Influence of Foliar Application with Plant Aqueous Extracts on Growth, Yield and Chemical Constituents of Chamomile

Yasmin M.R. Abdellatif¹ and Hemmat, A. Ibrahim²

¹ Department of Agricultural Botany, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Egypt. ² Department of Agricultural Biochemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Egypt.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. YMRAand HAI designed the study, wrote the protocol, cultured the experiment,performed the chemical and statistical analyses and prepared the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Abstract

Themain target of sustainable agricultureincluding organic farming is to use natural compounds such as plant aqueous extracts to elevate plant growth and productivity. The subject of the present study is to determine theplant growth and inflorescences production, some biochemical constituents of shoot and inflorescences and antioxidative activities of essential oil obtained from chamomile plants exogenously sprayed with aqueous extracts of dried roselle calyces, turmeric rhizomes, safflower flowers and red beet roots. A pot experiment was conducted during the two successive seasons of 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 in the open field of Experimental Farm of Agricultural Botany Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams university, Qalyubia, Egypt. Transplants of chamomile, 45 days old, were separately sprayed after 15 days from transplanting by the four different aqueous extracts and distilled water was used as a control. Generally, spraying with tested plant aqueousextracts on chamomile plants caused high efficiency in growth promotion, inflorescences and essential oil production. Red beet and safflower extracts gave the highest number of branches and inflorescences per plant. Chlorophyll a, b, carotenoids, reducing sugars and amino acidswere increased in chamomile shoots when red beet and safflower extracts were sprayed while flavonoids and phenolic compounds were significantly decreased in comparing with roselle and turmeric extracts treatments. Different concentrations of essential oil andinflorescences ethanolic extracts obtained from chamomile plants treated with safflower and red beet extracts showed the highestscavenging activities onDPPH radical and lowest IC_{50} values Finally, it could be concluded that application of plant aqueous extracts considered as alternative method to chemical compounds which achieved sustainability of organic farming.

Key words: Chamomile (Matricariachamomilla. L), Roselle extract, Turmeric extract, Safflower extract, Red beet extract, Essential oil, DPPH radical, IC₅₀

Introduction

Recently, public health and environmental safety encourage the use of plant extracts for improving growth, chemical composition and productivity of plants especially medicinal plants. Chamomile is one the important medicinal and aromatic plant belong to *Asteraceae* family, has a sweet, grassy and lovely fruity aroma. It has many medicinal uses due to its calming, carminative and spasmolytic properties, antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory effects[1]. The mainbioactive constituents of chamomile essential oil are α -bisabolol, bisabolol oxide A, bisabolol oxide B,bisabolone oxide, α -pinene, β -pinene, chamazulene, camphene, myrcene, sabinene, 1,8-cineole y-terpinene, caryophyllene, propyl angelate and butyl angelate. Also, flavone glucosides (apigenin 7-O-glucoside and various acylated derivatives of apigenin 7-O-glucoside) and flavonols (luteolinglucosides, quercetin and isohamnetinglucosides) were identified in chamomile [2].

However, plant extracts have recently become more common applications in modern agricultural production, among these substances are roselle, turmeric, safflower and red beetaqueous extracts.

Roselle(*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) is a tropical shrub with red, dark red or green inflated edible calyces belongs tofamily *Malvaceae*[3,4]. The calyces have been found to be rich in vitamin C and other antioxidants such as flavonoids [5] and minerals [6].

Turmeric, *Curcuma longa* L. (*Zingiberaceae*) rhizome commonly used as a spice [7]. The higher content of turmeric fromamino acids, potassium, vitamins, antioxidants and plant pigments ascurcumin and volatile oils encourage to undertake many attempts for using its aqueousextract as a stimulator for plant growth**[8]**.

Safflower (*Carthamustinctorius* L.) is world's oldest crop belonging to family*Compositae* whichcontains water soluble yellow dye(carthamidin), it has been used traditionally as an annual oil seed crop, medicinal herb and natural dye source for coloring food and textile [9].

Red beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) is an herbaceous biennial cropbelonging tofamily *Chenopodiaceae*, deep red-colored beet bulbs are the most common consumed for human[10]. Beet roots are rich in valuable bioactive compounds such as ß-cyanines[11], glycine betaine[12], saponins[13], carotenoids [14],betanin, polyphenols and flavonoids [15]. It considered as one of the most potent vegetables contains antioxidants due to the presence of ß-cyanins which are a group of compounds exhibiting antioxidant and radical-scavenging activities [16].

The purpose of this study is to investigate the **effect** of roselle, turmeric, safflower and red beet aqueous extracts as foliar sprayers on growth characters and yield production of chamomile plants and evaluate the stimulation of these extracts on the essential oil yield and the antioxidant potential of treated chamomile plants.

Material and Methods

Plant materials:

Seeds of chamomile (*Matricariachamomilla*. L) were kindly produced from the Aromatic and Medicinal Plant Research Institute, ARC, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt.

Preparation of plant aqueous extracts

Calyces of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.), rhizomes of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.), flowers of safflower (*Carthamustinctorius* L.) were produced from Aromatic and Medicinal Plant Research Institute and roots of red beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) were produced from Horticulture Research Institute, ARC, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt, then dried, grinded and macerated 2g powder in 100 ml of distilled water and soaked for 24h then filtered and used freshly.

Chemical analysis of plant aqueous extracts

The pH values, titratable acidity, soluble phenolic compounds, total flavonoids, anthocyanin, reducing sugars, free amino acids and N, P, K percentages were determined in the previous aqueous extracts.

Total titratable acidity (mg citric acid $100 \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ d.wt.}$) of plant aqueous extracts was determined according to **A.O.A.C.** [17].

Total soluble phenols, flavonoids, reducing sugars and free amino acids were extracted from chamomile shoots according to **Ackerson[18]** using 80% ethanol.

Soluble phenolic compounds were estimated as $(g \ 100g^{-1} \ d.wt.)$ by the method of Folin-Ciocalteu as described by **Shahidi and Naczk[19]** using gallic acid as a standard.

Total flavonoids concentration was determined as (g 100g⁻¹ d.wt.) by the aluminum chloride colorimetric assay according to **Marinova***et al.* [20] using quercetin as a standard.

Anthocyanins concentration was colorimetrically proceeded as g $100g^{-1}$ d.wt. according to **Du and Francis** [21].

Reducing sugars were determined colorimeterically(g 100g⁻¹ d.wt.) by using 3,5dinitrosalsylic acid according to Miller [22]using glucose as a standard.

Free amino acids were estimated colorimetrically (g 100g⁻¹ d.wt.) by using ninhydrin according to Jayeraman[23] using glycine as a standard.

Plant aqueous extracts were digested by using H_2SO_4 and H_2O_2 according to the method described by **Piper [24]** to determine N, P and K percentages according to the method described by **Black** *et al.* [25] and **Wilde** *et al.* [26].

