

## Boundary Value Method for Numerically Solving Fifth-order Boundary Value Problems

Mark I. Modebei<sup>\*1</sup> and Raphael B. Adeniyi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin,  
P.M.B 1515, Ilorin, Nigeria.

### Research Article

Received: XX December 20XX

Accepted: XX December 20XX

Online Ready: XX December 20XX

### Abstract

In this work, fifth-order Boundary-Value Problems (BVPs) in Ordinary Differential Equation are solved numerically using Boundary Value Method. Continuous linear multistep methods are developed with continuous coefficients. This constitutes appropriate methods termed the main and additional methods, which are applied sequentially in blocks to approximate the solution. The method is shown to be flexible in handling linear and nonlinear fifth order BVPs. The convergence of the method is discussed. Several numerical examples are shown to illustrate the superiority of the method developed as the approximate solutions derived from the method are compared to the exact solutions of the problem, and other methods from existing literature.

*Keywords:* fifth-order boundary value problem; Block Methods; Linear multistep.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 65L10; 65L06

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, the numerical solution for the fifth-order boundary value problems of the type:

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(v)} &= f(x, y, y', y'', y''', y^{(iv)}), \quad a \leq x \leq b \\ y(a) &= \alpha_0, \quad y'(a) = \alpha_1, \quad y''(a) = \alpha_2, \quad y(b) = \beta_0, \quad y'(b) = \beta_1 \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

is considered, where  $\alpha_i, \beta_j, (i = 0, 1, 2, j = 0, 1)$  are finite real arbitrary constants while  $f(x)$  is a continuous function defined on the interval  $x \in [a, b]$ .

Higher order boundary value problems occur in sciences, for example, in the modeling of induction motors in current electricity. Other applications of this type of boundary value problems arise in the mathematical modeling of the viscoelastic flows and other branches of mathematical, physical and engineering sciences. Several numerical methods for solution of (1.1) exist in the literature, and some are specially designed to solve fifth order ordinary differential equations. Fyfe (1971) developed quintic polynomial spline functions for the solution of special type of fifth-order boundary-value problems. Caglar et al (1999) developed numerical solution of fifth-order boundary-value

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: [gmarc.ify@gmail.com](mailto:gmarc.ify@gmail.com)

problems with sixth-degree B-spline functions, as did Muhammad (2006) who presented a class of methods based on non-polynomial sextic spline functions for the solution of a special fifth-order boundary-value problems. Finite difference solutions were provided by Khan (1994). Juan (2009); Zhao (2009) presented approximate analytical solutions of fifth-order boundary value problems by the variational iteration method. Xueqin and Minggen (2010) provided an algorithm to solve general linear fifth-order boundary-value problems in the reproducing kernel space  $W_2^6[a, b]$ . In this paper, solution to fifth order boundary value problems of the type (1.1) using boundary value methods is proposed. The boundary value method has been extensively studied by several researchers and have only used the BVMs to solve initial value problems. see Paolo (1997), Lidia et al (2012), Lamnii et al (2008), Lang and Xu (2011), Marzulli and Trigiante (1995), Brugnano and Trigiante (1996) and Siddiqi and Akram (2007); Siddiqi et al (2008).

## 2 Derivation of The Method

In this section, a 5-step boundary value method for the solution of fifth-order boundary value problems with appropriate conditions on the interval from  $x_n$  to  $x_{n+5}$  is developed. It is initially assumed that the solution on the interval  $[x_n, x_{n+5}]$  is locally approximated by polynomial of the form,

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{11} a_j x^j \quad (2.1)$$

which also yield

$$y^{(v)}(x) = \sum_{j=5}^{11} j(j-1)(j-1)(j-3)(j-4)a_j x^{j-5} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $a_j$  are unknown coefficients to be determined. Interpolating (2.1) at the points  $x_{n+i}$ ,  $i = 0(1)4$  and collocating (2.2) at the points  $x_{n+i}$ ,  $i = 0(1)5$  gives the following interpolation/collocation equations;

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 + a_1 x_n + a_2 x_n^2 + a_3 x_n^3 + \cdots + a_{11} x_n^{11} - y_n &= 0 \\ a_0 + a_1 x_{n+1} + a_2 x_{n+1}^2 + a_3 x_{n+1}^3 + \cdots + a_{11} x_{n+1}^{11} - y_{n+1} &= 0 \\ a_0 + a_1 x_{n+2} + a_2 x_{n+2}^2 + a_3 x_{n+2}^3 + \cdots + a_{11} x_{n+2}^{11} - y_{n+2} &= 0 \\ a_0 + a_1 x_{n+3} + a_2 x_{n+3}^2 + a_3 x_{n+3}^3 + \cdots + a_{11} x_{n+3}^{11} - y_{n+3} &= 0 \\ a_0 + a_1 x_{n+4} + a_2 x_{n+4}^2 + a_3 x_{n+4}^3 + \cdots + a_{11} x_{n+4}^{11} - y_{n+4} &= 0 \\ 120a_5 + 720a_6 x_n + 2520a_7 x_n^2 + 6720a_8 x_n^3 + \cdots + 55440a_{11} x_n^6 - f_n &= 0 \\ 120a_5 + 720a_6 x_{n+1} + 2520a_7 x_{n+1}^2 + 6720a_8 x_{n+1}^3 + \cdots + 55440a_{11} x_{n+1}^6 - f_{n+1} &= 0 \\ 120a_5 + 720a_6 x_{n+2} + 2520a_7 x_{n+2}^2 + 6720a_8 x_{n+2}^3 + \cdots + 55440a_{11} x_{n+2}^6 - f_{n+2} &= 0 \\ 120a_5 + 720a_6 x_{n+3} + 2520a_7 x_{n+3}^2 + 6720a_8 x_{n+3}^3 + \cdots + 55440a_{11} x_{n+3}^6 - f_{n+3} &= 0 \\ 120a_5 + 720a_6 x_{n+4} + 2520a_7 x_{n+4}^2 + 6720a_8 x_{n+4}^3 + \cdots + 55440a_{11} x_{n+4}^6 - f_{n+4} &= 0 \\ 120a_5 + 720a_6 x_{n+5} + 2520a_7 x_{n+5}^2 + 6720a_8 x_{n+5}^3 + \cdots + 55440a_{11} x_{n+5}^6 - f_{n+5} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

which translates into the matrix equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , where;

