Yield of Okra (*Abelmoschus Esculentus* L.) Varieties in Aliero, Kebbi state, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Field trials were conducted at the University Orchard Aliero, Kebbi state University of Science and Technology Aliero, during the 2017 and 2018 dry seasons, to study the Growth and Yield of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) Varieties Influenced by Sole and combined application of NPK (15:15:15) and Poultry manure. The treatments consisted of a factorial combination of three Okra varieties: LD88, NHAE47-4 and Dogo; and three level of nutrients: 800kg NPK (15:15:15) ha⁻¹, 100%PM ha⁻¹ equivalent to 6.6t ha⁻¹ and 50%NPK+50%PM ha⁻¹ (400kg of NPK [15:15:15] + 3.3t of PM ha⁻¹) and the untreated control, each designed to supply the recommended dose of 120kg N ha⁻¹ using a compound fertilizer NPK (15:15:15) and poultry manure and cow dung. Results revealed that plant height, number of leaves, number of pods per plant, mean pod weight (g), mean pod length (cm), pod yield per hectare were significantly increased when the recommended N dose of 120kg N ha⁻¹ was applied using only NPK (800kg NPK [15:15:15 ha⁻¹]) or a combination of NPK+PM at 50:50 ratio in conjunction with variety NHAE47-4. Based on the results of this study, it could be concluded that the integration of organic and inorganic fertilizers in form of NPK fertilizer and poultry manure at 50:50 ratio in conjunction with variety NHAE47-4, could be adopted for higher pod yield.

Keywords: Okra; *Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench, NPK, Poultry manure, Okra pod yield. Nigeria

1. INTRODUCTION

Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) is an important vegetable crop consumed worldwide. It is a member of the *Malvaceae* family, widely cultivated in the tropics and subtropics for its immature edible green fruits which are consumed as a vegetable (Iyagba *et al.*, 2013). In Nigeria, okra is usually grown in home gardens and fields both during the wet and dry seasons, with the dry season production being carried out under irrigation (Wamanda, 2007). It has a great demand because it forms an essential part of the human diet. It is grown mainly for its young tender fruits and can be found in most markets in Africa (Rahman *et al.*, 2012). It is produced and consumed all over the country for the mucilaginous or “draw” property of the fruit that aid easy consumption of the staple food

32 products. Nutritionally, tender green fruits of okra are important sources of vitamins and
33 minerals such as vitamins A, B₁, B₃, B₆, C and K, folic acid, potassium, magnesium, calcium and
34 trace elements such as copper, manganese, iron, zinc, nickel, and iodine (Lee *et al.*, 2000), which
35 are often lacking in the diet of people in most developing countries. On the average, young green
36 pod contains 86.1% moisture, 9.7% carbohydrate, 2.2% protein, 0.2% fat, 1.0% fibre and 0.8%
37 ash (Saifullah and Rabbani, 2009). Its importance ranked above most other vegetables including
38 cabbage, amaranths, and lettuce (Kolawole *et al.*, 2008).

39 Vegetable crop producers in the tropics are bedeviled with the problem of maintaining soil
40 fertility. This is because the native fertility of most agricultural soils in this region is low and
41 cannot support suitable crop production over a long period without the use of fertilizers. This
42 problem is compounded by the scarcity and high cost of inorganic fertilizers which has forced
43 farmers to make use of fertilizers rates that are lower than the optimum with its resultant
44 reduction in yield. The scarcity of inorganic fertilizer associated with high cost, has created a lot of
45 problems in arable crop production in Nigeria. In the past, farmyard manure has been used to improve and
46 supplement soil nutrients (Adeleye *et al.*, 2010), but with the advent of inorganic fertilizer, there was a
47 reduction in the use of organic manure by farmers as source of plant nutrients and soil improvement,
48 because of relative ease of application and quick results with inorganic fertilizer application. On the other
49 hand, organic manures generally improve the soil physical, chemical and biological properties along with
50 conserving the moisture holding capacity of soil and thus resulting in enhanced crop productivity along
51 with maintaining the quality of crop produce (Singh *et al.*, 2015).

