Distribution of Some Antibiotics Resistance Genes in Multi-Drug Resistant *E. coli* isolates from the Urogenitals of Women in Port Harcourt, Nigeria

#### ABSTRACT

**Aim**: The problem of antibiotics resistance has assumed a global emergency status. Whereas Multidrug Resistant (MDR) *E. coli* infection is common among human population in Port Harcourt metropolis of Nigeria, the genetic background of *E. coli* isolates in our locality is not well elucidated, hence this study.

**Study Design:** This was a randomized study of women, with indications of Urogenital infections, attending Braithwaite Memorial Specialist Hospital (BMSH) Port Harcourt, Nigeria between July and December, 2017.

**Methodology:** Ninety-Seven (97) samples comprising of urine, high vaginal swabs, endocervical swabs were collected from patients to assess for the presence of some antibiotic resistance genes in multi-drug resistant *E.coli*. Samples were processed following standard microbiological protocols. Antimicrobial susceptibility test was performed on all *E. coli* isolates. Following this, all Multiple Drug Resistant *E. coli* were subjected to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method for the detection of some antibiotic resistance-encoding genes- SHV, CTX-M, TEM.

**Results:** Seventy-three (73) isolates, including 36 *E.coli*, were recovered from all the clinical specimens. Twentyfour (24) *E. coli* isolates were found to be multi-drug resistant. Sulphydryl Variable (SHV) was the most frequent resistant gene and was detected in 15 isolates. This was followed by Cefotaximase (CTX-M) in 10 isolates and Temoniera (TEM) in 5 isolates. Some isolates haboured more than one resistance gene. About 20% of the isolates haboured SHV/CTX-M; 2.5% haboured CTX-M/TEM, while no target was detected in one isolate.

**Conclusion:** This present study revealed that most *E. coli* isolates from the urogenitals of women within our locality, possess the ESBL genes which confers on them the Multidrug resistant status and this is a major challenge to maternal health.

Keywords: Antibiotic Resistance; MDR E.coli; Resistance Genes; Urogenital Infections

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Antibiotics are used to treat diseases or infections caused by bacteria. They work by interfering with one of the processes vital to the survival of invading bacteria, such as the formation of the cell wall [1]. There are four mechanisms that are used by bacteria to cause resistance to antimicrobial agents, enzymatic inactivation of the drug, alteration of target sites, reduced cellular uptake and extrusion by efflux [2,3]. It is a known fact that antibiotic resistance crisis is driven by misuse or abuse of antimicrobial agents, as well as lack of new drug development by pharmaceutical industry due to reduced economic incentives and challenging regulatory requirements [4-7]. Antibiotics resistance has threatened the success of medical interventions at all levels of healthcare and creates a set of specific challenges for clinical, therapeutic and public health interventions with local, national and global dimension [8].

*Escherichia coli* is a bacterial organism that belongs to the family Enterobacteriaceae. *E. coli* is one of the main causes of both nosocomial and community acquired infections in humans. The organism is therefore of clinical importance and can be isolated from various clinical specimens. It is one of the organisms most frequently isolated from blood [9] and from the urogenitals of females, causing various morbidities. It has been observed that antibiotic susceptibility of bacterial isolates is not constant but dynamic and varies with time and environment [10]. This therefore demands the need for periodic screening of common bacterial pathogens for their antibiotic susceptibility profiles in different communities. According to Aibinu *et al.*, [11], *E. coli* is highly resistant to ampicillin, amoxicillin, tetracycline and trimethoprim - sulfamethoxazole. The widespread occurrence of drug resistant *E. coli* and other pathogens in

our environment has necessitated the need for regular monitoring of antibiotics susceptibility trends to provide the basis for developing rational prescription programs, making policy decisions and assessing the effectiveness of both [12]. Whereas reasonable information on *E. coli* infection and resistance profile among human population in Port Harcourt metropolis of Nigeria are available, the genetic background of *E. coli* isolates in our locality is not well elucidated, hence this study. The aim of this study was to detect some antibiotic resistance genes (SHV, CTX-M, TEM) in Multi-Drug Resistant *E. coli* isolates from the urogenitals of women in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Study Area**

Study was carried out in Braithwaite Memorial Specialist Hospital (BMSH), a tertiary care hospital, in Port Harcourt metropolis, Nigeria.

