

Integrated Nutrient Management of rice soil in hilly region of Meghalaya, India

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to study the effect of integrated use of inorganic fertilizers (S and Zn coupled with recommended doses of NPK) in conjunction with organic manure (FYM) on availability of different macro and micro elements including organic carbon in a hilly soil of Meghalaya, India. Two Field trials were conducted consecutively for 2 years (2013-14 and 2014-15) with Rice variety Arize-6444 at Nongpoh village in Ri-Bhoi District of Meghalaya to fulfil the objectives of the experiment. The field experiment was conducted following Randomized Block Design. Altogether 11 treatments with 3 replications were included in the experiment. Results revealed that irrespective of treatments, exchangeable NH_4^+ , soluble NO_3^- , available P_2O_5 and K_2O decreased with the period of crop growth. However, changes in organic C in soil showed an opposite trend of results. Regardless of treatments, organic C content enhanced with increment in the age of rice crop. Among the treatment combinations, significantly higher amount of exchangeable NH_4^+ , soluble NO_3^- , available P_2O_5 and K_2O is accumulated in the soil which received recommended doses of N, P and K along with FYM at 10 tonnes ha^{-1} as well as 40 kg S ha^{-1} and 5 kg Zn ha^{-1} (T_8). Results further pointed out that balanced fertilization increased availability of nutrients (particularly N, P and K) which maintained throughout the cropping season of rice. However, SO_4^{2-} -S content reduced with crop duration. No drastic variation is observed in DTPA-extractable Zn content in soil is observed over the whole cropping season of rice. Incorporation of FYM in the treatment combinations improved and maintained available nutrients including organic C in soil particularly in the 2nd season of rice cultivation.

Keywords: Integrated Nutrient Management, Organic Carbon, Available macro and micro nutrients, rice crop, hilly soil

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice is the main food crop of the state of Meghalaya which accounts for about 60% of the cultivable area. But the state productivity is still quite low (below 2.0 t ha^{-1}) compared to the overall Indian average productivity (2.85 t ha^{-1}) [1].

Sulphur (S) is involved in some amino acid synthesis, enzymatic activities in plants. It is important for chlorophyll formation and nitrogen metabolism. Zinc activates some enzymes for the synthesis of certain proteins. It plays an essential role in DNA transcription and starch to sugar conversion. It also helps plants to withstand very low temperatures. It has been reported by a number of workers [2-3], that some of the plant nutrients (especially S and Zn) are becoming deficient in hilly regions of Indian soils. To overcome that lack of S and Zn (including other nutrients), integrated and balanced application of these nutrients through fertilizer materials are required beside appropriate amount of organic manures which in turn will not only enhance fertility status of the soil but also increase the yield and quality parameters of crops [4-5].

The present investigation was, therefore, carried out to study the changes in different available nutrients including organic carbon in soil treated with different combinations of S and Zn as well as recommended doses of inorganic and organic fertilizers using rice as a test crop.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Field experiments with rice were conducted successively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) in a farmer's field situated at Nongpoh in Ri-Bhoi district of Meghalaya. Nongpoh is located at 25.90° N latitude and 91.77° E longitude. It has an average elevation of 485 metres. The field was generally cultivated with rice crop.

Composite soil sample (0-15cm depth) was collected from the experimental field before the start of experiment. The collected soil sample was air-dried, ground and passed through 0.5mm sieve. Then the soil sample was analysed for different physical, chemical and physico-chemical properties and the results are presented in Table 1. The field experiments were conducted following simple Randomized Block Design. Rice variety Arize-6444 was selected for the experimentation purpose. The plot size was 4m x 2m. Spacing of 25cm x 25cm was maintained. 30 days old rice seedlings raised in seedling bed were transplanted in line sowing with three plants hill⁻¹. Altogether 11 treatments were adopted to study the effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) practices. All treatments were replicated thrice. The treatments are:

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= \text{Control} \\ T_1 &= \text{N:P}_2\text{O}_5\text{:K}_2\text{O} \\ T_2 &= T_1 + \text{FYM} \\ T_3 &= T_2 + \text{Zn}_1 \\ T_4 &= T_2 + \text{Zn}_2 \\ T_5 &= T_2 + \text{S}_1 \\ T_6 &= T_2 + \text{S}_2 \\ T_7 &= T_3 + \text{S}_1 \\ T_8 &= T_3 + \text{S}_2 \\ T_9 &= T_4 + \text{S}_1 \\ T_{10} &= T_4 + \text{S}_2 \end{aligned}$$