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & x_n^3 & x_n^4 & x_n^5 & x_n^6 & \cdots & x_n^{11} \\ 1 & x_{n+1} & x_{n+1}^2 & x_{n+1}^3 & x_{n+1}^4 & x_{n+1}^5 & x_{n+1}^6 & \cdots & x_{n+1}^{11} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n+4} & x_{n+4}^2 & x_{n+4}^3 & x_{n+4}^4 & x_{n+4}^5 & x_{n+4}^6 & \cdots & x_{n+4}^{11} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 120 & 720x_n & \cdots & 55440x_n^6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 120 & 720x_{n+1} & \cdots & 55440x_{n+1}^6 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 120 & 720x_{n+5} & \cdots & 55440x_{n+5}^6 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \underline{x} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{10} \\ a_{11} \end{pmatrix}; \quad \underline{b} = \begin{pmatrix} y_n \\ y_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{n+4} \\ f_n \\ f_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ f_{n+5} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let the basis function space be given as Using the Gaussian elimination method, we obtained the values of the  $a_j$ 's,  $j = 0(1)11$ . Then substituting all the  $a_j$ 's into (2.1), and after some simplifications, we obtain the continuous linear multistep method of the form

$$Y(x) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \alpha_i(x) y_{n+i} + h^4 \sum_{i=0}^5 \beta_i(x) f_{n+i} \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are continuous function of  $x$ ,  $y_{n+i} = y(x_n + ih)$ ,  $f_{n+i} = y^{(v)}(x_n + ih)$ . Note that  $f_{n+i} = f(x_{n+i}, y_{n+i}, y'_{n+i}, \dots, y^{(iv)}_{n+i})$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, 5$ . The continuous coefficients  $\alpha_i(x)$ ,  $\beta_i(x)$ , expressed as functions of  $t$  obtained are given below;

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= \frac{1}{24}(6t + 11t^2 + 6t^3 + t^4), \quad \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{6}(-8t - 14t^2 - 7t^3 - t^4), \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{4}(12t + 19t^2 + 8t^3 + t^4) \\ \alpha_3 &= \frac{1}{6}(-24t - 26t^2 - 9t^3 - t^4), \quad \alpha_4 = \frac{1}{24}(24 + 50t + 35t^2 + 10t^3 + t^4) \\ \beta_0 &= \frac{1}{7257600}(720t + 948t^2 - 820t^3 - 1405t^4 + 504t^6 + 120t^7 - 45t^8 - 20t^9 - 2t^{10}) \\ \beta_1 &= \frac{1}{1451520}(14256t + 26460t^2 + 16104t^3 + 4387t^4 - 672t^6 - 144t^7 + 63t^8 + 24t^9 + 2t^{10}) \\ \beta_2 &= \frac{1}{725760}(81648t + 153084t^2 + 84532t^3 + 12329t^4 + 1008t^6 + 168t^7 - 99t^8 - 28t^9 - 2t^{10}) \\ \beta_3 &= \frac{1}{725760}(57168t + 133764t^2 + 109168t^3 + 34417t^4 - 2016t^6 - 48t^7 + 153t^8 + 32t^9 + 2t^{10}) \\ \beta_4 &= \frac{1}{1451520}(-2160t + 4068t^2 + 20700t^3 + 24215t^4 + 12096t^5 + 2184t^6 - 360t^7 - 225t^8 - 36t^9 - 2t^{10}) \\ \beta_5 &= \frac{1}{7257600}(2160t + 1932t^2 - 3400t^3 - 4265t^4 + 2016t^6 + 1200t^7 + 315t^8 + 40t^9 + 2t^{10}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $t = \frac{x - x_{n+4}}{h}$ . Evaluating  $Y(x)$  and the derivatives  $Y^{(\mu)}(x)$ ,  $\mu = 1(1)4$  in (2.4) at the point  $x_{n+5}$  and after some algebraic simplification, the following discrete 5-step LMM are obtained as the main method;

$$\begin{cases} y_{n+5} = -y_n + 5y_{n+1} - 10y_{n+2} + 10y_{n+3} - 5y_{n+4} + \frac{1}{24}h^5(f_{n+1} + 11f_{n+2} + 11f_{n+3} + f_{n+4}) \\ hy'_{n+5} = \frac{25y_n}{12} - \frac{61y_{n+1}}{6} + \frac{39y_{n+2}}{2} - \frac{107y_{n+3}}{6} + \frac{77y_{n+4}}{12} - \frac{h^5}{10080}(3f_n - 894f_{n+1} - 9679f_{n+2} - 10819f_{n+3} - 1624f_{n+4} - 3f_{n+5}) \\ h^2y''_{n+5} = \frac{35y_n}{12} - \frac{41y_{n+1}}{3} + \frac{49y_{n+2}}{2} - \frac{59y_{n+3}}{3} + \frac{71y_{n+4}}{12} - \frac{h^5}{302400}(161f_n - 37795f_{n+1} - 412860f_{n+2} - 535040f_{n+3} - 145805f_{n+4} - 145805f_{n+5}) \\ h^3y'''_{n+5} = \frac{5y_n}{2} - 11y_{n+1} + 18y_{n+2} - 13y_{n+3} + \frac{7y_{n+4}}{2} + \frac{h^5}{60480}(170f_n + 5239f_{n+1} + 75876f_{n+2} + 108166f_{n+3} + 63434f_{n+4} + 1905f_{n+5}) \\ h^4y^{(4)}_{n+5} = y_n - 4y_{n+1} + 6y_{n+2} - 4y_{n+3} + y_{n+4} + \frac{h^5}{60480}(853f_n - 2879f_{n+1} + 44902f_{n+2} + 35318f_{n+3} + 84149f_{n+4} + 1905f_{n+5}) \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Evaluating  $Y^{(\mu)}(x)$ ,  $\mu = 1(1)4$  of (2.4) at the point  $x_i$ ,  $i = 0(1)4$  and after some algebraic simplification, the following discrete 5-step LMM are obtained as the additional method;

