

## ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

# PHYSICO-CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SNAIL AS AFFECTED BY PROCESSING METHODS, TEMPERATURES AND STORAGE DAYS

### ABSTRACT

*This study investigated the effect of processing methods, temperature and storage days on the physico-chemical characteristics of snail meat products. Four different treatments were carried out; unseasoned fried (USF), seasoned fried (SF), seasoned oven-dried (SOD) and seasoned smoke-dried (SSD) snail meat products and were stored under room, fridge and freezer storage conditions; physico-chemical determination and analysis of variance were carried out. The results showed that the highest crude protein was obtained in the seasoned smoke-dried product (76.87%), followed by seasoned oven-dried product (75.80%), next was seasoned fried (70.15%) and the least was unseasoned fried product (68.57%). The highest ash value was seen in seasoned smoke-dried product (4.84%), followed by seasoned oven-dried (4.313%) and the least was unseasoned-fried product (3.933%). The highest energy values were observed in the fried products (1497.67 KJ/100g seasoned fried and 1490.53 KJ/100g unseasoned-fried). The highest iron value (16.47 mg/100g) obtained was from seasoned smoke-dried product. Seasoned smoke-dried product was significantly different from other products and it had the highest mineral content. Seasoned oven-dried snail meat product had the lowest pH values 6.0, 7.17 and 6.29 for 0-5 days 10-20 days and 25- 30 days storage respectively. This was followed by seasoned smoke-dried product having 6.68, 7.81 and 6.56 at 0-5 days, 10-20 days and 25-30 days respectively. The observed low pH in seasoned products could be ascribed to the effect of the seasonings; this is an indication of better shelf stability. It was observed that the combine effect of seasonings, smoke-drying and cold storage help to extend the shelf life of snail meat.*

**Key words:** Processing, temperature, snail, products. Seasoned

### INTRODUCTION

The land snails, particularly the *Archachatina marginata*, are alternative and non-conventional animal protein source in Nigeria and some other parts of Africa. Snails are processed by different methods for consumption. The different processing methods include roasting, oven-drying, frying etc. However, [1] and [2] reported that different processing methods including boiling and roasting, influence the proximate, mineral and toxicant composition of foods. Preservation extends the shelf life of meat sample also it is regarded as any method of treatment of food material to prolong the length of time in which it retains its qualities and appearance. Preservation methods include freezing, thermal processing (dehydration e.g. oven drying and smoke drying) and curing method by using chemical additives [3].

Smoke-drying of meat is a technique in which meat is exposed directly to wood smoke which may be generated by a variety of methods. There are various substances in the smoke produced from wood which contribute to the flavour and the appearance of the smoke-dried meat product and which has certain preserving effect on the product [3]. The preserving effect of smoke is not very significant when storing the product without a cold chain. On the other hand, intensive or prolonged smoke-drying may considerably increase the shelf-life of the product, but it also has an unfavourable effect on flavour.

47 Whereas a light smoke generally enhances the organoleptic properties of the product, intensive smoking  
48 has a negative effect on the quality, especially in the case of prolonged storage in which concentrated  
49 smoke compounds develop increasingly unpleasant flavour.

50 In modern meat processing industries, beef, pork, lamb, veal and calf carcasses are chilled in chill  
51 cooler at temperature ranging from -4 to 0°C. Chilling storage is generally regarded to be storage at  
52 temperature not far above freezing. The refrigerated storage of meat and meat products at 5°C -10°C is  
53 generally limited to relatively short periods, since deteriorative changes continue to occur. The major  
54 factors that influence the storage life of meat under refrigeration include the initial microbial load,  
55 temperature and humidity conditions during storage, the presence or absence of protective coverings, the  
56 species of animal involved, and the type of product being stored. Freezing as a preservation method is not  
57 a new process. It has long been recognized as an excellent means of meat preservation.

58 Snails are considered a delicacy in many countries and are staple part of the diet in parts of Asia where red meat  
59 and poultry are scarce sources of protein. In Nigeria, it is now accepted that the use of mini-livestock such as snails,  
60 rodents and other small livestock in the wild can substantially improve the living conditions of people in urban and rural  
61 areas by acting as a valuable source of protein supplement to diet as well as generating additional income [4].

62 The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of processing methods, temperature and storage  
63 days on the physico-chemical characteristics of snail meat products.