[Where, N:P₂O₅:K₂O = N:P₂O₅:K₂O at 80:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ as Urea, Single Super Phosphate (SSP) and Muriate of Potash (MOP) respectively, FYM = 10 t FYM ha⁻¹, Zn₁ = 5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ as Zn-EDTA; Zn₂ = 10 kg Zn ha⁻¹ as Zn-EDTA; S₁ = 20 kg S ha⁻¹ as elemental S; S₂ = 40 kg S ha⁻¹ as elemental S]

FYM was applied during land preparation which corresponds to 25th day before the transplanting. Full dose of P and K and half dose of N fertilizers were incorporated as basal application. The rest half of N was applied in two split doses at tillering and flowering stages of the crop. S and Zn were applied as basal along with N, P and K fertilizers. The rice crop was raised with best possible management practices. Rhizosphere soil samples were collected at tillering, flowering and harvesting stages of rice and were analyzed for oxidizable organic carbon, exchangeable NH₄⁺, soluble NO₃⁻, available P₂O₅, available K₂O, available S and DTPA-extractable Zn.

Statistical analysis of the data was done by using SPSS software (SPSS 20, 2011) following methods meant for Randomized Block Design (RBD). The mean values (from 3 replications) were subjected of Post-Hoc test like CD (Critical difference) test at 5% level of significance and calculation of SEm (Standard error of mean).

Table 1. Physical, chemical and physico-chemical properties of the initial soil samples collected from the experimental field

Parameters	Values	Methods adopted
pH	5.19 (Soil: water=1:2.5) 4.76 (Soil:CaCl ₂ =1:2.5)	Glass electrode pH meter [6]
Electrical conductivity	0.10dSm ⁻¹ (at 25°C)	Electrical Conductivity Meter [6]
Oxidizable organic carbon	0.93%	Wet digestion method [7]
Cation Exchange Capacity	10.70(C mol p ⁺ kg ⁻¹)	Ammonium Acetate Leaching [8]
Mechanical Separates		
Sand	48.56 %	Hydrometer Method [9]
Silt	22.00 %	
Clay	29.44 %	
Textural class	Sandy clay loam	ISSS system (Soil textural triangle)
Water Holding Capacity	44.06 %	Keen Rackzaw Ski [10]
Exchangeable NH ₄ ⁺	107.70 kg ha ⁻¹	Bremner and Keeney's Method [11]
Soluble NO ₃ ⁻	25.28 kg ha ⁻¹	

Available P ₂ O ₅	23.66 kg ha ⁻¹	Spectro photometer [12]
Available K ₂ O	305.80 kg ha ⁻¹	Flame photometry with Ammonium acetate [13]
Available S	10.50 kg ha ⁻¹	Turbidimetric method with CaCl ₂ and nephelometer [14]
DTPA-extractable Zn	0.33mg Kg ⁻¹	DTPA extraction and atomic absorption spectrophotometer [15]

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 CHANGES IN THE OXIDIZABLE ORGANIC CARBON CONTENT

Results revealed that, irrespective of treatments and years of experimentation, organic carbon content **was** with duration of crop growth (Table 2). The increment in organic carbon content with time is due to slow decomposition of organic matter i.e. FYM. Maximum quantity of organic carbon **was** accumulated in T₈ treatment. Comparatively **larger** amount of organic carbon **was noticed** in the 2nd year of experimentation. Continuous application of fertilizer nutrients in conjunction with FYM markedly enhanced the soil organic carbon from 0.93 to 1.68 %. The results further pointed out that addition of sulphur and Zn along with recommended doses of N, P and K coupled with FYM increased organic carbon content in soil. Some researchers [16-17] also reported earlier that organic carbon in soil **was improved** with the application of N, P, K and FYM. Statistical analysis revealed that T₈ treatment is highly significant in comparison to control. It is noteworthy to mention that addition of 10 kg ha⁻¹ Zn has failed to **enrich** organic carbon content in soil.

Table 2. Changes in the amount (g 100g⁻¹) of organic C in soil at different growth stages of rice consecutively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) under different treatment combinations

Treatments	Different growth stages of rice					
	Tillering		Flowering		Harvesting	
	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15
T ₀ = Control	0.87	0.79	0.96	0.91	1.02	1.03
T ₁ = N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O	0.89	0.94	1.07	1.08	1.43	1.44
T ₂ = T ₁ + FYM	1.02	1.05	1.16	1.17	1.46	1.48
T ₃ = T ₂ + Zn ₁	1.06	1.07	1.22	1.23	1.56	1.58
T ₄ = T ₂ + Zn ₂	1.09	1.05	1.29	1.30	1.56	1.58
T ₅ = T ₂ + S ₁	1.11	1.10	1.36	1.37	1.57	1.59
T ₆ = T ₂ + S ₂	1.23	1.23	1.40	1.42	1.62	1.64
T ₇ = T ₃ + S ₁	1.34	1.34	1.45	1.47	1.62	1.64
T ₈ = T ₃ + S ₂	1.56	1.55	1.53	1.54	1.66	1.68
T ₉ = T ₄ + S ₁	1.09	1.09	1.34	1.35	1.56	1.58
T ₁₀ = T ₄ + S ₂	0.89	0.89	1.29	1.30	1.51	1.53
CD(P=0.05)	0.12	0.12	0.05	0.05	0.30	0.30
SEm (±)	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.10	0.10