Experimental set up

Pot experiment was carried out under open field condition in the Farm of Agricultural Botany Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Shoubra El Kheima, Qalyubia, Egypt, (30° 06' 42" N 31° 14' 46" E) during the two successive winter seasons of 2016/2017 and 2017/2018. Chamomile seeds were sown on 15thSeptember in nursery beds. On 1stNovember of both seasons, when the grown seedlings reached about 10-15 cm in length, ten transplants were transferred in plastic pots (30 x 40cm) filled with clay loamy/sand (3:1 v/v) soil (Table 1). The plants were thinned out into three uniform transplants per each pot after 10 days from transplanting. Three replicates for each treatment, three pots/replicate, were arranged in a randomized complete block design. The pots were regularly irrigated with tap water when plants needed. Each seedling was sprayed with 30 ml of the four previous prepared fresh aqueous extracts (2% w/v) and tap water was used as control. The volume of extracts was consequently increased with increasing plant growth. The foliar applications were applied four times, the first one was carried out 15 days after transplanting and others were applied with 2 weeks intervals. Tween 20 at 0.1 % was used as a wetting agent. All agricultural practices were done as the recommendations of Ministry of Agriculture.

I able	Table 1. Mechanical and chemical analyses of the experimental soil											
%				Soluble anions (meq ⁻¹)			Soluble cations (meq ⁻¹)					
Clay	Silt	Sand	Soil texture	EC dS m ⁻¹	рН	HCO ₃ -	SO ₄	Cl	\mathbf{K}^{+}	Na ⁺	Ca ⁺⁺	Mg ⁺⁺
48	24	28	Clay loamy	0.935	7.11	3.32	3.24	1.67	0.89	2.13	4.50	1.67

Table 1. Mechanical and chemical analyses of the experimental soil

Soil and Water Research Centre, ARC, Giza, Egypt.

Chamomile plant samples

Three vegetative plant replicates were randomly taken from each treatment at 45 days after transplanting, after the third spray treatment, for the chemical analyses, *i.e.* chlorophyll a (Chl a), chlorophyll b (Chl b), carotenoids, flavonoids, total soluble phenolic compounds, reducing sugars and amino acids.

Another two samples (at 45 and 120 days after transplanting) were randomly collected to measureplant height (cm), number of branches $plant^{-1}$, shoot fresh and dry weightsplant⁻¹(g). Each sample was contained three plants from each treatment.

Harvesting of inflorescences started at the last week of January until the middle of April for the both seasons. The picking of the inflorescences was done continuously when the ray flowers were in mood. Three plants were used to determine the total number of inflorescences $plant^{-1}$, total inflorescences fresh and dry weightsplant⁻¹(g).

Total soluble phenolic compounds, flavonoids and carotenoids were determined in chamomile inflorescences. The essential oil yield plant⁻¹, its chemical components and scavenging activity on DPPH radical in both oil and inflorescences ethanolic extractwere estimated.

Chemical analyses of chamomile samples

Chlorophylls a & b (Chl a,Chl b) and carotenoids concentrations were extracted and assayed as the procedure of **Costacheet al.** [27].Chl a, b and carotenoids were expressed in shootsas mg $g^{-1}f$.wt. whereas carotenoids were estimated in inflorescences as mg $100g^{-1}$ d.wt.

Soluble phenolic compounds, total flavonoids, reducing sugars and free amino acidsconcentrations were determined as mentioned before.

Inflorescences essential oil was distilled using a micro distilling apparatus and oil volume was measured as ml of oil $100g^{-1}$ d.wt. inflorescences according to **Guenther** [28].

Chemical components of the chamomile essential oil were determined by Gas liquid chromatography-mass spectrometer (GLC-MS). Chromatographic analysis of essential oil using GC-MS was performed (Agilent Technologies 7890 GC system combined with 5977, A Mass Selective Detector) in National Research Center, El Dokki, Egypt.

Ethanolic solutions of chamomile essential oil (2, 4, 6, 8 and $10\mu g$ ml⁻¹) and inflorescences ethanolic extract (0.66, 1.33, 2.00, 2.66 and 3.33mg ml⁻¹) were prepared. The percent of scavenging activity of the different concentrations of both extracted essential oil and inflorescences ethanolic extracts on DPPH radical were evaluated by measuring from the bleaching of the violet colored ethanolic solution of DPPH according to **Gulluce***et al.*[29]. This spectrophotometric assay uses the stable radical 2, 2'- diphenyl-1-picryl hydrazyl (DPPH) as a reagent. Inhibition of free radical DPPH calculated according to the following equation:

Scavenging activity (%) = $[(A_{control} - A_{sample})/A_{control}]x 100$

The concentration of the chamomile essential oil ($\mu g ml^{-1}$) and the inflorescences ethanolic extract (mg ml⁻¹) that needed to inhibit 50 % of the initial DPPH concentration (IC₅₀)was calculated for each treatment.

Statistical analysis

All experiment data was analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the General Linear Model procedure of CoStat. Significance between means was tested by "F" test and the value of LSD (p=0.05) was calculated [30]. Significant differences of means at $P \le 0.05$ were compared by different letters as described by Duncan test of Gomez and Gomez [31]. Results expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD).

Results

Chemical analysis of plant aqueous extracts

Data in **table (2)** showed significant differences ($P \le 0.05$)in the physicalbiochemical analyses of all plant aqueous extracts. All extracts had acidity pH values. Roselle aqueous extract had the lowest pH value (1.62) followed by red beet extract (4.42), safflower extract (4.77) and turmeric extract which had the highest pH value (5.84). In contrast, titratable acidity % showed the opposite trend where roselle extract contained the highest TA% (15.66%) while turmeric extract had the lowest percent (0.96%).

Safflower aqueous extract contained the highest concentrations of total soluble phenols (2.55 %), reducing sugars (15.47%) and P (0.80 %) in addition to its high concentration of amino acids, whereas, the highest concentration of free amino acids (1.17 %), flavonoids (8.46 %) and K (7.85 %) were recorded in red beet extract. Rosella extract was found to contain the highest concentration of N compared to other extracts (Table 2). It seemed also that turmeric extractis low in most of biochemical estimates that were appreciated. As for anthocyanin, roselle and red beet extracts contained (0.29 % and 0.09 %), respectively while turmeric and safflower extracts recorded anthocyanin free aqueous extracts.

 Table 2. Some physical properties and biochemical constituents of roselle, turmeric, safflower and red beet aqueous extracts

Physical properties and biochemical analyses		Plant water extracts					
	Roselle extract	Turmeric extract	Safflower extract	Red beet extract			
рН	1.62^d±0.01	5.84 ^a ±0.01	4.77^b±0.01	4.42^c±0.01			
Titratable acidity (mg citric acid 100 g ⁻¹ d.wt.)	15.66 ^a ±0.57	0.96 ^d ±0.005	2.55°±0.005	4.76 ^b ±0.05			
Soluble phenols %	$1.77^{b} \pm 0.15$	$0.14^{d} \pm 0.002$	$2.55^{a}\pm0.02$	0.32^c±0.015			
Flavonoids %	$2.44^{b} \pm 0.08$	0.29^d±0.001	1.76 ^c ±0.01	8.46 ^a ±0.007			
Anthocyanin mg 100g ⁻¹ d.wt.	0.29 ^a ±0.001	0.00	0.00	0.09^b±0.001			
Reducing sugars%	6.92 ^c ±0.01	$1.18^{d} \pm 0.01$	$15.47^{a} \pm 0.02$	12.78^b±0.01			
Free amino acids %	0.14^c±0.006	0.15^c±0.002	1.09 ^b ±0.02	$1.17^{a} \pm 0.04$			
N%	40.92 ^a ±1.57	11.52 ^c ±0.37	20.55^b±0.79	21.39^b±0.95			
P%	0.59^b±0.07	0.37^d±0.001	0.80 ^a ±0.001	0.48 ^c ±0.001			
K%	7.57 ^b ±0.01	$5.65^{d} \pm 0.01$	$6.18^{\circ} \pm 0.01$	7.85 ^a ±0.01			

Data were presented as mean \pm SD (n=3). Means in the same column with different letters are significantly different at P \leq 0.05.