64

65

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 66 Source of Snails:

67 The snails used for this experiment were collected from Ekiuwa market in Edo State, Nigeria. A  
68 total of 150 adult snails (*Archachatina marginata*) with mean live weight of 346.85 g, were used. They  
69 were transferred to University of Benin where they were processed

### 70 Removal of Meat from Shells:

71 Snails were fasted for 24 hours in order to empty the gut and to reduce contamination during  
72 processing. Then the snails were weighed and separated into meat, shell, waste and fluid. The meats were  
73 washed with alum to remove the slime. Meats were cut to have uniform weight range of 50-55 g.

### 74 Application of pickle in the preservation of fresh snail meat

75 Meat may be preserved by dry curing or with a pickling solution. The ingredients used in curing  
76 and pickling are sodium nitrate, sodium nitrite, sodium chloride, sugar, citric acid or vinegar etc. Various  
77 methods are used: the meat may be mixed with dry ingredients; it may be soaked in pickling solution;  
78 pickling solution may be pumped or injected into the flesh; or a combination of these methods may be  
79 used.

80 In this study, snails were cured in a prepared pickle solution containing 1.5% salt, 1.5% sugar, 0.5%  
 81 thyme, 0.30% nutmeg, 0.30% ginger, 1.50% red pepper, 0.05% sodium sorbate, 0.05% sodium  
 82 tripolyphosphate, 0.50% curry, 1.50% onion (Table 1) for 24 hours in refrigeration temperature, before  
 83 processing snails (frying, smoke-drying and oven-drying). However, the control was devoid of spices  
 84 before frying.

85  
 86 **Table 1:** Pickle Formulation

Ingredients	Percentage (%)	Weight (g)
Sugar	1.50	45
Salt	1.50	45
Thyme	0.50	15
Nutmeg	0.30	9
Ginger	0.30	9
Red pepper	1.50	45
Sodium sorbate	0.05	1.5
Sodium tripolyphosphate	0.05	1.5
Curry	0.5	15
Onion	1.50	45
Water	91.85	2755.5
Total	100%	3000g

87  
 88

89 **Processing Methods:**

90 **Smoke-drying:** - Pickle cured snail meat were skewed and smoke-dried at 80°C for 2 hours 15 minutes  
 91 in a smoking kiln at Kilishi factory, Ekenwan campus. Each snail meat was spread out with stick in a  
 92 traditional bush meat processing manner to increase the surface area of the meat exposed to smoke and  
 93 heat. The meat samples were spread on racks in the smoking kiln to ensure uniform smoking and drying  
 94 of the individual product. Initial weights of snail meat prior to smoking were taken and weights after  
 95 smoking were equally recorded.

96 **Frying:** - Pickle cured snails were fried at 170°C for 30 minutes in a deep pan fryer with Soya oil  
 97 (cholesterol free). 15 minutes into frying, meats were removed from oil, allowed to cool and weighed,  
 98 allowed to cool and reweighed.

99 **Oven-drying:** Pickle cured snail meats were oven-dried at 90°C for 4 hour 30 min using table electric  
 100 oven. The racks inside the oven were wrapped with foil paper before the meats were spread on them. At  
 101 every 45 minutes interval, meats were removed, allowed to cool and weighed.

102

103 **Packaging:**

104 Snail meat products were allowed to cool before packaging all the products including seasoned  
105 smoke-dried product that was skewed before packaging. All products were sealed in low density  
106 cellophanes with the use of sealing machine.

107 **Storage Temperatures:**

108 In this experiment, three storage temperatures were used.

- 109 - Room temperature (28.5°C)
- 110 - Refrigeration temperature (9.5°C)
- 111 - Freezer temperature (-12.5°C)

112 **Storage Period:**

113 Snail meat products were stored for total duration of 30 days and meat samples were withdrawn for  
114 analyses as follows.

- 115 - 0day (control)
- 116 - 5 days
- 117 - 10 days
- 118 - 15 days
- 119 - 20 days
- 120 - 25 days
- 121 - 30 days

122 **Analytical methods:**

123 Moisture content was determined by drying an accurately weighed sample of minced samples in an  
124 oven at  $105 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  for 3 hours. The ash content was obtained by heating the sample for 3 hours at  $550^\circ\text{C}$ .  
125 Fat was extracted according to the acid hydrolysis method. The total nitrogen content was determined by  
126 the Kjeldahl method and was converted to crude protein content by multiplying by 6.25 [5].