52 Although the organic manures contain plant nutrients in small quantities as compared to the inorganic
53 fertilizers, the presence of growth promoting principles like enzymes and hormones, besides plant
54 nutrients makes them fertility soil enhancers and productivity (Onyango *et al.*, 2012). Despite the
55 beneficial qualities of poultry manure, a high rate may be required to ensure adequate soil coverage
56 especially in fields with low fertility and those that have been subjected to inorganic fertilization for many
57 years (Olaniyi *et al.*, 2010). A lot of work has been done with okra and other related vegetables but not
58 much has been reported on the influence of NPK (15:15:15) and poultry manure on the development of
59 Okra varieties. Therefore, the objective of this study was to assess the performance of okra varieties under
60 the sole and combined application of NPK (15:15:15) and poultry manure in Aliero, on the Kebbi state of
61 Nigeria.

62

63 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

64 2.1 Experimental site

65 The research was carried out in two dry seasons of 2017 and 2018 at Kebbi state University of
66 Science and Technology Aliero, Orchard (lat. 12°18.64'N; long. 4°29.85'E; 262 above sea level).
67 Aliero is located at in Sudan Savanna ecological zone of Nigeria. The area has a long dry season
68 that is characterized by cool dry air (harmattan) that prevails from November to February; and
69 hot dry air extending from March to May. The locations were used for cultivation of vegetable
70 and cereal crops.

71 2.2 Land Preparation and Field Layout

72 The site was ploughed and harrowed to obtain good tilth. The soil was levelled and constructed
73 into seed beds; water channels were constructed to facilitate free and efficient water movement
74 and uniform distribution on the plots. The plot size was 2.5 x 3m (7.5m²). Space measuring 1.5m
75 was left between blocks and 0.5m between plots. The net plot area consisted of the two middle
76 rows (2.5 x 1.0m = 2.5 m²). Organic manures (Poultry manure and Cow dung) was then applied
77 evenly into the seedbed according to treatment in order to improve its fertility status and then
78 watered. The seed bed left for 5 days with daily watering to stimulate the release the nutrients
79 from manure applied.

80 2.3 Plant Materials

81 Two varieties of okra (LD88, and NHAE47-4) were sourced from the National Horticultural
82 Research Institute (NIHORT) Bagauda sub-station, Kano. While a variety of *Dogo* was sourced
83 locally from Jega.

84 2.4 Soil and Organic manure Analysis

85 Soil samples were randomly collected from the depth of 0-30 cm across the experimental sites.
86 The samples were bulked to form a composite sample and sub-samples about 200g were
87 collected using coning and quartering method. The samples were air dried, grounded, sieved and
88 analyzed for physical and chemical properties (Table 1). Poultry manure sample was collected
89 and analyzed for chemical characteristics (Table 2).

90 2.5 Treatment and Experimental Design

91 The treatments consist of three (3) okra varieties (LD 88, NHAE47-4 and Dogo variety) and
92 three (3) levels of Organic and Inorganic fertilizers, each designed to supply 120 kg N ha⁻¹ using
93 NPK (15:15:15) and poultry manure. The treatments were: 800kg NPK (15:15:15) ha⁻¹;
94 100%PM ha⁻¹ equivalent to 6.6t ha⁻¹; 50%NPK+50%PM ha⁻¹ (400kg of NPK [15:15:15] + 3.3t of
95 PM ha⁻¹) and the untreated control. The experiments were laid out in a Randomized Complete
96 Block Design (RCBD) with three (3) replications.

97 2.6 Seed treatment and Sowing

98 Prior to sowing, the seeds were treated with Apron star at the rate of 10g of the chemical per 4.0
99 kg of seed, to protect the seeds from soil-borne diseases and pests. Seeds were dibbled at an intra
100 and inter row spacing of 50 x 50 cm.

101 2.9 Pesticide Application

102 Okra plants were protected against insect pests and diseases by regular spraying of an
103 appropriate mixture of *Cypermethrin* plus dimethoate at the rate of 4ml L⁻¹ of water at 10 days
104 interval prior to flowering and 5 days interval continuously after flowering till maturity.

105

106 2.7 Irrigation

107 Water pump machine was used to draw water from the source (tube-well) to the experimental
108 field through the constructed water channels. Irrigation was scheduled at 3 - 4 days interval
109 depending on the crop's need.

110 **2.8 Weeding**

111 Weeds were controlled manually using hand hoe at 3 and 6 WAP and occasional hand pulling
112 when necessary to ensure weed-free plots.