Effects of foliar application with plant aqueous extracts on vegetative growth parameters and inflorescences yield of chamomile plants.

Significant differences in growth parameters of chamomile shoot was detected by foliar applications of plant aqueous extracts at 45 and 120 days after transplanting during the two seasons 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 (Tables 3 and 4).

Obtained resultsin Table (3) revealed that plant growth remarkably response to the different exogenous spray extracts compared to control. Chamomile plants sprayed by roselle or turmeric extracts increased plant height more than plants safflower and red beet extracts, on the other side, safflower or red beet extracts enhanced the number of branches/plant and shoot f.wt. and d.wt. at 45days after transplanting (DAT) in the two seasons.

 Table 3. Growth parameters "at 45 DAT" of chamomile plants sprayed with four different aqueous extracts during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018successive seasons.

Foliar applications

Seasons	Growth parameters	Control (distilled water)	Roselle extract	Turmeric extract	Safflower extract	Red beet extract
2016/2017	Plant height (cm)	21.17°±2.31	42.23 ^b ±3.46	56.77 ^a ±0.64	35.67°±3.05	$27.30^{d}\pm2.99$
	No. of branches	27.33 ^d ±2.08	70.33°±8.08	153.33 ^b ±6.81	177.00 ^a ±6.24	160.67 ^b ±6.66
	Shoot f.wt.	12.73°±1.48	36.80 ^d ±4.29	40.57°±1.17	68.73 ^a ±3.69	55.17 ^b ±2.77
	Shoot d.wt.	2.38 ^d ±0.30	6.84°±0.65	7.61°±0.37	12.93ª±1.18	10.38 ^b ±0.74
2017/2018	Plant height (cm)	21.63 ^d ±3.45	41.85 ^b ±1.13	53.80°±3.93	37.90 ^b ±1.44	26.77°±1.75
	No. of branches	26.67 ^d ±1.15	68.67°±6.66	156.67 ^b ±7.09	170.33 ^a ±7.09	159.67 ^b ±4.04
	Shoot f.wt.	13.38°±0.32	36.57 ^d ±4.30	42.60°±2.08	67.52 ^a ±5.93	54.65 ^b ±3.75
	Shoot d.wt.	2.45°±0.12	6.90 ^d ±0.73	7.94°±0.32	12.78 ^a ±0.94	10.26 ^b ±0.69

Data were presented as mean ± SD (n=3). Means in the same column with different letters are significantly different at P≤0.05.

Moreover, foliar spray with turmeric extract influenced the highest chamomile shoot height (70.50 and 73.50 cm) followed by roselle water extract (66.60 and 66.50 cm), at120 DAT of the two seasons, respectively. Both safflower and red beet extracts increased number of branches and shoot f.wt. and d.wt.comparing to control plants. Number of branches/plant increased about 3-4 folds more than the control plants with all different aqueous extracts at both seasons of experiment (**Table 4**).

 Table 4.Growth parameters "at 120 DAT" of chamomile plants sprayed with four different aqueous extracts during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 successive seasons.

				Foliar applications	8	
Seasons	Growth	Control	roselle	turmeric	safflower	red beet
	parameters		extract	extract	extract	extract
2016/2017	Plant height	53.67 ^c ±2.31	66.60 ^{ab} ±1.68	70.50 ^a ±5.0	60.50±2.18	59.17 ^{bc} ±8.61
	(cm)					
	No. of branches	53.67 ^e ±2.52	$141.00^{d} \pm 2.65$	157.33°±6.66	236.00 ^a ±7.81	166.00 ^b ±7.0
	Shoot f.wt.	79.75 ^e ±3.33	121.37 ^d ±4.87	131.47°±3.62	160.87 ^a ±6.82	143.00 ^b ±5.24
	Shoot d.wt.	18.61 ^c ±1.01	27.70 ^b ±2.26	29.65^b±1.71	34.73 ^a ±0.48	$32.67^{a} \pm 0.57$
2017/2018	Plant height	52.47 ^c ±0.75	66.50 ^b ±2.75	73.50 ^a ±1.25	61.80°±2.29	56.97 ^d ±2.30
	(cm)					
	No. of branches	53.00^e±2.0	141.67 ^d ±9.5	159.67°±1.53	219.00 ^a ±6.0	177.00 ^b ±3.46
	Shoot f.wt.	$78.18^{d} \pm 5.47$	118.23 ^c ±2.14	133.57 ^b ±9.41	158.80 ^a ±7.55	142.43 ^b ±0.61
	Shoot d.wt.	17.89 ^c ±1.02	27.19 ^b ±1.01	28.33 ^b ±2.22	35.88 ^a ±1.83	34.35 ^a ±1.14

Data were presented as mean \pm SD (n=3). Means in the same column with different letters are significantly different at P \leq 0.05.

In the same trend foliar appliedroselle, turmeric, red beet and safflower extracts gradually increased total no. of inflorescences, inflorescences fresh and dry weights per plant, these increases reached the significant level when compared to control (Table 5).

 Table 5. Inflorescences yield "at 150DAT" of chamomile per plantsprayed with four different aqueous extracts during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 successive seasons.

				Foliar application	s	
Seasons	Yield	Control	Roselle	Turmeric	Safflower	Red beet
			extract	extract	extract	extract
2016/2017	no. of inflorescences plant ⁻¹	$118.00^{e} \pm 6.0$	184.00 ^d ±4.5 8	246.00 ^c ±6.0	279.33 ^a ±4.73	258.67 ^b ±3.79
	Inflorescences f.wt. plant ⁻¹	$12.16^{d} \pm 0.43$	19.56°±1.34	26.12 ^b ±0.83	29.51 ^a ±0.36	28.28 ^a ±0.60
	Inflorescences d.wt. plant ⁻¹	2.90°±0.2	4.46 ^b ±0.38	6.27 ^a ±0.09	7.14 ^a ±0.51	$7.02^{a}\pm0.89$
2017/2018	no. of inflorescences	121.00e±2.6	181.67d±4.5	245.67c±5.0	281.00a±2.0	261.67b±3.06
	plant '	5	1	3		
	Inflorescences f.wt.	11.85e±1.10	19.96d±0.15	26.48c±0.56	31.57a±0.43	28.68b±1.18

Inflorescences d.wt.	2.39d±0.02	$3.68c \pm 0.17$	4.79b±0.2	6.60a±0.78	6.20a±0.15
plant ⁻¹					

Data were presented as mean ± SD (n=3). Means in the same column with different letters are significantly different at P≤0.05.

Chemical constituents of chamomile shoot influenced with foliar applications of plant aqueous extracts.

Tables (6 and 7) showed significant increase ($P \le 0.05$), in most cases, in the tested chemical constituents of chamomile shoots sprayed with the four plant aqueous extracts.

Chl a, Chl b and carotenoids

Foliar applications with red beet and safflower extracts induced markedly increase in Chla (0.94 and 0.92), Chl b (0.35 and 0.34) and carotenoids (0.25 and 0.24) mg/g f.wt. in chamomile shoot in comparison with untreated plantswhich showed the lowest pigments concentration (0.79, 0.27 and 0.22 mg/g f.wt) respectively, at the first season. Also, the same behavior was detected at the second one (Table 7).