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} hy'_0 = -\frac{25y_0}{12} + 4y_1 - 3y_2 + \frac{4y_3}{3} - \frac{y_4}{4} + \frac{h^5}{10080} (3f_0 + 749f_1 + 1194f_2 + 54f_3 + 19f_4 - 3f_5) \\ hy'_1 = -\frac{y_0}{4} - \frac{5y_1}{6} + \frac{3y_2}{2} - \frac{y_3}{2} + \frac{y_4}{12} + \frac{h^5}{10080} (3f_0 - 120f_1 - 361f_2 - 21f_3 - 6f_4 + f_5) \\ hy'_2 = \frac{y_0}{12} - \frac{2y_1}{3} + \frac{2y_2}{3} - \frac{y_4}{12} - \frac{h^5}{10080} (f_0 - 44f_1 - 250f_2 - 44f_3 + f_4) \\ hy'_3 = -\frac{y_0}{12} + \frac{y_1}{2} - \frac{3y_2}{2} + \frac{5y_3}{6} + \frac{y_4}{4} - \frac{h^5}{10080} (36f_1 + 341f_2 + 135f_3 - 9f_4 + f_5) \\ hy'_4 = \frac{y_0}{4} - \frac{4y_1}{3} + 3y_2 - 4y_3 + \frac{25y_4}{12} + \frac{h^5}{10080} (f_0 + 99f_1 + 1134f_2 + 794f_3 - 15f_4 + 3f_5) \\ h^2y''_0 = \frac{35y_0}{12} - \frac{26y_1}{3} + \frac{19y_2}{2} - \frac{14y_3}{3} + \frac{11y_4}{12} - \frac{h^5}{302400} (2661f_0 + 109055f_1 + 130790f_2 + 8610f_3 + 1045f_4 - 161f_5) \\ h^2y''_1 = \frac{11y_0}{12} - \frac{5y_1}{3} + \frac{y_2}{2} + \frac{y_3}{3} - \frac{y_4}{12} - \frac{h^5}{302400} (161f_0 - 9855f_1 - 15580f_2 + 520f_3 - 525f_4 + 79f_5) \\ h^2y''_2 = -\frac{y_0}{12} + \frac{4y_1}{3} - \frac{5y_2}{2} + \frac{4y_3}{3} - \frac{y_4}{12} + \frac{h^5}{302400} (79f_0 - 1685f_1 - 510f_2 + 2450f_3 - 385f_4 + 51f_5) \\ h^2y''_3 = -\frac{y_0}{12} + \frac{y_1}{3} + \frac{y_2}{2} - \frac{5y_3}{3} + \frac{11y_4}{12} - \frac{h^5}{302400} (51f_0 + 665f_1 + 14000f_2 + 11040f_3 - 635f_4 + 79f_5) \\ h^2y''_4 = \frac{11y_0}{12} - \frac{14y_1}{3} + \frac{19y_2}{2} - \frac{26y_3}{3} + \frac{35y_4}{12} + \frac{h^5}{302400} (79f_0 + 11025f_1 + 127570f_2 + 111470f_3 + 1695f_4 + 161f_5) \\ h^3y'''_0 = -\frac{5y_0}{2} + 9y_1 - 12y_2 + 7y_3 - \frac{3y_4}{2} + \frac{h^5}{60480} (4155f_0 + 57134f_1 + 38866f_2 + 6576f_3 - 1061f_4 + 170f_5) \\ h^3y'''_1 = -\frac{3y_0}{2} + 5y_1 - 6y_2 + 3y_3 - \frac{y_4}{2} - \frac{h^5}{60480} (170f_0 - 1395f_1 - 13004f_2 - 686f_3 - 246f_4 + 41f_5) \\ h^3y'''_2 = -\frac{y_0}{2} + y_1 - y_3 + \frac{y_4}{2} + \frac{h^5}{60480} (41f_0 - 1676f_1 - 11850f_2 - 1676f_3 + 41f_4) \\ h^3y'''_3 = \frac{y_0}{2} - 3y_1 + 6y_2 - 5y_3 + \frac{3y_4}{2} + \frac{h^5}{60480} (1301f_1 + 12184f_2 + 2010f_3 - 416f_4 + 41f_5) \\ h^3y'''_4 = \frac{3y_0}{2} - 7y_1 + 12y_2 - 9y_3 + \frac{5y_4}{2} - \frac{h^5}{60480} (41f_0 - 4026f_1 - 42266f_2 - 54584f_3 - 5175f_4 + 170f_5) \\ h^4y^{(iv)}_0 = y_0 - 4y_1 + 6y_2 - 4y_3 + y_4 - \frac{h^5}{60480} (19097f_0 + 81629f_1 + 7598f_2 + 17182f_3 - 5399f_4 + 853f_5) \\ h^4y^{(iv)}_1 = y_0 - 4y_1 + 6y_2 - 4y_3 + y_4 + \frac{h^5}{60480} (853f_0 - 21695f_1 - 41114f_2 + 3062f_3 - 1867f_4 + 281f_5) \\ h^4y^{(iv)}_2 = y_0 - 4y_1 + 6y_2 - 4y_3 + y_4 - \frac{h^5}{60480} (281f_0 - 5059f_1 - 1810f_2 + 7774f_3 - 1367f_4 + 181f_5) \\ h^4y^{(iv)}_3 = y_0 - 4y_1 + 6y_2 - 4y_3 + y_4 + \frac{h^5}{60480} (181f_0 + 1153f_1 + 35494f_2 + 25910f_3 - 2539f_4 + 281f_5) \\ h^4y^{(iv)}_4 = y_0 - 4y_1 + 6y_2 - 4y_3 + y_4 - \frac{h^5}{60480} (281f_0 - 4387f_1 - 24658f_2 - 68834f_3 - 24215f_4 + 853f_5) \end{array} \right. \quad (2.6)$$

**Remark 2.1.** All the formulae in (2.5) - (2.6) for  $n = 0(5)N - 5$  considered together form the BVM which gives a system of  $5N$  equations, with additional five boundary conditions leads to a system of  $5N + 5$  equations in  $5N + 5$  unknowns  $\{y_j\}$  for  $j = 0(1)N$ .

### 3 Analysis of the method

Method in (2.4) is associated with LMM of the form:

$$y(x) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \alpha_i y_{n+i} + h^5 \sum_{i=0}^5 \beta_i f_{n+i} \quad (3.1)$$

Thus, the linear differential operator  $\mathcal{L}[y(x); h]$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}[y(x); h] = h^l y^{(l)}(x + ih) - \left( \sum_{i=0}^4 \alpha_i y(x + ih) + h^5 \sum_{i=0}^5 \beta_i y^{(v)}(x + ih) \right) \quad l = 0(1)4 \quad (3.2)$$

Expanding (3.2) in Taylor series, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}[y(x); h] = C_0 y(x) + C_1 hy'(x) + C_2 h^2 y''(x) + \cdots + C_p h^p y^{(p)}(x) + O(h^{p+1}) \quad (3.3)$$

The LMM (3.1) is of order  $p$  if  $C_0 = C_1 = C_2 = \cdots = C_{p+4} = 0$ , and  $C_{p+5} \neq 0$  in which

$$\mathcal{L}[y(x); h] = C_{p+5} h^{p+5} y^{(p+5)}(x) + O(h^{p+6}) \quad (3.4)$$

In this case,  $C_{p+5}$  is the error constant, see Lambert (1973).