113 **2.10 Harvesting**

114 Harvesting was done by picking fresh tender pods. Pods were snapped off or cut with sharp
115 knife.

116 **2.11 Data Collection**

117 Data were collected on the following yield parameters:

118 **2.11.1 Plant Height (cm)**

119 Plant height of 5 tagged plants was recorded at 6 and 8WAP. This was achieved by measuring
120 the plant from ground level to the tallest growing point using a measuring tape. The mean was
121 thereafter determined and recorded.

122 **2.11.2 Number of leaves**

123 Number of leaves of 5 tagged plants was counted and the mean number per stand was recorded
124 for each plot at 6 and 8WAP

125 **2.11.3 Pods plant⁻¹**

126 The number of green pods per plant was counted at every picking day from 5 randomly selected
127 and tagged plants in each plot. The total number of pods obtained from the selected plants was
128 divided to get the average number of pods per plant.

129 **2.11.4 Mean pod weight (g)**

130 Average fresh pod weights from 5 randomly taken pods from each net plot area were measured
131 using a digital balance and the mean was recorded.

132 **2.11.5 Pod mean length (cm)**

133 The lengths of 5 fresh pods collected from sample plants were measured and the mean was
134 recorded.

135 **2.11.6 Pod yield (t ha⁻¹)**

136 Fresh pods weight per plot was extrapolated to tons per hectare.

137 **Data Analysis**

138 The data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). The treatment means were
139 separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5% level of significance.

140 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

141 **3.1 Varietal Response**

142 Results revealed a significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) in relation to height and variety, 6 and 8 weeks
143 after planting, in both years (Table 3). Dogo variety presented the highest plant height (36.16cm
144 and 43.90cm) in 2017 season at 6 and 8WAP respectively which was followed by LD88. A
145 similar trend was observed in 2018 season. The higher values obtained from Dogo variety could
146 be due to genetic factor as Dogo is a characteristically tall okra variety. These results correspond
147 with the findings of Ojo *et al.* (2012) that Dogo variety produced taller plants than the improved
148 variety. NHA47-4 had the lowest plant height at both 6 and 8WAP (Ojo *et al.*, 2012).

149 A significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) of variety was observed as regards to the number of leaves
150 produced at 6 and 8WAP (Table 3). At 6WAP, Dogo variety and LD88 presented the highest
151 number of leaves (18.62 and 18.25) while NHA47-4 presented the lowest leaves (12.72) in
152 2017 season. At 8WAP, LD88 also recorded the highest number of leaves (25.60) followed by
153 Dogo variety (23.61) whereas NHA47-4 recorded the lowest number of leaves (15.40). A
154 similar trend was maintained during 2018 season. The higher number of leaves produced by
155 LD88 and Dogo variety could be attributed to their genetic make-up. This is in line with the
156 assertion by Ayoub and Afra (2014) who reported that differential growth of crops under similar
157 environmental conditions is normally the result of differences in the genetic make-up of the
158 crops.

159 A significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) of variety as regards to number of pods per plant was observed
160 (Table 5). Dogo variety produced the highest number of pods (13.12) which was followed by
161 LD88 (11.98) and NHAE47-4 (11.73) in 2017 season. In 2018 season, NHAE47-4 (13.82) and
162 Dogo variety produced a significantly higher number of pods per plant whereas LD88 produced
163 the lowest number of pods. The higher number of pods obtained from NHAE47-4 could be
164 because it is an improved variety and improved varieties are more efficient converters of
165 photosynthetic materials into yield. Ojo *et al.* (2012) reported a similar trend on the okra
166 varieties they worked with. Also, the higher number of pods obtained from Dogo variety;
167 disagreeing with Ojo *et al.* (2012), that report that's said improved varieties are more efficient
168 converters of photosynthetic materials into yield.

169 A significant effect ($P < 0.05$) of variety was observed as regards to mean pod weight (Table 5).
170 NHAE47-4 had the highest pod weight (19.48g) and (20.44g) followed by Dogo variety
171 [(16.47g) and (17.55g)] and LD88 [(14.32g) and (17.97g)] for 2017 and 2018 dry seasons
172 respectively. The higher pod weight recorded by NHAE47-4 could be because it is an improved
173 variety therefore, more efficient in the utilization of photosynthetic materials. This result is in
174 accordance with the findings of Ojo *et al.* (2012), who observed that Dogo variety produces
175 lighter pods compared to NH 47-4(an improved variety).