#### **Ethical Consideration**

Ethical approval was obtained from the Braithwaite Memorial Specialists Hospital, Ethical Committee.

#### Sample Collection and Processing

Ninety-Seven (97) clinical samples comprising of urine, high vaginal swabs, endocervical swabs were collected from adult females attending the hospital, who were not hospitalized and who had not visited a hospital facility in at least four previous weeks. The samples were innoculated onto blood agar, MacConkay agar and Cysteine lactose electrolyte deficient (CLED) agar, incubated at 37°C for 24- 48 hours and isolates identified according to method by Cheesbrough [13]. Confirmed *E.coli* isolates were preserved in the refrigerator at 4-8°C and in the freezer at -70°C for antimicrobial susceptibility testing and Molecular analysis respectively.

### Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was carried out on the isolates using the disk diffusion method of Kirby-Bauer [14]. Standardized quantity of the isolate matched with 0.5 MacFarland solution was uniformly spread over a Muellar Hinton agar plate. Several filter paper disks impregnated with specific concentration of the selected chemotherapeutic agents were placed on the agar surface and incubated for 18-24 hours and observed for zones of inhibition. The following antimicrobial agents were tested: Gentamcin (10µg), Nalidixic acid (30ug), Ofloxacin (10ug), Ceftazidime (30ug), Cefixime (30ug), Cefuroxime (30ug), Ciprofloxacin (5ug), Augmentin (30ug), Ampicillin (30ug), Ceporex (10ug), Cotrimoxazole (30ug), Nitrofurantoin, Cloxacin, Devixime, Streptomycin (30ug). Oxoid single disc were used together with Optu/Maxi multiple discs. Results were interpreted as recommended by Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute [15]. Multi-Drug resistance was defined as Organisms resistant to three or more classes of antibiotics.

#### **Molecular Analysis**

The molecular analysis for resistance genes (SHV, CTX-M and TEM) of Multidrug-Resistant *E. coli* isolates was done at the Molecular Biology Laboratory, Niger Delta University (NDU) Bayelsa State, Nigeria.

## DNA Extraction Using Boiling Method

Pure isolates of *E. coli* were streaked in Luria Betani (LB) broth and were grown overnight. Following this, 2ml pure 24hrs broth was transferred into sterile PCR eppendolf tubes properly labelled and centrifuged at 10000G for 3minutes; the supernatant was decanted and 1ml physiological saline was added to the deposit and vortexed. This was centrifuged and decanted again to remove debris. Another 1ml of normal saline was added to the tubes and incubated in a heating bath for 20mins at 95<sup>o</sup>C. The tubes were allowed to cool in a freezer for about 4minutes and the supernatant were pipetted into another set of tubes for DNA quantification and PCR.

## DNA Quantification

DNA templates were subjected to quantitative analysis to know the number of copies of DNA present in each isolate. Supernatant from the extracted bacterial DNA was carefully pipetted onto a table top Nano Drop with pre-installed Nano Drop software to obtain the purity and quantity of the DNA.

## Amplification of SHV, CTX-M and TEM Genes

The SHV, CTX-M and TEM genes were amplified using an applied biosystem thermal cycler at final reaction volume of 20ul containing master mix (Taq polymerase, dNTPs, MgCl and Buffer), DNA template, Primers, and Water.