Table 6. Effect of foliar application of plantaqueous extract on some biochemical constituents in								
chamomile shoot during the season of 2016/ 2017.								
	First season 2016/2017							
Biochemical	Control	roselle extract	turmeric extract		red beet extract			
constituents				safflower extract				
Chl a (mg g ⁻¹ f.wt.)	0.79 ^e ±0.001	$0.89^{d} \pm 0.001$	0.85°±0.001	0.92 ^a ±0.009	0.94 ^b ±0.001			
Chl b (mg g ⁻¹ f.wt.)	0.27 ^c ±0.01	0.30 ^b ±0.001	0.30 ^b ±0.001	0.34 ^a ±0.001	$0.35^{a}\pm0.001$			
Carotenoids	$0.22^{d} \pm 0.001$	0.23°±0.002	0.23°±0.003	0.24 ^b ±0.001	$0.25^{a}\pm0.001$			
$(mg g^{-1} f.wt.)$								
Flavonoids mg	25.65 ^d ±3.85	92.74 ^b ±1.005	115.4 ^a ±0.82	45.45°±2.75	42.39°±1.04			
(100g ⁻¹ f.wt.)								
Phenolic	88.03 ^{bc} ±12.37	92.29 ^b ±5.59	114.07 ^a ±9.01	73.64 ^d ±3.37	77.47 ^{cd} ±1.03			
compounds mg								
(100g ⁻¹ f.wt.)								
RS (mg 100g ⁻¹ f.wt.)	220.23°±1.05	295.28 ^d ±1.009	305.14°±0.95	429.22 ^a ±1.105	339.45 ^b ±1.05			
AA (mg 100g ⁻¹ f.wt.)	76.44 ^d ±0.09	77.44 ^{cd} ±1.098	78.25°±0.02	87.78 ^a ±1.10	82.57 ^b ±0.28			
Data were presented as mean ± SD (n=3). Means in the same column with different letters are significantly different at								
P<0.05.				C	-			

Flavonoids and Soluble phenolic compounds

Tables (6 and 7) showed also that exogenous applied turmeric extract elevated the total flavonoid concentrations (115.40 and 101.20 mg 100g⁻¹ f.wt.) and soluble phenolic compounds concentrations (114.07 and 103.76 mg 100g⁻¹ f.wt.)more than control palnts in chamomile shoots during the two successive seasons, respectively. Flavonoids concentration was also increased about 2- 3.5 times than control when plants treated with the other plant aqueous extracts. On the other hand, soluble phenols were decreased due to spraying with safflowers and red beet extracts at the both seasons when compared with control.

Reducing sugars and amino acids

Safflower extract treatment elevated both reducing sugars (429.22 and 295.24mg 100g⁻¹ f.wt.) and amino acids (87.78 and 99.74mg 100g⁻¹ f.wt.)in chamomile shootsduring the two seasons, respectively (Tables 6 and 7). Application with other plant extractsclearly induced an increase in reducing sugars and amino acids in comparing to plants sprayed with water.

Table 7. Effect of foliar application of plantaqueous extract on some biochemical constituents in							
chamomile shoot during the season of 2017/ 2018.							
Second season 2017 / 2018							
Biochemical	Control	roselle	turmeric	safflower	red beet		

constituents		extract	extract	extract	extract		
Chl a (mg g ⁻¹ f.wt.)	0.55 ^e ±0.01	0.60 ^d ±0.001	0.65 ^c ±0.003	0.85 ^a ± 0.85	0.71 ^b ±0.002		
Chl b (mg g ⁻¹ f.wt.)	$0.22^{e} \pm 0.002$	$0.25^{d} \pm 0.003$	0.25 ^c ±0.001	0.29 ^a ±0.002	0.28 ^b ±0.003		
Carotenoids	0.20 ^e ±0.001	$0.21^{d} \pm 0.004$	0.22 ^c ±0.003	$0.24^{a} \pm 0.004$	0.23 ^b ±0.002		
(mg g ⁻¹ f.wt.)							
Flavonoids mg (100g	15.32 ^e ±0.03	89.63 ^b ±0.08	$101.20^{a} \pm 0.1$	22.40 ^d ±0.05	39.66^c±0.02		
¹ f.wt.)							
Phenolic compounds mg (100g ⁻¹ f.wt.)	85.96 ^c ±0.025	89.12 ^b ±0.025	103.76 ^a ±0.23	55.76 ^e ±0.02	64.33 ^d ±0.02		
RS (mg 100g ⁻¹ f.wt.)	198.36 ^e ±0.03	215.46^d±0.08	221.34 ^c ±0.01	295.24 ^a ±0.04	253.63 ^b ±0.08		
AA (mg 100g ⁻¹ f.wt.)	65.48 ^e ±1.22	71.67 ^d ±1.27	77.39 ^c ±1.73	99.74 ^a ±0.03	87.73 ^b ±1.12		
Data were presented as mean \pm SD (n=3). Means in the same column with different letters are significantly different at							
P≤0.05.							

Effect of plant aqueous extracts on biochemical constituents of chamomile inflorescence

Exogenous spray with red beet extract improved the total soluble phenolic compounds (601 and 563 mg $100g^{-1}$ d.wt.) and flavonoids (1282 and 773 mg $100g^{-1}$ d.wt.) in chamomile inflorescences followed by roselle or safflower extracts when compared with control, while spraying with turmeric extract led to reduce both phenols (483 and 420 mg $100g^{-1}$ d.wt.) and flavonoids concentrations (485 and 296 mg $100g^{-1}$ d.wt.) than control at both seasons, respectively (Tables 8 and 9).

Carotenoids concentration in chamomile inflorescences highly increased when safflower extract sprayed on plants which reached (40.64 and 37.48 mg $100g^{-1}$ d.wt.) followed by red beet extract (36.78 and 34.01 mg $100g^{-1}$ d.wt.) which also showed significantan increase in carotenoids concentration more than control (28.94 and 27.55 mg $100g^{-1}$ d.wt.) at both seasons, respectively.

Essential oil yield

Chamomile essential oil yield was increased when any of the tested plant aqueous extracts were applied in comparing to control (Tables 8 and 9). Essential oil yield increased to the maximum concentrations $(2.43-2.25 \text{ and } 2.07-2.08 \text{ ml } 100\text{g}^{-1} \text{ d.wt.})$ in inflorescences of chamomile sprayed with safflower and roselle extracts at the two seasons, respectively, in comparing with other extracts and water control as shown in Tables (8 and 9).

		First sea	son 2017		
Biochemical	Control	roselle extract	turmeric extract		red beet extract
constituents				safflower extract	
Phenolic	562 ^b ±10	569 ^b ±10	483 ^d ±9	538°±1	601 ^a ±5.29
compounds(mg/100g					
d.wt.)					
Flavonoids(mg /100g	574 ^d ±4.36	712°±10	485°±2	942 ^b ±1	1282 ^a ±9.5
d.wt.)					
Carotenoids	28.94°±0.01	32.56 ^d ±0.01	33.45°±0.01	40.64 ^a ±0.01	36.78 ^b ±0.01
(mg/100g d.wt.)					
Oil yield (ml/100g	0.73°±0.01	2.07 ^b ±0.01	1.19 ^d ±0.01	2.43 ^a ±0.01	$1.22^{\circ}\pm0.01$
d.wt.)					
IC 50 of oil (µg ml ⁻¹)	14.36 ^b ±0.03	$35.82^{d} \pm 0.02$	37.87°±0.026	20.74°±0.025	$0.638^{a} \pm 0.002$
IC 50 of	$1.465^{d} \pm 0.01$	1.049°±0.01	2.205°±0.001	0.349 ^a ±0.01	0.875 ^b ±0.01
inflorescences					

 Table 8.Effect of foliar application of plantaqueous extract on some biochemical constituents in chamomile inflorescences during the season of 2016/2017.

ethanolic extract (mg

ml⁻¹)

Data were presented as mean \pm SD (n=3). Means in the same column with different letters are significantly different at P \leq 0.05.