127 pH was determined on dispersion of two-gram sample in 10ml of distilled water while a pocket  
128 pH meter was used to take pH values.

129 **Data Analysis:**

130 Data generated were subjected to analysis of Variance (ANOVA) to test significant variations  
131 ( $P < 0.05$ ) among mean values obtained. Duncan's multiple range test was applied to indicate where  
132 significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) occurred using Genstat statistical package 2005, 8<sup>TH</sup> edition (Genstat  
133 Procedure Library Release PL16).

134

135

136

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Chemical Composition of Snail Meat Products

The result of this study showed that the crude protein, fat, moisture, ash, fiber and carbohydrate of a raw snail, were 16.69%, 4.87%, 64.03%, 3.78%, 3.47% and 6.89% respectively. The value of crude protein (16.69%) obtained is similar to the result (16.82%) of [6]. The moisture content (64.03%) obtained was similar to the result (63.1%) of Malik *et al.* (2011) but different from the work of [6] who had 79.48%. The crude fiber (3.47%) obtained was not different from the result (3.45 %) reported by [7].

Analysis of variance showed significant difference ( $P < 0.001$ ) in the chemical composition of the products based on treatment applied (Table 2). There was significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the crude protein content among the products. The highest crude protein was obtained in the seasoned smoke-dried product (76.87%), followed by oven-dried product (75.80%), next was seasoned fried (70.15%) and the least was unseasoned fried product (68.57%). The high protein value in seasoned smoke-dried product demonstrates that smoke component has preservative influence on crude protein due to reduction effect on pH by smoke components. Besides, wood smoke contains pyrolygenous acid which may have added preservative effect on smoke-dried meat. [8] reported that protein value of smoked meat (77.92%) product was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher than crude protein of meat obtained from other processing methods. This could be attributed to lowering effect of pH by smoke components.

In terms of moisture content the different processing methods drastically reduced the moisture content of the raw snail meat. [9] reported that processing methods have the potential of removing moisture from samples. [10] reported that moisture content of sample decreases significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) with processing. The seasoned oven-dried product with the lowest moisture content was significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) from other products. Smoke-dried product was significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) from other products in terms of ash content. The highest ash value was seen in seasoned smoke-dried product (4.84%), followed by seasoned oven-dried (4.313%) and the least was unseasoned-fried (3.933%) although, [11] reported ash content of 4.23% for snail of 6-12 months old.

For fat content, seasoned-fried (4.920%) and unseasoned-fried (5.033%) snail products were significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) from smoke-dried (2.513%) and oven-dried products (2.57%). The high values recorded in the fried products could be attributed to oil absorption by the meat.

There was significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in carbohydrate content among all the products. The highest carbohydrate value was seen in unseasoned-fried product (8.16%) and the lowest was smoke-dried product (1.86%).

There was significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) among the products in terms of crude fiber. However, the unseasoned-fried product had the highest fiber (3.77%) content. The highest energy values were seen

171 in the fried products (1497.67 KJ/100g seasoned fried and 1490.53 KJ/100g unseasoned-fried). Although,  
 172 all the products energy values ranged from 1431.40 - 1497.67 KJ/100g, these values are lower than the  
 173 energy (1726 – 1740 KJ/100g) reported by [12] but greater than the values reported by [13] who reported  
 174 390.92 - 435.97 KJ/100g. The energy values obtained in this study shows that snail meat could provide  
 175 appreciable amount of calories in diet.