### (a) SHV Resistant Genes

Forward and Reverse primers for SHV genes were SHV-F- ATGCGTTATATTCGCCTGTG and R-TTAGCGTTGCCAGTGCTC respectively at a PCR concentration of 0.5ml. The extracted DNA was used as template and amplified for 30 cycles. The PCR temperature condition for SHV genes was set at initial denaturation of 94°C for 5minutes, denaturation 94°C for 60 seconds, annealing temperature at 52°C for 20seconds, extension was 72°C for 7minutes.

### (b) CTX-M Resistant Genes

Forward and Reverse primers for CTX-M genes were CTX-M-F-GTTACAATGTGTGAGAAGCAG and R-CCGTTTCCGCTATTACAAAC respectively at a PCR concentration of 0.5ml. PCR temperature condition for CTX-M gene was set at initial denaturation temperature of 94  $^{\circ}$ C for 5minutes, denaturation 94  $^{\circ}$ C for 60seconds, annealing temperature at 54  $^{\circ}$ C for 45seconds, extension 72  $^{\circ}$ C for 2minutes. Amplification was for 30 cycles.

#### (c) TEM Resistant Genes

Forward and Reverse primers for TEM were TEM-F-ATAAAATTCTTGAAGACGAAA and R-GACAGTTACCAATGCTTAATCA respectively at a PCR concentration of 0.5ml. PCR temperature condition for TEM genes was set at initial denaturation temperature of  $94^{\circ}$ C for 5minutes, denaturation at  $95^{\circ}$ C for 30seconds, annealing temperature of  $51^{\circ}$ C for 60seconds, extension at  $72^{\circ}$ C for 2minutes and final extension at  $72^{\circ}$ C for 5minutes. Amplification was for 30 cycles.

### (d) Agarose Gel Electrophoresis

One gram (1g) of Agarose was dissolved in 100ml of TBL and microwaved for 3minutes. The molten agarose was poured into moulds containing combs and allowed to set. The combs were carefully removed to obtain wells. 2ul DNA template (product of amplification) from each

isolate was pipetted into the wells and allowed to migrate for 25minutes, stained with ethidium bromide (mutagen) for visibility and viewed with an ultraviolet trans-illuminator (Gel doc 2000, Bio RAD). The band sizes of the DNA were determined using a mass ruler high range DNA ladder.

# STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data generated from the study was analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21. P-values of  $\leq 0.05$  were accepted as significant results.

# RESULT

Ninety-Seven (97) clinical samples comprising of urine (73), high vaginal swabs (18) and endocervical swabs (6) were collected from adult females attending the BMSH, Port Harcourt. Table 1 shows the frequency of the isolates. *E. coli* was the highest occurring with 49.3%, followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* 23(31.5%).

The susceptibility of the 36 isolates of *E. coli* showed that 12 were susceptible to all antibiotics used and 24 isolates were highly resistant to cefuroxime, cefixime, ceftazidine, augmentin, gentamycin, ceporex and least resistant to ofloxacin (Table2).

The occurrence rate of Multi-Drug Resistance in the *E.coli* isolates was 24 (66.67%) while 12(33.33%) was Non-MDR as shown in Table 3 below.

Figure 1 shows Percentage Distribution of SHV, CTX-M and TEM Genes in 24 *E. coli* isolates. SHV was the most frequent resistant gene and was detected in 15 isolates. This was followed by CTX-M in 10 isolates and TEM in 5 isolates. Some isolates haboured more than one resistance gene. About 20% of the isolates haboured SHV/CTX-M; 2.5% haboured CTX-M/TEM, while no target was detected in one isolate.

| Specimen          | E coli    | Klebsiella | Proteus | Staphylococcus | Total |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|---------|----------------|-------|
|                   |           | sp         | Sp      | aureus         |       |
| Urine             | 26        | 5          | 6       | 18             | 55    |
| High vaginal swab | 7         | 2          | 1       | 4              | 14    |
| Endocervical swab | 3         | 0          | 0       | 1              | 4     |
| Total             | 36 (49.3) | 7(9.6)     | 7(9.6)  | 23 (31.5)      | 73    |
|                   |           |            |         |                |       |