176 Significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) as regards to mean pod length was observed among the varieties in
177 both years (Table 5). Dogo variety and LD88 recorded significantly highest pod length [(6.32cm)
178 and (7.21cm)] and [(5.97cm) and (7.18cm)]. NHAE47-4 had the lowest pod length [(5.40cm)
179 and (5.76cm)] in 2017 and 2018. The longer pod length obtained from the LD88 could be as a
180 result of its improved nature. These results are in agreement with the findings of Jamala *et al.*
181 (2011) in their work with local and improved varieties of okra, they reported that local variety
182 had the shortest pod length. Also, the longer pod length obtained from the Dogo variety could be
183 due to genetic factor as Dogo is a characteristically tall okra variety and this disagreed with the
184 findings of Jamala *et al.* (2011).

185 A significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) of variety as regards to pod yield of okra was observed (Table 5).
186 NHAE47-4 promoted the highest pod yield [(5.62t ha⁻¹) and (6.80t ha⁻¹)] in 2017 and 2018
187 followed by Dogo variety [(5.28t ha⁻¹) and (5.61t ha⁻¹)] whereas LD88 produced the lowest pod
188 yield [(4.77t ha⁻¹) and (5.31t ha⁻¹)]. The highest pod yield was recorded in NHAE47-4 which was

189 significantly higher than the yield produced by Dogo and LD88. This result proved the
190 superiority of the improved cultivars over the local. Jamala *et al.* (2011) had reported a similar
191 observation.

192 3.2 Response of NPK (15:15:15) and Poultry Manure

193 There was a significant effect ($P < 0.05$) of fertilization in terms of plant height as observed during
194 2017/2018 dry seasons (Table 3). In 2017, the height of the plant, in dry season, at 6WAP, was
195 tallest with the application of 50%NPK+50%PM (33.28cm) followed by the application of
196 100%NPK (32.69cm) and 100%PM (32.04cm). Shortest plants were recorded by the control
197 (23.90); but at 8WAP, plant height was similar irrespective of fertilizer levels, except the control,
198 which gave significantly shorter plants (37.39cm). A similar trend was maintained during 2018
199 dry season. The increase in plant height resulted from improved soil nutrient, as a result of the
200 combined application of organic manure (poultry manure) with inorganic fertilizer. This finding
201 has buttressed the report of Bairwa (2009) that, mineralization of manures aids in soil nutrient
202 buildup that in turn leads to improved nutrient availability to the growing okra.

203 Results indicated a significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) of fertilization in relation to number of leaves. In
204 2017 trial, at 6WAP, application of 50%NPK+50%PM promoted, significantly, the production of
205 more leaves (18.08) than the application of 100%PM (17.68) and 100%NPK (17.06). The lowest
206 number of leaves was by control (12.72). At 8WAP, all the fertilizer levels gave significantly a
207 similar number of leaves which was higher than the untreated control (15.98). A similar trend
208 was maintained during 2018 trial. The beneficial effect of application of organic manures along
209 with inorganic fertilizers reflected in enhanced vegetative growth of plant. This may be attributed
210 to the synergistic effect of organic manure in making available more plant nutrient by improving
211 the soil physical and chemical condition and solubilising the nutrients. Moreover, organic
212 manures are also significant sources of macro and micronutrients needed by plants (Tyagi *et al.*,
213 2016). Similar results have been reported by Sharma *et al.* (2015) in okra.

214 A significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) of fertilization as regard to number of pods per plant was observed
215 (Table 5). Application of 50%NPK+50%PM [(14.13) and (15.49)] and 100%NPK [(14.30) and
216 (15.57)] promoted the highest number of pods in 2017 and 2018 trials respectively followed by
217 application of 100%PM [(13.08) and (14.11)], higher than the control [(6.94) and (8.98)]. This

218 could be attributed to the significant role played by NPK in the improvement of soil fertility, and
219 them, in the enhancement of crop yields. NPK fertilizers have been reported to cause significant
220 effects on fruit weight, fruit number and yield of okra (Sarkar *et al.*, 2003). This also shows that
221 poultry manure was readily available and in the best form for easy absorption by the plant roots,
222 for this there was a boost in the growth of the plant.