176  
 177  
 178  
 179  
 180

**Table 2:** Means for Chemical Composition of Snail Meat Products.

Parameter	Unseasoned Fried (311)	Seasoned fried (312)	Seasoned oven-dried (412)	Seasoned smoke-dried (512)	LSD
Protein(%)	68.567 <sup>d</sup>	70.150 <sup>c</sup>	75.803 <sup>b</sup>	76.867 <sup>a</sup>	0.1770
Moisture (%)	10.543 <sup>a</sup>	10.050 <sup>b</sup>	9.563 <sup>c</sup>	10.467 <sup>a</sup>	0.0773
Ash (%)	3.933 <sup>d</sup>	3.963 <sup>c</sup>	4.313 <sup>b</sup>	4.837 <sup>a</sup>	0.0129
Fat (%)	5.033 <sup>a</sup>	4.920 <sup>a</sup>	2.570 <sup>b</sup>	2.513 <sup>b</sup>	0.1227
Crude fiber (%)	3.767 <sup>a</sup>	3.677 <sup>b</sup>	3.490 <sup>c</sup>	3.453 <sup>d</sup>	0.0179
Carbohydrate(%)	8.157 <sup>a</sup>	7.240 <sup>b</sup>	4.260 <sup>c</sup>	1.863 <sup>d</sup>	0.2681
Energy(KJ/100g)	1490.53 <sup>b</sup>	1497.67 <sup>a</sup>	1456.17 <sup>c</sup>	1431.40 <sup>d</sup>	2.813
Ca (mg/100g)	146.3 <sup>c</sup>	153.7 <sup>bc</sup>	156.7 <sup>b</sup>	165.7 <sup>a</sup>	8.22
Fe(mg/100g)	14.07 <sup>d</sup>	14.70 <sup>c</sup>	15.20 <sup>b</sup>	16.47 <sup>a</sup>	0.38
P (mg/100g)	183.0 <sup>d</sup>	196.3 <sup>c</sup>	204.7 <sup>b</sup>	235.0 <sup>a</sup>	7.33
Cu (mg/100g)	74.63 <sup>d</sup>	87.0 <sup>c</sup>	96.67 <sup>b</sup>	104.23 <sup>a</sup>	4.05
K (mg/100g)	305 <sup>d</sup>	327.3 <sup>c</sup>	358 <sup>b</sup>	386.3 <sup>a</sup>	10.98
Mg (mg/100)	57.63 <sup>c</sup>	60.55 <sup>b</sup>	62.97 <sup>a</sup>	64.63 <sup>a</sup>	2.29

181 Means with same superscript along the row are not significantly differently (P>0.05).

182

183 The results of this work showed that raw snail has Calcium (124.32 mg/100g), iron (2.27  
184 mg/100g), Phosphorus (21.97 mg /100g), Magnesium (23.95 mg/100g), Copper (1.08 mg/100g) and  
185 potassium (26.7 mg /100g) contents. The values obtained are not different from the result of Malik *et al.*  
186 (2011) who reported Ca (126 mg/100g), Fe (2.29 mg/100g), P (22.9 mg/100g), Mg (25.1 mg/100g) and  
187 Cu (1.03 mg/100g). [14] reported Calcium (187 mg/100g) and potassium (25.6 mg/100g) for raw snail.  
188 The minerals for processed snail range from 146.3 mg/100g-165.7 mg/100g for calcium, 14.07-16.47  
189 mg/100g iron, 183.0-235.0 mg/100g phosphorus, 74.63-104.23 mg/100g copper, 305-386.3 mg/100g  
190 potassium and 57.63-64.63 mg/100g magnisium.

191 From the analysis of variance the seasoned smoke-dried product was significantly different  
192 ( $P<0.05$ ) from other products and had the highest mineral content. The value obtained for P (235.0  
193 mg/100g) was not different from the value obtained (238.0 mg/100g) by [15]. Phosphorus and potassium  
194 are important in human and animal nutrition. Phosphorus is used for normal development and  
195 maintenance of bones and teeth, cell activity, normal acid-base balance of blood, muscle activity,  
196 metabolism of carbohydrate and fat.

197 The highest iron value obtained (16.47mg/100g) was from seasoned smoke-dried product. This is  
198 close to the result obtained by [16] (12.2 mg/100g). However, the value obtained was not different from  
199 16.1 mg/100g reported by [15]. [16] reported that iron content of snail varies from one locality to another  
200 depending on mineral content of the soil in which these snails are raised. Iron is good for bone and teeth  
201 formation as well as for haemoglobin of the red blood cells. Cobalt (Co) was not detected. According to  
202 [17] the non-detection of lead and cobalt confirm that none of the snail had been exposed to any sort of  
203 pollution.

204

205

### 206 **pH changes of snail meat products**

207 The analysis of variance showed that there was significant difference ( $P<0.001$ ) in the main and  
208 interactive effects in pH values of the different snail meat products based on treatments (Processing  
209 methods, storage conditions and storage days).

210 The average pH value of raw snail washed without alum was 7.02 while raw snail washed with  
211 alum had an ultimate pH of 7.3. This value agreed closely with the work of [6] who reported pH value of  
212 7.4, this according to them is due to the basicity of potassium alum used for washing the foot, which tends  
213 to raised pH value.