# Table 1: Frequency of Isolates in Clinical Specimen in females attending BMSH

| Class of       | Antimicrobial Agent   | No.         | No.              | No. Resistance |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|----------------|
| Antimicrobial  | tested                | Susceptible | Intermediate (%) | (%)            |
| Agent          |                       | (%)         |                  |                |
| Floroquinolone | Ceporex (Cep)10ug     | 4(11.1)     | 12(33.3)         | 20(55.6)       |
| Monobactam     | Augmentin (AU)30ug    | 0(0.00)     | 8(22.2)          | 28(77.8)       |
| Floroquinolone | Ofloxacin (OFX)10ug   | 17(47.2)    | 7(19.4)          | 12(33.3)       |
| Aminoglycoside | Gentamycin (GN)10ug   | 11(30.6)    | 9(25.0)          | 16(44.4)       |
| Cephalosporin  | Ceftazidine (CAZ)30ug | 3(8.3)      | 15(41.7)         | 18(50.0)       |
| Cephalosporin  | Cefuroxime (CFX)30ug  | 6(16.7)     | 18(50.0)         | 12(33.3)       |
| Floroquinolone | Ciprofloxacin(CPX)5ug | 10(27.0)    | 12(33.3)         | 14(38.9)       |
| Floroquinolone | Nitrofurantoin        | 13(36.1)    | 10(27.0)         | 13(36.1)       |
|                | (Nit)30ug             |             |                  |                |
| Penicillin     | Cloxacin(CXC)10ug     | 0(0.00)     | 14(38.9)         | 22(61.1)       |
| Cephalosporin  | Devixime(DXM)30ug     | 11(30.6)    | 13(36.1)         | 12(33.3)       |
| Cephalosporin  | Cefixime (CXM)10ug    | 3(8.3)      | 12(33.3)         | 21(58.3)       |
| Floroquinolone | Nalidixic Acid        | 9(25.0)     | 10(27.0)         | 17(47.2)       |
|                | NA)30ug               |             |                  |                |
| Floroquinolone | Pefloxacin (Pef)10ug  | 10(27.0)    | 6(16.7)          | 20(55.6)       |
| Aminiglycoside | Streptomycin (S)30ug  | 9(29.0)     | 8(22.2)          | 19(52.8)       |
| Antimetabolite | Septrin (Sept)30ug    | 7(19.4)     | 12(33.3)         | 17(47.2)       |
| Aminoglycoside | Ampicillin (Pn)10ug   | 4(11.1)     | 6(16.7)          | 26(72.2)       |

Table 2: Antimicrobial Susceptibility of E. coli from Clinical Specimens

| <b>Table 3: Occurrence of Multidru</b> | g Resistance (MDR) | ) among E. coli isolates |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------|
|--|--------------------|--------------------------|

| Category                        | Number      |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Multi Drug Resistance (MDR)     | 24 (66.67%) |
| Non Multi Drug Resistance (MDR) | 12 (33.33%) |
| Total                           | 36 (100.0%) |



Figure 1: Percentage Distribution of SHV, CTX-M and TEM Genes in 24 E. coli isolates



#### Plate 1: Gel electrophoresis of amplified TEM gene.

Lanes 6, 7, 12, 22 and 23 showed the TEM gene band at 980 bp while 1-5, 8-11, 13-21 and 24 were negative for TEM. Lane N represents the 100bp molecular ladder