$$C_{p+5} = (\tau_{n+1}, \tau_{n+2}, \tau_{n+3}, \tau_{n+4}, \tau_{n+5})^T = \left( \frac{41}{221760}, -\frac{79}{362880}, -\frac{2321}{907200}, \frac{13}{1152}, -\frac{1}{6048} \right)^T$$

$$C_{p+5} = (\tau'_{n+1}, \tau'_{n+2}, \tau'_{n+3}, \tau'_{n+4}, \tau'_{n+5})^T = \left( -\frac{19}{266112}, \frac{1}{71280}, \frac{37}{1330560}, -\frac{5}{44352}, -\frac{91}{570240} \right)^T$$

$$C_{p+5} = (\tau''_{n+1}, \tau''_{n+2}, \tau''_{n+3}, \tau''_{n+4}, \tau''_{n+5})^T = \left( \frac{37}{226800}, -\frac{17}{201600}, \frac{89}{907200}, -\frac{571}{1814400}, -\frac{1}{3780} \right)^T$$

$$C_{p+5} = (\tau'''_{n+1}, \tau'''_{n+2}, \tau'''_{n+3}, \tau'''_{n+4}, \tau'''_{n+5})^T = \left( \frac{227}{453600}, -\frac{43}{453600}, -\frac{23}{129600}, \frac{229}{907200}, -\frac{337}{113400} \right)^T$$

$$C_{p+5} = (\tau^{(iv)}_{n+1}, \tau^{(iv)}_{n+2}, \tau^{(iv)}_{n+3}, \tau^{(iv)}_{n+4}, \tau^{(iv)}_{n+5})^T = \left( -\frac{361}{120960}, \frac{181}{120960}, -\frac{67}{40320}, \frac{341}{120960}, -\frac{277}{24192} \right)^T$$

We thus establish the convergence of the BVMs in the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** (Jator and Manathunga ?) Let  $Y$ ,  $\bar{Y}$ , and  $E$  be as defined above. Let  $\bar{Y}$  be an approximation of the solution vector  $Y$  for the system formed by combining the methods (2.5) and (2.6) and  $e_i = |y(x_i) - y_i|$ ,  $he'_i = |hy'(x_i) - hy'_i|$ ,  $h^2e''_i = |h^2y''(x_i) - h^2y''_i|$ ,  $h^3e'''_i = |h^3y'''(x_i) - h^3y'''_i|$  and  $h^4e^{(4)}_i = |h^4y^{(4)}(x_i) - h^4y^{(4)}_i|$  be as defined above for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  where the exact solution  $Y(x) \in C^n[a, b]$ . Define  $\|E\|_\infty = \|Y - \bar{Y}\|_\infty$ , then the BVMs is a sixth-order convergent method. That is  $\|E\|_\infty = O(h^6)$ .

*Proof.* We write (2.5) together with (2.6) in the exact form

$$AY - h^5BF(Y) + C + L(h) = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

where  $A$  is an  $5N \times 5N$  matrix defined by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} & A_{15} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} & A_{25} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} & A_{35} \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} & A_{45} \\ A_{51} & A_{52} & A_{53} & A_{54} & A_{55} \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $A_{ij}$  an  $N \times N$  matrices given as

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 3 & -4/3 & 1/4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 26/3 & -19/2 & 14/3 & -11/12 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -9 & 12 & 7 & 3/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 4 & -6 & 4 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 5 & -10 & 10 & -5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & -10 & 10 & -5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & -10 & 10 & -5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & -10 & 10 & -5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 5 & -10 & 10 & -5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 5 & -10 & 10 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$



$A_{22} = A_{33} = A_{44} = A_{55} = I$ , where  $I$  is an  $N \times N$  identity matrices and  $A_{ij} = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ ;  $j = 2, 3, 4, 5$ ;  $i \neq j$ .

Similarly,  $B$  is a  $5N \times 5N$  matrix defined by

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{13} & B_{14} & B_{15} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} & B_{23} & B_{24} & B_{25} \\ B_{31} & B_{32} & B_{33} & B_{34} & B_{35} \\ B_{41} & B_{42} & B_{43} & B_{44} & B_{45} \\ B_{51} & B_{52} & B_{53} & B_{54} & B_{55} \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $B_{ij}$  an  $N \times N$  matrices given as