		Second se	eason 2018		
Biochemical constituents	Control	roselle extract	turmeric extract	safflower extract	red beet extract
Phenolic compounds (mg/100g d.wt.)	501°±2	515 ^b ±10	420 ^d ±4.58	525 ^b ±10	563 ^a ±1
Flavonoids (mg /100g d.wt.)	402 ^c ±10	592 ^b ±10	296 ^d ±3.6	762 ^a ±10	773 ^a ±11
Carotenoids (mg/100g d.wt.)	27.55 ^e ±0.01	$31.32^{d} \pm 0.01$	31.98°±0.01	$37.48^{a} \pm 0.01$	34.01 ^b ±0.01
Oil yield (ml/100g d.wt.)	0.58°±0.01	$2.08^{b} \pm 0.01$	1.12 ^c ±0.01	2.25 ^a ±0.01	$0.801^{d} \pm 0.001$
IC 50 of oil (µg ml ⁻¹)	20.61 ^b ±0.02	60.26 ^d ±0.06	88.83 ^e ±0.07	25.52 ^c ±0.15	$0.856^{a} \pm 0.004$
IC 50 of inflorescences ethanolic extract (mg ml ⁻¹)	1.345 ^d ±0.016	0.939 ^c ±0.01	1.512 ^a ±0.01	0.235 ^e ±0.01	0.778 ^b ±0.01

 Table 9.Effect of foliar application of plantaqueous extract on some biochemical constituents in chamomile inflorescences during the season of 2017/2018.

Data were presented as mean \pm SD (n=3). Means in the same column with different letters are significantly different at P \leq 0.05.

Biochemical constituents of chamomile essential oil

GLC-MS analysis improved that chamomile essential oil contains 16 compouds*viz*, artimisia ketone, artimisia alcohol, iso-borneol, trans- β -farnesenegermacrene D, germacrene B, cadinene, sapthulenol, farnesene epoxide, taucadinol, α bisabolol oxide B, caryphylene oxide, bisbolone oxide, α bisabolol, chamazulene and α bisabolol oxide A (Tables 10 and 11). The main components of chamomile volatile and essential oil as detected by GC-MS were bisabolol oxide A, α bisabololoxide B, trans – β - farnesene, chamazulene, bisabolone oxideorganized by concentration for the two growing seasons.

αBisablol oxide A was the major compound that detected in chamomile volatile oil which increased with all exogenous spray treatments at the two growing seasons. The concentration of bisabolol oxide A reached about 90 % in the essential oil produced from plants sprayed with safflower and red beet extracts, where safflower extract recorded the highest value (90.87%) followed by red beet extract 90.16%, roselle extract (89.25%), turmeric extract (80.74%) while control treatment gave the lowest value (78.64%) during the first season (Table 10),on the other hand turmeric extract treatment gave the lowest value (78.88%) during the second season (Table 11).

season.artimisia At the first ketone. artimisia alcohol. trans-βfarnesene bisabolone oxide and chamazulenewere reduced when chamomile plants were sprayed with the tested plant extracts compared with control treatment, on the other hand, artimisia alcohol, artimisia ketone were increased in volatile oil when plants spraved with turmeric or red beet extract on the second season, α Bisabolol was not detected with foliar spray of roselle, turmeric and safflower extracts at the first season, while it detected with roselle and turmeric extract treatments at the second season. Cadinene, which not detected in untreated plants was found in oil of chamomile treated with roselle (0.18 - 0.08%) and safflower extract (0.22 - 0.12%) at both seasons, respectively. Tau-cadinol showed the highest value in safflower extract treatment (1.33% and 1.40%) while it showed the lowest value in red beet extract treatment(0.47 % and 0.61%) during both seasons, respectively.

 Table 10.Effect of foliar application with plant aqueous extracts on the biochemical composition of essential oil in chamomile inflorescences and their percentagesduring the season

		First season	n 2016 / 2017	,		
		Fo	liar applicat	tion treatmen	t	
biochemical composition	Retention time (R _t)	control	roselle extract	turmeric extract	safflower extract	red beet extract
Artimisia ketone	8.4	1.71	0.47	0.92	-	0.25
Artimisia alcohol	9.16	0.58	0.07	0.23	-	0.25
isoborneol	12.99	0.18	0.14	0.23	-	0.24
trans- β –farnesene	24.41	3.58	1.89	2.38	1.58	2.65
Germacrene D	25.48	0.14	0.25	0.22	0.26	0.26
Germacrene B	26.06	0.15	0.17	0.20	0.20	0.15
Cadinene	26.84	-	0.18	-	0.22	-
Sapthulenol	29.39	0.55	0.51	0.70	0.27	0.63
Farnesene epoxide	30.94	0.13	-	0.15	0.14	0.08
Tau-cadinol	31.92	0.67	0.85	0.52	1.33	0.47
α-Bisabolol oxide B	32.20	7.81	1.91	11.00	2.23	1.83
Caryphylene oxide	32.67	0.39	0.24	0.38	0.36	0.56
bisbolone oxide	33.38	2.46	2.65	2.00	1.32	1.82
αbisabolol	33.59	0.25	-	-	-	0.27
Chamazulene	35.24	2.71	1.44	0.25	1.09	0.38
aBisabolol oxide A	35.86	78.64	89.25	80.74	90.87	90.16

of 2016 / 2017.

Data is presented as percentage

 Table 11.Effect of foliar application with plant aqueous extracts on the biochemical composition of essential oil in chamomile inflorescences and their percentages during the season of 2017/2018

01 201		Second seaso	n 2017 / 2018			
	Foliar application treatment					
biochemical	Retention time	control	roselle	turmeric	safflower	red beet
composition	(R _t)		extract	extract	extract	extract
Artimisia ketone	8.40	0.72	0.20	1.55	-	0.40
Artimisia alcohol	9.16	0.32	-	0.39	-	0.50
isoborneol	12.99	0.18	0.06	0.24	0.29	0.49
trans- β –farnesene	24.41	2.9	3.32	1.15	1.01	1.71
Germacrene D	25.48	0.23	0.24	0.21	0.17	0.21
Germacrene B	26.06	0.23	0.16	0.18	0.18	0.16
Cadinene	26.84	-	0.08	-	0.12	-
Sapthulenol	29.39	0.49	0.34	0.76	0.39	0.68
Farnesene epoxide	30.94	0.12	0.06	0.13	0.06	-
Tau-cadinol	31.92	0.75	0.79	0.73	1.40	0.61
α-Bisabolol oxide B	32.20	4.84	1.25	11.39	3.48	1.98
Caryphylene oxide	32.67	0.32	0.29	0.33	0.17	0.25
bisbolone oxide	33.38	2.16	2.42	2.62	1.98	2.51
αbisabolol	33.59	0.12	0.08	0.09	-	0.19
Chamazulene	35.24	2.14	2.23	0.36	0.63	0.19
aBisabolol oxide A	35.86	84.48	88.48	78.88	90.04	90.02
Data is presented as per	centage					

Scavenging activity of essential oil and ethanolic extract of chamomile inflorescences

Increasing the concentration of chamomile essential oil accompanied with increasing the scavenging activity on DPPH radical in all treatments (Figures 1 and 2). Essential oil from plants treated with red beet extract had the highest scavenging activity on DPPH radical and lowest value of IC_{50} (0.638 and 0.856 µg ml⁻¹) during 2017 and 2018, respectively, in comparison with other treatments (Figure 1 and Tables 8& 9).