223 There was a significant effect ($P < 0.05$) of fertilization in terms of mean pod weight (g) as
224 observed during 2017/2018 dry seasons (Table 5). Maximum pod weight was recorded with the
225 application 50%NPK+50%PM [(19.26g) and (20.86g)] and 100%NPK [(19.11g) and (21.38g)]
226 followed by the application of 100%PM [(17.59g) and (19.31g)] in both 2017 and 2018 trials.
227 The minimum pod weight was obtained from the control [(9.66g) and (12.28g)]. This
228 observation also agreed with that of Mal *et al.* (2013) who also observed better growth
229 performance of crop with inorganic fertilizers of locally compounded NPK.

230 Significant effect ($P < 0.05$) of fertilization in terms of mean pod length (cm) was observed during
231 2017/2018 dry seasons (Table 5). In 2017 trial, highest mean pod length was obtained from the
232 application of 100%NPK (7.07cm), while the lowest mean pod length was recorded by the
233 control (4.48cm). In 2018 trial, plants submitted in application of 100%NPK (7.91cm) and
234 50%NPK+50%PM (7.91cm) presents significantly highest mean pod length than the application
235 of 100%PM (6.83CM) which in turn was higher than the control (4.88cm). This could be
236 attributed to the consistent release of nutrients from both poultry manure and NPK. Similar
237 results have been reported by Sharma *et al.* (2015) in okra.

238 There was a significant effect ($P < 0.05$) of fertilization in terms of pod yield (t ha^{-1}) as observed
239 during 2017/2018 dry seasons (Table 5). Application of 50%NPK+50%PM [(6.40t ha^{-1}) and
240 (7.04t ha^{-1})] and 100%NPK [(6.40t ha^{-1}) and (7.04t ha^{-1})] gave a significantly higher pod yield in
241 both 2017 and 2018 trials, followed by the application of 100%PM [(5.65t ha^{-1}) and (6.38t ha^{-1})]
242 while the control recorded the lowest yield [(2.30t ha^{-1}) and (3.22t ha^{-1})]. This could be attributed
243 to the significant role played by NPK in the improvement of soil fertility, nutrient uptake and
244 enhancement of crop yields and poultry manure was readily available and in the best form for
245 easy absorption by the plant roots, hence there is a boost in the growth of the plant. NPK
246 fertilizers have been reported to cause significant effects on fruit weight, fruit number and yield
247 of okra (Sarkar *et al.*, 2003).

248 3.3 Effect of interaction

249 Significant interaction effect ($P \leq 0.05$) between variety and fertilization was observed as regards
250 to plant height at 6WAP during 2017 trial (Table 4). The highest value was obtained with Dogo
251 variety across all the levels of nutrients, while NHAE47-4 in conjunction with the application of
252 100%NPK and 100%PM, promoted shorter plants. This has clearly indicated the
253 interdependence and complimentary role of fertilization and variety in influencing the
254 manifestation of the potentials of okra cultivars in terms of growth and development as reported
255 by Jamala *et al.* (2011).

256 Significant interaction effect ($P \leq 0.05$) between variety and fertilization was observed on number
257 of pods per plant during 2017 trial (Table 6). The highest number of pods per plant was obtained
258 from the application of 100%NPK and 50%NPK+50%PM across NHAE47-4 (14.62 and 14.25)
259 and Dogo variety (14.98 and 14.63) while NHAE47-4 in conjunction with the application of
260 100%PM (12.46) promoted a lower number of pods per plant. This might be due to quickly
261 mineralized and higher N content of PM (Appendix 2) and abundant availability of nutrients
262 from both NPK and PM that enhanced the growth and development of okra by increasing the rate
263 of plant metabolic processes like photosynthesis and respiration, which helped to build the plant
264 tissue. Similar results were reported by Olaniyi *et al.* (2010) and Akande *et al.* (2010).

265 Significant interaction effect ($P \leq 0.05$) between variety and fertilization was observed on pod
266 yield during 2017/2018 trials (Table 7). In 2017 trial, higher pod yield was obtained after
267 application of 50%NPK+50%PM (7.12 t ha^{-1}) to NHAE47-4 and 100%PM to Dogo variety (5.28 t
268 ha^{-1}). A similar trend was maintained in 2018 trial. The pod yield generally optimized with the
269 application of NPK+PM at 50:50 ratio and NPK only across all the varieties (Prasad and Naik,
270 2013). This could be due to quick decomposition of PM and consistent release of nutrients by
271 both PM and NPK, leading to higher yield (Yadav *et al.*, 2006).