214 Table 3 showed the main effect of processing methods on the pH of products. There was  
215 significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) in the different products stored for 0-5 days, 10-20 days and 25-30 days,  
216 respectively. Seasoned oven-dried snail meat product (412) had the lowest pH values 6.0, 7.17 and 6.29  
217 for 0-5 days 10-20 days and 25- 30 days storage respectively. This was followed by seasoned smoke-

218 dried product having 6.68, 7.81 and 6.56 respectively. Moreover, it was observed that seasoned products  
219 had lower pH than the unseasoned product, an indication of better shelf stability of the seasoned products.  
220 This could be attributed to the presence of salt and other curing ingredients which altered the pH of the  
221 seasoned products, thereby limiting the growth of spoilage organisms. The result of this study showed  
222 that the interactive effect of salt and other spices inclusion in the seasoned snail meat products lowered  
223 the pH thereby ensuring shelf stable products.

224 Table 4 showed the effect of storage conditions on pH of products. There was significant  
225 difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) between products under room storage (7.20) and products under cold storage (fridge  
226 6.61 and freezer 6.05) at 5 days. Products under room and fridge storage could not last beyond 5 days and  
227 20 days respectively. Table 5 also showed significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the pH of products stored for  
228 10 days (7.51), 15 days (8.22) and 20 days (8.59).

229 Table 6 showed the pH values of the interaction between processing methods and storage periods.  
230 The pH values of the snail meat products significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) increased with the storage days (0-5 and  
231 10-20) but with insignificant ( $P > 0.05$ ) decline at 25 days. Seasoned oven-dried product had the lowest pH  
232 values of 5.76, 6.69 and 6.26 for 0-5 days, 10-20 days and 25-30 days storage period respectively. This  
233 was followed by seasoned smoke-dried product (6.40, 7.54 and 6.49). The unseasoned-fried product  
234 (control) had the highest pH values at 5 days (7.98), 20 days (9.77) and 25 days (8.33) storage. This high  
235 value was responsible for the short shelf life of the unseasoned fried product (control). [18] reported that  
236 the increase in pH value during storage is due to the degradation of protein.

237 Table 7 showed the changes in pH values of products due to the interaction between storage  
238 conditions and storage days. There was significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) between pH of products stored for  
239 0-5 days, 10-20 days and 25-30 days under the different storage conditions. Products under room storage  
240 increased significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) in pH than snail meat products under cold storage from 0-5 days. Also,  
241 products under fridge (6.70) storage condition were not significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) different from snail meat  
242 under freezer (6.65) storage at 5 days. This implies that cold storage helps to control and stabilize pH of  
243 meat products thereby enhancing their shelf stability. [9] reported that refrigeration extends shelf stability  
244 and prevent product deterioration. A significant increase ( $P < 0.05$ ) was observed in the pH values of  
245 products under refrigerated storage from 10-20 days.

246 The interaction between processing methods and storage conditions is shown in Table 8. At 0-5  
247 days' storage, the pH of the various products at refrigeration condition was lower than products under  
248 room storage but higher than products under freezer storage. The snail meat product is better shelf stable  
249 under fridge and freezer storage due to lower pH as product in refrigeration storage had shelf life of 20  
250 days, freezer storage could last 30 days and beyond while room stored products lasted for 6 days. This  
251 was also reflected in Table 9.

252  
253



254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260

UNDER PEER REVIEW

261 **Table 3:** pH Means of Snail Meat Products (Processing methods).  
 262

Treatments (Processing methods)					
Storage Days	Unseasoned/ fried	Seasoned/ fried	Seasoned/ oven-dried	Seasoned/ smoke-dried	LSD
0 – 5	7.711 <sup>a</sup>	6.811 <sup>a</sup>	6.000 <sup>d</sup>	6.689 <sup>c</sup>	0.0839
10 – 20	9.147 <sup>a</sup>	8.046 <sup>b</sup>	7.170 <sup>d</sup>	7.817 <sup>c</sup>	0.1317
25 – 30	8.226 <sup>a</sup>	6.708 <sup>b</sup>	6.290 <sup>d</sup>	6.563 <sup>c</sup>	0.0207

263 Means within storage day bracket having same superscript are not significantly different  
 264 (P>0.05)  
 265  
 266  
 267

268 **Table 4:** pH Means of Snail Meat Products (Storage conditions)