Figure 1. Effect of foliar application of plant aqueous extracts on scavenging activity % of chamomile essential oil on DPPH radical during theseason of 2016/2017.

While ethanolic extract of chamomile inflorescences treated with safflower extract showed the highest scavenging activity and lowest IC_{50} (0.349 and 0.235mg ml⁻¹) during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018, respectively, followed by red beet, roselle while turmeric aqueous extract treatment showed the lowest scavenging activity on DPPH radical and the highest IC_{50} value (2.205 and 1.512 mg ml⁻¹), respectively, as showed in Figure 2 and Tables 8 & 9.





Figure 2.Effect of foliar application of plant aqueous extracts on scavenging activity % of chamomile inflorescences ethanolic extract on DPPH radical during the season of 2017/2018.

Discussion

In this study, spraying plant aqueous extracts of roselle, turmeric, safflower and red beet on chamomile plants showed high efficiency in growth promotion and essential oil production. This growth stimulation may be due to the high content of sugars, amino acids and various secondary metabolites in these plant aqueous extracts. Red beet and safflower extracts contained the highest concentrations of soluble phenolic compounds, flavonoids, reducing sugars, free amino acids in addition to N, P, K % in the present study. These results were in agreement with **Jasnaet al.** [32] who stated that red beet contained high concentrations of phenols, flavonoids, β-cyanins and β-xanthins beside the presence of sugars and protein that naturally exist in red beet. Also, **Al Surmiet al.** [33] recorded high concentrations of soluble phenols, amino acids and N, P, K ratios in safflower extract while roselle leaves and calyces contained phenols, flavonoids and anthocyanins that act as antioxidants[34]. **Okerekeet al.** [35] reported that roselle extract contained a high value of glycosides. The main ingredients in roselle extracts are vitamins C, A, D, B1 and B2, antioxidants, anthocyanins, Fe, Mg and omega 3-β-carotene [36].

Spraying apple trees with turmeric extract increased leaf nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium concentrations[37]. They attributed this increase to the high concentration of turmeric extract in potassium salt as found in this study. **Ibrahim** *et al.* [38]mentioned that both pH and titratable acidity were physical properties. The value of pH and titratable acidity in the present study were compatible with that obtained by**Ibrahim** *et al.* [38] who found that roselle extract has a comparatively high acidic to cause lower pH in the extract. They attributed the high acidity of roselle to its natural constituents of organic acids such as citric acid, mallic acid and 3indolyl acetic acid.

The physical properties and chemical constituents of the four studied aqueous extracts showed various economic traits in chamomile growth and productivity. Roselle and turmeric extracts showed a clear increase in plant height while safflower and red beet extracts markedly provided large numbers of branches compared to other extract treatments. Similar findings were reported with alfaalfa, clover, red clover and landino aqueous extracts when influenced the growth of various legumes and grasses species [39]. Foliar spraying of pear tree "Le-Conte cv." with roselle, cinnamon and ginger water extracts gave the best fruits weight and fruits number per tree and increase total soluble solids and total fruit sugars % in comparison to control [40]. Based on the previous findings, it was cleared that using roselle extract improved the nutritional status, yield and physical-biochemical characteristics of Valencia orange fruits [41]. The higher content of K, vitamins, amino acids, curcuminand volatile oils in turmeric extract encourage researchers to interest in using it as an important plant extract [42]. The positive effect of turmeric extract on enhancing growth and productivity could be due to their higher content of protein, carbohydrates, amino acids, Ca, K, P, Fe, ascorbic acid, thiamine, riboflavin, niacin, curcumin and other pigments [8]. Also, Armanious [43] revealed that using turmeric extract at 0.05% was preferable than garlic and onion extracts in improving the leaf area, yield, nutrients status and fruit quality of Thompson seedless grapevines.

The significant increase in growth and inflorescences production of plant sprayed with the aqueous extracts of safflowers and red beet may be related by their high concentrations of reducing sugars and free amino acids which induced the highest values of growth parameters and gave higher yield components. These results were in agreement with **Rolland** *et al.*[44] who indicated that total sugars and amino acids serve as a storage sink involved in carbon and nitrogen pathways to modulate plant growth and development. Amino acids play various roles in plant physiological processes such as nitrogen source, hormone precursors, regulate nitrogen uptake that improved plant growth and yield [45]. Genetic analyses have approved extensive interaction between total sugars and plant hormones signaling activation [44]. Also, it was proved that accumulation of high levels sugars in many plant species promotes vegetative phase and increase number of leaves which resulted in elevating the canopy and the final outcome becomes increasing in the number of flowers, while low concentration of sugars slightly inhibited the flowering in arabidopsis [46].

Applied plant aqueous extracts as foliar spraying alleviated the concentrations of chl a, chl b and carotenoids in addition to increase reducing sugars and amino acids in treated chamomile plants in the present study. The explanation of this increase is that the active compounds in the chemical composition of the studied aqueous extracts especially in safflower and beet root extracts display potent antioxidant and osmoregulator properties under environmental conditions. In this context, **Zonouriet** *al.*, [47]reported that increasing antioxidants in plant cells have a potential strength as free radical scavengers that prevent the degradation of chlorophylls and protect chloroplast membranes. Antioxidants can neutralize the H_2O_2 formation in the cell, which involved in abscisic acid (ABA) transmitting signals. ABA accelerated stomatal closure that limits the assimilation of CO_2 and affected photosynthesis process [48]. External application of antioxidants can reserve the stomatal closure

[49.Furthermore, Cushman [50] found that high levels of reducing sugars and amino acids induced an osmotic regulation in cells which improved the water absorbance and translocation that stabilize membranes and inhibit lipid peroxidation. These results also in the same trend with that obtained by Clifford *et al.* [51] who reported that the bioavailability of betalains and phenols, the main bioactive components in beet root, helping in protect cellular components in a state of redox balance under the normal metabolic conditions. Moreover, El Sharony*et al.* [52] stated that the main ingredients and antioxidant components of roselle extract have been shown to suppress oxide radicals formation and increase total sugars, amino acids and ascorbic acid concentrations in mango fruits. The increasing in carotenoids in plants applied with aqueous extracts in the current study could be attributed to increase the biosynthesis of carotenoids and prevent the conversion of carotenoids into ABA under normal environmental conditions [48].