272 4.0 CONCLUSION

273 The variety of NHAE47-4 should be combined with the application of 50:50 ratio of NPK and
274 PM for enhanced Okra and other varieties production in the study area.

275 5.0 RECOMMENDATION

276 It is therefore recommended that combination of NPK fertilizer and poultry manure at 50:50
277 ratios with NHAE47-4 could be adopted for higher Okra pod yield, considering the
278 complimentary role of poultry manure in improving the structure, chemistry, and biological
279 activity in the soil.

280

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1: Physical and Chemical Properties of Soil of the Experimental site during 2017/2018 dry session.

Physical and Chemical Properties	2017	2018
	0–30cm depth	
Particles size Analysis		
Ph	6.60	6.11
Organic Carbon %	1.04	0.87
Organic Matter %	1.79	2.01
Total N %	0.084	0.093
P mg/kg	0.93	1.05
Ca Cmol/kg	0.50	0.78
Na Cmol/kg	0.52	0.62
Mg Cmol/kg	0.80	0.74
K Cmol/kg	1.95	2.56
CEC Cmol/kg	8.40	8.94
Sand %	63.3	61.7
Silt %	24.9	28.2
Clay %	11.8	10.1

281

282

Table 2: Chemical Composition of poultry manure (PM) during 2017/018 dry season

283

Character	Poultry manure	
	2017	2018
Organic carbon (gkg^{-1})	3.11	3.26
pH	6.20	5.94
Total N (mg kg^{-1})	1.76	1.83
Na (mg kg^{-1})	140	138
K (mg kg^{-1})	2500	2500
Ca (mg kg^{-1})	0.44	0.55
P (mg kg^{-1})	7.83	8.04

284

285

286

287

288

Table 3: Plant Height and Number of leaves of Okra varieties as Influenced by NPK (15:15:15) and Poultry manure during 2017/2018 dry season

Treatment	Plant Height (cm)				Number of leaves			
	2017		2018		2017		2018	
	6WAP	8WAP	6WAP	8WAP	6WAP	8WAP	6WAP	8WAP
Fertilizer								
Control	23.90c	27.39b	28.39b	32.64c	12.72c	15.98b	13.90b	16.76c
800kgNPK(15:15:15) ha ⁻¹	32.69ab	43.73a	37.72a	49.16ab	17.06b	22.80a	18.29a	24.55ab
100%PM	32.04ab	42.98a	36.73a	48.61ab	17.68ab	22.92a	18.26a	23.94ab
50%NPK+50%PM	33.28a	44.75a	38.06a	50.65a	18.08a	23.89a	18.66a	26.12a
SE±	0.448	1.127	0.841	0.961	0.293	0.844	0.541	0.864
Variety								
LD88	28.84b	42.15a	30.99b	47.56b	18.25a	25.60a	20.80a	31.79a
NHAE47-4	27.60c	36.18b	30.87b	38.63c	12.72b	15.40c	13.63c	17.13c
Dogo variety	36.16a	43.90a	44.92a	52.61a	18.62a	23.61b	17.65b	20.32b
SE±	0.292	0.739	0.551	0.629	0.192	0.553	0.354	0.566
Interaction								
Fert x Var	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Means followed by the same later (s) in a treatment group are not significantly different at 5% level using DMRT

Table 4: Interaction of Variety and Fertilizer on Plant Height at 6WAP during 2017 dry season.

Fertilizer	Variety		
	LD88	NHAE47-4	Dogo
Control	25.63d	21.48e	24.61de
800kgNPK(15:15:15) ha ⁻¹	30.33b	28.95cd	38.82ab
100%PM	29.42c	28.64cd	38.05ab
50%NPK+50%PM	31.21b	29.37c	39.26a
SE±	0.775		

Means followed by the same later (s) are not significantly different at 5% level using DMRT

Table 5: Pods plant⁻¹, Pod mean weight, Pod mean length and Pod yield of Okra varieties as Influenced by NPK (15:15:15) and Poultry manure during 2017/2018 dry season