$$B_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 107 & 199 & 3 & 19 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{1440}{-21811} & \frac{1680}{-13079} & \frac{560}{-41} & \frac{10080}{-209} & \frac{3360}{339} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{60480}{4081} & \frac{30240}{19433} & \frac{1440}{137} & \frac{60480}{-1061} & \frac{43200}{17} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{4320}{-81629} & \frac{30240}{-3799} & \frac{1260}{-8591} & \frac{60480}{-1061} & \frac{6048}{-853} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{60480}{24} & \frac{30240}{11} & \frac{30240}{11} & \frac{60480}{11} & \frac{60480}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{24}{1} & \frac{24}{1} & \frac{24}{11} & \frac{1}{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{24}{1} & \frac{24}{11} & \frac{24}{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{24}{11} & \frac{24}{11} & \frac{1}{24} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{24} & \frac{11}{24} & \frac{1}{24} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{1}{24} & \frac{11}{24} & \frac{11}{24} & \frac{1}{24} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{24} & \frac{11}{24} & \frac{11}{24} & \frac{1}{24} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -361 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{84}{2520} & \frac{10080}{1008} & \frac{480}{2520} & \frac{1680}{10080} & \frac{10080}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & \frac{1008}{-341} & -3 & \frac{10080}{1} & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{280}{11} & \frac{10080}{9} & \frac{224}{397} & \frac{1120}{-1} & \frac{10080}{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{1120}{149} & \frac{80}{9679} & \frac{5040}{10819} & \frac{672}{29} & \frac{3360}{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{1680}{0} & \frac{10080}{149} & \frac{10080}{9679} & \frac{10819}{10819} & \frac{3360}{29} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1680}{149} & \frac{10080}{9679} & \frac{10819}{10819} & \frac{3360}{29} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1680}{149} & \frac{10080}{9679} & \frac{10819}{10819} & \frac{3360}{29} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1680}{149} & \frac{10080}{9679} & \frac{10819}{10819} & \frac{3360}{29} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{149}{1680} & \frac{9679}{10080} & \frac{10819}{10080} & \frac{29}{10819} & \frac{1}{3360} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \frac{149}{1680} & \frac{9679}{10080} & \frac{10819}{10080} & \frac{29}{10819} & \frac{1}{3360} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_{31} = \begin{bmatrix} 73 & 779 & -13 & 1 & -79 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{2240}{-337} & \frac{15120}{-17} & \frac{7360}{7} & \frac{576}{-1} & \frac{302400}{17} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{60480}{-19} & \frac{10080}{-5} & \frac{864}{-23} & \frac{8640}{127} & \frac{100800}{-79} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{8640}{7} & \frac{108}{12757} & \frac{630}{11147} & \frac{60480}{113} & \frac{100800}{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{192}{7559} & \frac{30240}{983} & \frac{30240}{1672} & \frac{20160}{29161} & \frac{43200}{887} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{60480}{0} & \frac{720}{7559} & \frac{945}{983} & \frac{60480}{1672} & \frac{100800}{29161} & \frac{887}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{60480}{720} & \frac{945}{7559} & \frac{60480}{1672} & \frac{100800}{29161} & \frac{887}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{60480}{720} & \frac{945}{7559} & \frac{60480}{1672} & \frac{100800}{29161} & \frac{887}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{60480}{720} & \frac{945}{7559} & \frac{60480}{1672} & \frac{100800}{29161} & \frac{887}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{7559}{60480} & \frac{983}{720} & \frac{1672}{945} & \frac{29161}{60480} & \frac{887}{100800} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \frac{7559}{60480} & \frac{983}{720} & \frac{1672}{945} & \frac{29161}{60480} & \frac{887}{100800} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_{41} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{31}{1344} & \frac{3251}{15120} & \frac{49}{4320} & \frac{41}{10080} & \frac{-41}{60480} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{-419}{15120} & \frac{-395}{2016} & \frac{-419}{15120} & \frac{41}{60480} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{1301}{60480} & \frac{1523}{671} & \frac{67}{2016} & \frac{13}{1890} & \frac{41}{60480} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{60480}{671} & \frac{7560}{3019} & \frac{6823}{54083} & \frac{115}{1344} & \frac{-17}{60480} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{10080}{5239} & \frac{4320}{6323} & \frac{7560}{54083} & \frac{1344}{4320} & \frac{277}{60480} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{60480}{5239} & \frac{5040}{6323} & \frac{30240}{54083} & \frac{4320}{54083} & \frac{4032}{4531} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 60480 & \frac{5040}{5239} & \frac{30240}{6323} & \frac{4320}{4531} & \frac{4032}{277} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 60480 & \frac{5040}{5239} & \frac{30240}{6323} & \frac{4320}{4531} & \frac{4032}{277} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 60480 & \frac{5040}{5239} & \frac{30240}{6323} & \frac{4320}{4531} & \frac{4032}{277} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{5239}{60480} & \frac{6323}{5040} & \frac{54083}{30240} & \frac{4531}{4320} & \frac{277}{4032} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \frac{5040}{60480} & \frac{30240}{54083} & \frac{4320}{54083} & \frac{4032}{4531} & \frac{277}{4032} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_{51} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-4339}{12096} & \frac{-20557}{30240} & \frac{1531}{30240} & \frac{-1867}{60480} & \frac{281}{60480} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{5059}{60480} & \frac{181}{60480} & \frac{-3887}{30240} & \frac{1367}{60480} & \frac{-181}{60480} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{1153}{60480} & \frac{17747}{60480} & \frac{2591}{30240} & \frac{-2539}{60480} & \frac{281}{60480} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{60480}{4387} & \frac{30240}{12329} & \frac{60480}{34417} & \frac{60480}{4843} & \frac{60480}{-853} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{60480}{-2879} & \frac{30240}{22481} & \frac{30240}{17659} & \frac{60480}{84149} & \frac{60480}{19097} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{60480}{0} & \frac{30240}{-2879} & \frac{30240}{22481} & \frac{60480}{17659} & \frac{60480}{84149} & \frac{19097}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 60480 & \frac{30240}{-2879} & \frac{30240}{22481} & \frac{60480}{17659} & \frac{60480}{84149} & \frac{19097}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 60480 & \frac{30240}{-2879} & \frac{30240}{22481} & \frac{60480}{17659} & \frac{60480}{84149} & \frac{19097}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 60480 & \frac{30240}{-2879} & \frac{30240}{22481} & \frac{60480}{17659} & \frac{60480}{84149} & \frac{19097}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{-2879}{60480} & \frac{22481}{30240} & \frac{17659}{30240} & \frac{84149}{60480} & \frac{19097}{60480} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2879}{60480} & \frac{22481}{30240} & \frac{17659}{30240} & \frac{84149}{60480} & \frac{19097}{60480} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $B_{ij}$  is an  $N \times N$  zero matrix for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; j = 2, 3, 4, 5$ .

$$C = \left( -hy'_0, -\frac{25y_0}{12}, h^5 \frac{f_0}{3360}, -h^2 y''_0, \frac{35y_0}{12}, h^5 \frac{-887f_0}{10080}, -h^3 y'''_0, -\frac{5y_0}{2}, h^5 \frac{277f_0}{4032}, -h^4 y^{(4)}_0, y_0, h^5 \frac{-19097f_0}{60480}, \right. \\ y_0, 0, \dots, 0, -\frac{y_0}{4}, h^5 \frac{f_0}{3360}, \frac{y_0}{12}, -\frac{y_0}{12}, \frac{y_0}{4}, h^5 \frac{f_0}{10080}, \frac{25y_0}{12}, h^5 \frac{-f_0}{3360}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{11y_0}{12}, h^5 \frac{79f_0}{30240}, -\frac{y_0}{12}, \\ -h^5 \frac{17f_0}{10080}, h^5 \frac{79f_0}{30240}, \frac{35y_0}{12}, h^5 \frac{-23f_0}{43200}, 0, \dots, 0, -\frac{3y_0}{2}, -h^5 \frac{17f_0}{60480}, -\frac{y_0}{2}, h^5 \frac{41f_0}{60480}, \frac{y_0}{2}, -h^5 \frac{41f_0}{60480}, \\ \left. \frac{5y_0}{2}, h^5 \frac{17f_0}{60480}, 0, \dots, 0, y_0, h^5 \frac{853f_0}{60480}, y_0, h^5 \frac{-281f_0}{60480}, y_0, h^5 \frac{181f_0}{60480}, y_0, h^5 \frac{-281f_0}{60480}, y_0, h^5 \frac{853f_0}{60480}, 0, \dots, 0 \right)^T$$

where  $L(h)$  is the truncation error of the formulas (2.5) and (2.6). defined as

$$L(h) = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_N, h\tau'_1, \dots, h\tau'_N, h^2\tau''_1, \dots, h^2\tau''_N, h^3\tau'''_1, \dots, h^3\tau'''_N, h^4\tau^{(iv)}_1, \dots, h^4\tau^{(iv)}_N)^T$$

and

$$Y = (y(x_1), \dots, y(x_N), hy'(x_1), \dots, hy'(x_N), h^2y''(x_1), \dots, h^2y''(x_N), h^3y'''(x_1), h^3y'''(x_N), h^4y^{(iv)}(x_1), \dots, h^4y^{(iv)}(x_N))^T$$

$$F(Y) = (f_1, \dots, f_N, hf'_1, \dots, hf'_N, h^2f''_1, \dots, h^2f''_N, h^3f'''_1, \dots, h^3f'''_N, h^4f^{(iv)}_1, \dots, h^4f^{(iv)}_N)^T$$