When biochemical analysis carried out in the inflorescences produced from plants sprayed with the tested plant extracts, it was observed that red beet extract encouraged the increase in soluble phenols, flavonoids and carotenoids in compared to other treatment that also provided these components more than control. Moreover, red beet extract treatment gave less yield of essential oil than treatments of safflower and roselle extracts. It was suggested that the reason of this increasing in yield was due to treatment with safflower or roselle extract stimulated the conversions of phenols and flavonoids, where are considered secondary products, into other secondary metabolites that have an essential role in the composition of volatiles and essential oil of chamomile inflorescences but these conversions were less in red beet extract treatment. This suggestion was compatible with Figueiredoet al. [53] who reported that the valuable volatile compounds and essential oils consists of multiple phenolic compounds mixed with alkaloids and terpenoids substances. According to these authors, the differences in aroma in the floral oil result from the changeable among the different compounds of phenols and terpenoids which give the oil distinctive characteristics. As well **Zheljazkovet** al. [54] showed similar results for the quantity and quality of the essential oil, where the treatments with aqueous extracts of absinthe worm wood, lavender and wild bergamot led to increase the oil yield and the essential oil components of Native spearment.

In the current study, plant extract treatments altered the chemical constituents of chamomile essential oil by increasing or decreasing some component percentage. Some treatments caused disappear in some components, this may be due to plant extract treatments influenced the essential biosynthesis and the conversion of compounds to others as mentioned before. These results were in agreement with many investigators, **McKay and Blumberg [55]** stated that active principle components in chamomile essential oil are α bisabolol oxide A and B and chamazulene . The main constituents in the chamomile essential oil were chamazulene, α bisabolol, bisabolol-A and B oxides and β -farnesenewhile the minor constituents were α and β -caryophylene, caryophyleneoxide andspathulenol[**56**].German chamomile volatile oil

has 5% chamazulene and 50% α bisabolol oxide A [57].**Zheljazkov**[58] reported that the sage brush and juniper water extracts increased the concentrations of β -caryophyllene and trans- β -farnesene in spearmint essential oil relative to the water treatment. The author also reported that the spearmint essential oil content was more valuable when Juniper water extract was applied.

The concentration of antioxidants required to decrease initial DPPH radical concentrations by 50% (IC₅₀) is a measurement widely adopted for evaluating the antioxidant activity, where lower IC₅₀ has higher antioxidant power. The present data revealed that chamomile essential oil and inflorescences ethanolic extract obtained from plants sprayed with red beet extractobserved the highest free radical inhibitory activity on DPPH, according to their IC₅₀ values, followed by safflower and roselle extracts in compared to plants treated with distilled water or turmeric extract. Similar results showed by Firatet al. [59] who found that chamomile essential oil contains a high level of free radical scavenging capacity through their higher DPPH inhibition and lower value of IC_{50} . They attributed this positive scavenging activity of chamomile to their higher content of α -bisabolol oxide A which exhibited the higher antioxidant potential with lower IC₅₀ compared to β -farnesene and α -bisabolol. This is fully consistent with the results obtained in this study where α -bisabolol oxide A found to be the main compound in the chamomile composition that increased to more than double the concentration in control plants and reached to 90 % in the volatile oil of chamomile with safflower and red beet extract treatments. Agatonovic-Kustrinet al. [59] reported that chamomile flower heads and leaves had the most prominent antioxidant activities which α bisabolol and its oxide, apigenin and chamazulene being the most effective antioxidants. According to litteratures.

Conclusion

All plant aqueous extract treatments had a noticeable positive effect on growth and oil yield of chamomile. Safflower extract followed by red beet extract exhibited the best growth and shootbiochemical composition which improved the inflorescences yield, essential oil yield and valuable chemical constituents of essential oil.

Therefore, plant extracts as an organic farming could be safer in production and exportation for medicinal plant, cheap and more available to simple farmers than spraying with chemical substance

So plant extract could be recommended as a natural biostimulant application for improving yield of chamomile.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- 1- Berry M. The Chamomiles. 1995; Pharm. J. 254:191-193.
- 2- SrivastavaJK, Shankar E and Gupta S. Chamomile: A herbal medicine of the past with bright future. Mol Med Report. 2010 Nov 1; 3(6): 895–901.

- 3- Anonymous. How to grow kenaf for profit. 1970; Research Division Rep. MANR, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- 4- Schippers RR. African indigenous vegetables: an overview of the cultivated species. Chatham, UK. National Resources Institute/ACP-EU Technical Centre of Agricultural and Rural Cooperation. 2000; pp: 1-214
- 5- Wong P. Yusof S, Ghazah HM and Cheman YE. Physico-chemical characteristics of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.), Nutr. and Food Sci., 2002; 32:68-73.
- 6- Babalola SO, Babalola AO and Aworh OC. Compositional attributes of Roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L). J. Food Technol. Africa, 2001; 6: 133-134.
- 7- Sivananda, S. Home remedies, 1958; pp.233-235. The Yoga Vedanta University, Sivananda Nagar, India.
- 8- Ashraf K. and Sultan S. A comprehensive review on *Curcuma longaLinn*.: Phytochemical, pharmacological, and molecular study. International Journal of Green Pharmacy. 2017 (Suppl), 11 (4): 671 – 685.
- 9- Camas N, Cirak C and Esendal E. Seed yield, oil content and fatty acid composition of some safflower (*Carthamustinctorius* L.) grown in Northern Turkey condition. J. Fac. of Agric., 2007; 22: 98-104.
- 10-Pedreño MA and Escribano J. Studying the oxidation and antiradical activity of betalain from beetroot. Journal of Biological Education, 2000;35: 49–59.
- Patkai G, Barta J and Varsanyi I. Decomposition of anticarcinogen factors of the beetroot during juice and nectar production. Cancer Letters, 1997; 114: 105–106.
- 12-De Zwart FJ, Slow S, Payne RJ, Lever M, George PM, Gerrard JA and Chambers ST. Glycine betaine and glycine betaine analogues in common foods. 2003; Food Chemistry, 83: 197–204.
- 13- Atamanova A, Brezhneva TA, Slivkin AI, Nikolaevskii VA, Selemenev VF and Mironenko NV. Isolation of saponins from table beetroot and primary evaluation of their pharmacological activity. Pharmaceutical Chemistry Journal, 2005; 39 (12): 650–652.
- 14-Dias MG, Camoes MFGFC and Oliveira L. Carotenoids in traditional Portuguese fruits and vegetables. Food Chemistry, 2009; 113, pp.808–815.
- 15- Vali L, Stefanovits-Banyai E, Szentmihalyi K, Febel H, Sardi E, Lugasi A, Kocsis I and Blazovics A. Liver-protecting effects of table beet (*Beta vulgaris* var. *Rubra*) during ischemia-reperfusion. Nutrition 2007; 23: 172–178.
- 16-Žitňanová I, Ranostajová S, Sobotová H, Demelová D, Pecháň I and Ďuračková Z. Antioxidative activity of selected fruits and vegetables. Biologia, 2006; 61: 279–284.
- 17-A.O.A.C. Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists 17th ed. 2000; Published by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists.USA.
- Ackerson RC. Osmoregulation in cotton in response to water stress, II- leaf carbohydrate state in relation to osmotic adjustment. Plant Physiol. 1981; 67: 489-493.