Treatments(Storage conditions)				
Storage days	Room (28.5 <sup>o</sup> C)	Fridge (9.5 <sup>o</sup> C)	Freezer (-12.5 <sup>o</sup> C)	LSD
0 – 5	7.207 <sup>a</sup>	6.617 <sup>b</sup>	6.058 <sup>b</sup>	0.0727
10 –20	-	9.129	6.961	0.0927
25 – 30	-	-	-	-

269 Means within storage day bracket having same superscript are not significantly different  
 270 (P>0.05).  
 271  
 272  
 273  
 274  
 275  
 276  
 277  
 278  
 279  
 280  
 281  
 282  
 283

284  
285  
286  
287

**Table 5:** pH Means of Snail Meat Products (Storage days).

Storage days	Means values	LSD
0	6.525	0.0593
5	7.081	
10	7.518 <sup>c</sup>	
15	8.220 <sup>b</sup>	0.1141
20	8.595 <sup>a</sup>	
25	7.022	0.014
30	6.871	

Means with the same letters are not significantly different ( $P>0.05$ ).

288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306

307  
308  
309  
310

**Table 6:** Effect of storage on pH changes of snail meat

Products	Storage period (days)						
	0	5	10	15	20	25	30
311	7.433 <sup>b</sup>	7.989 <sup>a</sup>	8.278 <sup>d</sup>	9.385 <sup>b</sup>	9.778 <sup>a</sup>	8.333 <sup>a</sup>	8.120 <sup>b</sup>
312	6.500 <sup>e</sup>	7.122 <sup>c</sup>	7.557 <sup>gh</sup>	7.945 <sup>ef</sup>	8.635 <sup>c</sup>	6.813 <sup>c</sup>	6.603 <sup>d</sup>
412	5.767 <sup>g</sup>	6.233 <sup>f</sup>	6.697 <sup>j</sup>	6.977 <sup>i</sup>	7.837 <sup>f</sup>	6.313 <sup>f</sup>	6.266 <sup>g</sup>
512	6.400 <sup>e</sup>	6.978 <sup>d</sup>	7.540 <sup>h</sup>	7.782 <sup>fg</sup>	8.130 <sup>de</sup>	6.630 <sup>d</sup>	6.496 <sup>c</sup>
SEM	0.0417		0.0801		0.0096		

311 Means within storage day bracket having same superscript along the row and down  
312 the column are not significantly different (P>0.05).

313 311= unseasoned fried

314 312=seasoned fried

315 412=seasoned oven-dried

316 512=seasoned smoke-dried

317  
318  
319  
320  
321

**Table 7:** pH Means of Snail Meat Products (storage conditions and storage days)

Storage Condition	Storage period (days)						
	0	5	10	15	20	25	30
Room (28.5°C)	6.525 <sup>c</sup>	7.883 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	-	-	-
Fridge (9.5°C)	6.525 <sup>c</sup>	6.708 <sup>b</sup>	8.285 <sup>c</sup>	9.168 <sup>b</sup>	9.932 <sup>a</sup>	-	-
Freezer (-12.5°C)	6.525 <sup>c</sup>	6.650 <sup>b</sup>	6.751 <sup>c</sup>	6.876 <sup>c</sup>	7.257 <sup>d</sup>	7.022	6.8717
SEM	0.0361		0.0567		0.0048		

322 Means within storage day bracket having same superscript along the row and down the  
 323 column are not significantly different (P>0.05).

324  
 325  
 326  
 327

**Table 8:** pH Means of Snail Meat Products (Processing methods and storage conditions)

Products	Fridge (9.5°C)	Freezer (-12.5°C)	Room (28.5°C)
0-5days			
311	7.517 <sup>b</sup>	7.483 <sup>bc</sup>	8.133 <sup>a</sup>
312	6.533 <sup>e</sup>	6.550 <sup>e</sup>	7.350 <sup>c</sup>
412	5.817 <sup>h</sup>	5.817 <sup>h</sup>	6.183 <sup>f</sup>
512	6.417 <sup>e</sup>	6.500 <sup>e</sup>	7.150 <sup>d</sup>
SEM	0.0511	0.0511	0.511
10-20days			
311	10.208 <sup>a</sup>	8.087 <sup>d</sup>	-
312	9.267 <sup>b</sup>	6.824 <sup>e</sup>	-
412	8.162 <sup>d</sup>	6.178 <sup>f</sup>	-
512	8.878 <sup>c</sup>	6.757 <sup>e</sup>	-
SEM	0.0654	0.0654	-
25-30days			
311	-	8.227 <sup>a</sup>	-
312	-	6.708 <sup>b</sup>	-
412	-	6.290 <sup>d</sup>	-
512	-	6.563 <sup>c</sup>	-
SEM	0.0068	-	-

328 Means within storage day bracket having same superscript along the row and  
 329 down the column are not significantly different (P>0.05).