[Where, N:P₂O₅:K₂O = N:P₂O₅:K₂O at 80:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ as Urea, SSP and MOP; FYM = 10 t FYM ha⁻¹; Zn₁ = 5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ as Zn-EDTA; Zn₂ = 10 kg Zn ha⁻¹ as Zn-EDTA; S₁ = 20 kg S ha⁻¹ as elemental S; S₂ = 40 kg S ha⁻¹ as elemental S]

3.2 CHANGES IN THE EXCHANGEABLE NH_4^+ CONTENT

Regardless of treatments, in general, exchangeable NH_4^+ in soil was reduced from the tillering to harvesting stage of rice in the 1st year (Table 3). On the other hand, in the 2nd year, notwithstanding treatments, exchangeable NH_4^+ was found to decrease at flowering but again increased at harvesting stage of rice. The decline in exchangeable NH_4^+ with duration of crop growth in the 1st year is due to its utilization by the growing crop [18] as well as conversion to NO_3^- form of N [19] and loss through volatilization process [20]. Same explanation can be furnished for the observed decrease in exchangeable NH_4^+ up to flowering stage of rice in the 2nd year of experiment (Table 3). The escalation of exchangeable NH_4^+ at harvest particularly in the FYM treated plots is due to the mineralisation of FYM and accumulation of exchangeable NH_4^+ in soils after meeting the crop requirement (Kanaujia, 2016) [18]. Increment of exchangeable NH_4^+ at harvest was more in the plots which have received both S and Zn accompanied with recommended doses of N, P, K and FYM. Balanced fertilization encouraged micro-organisms to proliferate [21] and in turn intensified exchangeable NH_4^+ content in these treated plots.

Table 3. Changes in the amount (kg ha^{-1}) of exchangeable NH_4^+ in soil at different growth stages of rice consecutively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) under different treatment combinations

Treatments	Different growth stages of rice					
	Tillering		Flowering		Harvesting	
	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15
T ₀ = Control	107.60	108.68	124.80	125.36	103.30	208.03
T ₁ =N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O	204.67	208.62	173.90	1120.00	161.67	325.17
T ₂ = T ₁ + FYM	205.50	207.05	190.13	191.38	168.80	344.14
T ₃ = T ₂ + Zn ₁	216.00	218.16	196.00	197.29	170.73	347.43
T ₄ = T ₂ + Zn ₂	217.10	221.70	201.57	202.95	173.87	354.08
T ₅ = T ₂ + S ₁	224.87	227.50	218.43	219.84	185.07	371.79
T ₆ = T ₂ + S ₂	225.33	228.26	235.33	236.86	186.03	372.76
T ₇ = T ₃ + S ₁	228.30	232.50	241.50	242.90	200.53	402.02
T ₈ = T ₃ + S ₂	230.07	231.39	247.87	249.52	206.13	414.12
T ₉ = T ₄ + S ₁	218.53	220.69	209.67	211.12	184.83	368.68
T ₁₀ = T ₄ + S ₂	217.40	218.97	206.00	207.37	180.57	363.81
CD(P=0.05)	4.11	4.14	13.99	14.69	6.20	6.07
SEm(±)	1.38	1.39	4.71	4.94	2.08	2.04

[Where, N:P₂O₅:K₂O = N:P₂O₅:K₂O at 80:60:40 kg ha^{-1} as Urea, SSP and MOP; FYM = 10 t FYM ha^{-1} ; Zn₁ = 5 kg Zn ha^{-1} as Zn-EDTA; Zn₂ = 10 kg Zn ha^{-1} as Zn-EDTA; S₁ = 20 kg S ha^{-1} as elemental S; S₂ = 40 kg S ha^{-1} as elemental S]

Statistical analysis of the data revealed that treatment T₈ is highly significant with respect to control in terms of exchangeable NH_4^+ build-up over the whole period of crop growth. Thus, it is clear from the results (Table 3) that application of S at 40 kg ha^{-1} and Zn at 5 kg ha^{-1} along with recommended doses of N, P and K with FYM at 5 tonnes ha^{-1} retained highest amount of exchangeable NH_4^+ in soil cropped continuously for two years with rice.