Treatment	Pods Plant ⁻¹		Pod mean weight (g)		Pod mean length (cm)		Pod Yield (t ha ⁻¹)	
	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018
Fertilizer								
Control	6.94c	8.98c	9.66c	12.28c	4.48d	4.88c	2.30c	3.22e
800kgNPK(15:15:15) ha ⁻¹	14.30a	15.57a	19.11a	21.38a	7.07a	7.91a	6.40a	7.56a
100%PM	13.08b	14.11b	17.59b	19.31b	6.13bc	6.83b	5.65b	6.38c
50%NPK+50%PM	14.13a	15.49a	19.26a	20.86a	6.40b	7.91a	6.40a	7.04b
SE±	0.157	0.813	0.389	0.415	0.214	0.233	0.070	0.110
Variety								
LD88	11.98b	13.06b	14.32c	17.97b	5.97a	7.18a	4.77c	5.31c
NHAE47-4	11.73b	13.82a	19.48a	20.44a	5.40b	5.76b	5.62a	6.80a
Dogo variety	13.12a	13.56a	16.47b	17.55b	6.32a	7.21a	5.28b	5.61b
SE±	0.103	0.173	0.255	0.272	0.140	0.152	0.046	0.073
Interaction								
Fert x Var	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	*	*

Means followed by the same later (s) in a treatment group are not significantly different at 5% level using DMRT

Table 6: Interaction of Variety and Fertilizer on Pods plant⁻¹ during 2017 dry season.

Fertilizer	Variety		
	LD88	NHAE47-4	Dogo variety
Control	7.09ef	6.34f	7.39e
800kgNPK(15:15:15) ha ⁻¹	13.29bc	14.62a	14.98a
100%PM	12.80c	12.46cd	13.97b
50%NPK+50%PM	13.52b	14.25ab	14.63a
SE±	0.271		

Means followed by the same later (s) are not significantly different at 5% level using DMRT

291

292

Table 7: Interaction of Variety and Fertilizer on Yield ($t\ ha^{-1}$) during 2017/2018 dry season.
2017

Fertilizer	Variety		
	LD88	NHAE47-4	Dogo variety
Control	1.94e	2.07de	2.89d
800kgNPK(15:15:15) ha^{-1}	5.86bc	6.75ab	6.58ab
100%PM	5.45c	6.21b	5.28c
50%NPK+50%PM	5.66bc	7.12a	6.44ab
SE\pm		0.121	
2018			
Control	2.20f	3.96e	3.51ef
800kgNPK(15:15:15) ha^{-1}	7.48ab	7.96ab	7.24ab
100%PM	6.30bc	7.29ab	5.54d
50%NPK+50%PM	6.76b	8.17a	6.19bc
SE\pm		0.192	

Means followed by the same later (s) are not significantly different at 5% level using DMRT

293

294

REFERENCES

- 295
296
- 297 Akande, M. O., Oluwatoyinbo, E. A., Makinde, E. A., Adepoju, A. S. and Adepoju, I. S. (2010).
298 Response of okra to organic and inorganic fertilization. *Journal of Natural Science*
299 8(11):261–266
- 300 Ayoub, Z. E. and Afra, A. A. (2014). Effect of cultivar and sowing date on okra (*Abelmoschus*
301 *esculentus* (L) Moench) seed yield: *Universal Journal of Applied Science* 2(3): 64-67.
- 302 Bairwa, H. L., Shukla, A. K., Mahawer, L. N., Kaushik, R. A., Shukla, K. B. and Ameta, K. D.
303 (2009). Response of integrated nutrient management on yield, quality and physico-
304 chemical characteristics of okra cv. Arka Anamika. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*.
305 66(3): 310-314.
- 306 Iyagba, A. G., Onuegbu, B. A. and Ibe, A. E. (2013). Growth and yield response of okra
307 (*Abelmoschus esulentus* (L.) Moench) to NPK fertilizer rates and weed interference in
308 South-eastern Nigeria. *International Research Journal of Agricultural Science and Soil*
309 *Science*. Vol. 3(9) pp. 328-335.
- 310 Jamala, G. Y., Boni, P. G., Abraham, P. and Musa, A. M. (2011). Soil status and yield response
311 of different varieties of okra (*Abelmoschus esulentus* (L.) Moench) grown at Mubi
312 Floodplain, North Eastern Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology and*
313 *Sustainable Development* 3(7): 120-125.
- 314 Kolawole, G. O., Olapede, A. O., Alade, C. R. and Olaniyi, J. O. (2008). Response of okra
315 (*Abelmoschus esulentus*) varieties to NPK fertilizer in the South Guinea Savanna of
316 Nigeria. *Nigeria Journal of Horticulture*. Sci. 13: 99- 108.
- 317 Lee, K. H., Cho, C. Y., Yoon, S. T. and Park, S. K. (2000). The effect of nitrogen fertilizer, plant
318 density and sowing date on the yield of okra. *Korean Journal of Crop Science* 35: 179-
319 183.