### DISCUSSION

Out of 73 isolates from all the urogenital samples, *E. coli* was the most frequently isolated organism (49.3%), followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* (31.5%). *E.coli* remains a leading agent of urogenital infection and is responsible for over 80% of all urinary tract infections, especially in the females due to the close proximity of their urethra in the urinary tract. Other risk factors that have been adduced include short urethra and behavioural factors such as delay in micturition, sexual activity and the use of spermicides which promote colonization of the periurethral area [16]. In the susceptibility pattern of 36 *E. coli* isolates, 24 isolates were multiresistant to common groups of antibiotics tested. Isolates were more resistant to the

monobactam- Augmentin (77.8)%, the aminoglycosides and the cephalosporins. The problem of antibiotic resistance and the factors that promote them, moreso among *E.coli*, is a global one and well reported [9,11,17-19]. Different strains of *E. coli* may habour or acquire different sets of antibiotics-resistance encoding genes through mobile genetic elements such as plasmids or through spontaneous mutation.

Read & Woods [20] observed that resistant genes can be inherited from relatives or can be acquired from non-relatives on mobile genetic elements such as plasmids and can also allow antibiotic resistance to be transferred among different species of bacteria. Viswanathan, [21] further reported that sub-inhibitory and sub-therapeutic antibiotic concentrations can promote the development of antibiotic resistance by supporting genetic alterations such as changes in gene expression, horizontal gene transfer and mutagenesis which in turn increases virulence and spread.

In the present study, the resistance genes- SHV, CTX-M, and TEM which are all responsible for ESBL production, were detected in 23 of the 24 E. coli isolates tested (Figure 1). SHV was the most frequent resistance gene and was detected in 15 isolates, predominating the CTX-M and TEM genes. This finding corroborates that of Agi et al., [22] who reported a 100% occurrence rate of SHV among the isolates studied, including E.coli. This finding further agrees with Eflekhar et al., [23] who also reported that SHV exceeded CTX-M and TEM. The result obtained however, disagreed with results of studies by Shahid et al., [24] in which CTX-M exceeded TEM and by Yazdi et al., [25] in which TEM exceeded SHV and CTX-M. In a Chinese study, TEM gene predominated followed by SHV. A report from Canada showed SHV as the main gene and in South America and Turkey, it was reported that CTX-M was the most prevalent B-lactamase among ESBL producing E. coli. It was also observed from this study, the occurrence of more than one beta lactamase-encoding genes within the same isolate. About 20% of the isolates haboured SHV/CTX-M while 2.5% haboured CTX-M/TEM which probably accounts for the high rate of multidrug- resistance among the E. coli isolates. Antibiotics resistance patterns have been observed to vary from one geographical location to the other and even from facility to facility. These may be due to the high mobility of encoding genes.

# CONCLUSION

This present study revealed that most *E. coli* isolates from the urogenitals of women within our locality, possess the ESBL genes which confers on them the Multidrug resistant status and this is a major challenge to maternal health. Further studies on molecular epidemiology of *E. coli*, in this study location is advocated. This will enhance surveillance information as well as aid infection control guidelines, policies and planning.

**CONSENT AND ETHICAL CLEARANCE:** Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethical Committee, Braithwaite Memorial Specialists Hospital, Port Harcourt.

# **REFERENCES**

1. McGeer A, Fleming CA, Gree K, Low DE. Antimicrobial resistance in Ontario: Are we making progress? Laboratory proficiency testing program newsletter No. 293, 2001. Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