The approximate form of the system is given as

$$A\bar{Y} - h^5 B F(\bar{Y}) + C = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\bar{Y}$  is the approximate solution of the vector  $Y$  Define the vector  $E$  as

$$E = \bar{Y} - Y = (e_1, \dots, e_N, he'_1, \dots, he'_N, h^2e''_1, \dots, h^2e''_N, h^3e'''_1, \dots, h^3e'''_N, h^4e^{(iv)}_1, \dots, h^4e^{(iv)}_N)^T$$

where

$$\bar{Y} = (y_1, \dots, u_N, hy'_1, \dots, hy'_N, h^2 y''_1, \dots, h^2 y''_N, h^3 y'''_1, \dots, h^3 y'''_N, h^4 y^{(iv)}_1, \dots, h^4 y^{(iv)}_N)^T$$

Subtracting (3.5) from (3.6) and applying the mean value theorem, we obtain the error system as

$$(A - BJ_f)E = L(h) \quad (3.7)$$

where  $J_f$  is the Jacobian matrix

$$J_f = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & \cdots & J_{15} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ J_{51} & \cdots & J_{55} \end{pmatrix}$$

whose entries  $J_{ij}$  are  $N \times N$  matrices given as  $J_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_N}{\partial y_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_N}{\partial y_N} \end{pmatrix};$

$$J_{1,j+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_1^{(j)}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_N^{(j)}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_N}{\partial y_1^{(j)}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_N}{\partial y_N^{(j)}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3, 4;$$

$$J_{i,j+1} = h^i \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1^{(i-1)}}{\partial y_1^{(j)}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1^{(i-1)}}{\partial y_N^{(j)}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_N^{(i-1)}}{\partial y_1^{(j)}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_N^{(i-1)}}{\partial y_N^{(j)}} \end{pmatrix}; \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, 4. \text{ and for } i = 2, \dots, 5.$$

Let  $M = -BJ_f$  be a matrix of dimension  $5N$  so that (3.7) becomes

$$(A + M)E = L(h) \quad (3.8)$$

and for sufficiently small  $h$ ,  $A + M$  is a monotone matrix and thus nonsingular (see Jain and Aziz (1983)). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (A + M)^{-1} &= D = (d_{ij}) \geq 0 \\ \sum_{j=1}^{5M} d_{ij} &= O(h^{-5}), \\ E &= DL(h), \\ \|E\| &= \|DL(h)\| = O(h^{-5})O(h^{11}) \\ &= O(h^6) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

which shows that the method is 6th order convergent, that is, with a global error of order  $O(h^6)$ .  $\square$

### 3.1 Computational aspects

#### Implementation

The method (2.5) and (2.6) can be expressed in block form as

$$A_0 V_\mu = A_1 V_{\mu-1} + h^5 B_1 F_{\mu-1} + h^5 B_0 F_\mu, \quad \mu = 1, \dots, \Gamma, \quad n = 0, 5, \dots, N-5 \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_\mu &= (y_1, \dots, y_{n+5}, hy'_1, \dots, hy'_{n+5}, h^2 y''_1, \dots, h^2 y''_{n+5}, h^3 y'''_1, \dots, h^3 y'''_{n+5}, h^4 y^{(iv)}_1, \dots, h^4 y^{(iv)}_{n+5})^T \\ V_{\mu-1} &= (y_0, \dots, y_{n-4}, hy'_0, \dots, hy'_{n-4}, h^2 y''_0, \dots, h^2 y''_{n-4}, h^3 y'''_0, \dots, h^3 y'''_{n-4}, h^4 y^{(iv)}_0, \dots, h^4 y^{(iv)}_{n-4})^T \\ F_{\mu-1} &= (f_0, \dots, f_{n-4}, hf'_0, \dots, hf'_{n-4}, h^2 f''_0, \dots, h^2 f''_{n-4}, h^3 f'''_0, \dots, h^3 f'''_{n-4}, h^4 f^{(iv)}_0, \dots, h^4 f^{(iv)}_{n-4})^T \\ F_\mu &= (f_1, \dots, f_{n+5}, hf'_1, \dots, hf'_{n+5}, h^2 f''_1, \dots, h^2 f''_{n+5}, h^3 f'''_1, \dots, h^3 f'''_{n+5}, h^4 f^{(iv)}_1, \dots, h^4 f^{(iv)}_{n+5})^T \end{aligned}$$

The positive integer  $\Gamma = \frac{N}{5}$  is the number of blocks.

The Boundary Value Methods has been implemented using the system *Mathematica*, enhanced by the feature **NSolve[]** for linear problems while nonlinear problems were solved by Newton's method enhanced by the feature **FindRoot[]**, as summarized in the algorithm below. We begin by noting that the solution of the problem (1.1) is sought in the subinterval  $\pi_N = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_N = b\}$ , where  $h = \frac{b-a}{N}$  is a constant step-size of the partition of  $\pi_N$ ,  $N$  is a positive integer and  $n$  the grid index.

We emphasize the methods (2.5) together with (2.6) lead to a single matrix of finite difference equations, which is solved to provide all the solutions of (1.1) on the entire interval  $[a, b]$ .

**Step 1:** Use the block of (3.10) for  $\mu = 1$ ,  $n = 0$  to obtain  $V_1$  on the rectangle  $[y_0, y_5] \times [a, b]$ , similarly, for  $\mu = 2$ ,  $n = 5$  so that  $V_2$  is obtained on the rectangle  $[y_5, y_{10}] \times [a, b]$ , and on the rectangle  $[y_{10}, y_{15}] \times [a, b], \dots, [y_{N-5}, y_N] \times [a, b]$  for  $\mu = 3, \dots, \Gamma$ ,  $n = 10, 15, \dots, N - 5$ , we thus obtain  $V_3, \dots, V_\Gamma$ .

**Step 2:** Solve the unified block given by the system  $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_\Gamma$ , obtained in step 1.

**Step 3:** The solution of (1.1) is approximated by the solutions in step 2 as  $y = [y(x_1), y(x_2), \dots, y(x_n)]^T$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

#### Algorithm.

---

**Data:**  $a, b$  (integration interval),  $N$  (number of steps)  
**Input:** Impute boundary conditions from the problem.  
**Input:** Adjust conditions so as to determine other unknown conditions  
**Output:**  $sol$ , discrete approximate solution of BVMs (2.5)-(2.6)

- 1 For  $n = 0, 5, \dots, N - 5$  in (2.5), Combine (2.5) and (2.6), For  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, \Gamma$ ;
- 2 Generate  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_\Gamma$ , for each  $n$  and  $\mu$ ;
- 3 Set System  $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_\Gamma$ ;
- 4 Solve **NSolve**[System, data] if linear, otherwise go to 5;
- 5 Solve **FindRoot**[System, data],;
- 6 Let  $sol = y(x_n)$ . for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ;
- 7 **if**  $n = N$  **then**
- 8     go to 11
- 9 **else**
- 10    go to 4;
- 11 **end**
- 12 **End**

---

## 4 Numerical Examples

In this section, some numerical examples are used to illustrate the accuracy and efficiency of the method. It is found that the maximum absolute error (Err) of the approximate solution on the partitions

$$\pi_N = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N = b\}$$

is given as  $Err = Max||y(x_n) - y_n||$ , with constant step-size  $h = (b - a)/N$ .  $N$  is the number of partitions or subintervals of  $[x_n, x_{n+5}]$ . This numerical experiments was done using codes written in Wolfram® Mathematica 8.0.