- 320 Mal, B., Mahapatra, P., Mohanty, S. and Mishra, H. N. (2013). Growth and yield parameters of
321 okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) influenced by diazotrophs and chemical fertilizers.
322 *Journal of Crop and Weed* 9(2): 109-112.
- 323 Ojo, G. O. S., Richard, B. I. and Jorlamen, T. (2012). Evaluation of Okra (*Abelmoschus*
324 *esculentus* (L.) Moench) cultivar for dry season ecology of Nigeria. *International*
325 *Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research* pp 13-18.
- 326 Olaniyi, J. O., Akanbi, W. B., Olaniran, O. A. and Ilupeju, O. A. (2010). The effect of organo-
327 mineral and inorganic fertilizers on the growth, fruit yield, quality and chemical
328 composition okra. *Journal of Animal and Plant Science*. 9(1): 1135-1140.
- 329 Onyango, C. M., Harbinson, J., Imungi, J. K., Shibairo S. S. and Van Kooten. O. (2012). Influence of
330 organic and mineral fertilization on germination, leaf nitrogen, nitrate accumulation and yield
331 of vegetable amaranth. *Journal Plant Nutrition*. 35(3): 342-365.
- 332 Prasad, P. H. and Naik, A. (2013). Effect of varying NPK levels and bio-fertilizers on growth
333 and yield of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] under sustainable condition.
334 *Journal of Bioscience*. 6(2): 167- 169.
- 335 Rahman, K., Waseem, M., Kashif, M. S., Jilani, M. and Kiran, G. (2012). Performance of
336 different okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) cultivars under the agro-climatic conditions
337 of Defra Ismail Khan. *Pakistan Journal of Science* 64: 316-319.
- 338 Saifullah, M. and Rabbani, M. G. (2009). Evaluation and characterization of okra (*Abelmoschus*
339 *esculentus* L. Moench.) genotypes. *SAARC J Agric* 7: 91-98.
- 340 Sarkar, S., Singh, S.R. and Singh, R.P. (2003). The Effect of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer on
341 Soil Physical Condition and the Productivity of Rice-Lentil Cropping Sequence in
342 India. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 140 (4): 419-425.
- 343 Sharma, I. J., Samnotra, R. K., Kumar, V., Rai, A. P. and Dhotra, B. (2015). Effect of organic
344 and inorganic fertilizers on the growth and yield of okra under sub-tropical region. *Ann.*
345 *Plant and Soil Res*. 17(2): 215-218.

- 346 Singh, K. V., Anuj, K., Kumar, M., Soni, S., Kumar, A. and Singh, M. K. (2015). Response of
347 different organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus*
348 *esculentus* (L.) Moench). *Ann. Hort.* 8(1): 107-109.
- 349 Sylvester, R., Nuga, B. O., Lale, N. E. S. and Osayi, R. N. (2014). Effect of organic and
350 inorganic fertilizers on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) production and
351 incidence of insect pests in the Humid Tropics. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary*
352 *Science.* 7(4): 25-30.
- 353 Tyagi, S. K., Shukla, A., Mittoliya, V. K., Sharma, M. L., Khire, A. R. and Jain, Y. K. (2016).
354 Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth yield and economics of okra under
355 nimar valley condition of Madhya Pradesh. *International Journal of Tropical*
356 *Agriculture.* 34(2): 415-419.
- 357 Wamanda, D. T. (2007). Inheritance studies in collected local okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.
358 Moench) cultivars. In: Combining ability analysis and heterosis on diallel cross of okra.
359 *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 5: 2108-2155.
- 360 Yadav, P., Singh, P. and Yadav, R. L. (2006). Effect of organic manures and nitrogen levels on
361 growth, yield and quality of okra. *Indian Journal of Horticulture.* 63(2): 215-217.
- 362
- 363
- 364