- Spratt BG. Penicillin-binding proteins and the future of B-lactam antibiotics. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 1983; 145: 762-765.
- Nikaido H. Prevention of drug access to bacterial targets: Permeability barriers and active efflux. *Science*. 1994; 264: 382-388.
- Smith A, Denyer SP, Hodges NA, Gorman SP. Bacterial Resistance to Antibiotics. In: Hugo and Russell's Pharmaceutical Microbiology, 2004. Blackwell Science, Massachusetts, USA.
- 5. Gould IM, Bal AM. New antibiotic agents in the pipeline and how they can overcome microbial resistance. Virulence. 2013; 4(2): 185 191.
- 6. Sengupta S, Chattopadhyay MK, Grossart HP. The multifaceted roles of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance in nature. Front Microbiology. 2013; 4: 47 54.
- Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2013). *Escherichia coli* O157:H7". CDC Division of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases.Retrieved on 2017-01-25
- 8. World Health Organization (WHO) (2001). WHO global strategy for containment of antimicrobial resistance. WHO/CDSICSR/DRS/2002 World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Karlowsky JA, Jones ME, Draghi DC, Thornsbery C, Sahm DF, Volturo GA. Prevalence of antimicrobial susceptibilities of bacteria isolated from blood cultures of hospitalized patients in the United States in 2002. Annals of Clinical of Microbiology. 2004; 3: 7 – 14.
- 10. Hassan SH. Sensitivity of Salmonella and Shigella to antibiotics and chemotherapeutic agents. Sudan Journal of Tropical Medical Hygiene. 1995; 88: 243 248.
- 11. Aibinu I, Adenipekun E, Odugbemi K. Emergence of quinolone resistance amongst *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from clinical infections in some Lagos state hospitals, in Nigeria. Nigeria Journal for Health and Biomedical Sciences. 2004; 3 (2): 73–78.
- Omigie O, Enweani IB, Ohenhen RE, Umolu IP, BenEdo-Osagie O. Bacteriological survey of wound infections in Benin City, Nigeria. Nigeria Annual Nature Sciences. 2006; 6: 324 – 239.
- 13. Cheesbrough M. (2000). *District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries* (Part II). Cambridge University Press 50-150.

- 14. Bauer AW, Kirby WMM, Sherris JC, Turck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized disc method. Journal of Clinical Pathology. 1966; 45:493-496.
- 15. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing CLSI. 21st informational supplement M100–S21. 2011; Wayne (PA)
- Manges AR, Tabor H, Tellis P, Vincent C, Tellier PP. (2008). Emergence of Infectious Diseases.
- 17. Zhanel GG, Karlowsky JA, Harding GK, Carrie AT, Mazzulli DE. Antimicrobial Agents of Chemotherapy, 2000;44: 1089
- Nwokah EG, Amala SE. Detection of Community-Acquired and Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase-Producing Uropathogens in a Sub-urban Community in Rivers State, Nigeria. European Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research. 2018; 5(1): 13-17.
- **19.** Nwokah EG., Abbey SD, Wachukwu CK. Antimicrobial Resistance and Beta-lactamase Detection in *Staphylococcus aureus* from Human Sources in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Nigerian Biomedical Science Journal. 2018; 15 (3): 23 30.
- 20. Read AF, Woods U. Antibiotic resistance management. Evolution of Medical and Public Health. 2014; 14(1): 147 149.
- 21. Viswanathan VK. Off-label abuse of antibiotics by bacteria. Gut Microbes. 2014; 5(1): 3

   4
- 22. Agi VN, Abbey SD, Wachukwu CK, Nwokah EG, Ollor OA. Some Resistant Genes Associated with Diarrhoea in Rivers State. European Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research. 2017;4(10): 118-123.
- 23. Eftekhar F, Rastegar M, Golalipoor M, Mansour, SN. Detection of extended spectrum beta-lactamases in urinary isolates of *Klebsiella pneumonia* in relation to Bla SHV, Bla TEM, Bla CTX-M gene carriage. Iran Journal of Public Health. 2011; 41: 127–132.
- 24. Shahid M, Singh A, Sobia F, Rashid M, Malik A, Shukla I. Bla (CTX-M), bla (TEM), and bla (SHV) in *Enterobacteriaceae* from North-Indian tertiary hospital: High occurrence of combination genes. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine. 2011;4: 101–105.
- 25. Yazdi M, Nazemi A, Mirinargazi M, Jafarpour M, Sharifi, SH. Genotype versus phenotype methods to detect extended spectrum Beta lactamases (ESBLs) in Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Annual Biology Resolution, 2012;3:2454-2458.