**Example 1.** Consider the nonlinear fifth order boundary value problem

$$y^{(5)}(x) + y^{(4)}(x) + e^{-2x}y^2 = 2e^x + 1, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

$$y(0) = 1, \quad y(1) = e, \quad y'(0) = 1, \quad y'(1) = e, \quad y''(0) = 1$$

The exact solution is given by  $y(x) = e^x$ .

In this example, we have compared the BVM with Reproducing Kernel Space Method (RKSM) in Ghazala and Hamood (2013), the Embedded Perturbed Chebyshev Integral Collocation Method (EPCICM) and Embedded Perturbed Bernstein Integral Collocation Method (EPBICM) both in Taiwo et al. (2015). It can be clearly seen that the BVM is superior in terms of the maximum errors obtained for this problem.

Table 1: Error for Problem 1,  $h = 0.1$

| Methods | Maximum Absolute error   |
|---------|--------------------------|
| BVM     | $1.306 \times 10^{-13}$  |
| RKSM    | $1.0178 \times 10^{-07}$ |
| EPCICM  | $1.121 \times 10^{-05}$  |
| EPBICM  | $3.049 \times 10^{-05}$  |

**Example 2.** Consider the nonlinear fifth order boundary value problem

$$y^{(5)} - e^{-x}y^2 = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

$$y(0) = y'(0) = y''(0) = 1, \quad y(1) = y'(1) = e.$$

with theoretical solution  $y(x) = e^x$ .

Table 2 shows the absolute errors obtained by using the Boundary Value Method (BVM), Variational Iteration Method (VIM) in Noor and Mohyud-Din (2007b), Homotopy Perturbation Method (HPM) in Noor and Mohyud-Din (2007a), Variation of Parameters (VOP) in Noor and Mohyud-Din (2008) and the Adomians Decomposition Method (ADM) in Mohyud-Din (2007). Is evident that The BVM performs favourably well as compared to the other methods.

Table 2: Error of methods for Example 2,  $h = 0.1$

| $x$ | BVM                    | VIM                   | HPM                   | VOP                   | ADM                   |
|-----|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 0.1 | $2.66 \times 10^{-15}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-09}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-09}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-12}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-09}$ |
| 0.2 | $9.99 \times 10^{-15}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-09}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-09}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-11}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-09}$ |
| 0.3 | $2.39 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-08}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-08}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-08}$ |
| 0.4 | $4.19 \times 10^{-14}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-08}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-08}$ | $7.0 \times 10^{-11}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-08}$ |
| 0.5 | $5.79 \times 10^{-14}$ | $3.1 \times 10^{-08}$ | $3.1 \times 10^{-08}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-10}$ | $3.1 \times 10^{-08}$ |
| 0.6 | $6.51 \times 10^{-14}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{-08}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{-08}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-10}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{-08}$ |
| 0.7 | $5.86 \times 10^{-14}$ | $4.1 \times 10^{-08}$ | $4.1 \times 10^{-08}$ | $2.8 \times 10^{-10}$ | $4.1 \times 10^{-08}$ |
| 0.8 | $3.86 \times 10^{-14}$ | $3.1 \times 10^{-08}$ | $3.1 \times 10^{-08}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{-10}$ | $3.1 \times 10^{-08}$ |
| 0.9 | $1.24 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-08}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-08}$ | $4.7 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-08}$ |
| 1.0 | 0.00                   | 0.00000               | 0.00000               | $5.6 \times 10^{-10}$ | 0.00000               |

**Example 3.** Consider the following fifth-order boundary value problem Juan (2009)

$$\begin{cases} y^{(5)} + 24e^{-5y} = \frac{48}{(1+x)^5} \\ y(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = \ln 2, \\ y'(0) = 1, \quad y'(1) = 0.5, \\ y''(0) = -1 \end{cases}$$

Its exact solution is  $y(x) = \ln(1+x)$ .

Table 3 presents the maximum absolute error obtained using the BVM, methods in Ghazala and Hamood (2013) and El-Gamel (2007). The errors obtained show that the BVM is superior.

**Table 3: Error of methods for Problem 3**

| Method                    | Maximum Error         |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| BVM ( $h = 0.05$ )        | $1.1 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| RKSM ( $n=10$ )           | $9.2 \times 10^{-06}$ |
| Method in El-Gamel (2007) | $5.0 \times 10^{-05}$ |

**Example 4.** Consider the following fifth-order boundary value problem Pandey (2017)

$$\begin{cases} y^{(5)}(x) - 4y'(x) = 0 \\ y(0) = 0, \quad y'(0) = 1, \\ y''(0) = 2, \quad y(1) = \exp(1) \sin(1), \\ y'(1) = \exp(1)(\sin(1) + \cos(1)) \end{cases}$$

Its exact solution is  $y(x) = \exp(x) \sin(x)$ .

**Table 4: Maximum Absolute Error for Problem 4**

| N   | BVM                       | Method in Pandey (2017)    |
|-----|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| 16  | $4.90719 \times 10^{-14}$ | $6.2513351 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 32  | $3.83693 \times 10^{-13}$ | $1.6224384 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 64  | $1.37772 \times 10^{-11}$ | $4.4584274 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 128 | $2.77446 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.1444092 \times 10^{-5}$ |

In Table 4, at different values of N as above, it shows the maximum absolute errors obtained, in comparison with the BVM and the method of Pandey (2017). This shows the superiority of the BVM in terms of the errors obtained.

**Example 5.** Consider the following fifth-order boundary value problem Pandey (2017)

$$\begin{cases} y^{(5)}(x) - \frac{(y'(x))^2}{(5+x)^3} = \frac{23}{(5+x)^5}, \quad 0 < x < 1, \\ y(0) = \ln(5), \quad y'(0) = \frac{1}{5}, \\ y''(0) = -\frac{1}{25}, \quad y(1) = \ln(6) \sin(1), \\ y'(1) = \frac{1}{6} \end{cases}$$

Its exact solution is  $y(x) = \ln(x+5)$ .