330 311= unseasoned fried  
 331 312=seasoned fried  
 332 412=seasoned oven-dried  
 333 512=seasoned smoke-dried

334  
 335  
 336  
 337  
 338  
 339  
 340  
 341  
 342  
 343  
 344  
 345  
 346

347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352

**Table 9:** pH Means of Snail Meat Products (Processing methods, storage days and storage conditions).

Products	Storage conditions	Storage period(days)						
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30
311	Freezer(-12.5 <sup>0</sup> C)	7.433 <sup>d</sup>	7.533 <sup>d</sup>	7.703 <sup>gh</sup>	7.903 <sup>g</sup>	8.653 <sup>ef</sup>	8.333 <sup>a</sup>	8.120 <sup>b</sup>
312	Freezer(-12.5 <sup>0</sup> C)	6.500 <sup>e</sup>	6.600 <sup>e</sup>	6.670 <sup>i</sup>	6.867 <sup>i</sup>	6.937 <sup>i</sup>	6.813 <sup>c</sup>	6.603 <sup>d</sup>
412	Freezer(-12.5 <sup>0</sup> C)	5.767 <sup>g</sup>	5.867 <sup>g</sup>	5.933 <sup>j</sup>	6.027 <sup>j</sup>	6.573 <sup>i</sup>	6.313 <sup>f</sup>	6.266 <sup>g</sup>
512	Freezer(-12.5 <sup>0</sup> C)	6.400 <sup>ef</sup>	6.600 <sup>e</sup>	6.697 <sup>i</sup>	6.707 <sup>i</sup>	6.867 <sup>i</sup>	6.630 <sup>d</sup>	6.496 <sup>e</sup>
311	Fridge(9.5 <sup>0</sup> C)	7.433 <sup>d</sup>	7.600 <sup>d</sup>	8.853 <sup>de</sup>	10.867 <sup>a</sup>	10.903 <sup>a</sup>	-	-
312	Fridge(9.5 <sup>0</sup> C)	6.500 <sup>e</sup>	6.567 <sup>e</sup>	8.443 <sup>f</sup>	9.023 <sup>d</sup>	10.333 <sup>b</sup>	-	-
412	Fridge(9.5 <sup>0</sup> C)	5.767 <sup>g</sup>	6.233 <sup>f</sup>	7.460 <sup>h</sup>	7.927 <sup>g</sup>	9.100 <sup>cd</sup>	-	-
512	Fridge(9.5 <sup>0</sup> C)	6.400 <sup>ef</sup>	6.433 <sup>ef</sup>	8.383 <sup>f</sup>	8.857 <sup>de</sup>	9.393 <sup>c</sup>	-	-
311	Room(28.5 <sup>0</sup> C)	7.433 <sup>d</sup>	8.833 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	-	-	-
312	Room(28.5 <sup>0</sup> C)	6.500 <sup>e</sup>	8.200 <sup>b</sup>	-	-	-	-	-
412	Room(28.5 <sup>0</sup> C)	5.767 <sup>g</sup>	6.600 <sup>e</sup>	-	-	-	-	-
512	Room(28.5 <sup>0</sup> C)	6.400 <sup>ef</sup>	7.900 <sup>c</sup>	-	-	-	-	-
SEM		0.0722		0.1133			0.0096	

353 Means within storage day bracket having same superscript along the row and down the  
354 column are not significantly different (P>0.05).  
355 311= unseasoned fried  
356 312=seasoned fried  
357 412=seasoned oven-dried  
358 512=seasoned smoke-dried  
359  
360  
361

### 362 CONCLUSION

363  
364 The various processing methods caused reduction in the moisture content of products  
365 particularly oven-drying. The high protein value in seasoned smoke-dried product demonstrated  
366 that smoke component has preservative influence because of the polyphenols which has  
367 antimicrobial properties. All the seasoned products had low pH values than the unseasoned  
368 product and this could be ascribed to the effect of the seasonings. The pH of the product under  
369 refrigeration condition was lower than product under room storage but higher than product under  
370 freezer storage. For extended shelf life and increase in nutritive component of snail meat there  
371 should be a combined effect of seasonings, smoke-drying and cold storage.  
372  
373