- 19- Shahidi F and Naczk M. Methods of analysis and quantification of phenolic compounds. Food phenolic: sources, chemistry, effects and applications. Technomic Publishing Company Inc. Lancaster, PA, 1995; 287-293.
- 20-Marinova D, Ribarova F and Atanassova M. Total Phenolic and total flavonoids in bulgarian fruits and vegetables. J. Univ. Chem. Tech. Metall: 2005; 40(3): 255-260.
- 21-Du CT and Francis FJ. Anthocyanins of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdoriffa* L). J. Food Sci., 1973; 38: 810-812.
- 22-Miller, G.L. (1959). Use of dinitrosalicylic acid reagent for determination of reducing sugar. Anal. Chem., 31, 426.
- 23-Jayeraman, J. (1985). Laboratory manual in biochemistry. Wiley Eastern Ltd. New Delhi, India, 107.
- 24-Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis, Inter Sciences, New York, 1950; pp. 48-110.
- 25-Black CV, Evans DD, Ersminger LE, White KL and Clark FE. Methods of Soil Analysis. Amer. Soc. Agron. Inc. Bull. Medison, Wisconsin, USA. 1965; pp. 891-1400.
- 26-Wilde S A, Corey RB, Lyer IG and Voigt GK. Soil and plant analysis for tree culture. Oxford & IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi, 1985; pp. 1- 218.
- 27- Costache MA, Campeanu G, Neata G. Studies concerning the extraction of chlorophyll and total carotenoids from vegetables. Romanian Biotechnological Letters. University of Bucharest. 2012; 17 (5): 7702-7708.
- 28-Guenther E. The essentials oils. vol. 3. 1961; D. van Nostrand company Inc. New York.
- 29-Gulluce M, Sokmen M, Sahin F, Sokmen A, Adiguzel A and Ozer H. Biological activities of the essential oil and methanolic extract of *Micromeriafruticosa*L,Drucesspserpyllifolia (Bieb) PH Davis plants from the Eastern Anatolia region of Turkey. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2004; 84(7): 735-741.
- 30-Snedecor GW and Cochran WG. Statistical analysis Methods 6th ed. 1982; Iowa State Univ. Press Ames, Iowa, USA.
- 31-Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, 2nd ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 1984; pp: 20-29 and 329-389.
- 32- Jasna M, Vić-BrunetČaN, Savatović SS,Ćetković GS,Vulić JJ, Djilas SM, S Markov SL and Cvetković DD. Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of beet root pomace extracts. Czech J. Food Sci., 2011; 29 (6): 575–585.
- 33-Al Surmi NY, El Dengawy RAH, Khalifa AH. Chemical and nutritional aspects of some safflower seed varieties. J Food Process Technol., 2016; 7:585. doi:10.4172/2157-7.
- 34- AbdellatifYMR and Ibrahim MTS. non-<u>enzymatic</u> antioxidants potential in enhancing *Hibiscussabdariffa*L. tolerance to oxidative stress. International Journal of Botany, 2018; In press.
- 35-Okereke CN, Iroka FC and Chukwuma MO. Phytochemical analysis and medicinal uses of *Hibiscus sabdariffa*. International Journal of Herbal Medicine, 2015; 2 (6): 16-19.
- 36-Bruneton J. Farmacogenosia. Zaragoza ed. Acriba, 2001; pp.294-296.

- 37-Al-Hadethi MEA, Al-HamdanyMHSh, AL-Dulaimi AST. Role of Garlic and Turmeric Extract in The Leaves Mineral Contents of Apple Trees. IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science, 2016; 9 (10): 2319-2372.
- 38- Ibrahim N, Lee T S and Rozaini MZH. Potential application of roselle extract in functional food emulsions J. Teknol. danIndustriPangan, . 2013; 24 (1): 22-26.
- 39-Grant EA and Sallans WG. Influence of plant extracts on germination and growth of eight forage speciesJournal of Grass and Forage Science, 1964; 19: 191–197.
- 40- Abd-El-Latif FM, El-Gioushy SF, Ismail AF and Mohamed MS. The impact of bio-fertilization, antioxidants and potassium silicate on fruiting aspects and fruit quality of "Le-Conte" pear trees. Middle East Journal of Applied Sciences, 2017;7(2): 1-13.
- 41-Ahmed FF, Mansour AEM, Montasser MAA, Merwad MA and. Mostafa EAM. Response of Valencia orange trees to foliar application of roselle,turmeric and seaweed extracts. J. of Applied Sciences Research, 2013; 9: 960-964.
- 42-Peter KV. Informatices on turmeric and ginger India Spices. 1999; 36 (2 &3): 12-14.
- 43- Armanious, M.K.U. The Synergistic effect of spraying some plant extracts with some macro and micro nutrients of Thompson seedless grapevines. International, Journal of Plant & Soil Science, 2014; 3(10): 1290-1301.
- 44-Rolland F., Baena-Gonzalez E and Sheen J. Sugar sensing and signaling in plants: Conserved and Novel Mechanisms. 2006; Annual Review of Plant Biology, 57:675-709
- 45-Kowalczyk K. and Zielony T. Effect of Amino plant and Asahi on yield and quality of lettuce grown on rockwool. 2008; Conf. of Biostimulators in Modern Agriculture, 7-8 February 2008, Warsaw, Poland.
- 46-Ohto MA, Fischer RL, Goldberg RB, Nakamura K, Harada JJ. Control of seed mass by APETALA2. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 2005; 102(8):3123–3128.
- 47-Zonouri M, Javadi T, Ghaderi N, Saba MK. Effect of foliar spraying of ascorbic acid on chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total Chlorophyll, carotenoids, Hydrogen peroxide, leaf temperature and leaf relative water content under drought stress in grapes. Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., 2014; 3 [Special Issue V]: 178-184.
- 48-Taiz L and Zeiger E. Plant Physiology. 5th Edition, Sinauer Associates Inc., Sunderland, 2010; 782 p.
- 49-Chen Z and Gallie DR. The ascorbic acid redox state controls guard cell signaling and stomatal movement. Plant Cell, American Society of Plant Biologists, 2004; 16: 1143-1162.
- 50-Cushman JC. Osmoregulation in Plants: Implications for Agriculture Integrative and Comparative Biology, 2001; 41(4):758–769.
- 51- Clifford T, Howatson G, West DJ and StevensonEJ. The Potential Benefits of Red Beetroot Supplementation in Health and Disease. Nutients, 2015; 7(4): 2801–2822.

- 52- El-Sharony TF, El-Gioushy SF and Amin OA. Effect of foliar application with algae and plant extracts on growth, yield and fruit quality of fruitful mango trees cv. FagriKalan. J Horticulture, 2015; 2(4):1-6
- 53-. Figueiredo A. C, Barroso J G, Pedro L G. and Scheffe JJC. Factors affecting secondary metabolite production in plants: volatile components and essential oils Factors affecting volatile and essential oil production in plants. FlavourFragr. J., 2008; 23: 213–226.
- 54- Zheljazkov VD, AstatkieT, Horgan T and Srogers M. Effect of plant hormones and distillation water on mints. Hort. Science, 2010; 45:1338–1340.
- 55- McKay DL and Blumberg JB. A review of the bioactivity and potential health benefits of chamomile tea (*Matricariarecutita* L.) Phytother. Res. 2006; 20: 519-530
- 56- Costescu CI, Hadaruga NG, Rivis A, Hadaruga DI, Lupea AX and Parvu D. Antioxidant activity evaluation of some *Matricariachamomilla* L. extracts. Journal of Agroalimentary Processes and Technologies, 2008; 14:417-432.
- 57- Sharafzadeh, S and Alizadeh O. German and Roman Chamomile. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science, 2011; 1(10):1-5.
- 58- Zheljazkov VD. Effect of foliar application of methyl jasmonate and extracts of juniper and sage brush on essential oil yield and composition of 'native'spearmint. Hortscience, 2013; 48(4):462–465
- 59-Firat Z, Demirci F and Demirci B. Antioxidant activity of chamomile essential oil and main components. Nat. Volatiles &Essent. Oils, 2018; 5(1): 11-16.