Table 5: Maximum Absolute Error for Problem 5

| N   | BVM                       | Method in Pandey (2017)    |
|-----|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| 16  | $3.37508 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.9752979 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 32  | $5.58664 \times 10^{-15}$ | $4.3869019 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 64  | $1.78328 \times 10^{-17}$ | $1.1444092 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 128 | $6.60452 \times 10^{-19}$ | $2.5033951 \times 10^{-6}$ |

Similarly, in Table 5, at different values of N, shows the maximum errors obtained, in comparison with the BVM and the method of Pandey (2017). Again, this shows the superiority of the BVM in terms of the errors obtained.

**Example 6.** Consider the following fifth-order boundary value problem Juan (2009)

$$\begin{cases} y^{(5)}(x) = y(x) - 15e^x - 10xe^x \\ y(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = 1, \\ y'(0) = 1, \quad y'(1) = -e, \\ y''(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Its exact solution is  $y(x) = x(1-x)e^x$ .

Table 6: Absolute Error for Problem 6.  $h = 0.001$ 

| Methods               | Maximum error          |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
| BVM                   | $1.84 \times 10^{-25}$ |
| GJPGM                 | $6.35 \times 10^{-17}$ |
| Sextic spline method  | $4.84 \times 10^{-7}$  |
| Cubic B-spline method | $1.14 \times 10^{-5}$  |

The BVM for small  $h$  was compared to the Nonsymmetric Generalized Jacobi PetrovGalerkin method GJPGM in Doha et al (2015), Sextic spline method in ? and Cubic B-spline method in Jain and Aziz (1983). It is obvious that the BVM performed better in this problem.

## 5 Conclusion

We have derived continuous block finite difference methods which has be implemented as the boundary value method (BVM) to solve  $y^{(v)} = f(x, y, y', y'', \dots, y^{(iv)})$  subject to appropriate boundary conditions. This was carried out without first reducing the ODE to an equivalent first order system. The beauty of this method is that it is self starting as it does not require any starting value as do by other methods. The implementation is less time costly. The numerical experiments performed shows the efficiency, accuracy and advantages of the method over existing ones in the literature as regards the problems considered.

## Acknowledgment

## References

- Brugnano L., Trigiante D. (1996) Convergence and stability of boundary value methods for ordinary differential equations, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 66, pp. 97-109.
- Caglar H.N, Caglar S.H. and Twizell E.H. (1999) The numerical solution of fifth-order boundary value problems with sixth degree B-spline functions. *Appl Math Lett*, 12, pp. 25-30.
- Doha E.H., Abd-Elhameed W.M. and Youssri Y.H. (2015), New algorithms for solving third- and fifth-order two point boundary value problems based on nonsymmetric generalized Jacobi PetrovGalerkin method, *Journal of Advanced Research*, 6, pp. 673-686.
- El-Gamel M. (2007),. Sinc and the numerical solution of fifth-order boundary value problems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 187 (2) pp. 1417-1433.
- Fyfe D.J. (1971), Linear dependence relations connecting equal interval Nth degree splines and their derivatives *J. Inst. Math. Appl.*, 7, pp. 398-406.
- Ghazala Akram and Hamood ur Rehman (2013), 'A Numerical Solution to the Nonlinear Fifth Order Boundary Value Problems', *Int. J. Appl. Sci. Eng.*, 11 (4) pp. 415-422.
- Jain M. K. and Aziz T.(1983) Cubic spline solution of two-point boundary value problems with significant first derivatives, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 39, (1), pp. 8391,
- Jator S. N. and Manathunga V. (2018), 'Block Nystrm type

integrator for Bratus equation', *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 327, pp. 341-349.

Juan Zhang (2009), The numerical solution of fifth-order boundary value problems by the Variational Iteration Method, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 58, pp. 2347-2350.

Khan M.S. (1994) Finite difference solutions of fifth-order boundary-value problems, PhD thesis, Brunel University, England, 1994.

Lambert J. D. (1973), 'Computational Methods in Ordinary Differential Equations', *John Wiley, New York*.

Lamnii A, Mraoui H, Sbibih D and Tijini A. (2008) Sextic spline solution of fifth order boundary value problems. *Math Comput Simul*, 77, pp. 237-46.

Lang F. G., Xu P. X. (2011) A new cubic B-spline method for linear fifth order boundary value problems. *J Appl Math Comp*, 36 (12), pp. 1-16.

Lidia Aceto, Paolo Ghelardoni, Cecilia Magherini (2012), PGSCM: A family of P-stable Boundary Value Methods for second-order initial value problems, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 236, pp. 3857-3868.

Marzulli P. and Trigiante D., Stability and convergence of boundary value methods for solving ODE, *J. Difference Equations Appl.* 1 (1995) pp. 45-55.

Mohyud-Din S. T. (2007), 'A reliable algorithm for Blasius equation', *Proceedings of Inter. Conference of Mathematics, Malaysia*, (2007), pp. 616-626.

- Muhammad Azam Khan (2006), A class of methods based on non-polynomial sextic spline functions for the solution of a special fifth-order boundary-value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 321, pp. 651-660.
- Noor M. Aslam and Mohyud-Din S. T. (2007), 'An efficient method for fifth-order boundary value problems', *Math. Computer Modelling*, 45, pp. 954-964.
- Noor M. A and Mohyud-Din S. T. (2007), 'Variational iteration technique for solving higher order boundary value problems', *Appl. Math. Comp.*, 189, pp. 1929-1942.
- Noor M. A., Mohyud-Din S. T. and Waheed A., (2008), 'Variation of Parameters Method for Solving Fifth-Order Boundary Value Problems', *Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences* 2 (2) pp. 135-141.
- Pandey P. K. (2017), 'A numerical method for the solution of fifth order boundary value problem in ordinary differential equations', *Vladikavkaz. Mat. Zh.*, 19 (4) pp. 50-57.
- Paolo Ghelardoni (1997) Approximations of Sturm-Liouville eigenvalues using Boundary Value Methods, *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 23, pp. 311-325
- Siddiqi S.S., Akram G. (2007) 'Sextic spline solutions of fifth order boundary value problems', *Appl Math Lett*, 20 (5) pp. 9-17.
- Siddiqi S.S., Akram G, Elahi A. (2008) 'Quartic spline solution of linear fifth order boundary value problems', *Appl Math Comput*, 19 (6) pp. 214-230.
- Taiwo O. A., Adewumi A. O. and Ogunlaran O. M. (2015), 'Effient Numerical Methods for Solving Nonlinear High-Order

Boundary Value Problems'. *Gen. Math. Notes*, 30 (2), pp.74-86.

Xueqin L, Minggen Cui (2010) An efficient computational method for linear fifth-order two-point boundary value problems, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 234, pp. 1551-1558.

Zhao-Chun Wu (2009), 'Approximate analytical solutions of fifth-order boundary value problems by the variational iteration method', *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 58, pp. 2514-2521.

---

©2011 Author1 & Author2; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.