## REFERENCES

1. Onyeike EN, and Oguike JU. Influence of heat processing methods on the nutrient composition and lipid characterization of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) seed pastes. *Biokemistri*. 2003;15(1):34-43
2. Ojiako OA, Ogbuji CA, Agha NC, Onwuliri AVA. The proximate, mineral and toxicant composition of four possible food security crops from southern Nigeria. *J. Med. Food*.2010;13 (5): 1203-1209
3. Iwanegbe I, Igene JO, Emelue GU, Obaroakpo JU. Effect of processing, storage days and storage temperatures on lipid oxidation and palatability processed snail meat products. *Asian Food Science Journal*. 2019;6(2) 1-12
4. Ezeama CF, Keke E, Nwachukwu E. Influence of brime treatment, drying methods and storage conditions on the microbial quality of freshwater snail (*Lanistes libycus*) meat. *Nig Food J*. 2007; 25:101-111.
5. AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists) Official Method of Analysis, 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. 2000.
6. Okonkwo TM, and Anyaene LU. Meat Yield and the Effects of Curing on the Characteristics of Snail Meat . *J. of Tropical Agriculture, Food, Environment and Extension*. 2009; Vol. 8 (1) 66-73.
7. Omoyakhi JM, and Osinowo OA. Modification of some biochemical activities response to transition of giant African land snails. *Archachatina marginata* and *Achatina achatina* from aestivation to an Active state .*Archives of Applied Science Research*. 2010; 2(3): 53 – 60.
8. Akhter S, Rahaam M, Hossain MM, and Hashern MA. (2009). Effect of drying as Preservation techniques on nutrient content of beef. *J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ*.2009; 7(1) 163 –168.
9. Iwanegbe I, Obaroakpo JU, Suleiman M. Effect of processing and storage methods on water content and activity of snail meat products. *The Nigerian journal of Agric. And Forrestry*. 2018;6 (1)59-70
10. Chima JU, and Akobundu ENT. Proximate composition of processed freshwater snail (*Pilaovata*) meat as affected by salting, fermentation and frying. *Journal Agric Environ*.2010;12(2); 150 -156.
11. Adegbite JA, Sanni LO, Osinowo OA. Comparative Evaluation of Chemical and Sensory Properties of *Achatinaachatina* and *Archachatina marginata*. *Assert an international Journal*.Asset Series A. 2006; 6(2):1-6.
12. Engman FN, Ellis WO, Dzagbefia VP, Yong – Kim M, Abano E, and Owusu J. A Comparative study of three drying methods for preservation of the giant African snail (*Achatina achatina*) meat. *African Journal of food science* 2012; 6(14) pp 392 – 400.
13. Oduro W, Ellis WO, Oduro I and Tetteh D. Meat yield and quality of selected snail species in Ghana, *Journal of Ghana Science Association*.2002; 4(2) 24-30.
14. Adeola AJ, Adeyemo AI, Ogunjobi JA, Alaye SA and Adelokun KM. (2010). Effect of natural and concentrate diets on proximate composition and sensory properties of Giant Land Snail (*Archachatina marginata*) Meat. *Journal of Applied Science in Environment Sanitation* 2010; 5 No 2, Pp 185 -189.
15. Kalio GA, Godfrey AK, Ibisime E. Nutritionaland sensory profiling of theAfrican Giant land snail fed commercial type and leaf based diets in a rain-forest ecology. *Journal of Food, Agric. Nutri. and Development*. 2011; 11(5)
16. Wosu LO. Commercial Snail Farming in West African – A Guide Ap Express

423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441

- Publishers, Nsukka – Nigeria. 2003
17. Fagbuaro O, Oso JA, Edward JB, Ogunleye RF (2006). Nutritional Status of Four Species of Giant Land Snails. Nigeria J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. 2006; 7(9): 686– 689.
  18. Kiers JL, Van-Laeken AEA, Rombout FM, and Nout MJR. In vitro Digestibility of Bacillus Fermented Soya Bean. Int. J. Food Microbiol 2000; 60 :163 – 169.

UNDER PEER REVIEW