3.3 CHANGES IN THE SOLUBLE NO_3^- CONTENT

Results further showed that, soluble NO_3^- tended to decrease in both the years over the whole growing period of rice (Table 4). Comparatively, Larger quantity of NO_3^- -N was observed in soils which received combined application of S and Zn added with recommended doses of N, P and K as well as FYM. Results further showed that significantly higher amount of NO_3^- -N was found in soil treated with S at 40 kg ha^{-1} and Zn at 5 kg ha^{-1} along with recommended doses of N, P and K as well as FYM at 5 t ha^{-1} (T_8). This increment is due to the conversion of exchangeable NH_4^+ to NO_3^- by nitrifying bacteria whose proliferation and activities were at the peak under balanced fertilization system. The present finding is at par with earlier work carried out by Balasubramanian and Palaniappan (1991) [22]. Closer examination of the data in Table 4 further revealed that the reduction in NO_3^- -N over the whole cropping season of rice is around 10 kg ha^{-1} in T_8 treatment whereas, the depletion in NO_3^- -N is more marked in other treatment combinations adopted in the experiment. The decrease in NO_3^- -N is due to its utilization by the growing rice crop. However, the accumulation of NO_3^- -N is highest in T_8 treatment due to balanced nutrition.

Table 4. Changes in the amount (kg ha^{-1}) of soluble NO_3^- in soil at different growth stages of rice consecutively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) under different treatment combinations

Treatments	Different growth stages of rice					
	Tillering		Flowering		Harvesting	
	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15
T_0 = Control	25.60	25.50	23.76	24.26	18.52	18.76
T_1 = N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O	31.00	30.78	27.50	28.08	22.40	22.63
T_2 = T_1 + FYM	37.90	37.63	28.13	28.73	22.40	22.70
T_3 = T_2 + Zn ₁	43.30	42.99	32.27	32.92	22.63	22.94
T_4 = T_2 + Zn ₂	44.00	43.71	33.57	34.24	24.80	25.13
T_5 = T_2 + S ₁	47.33	47.00	33.90	34.58	29.83	30.24
T_6 = T_2 + S ₂	49.30	48.94	33.93	34.62	31.50	31.94
T_7 = T_3 + S ₁	49.50	49.14	44.80	45.70	33.60	34.05
T_8 = T_3 + S ₂	56.00	55.63	50.53	51.51	44.80	45.40
T_9 = T_4 + S ₁	45.20	44.91	33.63	34.31	28.20	28.59
T_{10} = T_4 + S ₂	45.13	44.85	33.63	34.31	26.87	27.24
CD(P=0.05)	2.37	2.35	5.10	5.09	1.26	1.33
SEm(±)	0.79	0.79	1.71	1.71	0.42	0.45

[Where, N:P₂O₅:K₂O = N:P₂O₅:K₂O at 80:60:40 kg ha^{-1} as Urea, SSP and MOP; FYM = 10 t FYM ha^{-1} ; Zn₁ = 5 kg Zn ha^{-1} as Zn-EDTA; Zn₂ = 10 kg Zn ha^{-1} as Zn-EDTA; S₁ = 20 kg S ha^{-1} as elemental S; S₂ = 40 kg S ha^{-1} as elemental S]

3.4 CHANGES IN THE AVAILABLE P₂O₅ CONTENT

Results in Table 5 revealed that heedless of the treatments and years of experimentation, available P₂O₅ decreased with increase in the period of crop growth of rice. The depletion in available P over the cropping season is due to its utilization by the growing crop [23] as well as its fixation or retention by the soil constituents [24]. Comparatively higher amount of available P is remained in soil system after the harvest which received S at 40 kg ha^{-1} and Zn at 10 kg ha^{-1} in addition to FYM at 5 tonnes ha^{-1} as well as recommended doses of N, P and K fertilizers. Statistical analysis of the results in Table 5 also revealed that significantly higher amount of available P is retained in soil which received both S and Zn accompanied by recommended doses of N, P and K as well as FYM over that of control plots. The elevation in available P in FYM treated systems is due to transformation of organic P to inorganic forms due to production of organic acids [25]. The present results corroborate with the earlier

works carried out by Yaduvanshi (2001) [24] and Tadesseet *al.* (2013) [26]. The results clearly pointed out that balanced fertilization with macro and micro nutrients **intensifies** the available P content in soil [27].

Table 5. Changes in the amount (kg ha⁻¹) of available P₂O₅ in soil at different growth stages of rice consecutively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) under different treatment combinations

Treatments	Different growth stages of rice					
	Tillering		Flowering		Harvesting	
	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15
T ₀ = Control	23.70	24.41	18.66	18.91	16.23	15.81
T ₁ = N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O	28.53	29.38	21.46	21.72	17.66	17.18
T ₂ = T ₁ + FYM	29.78	30.67	21.70	21.97	18.02	17.53
T ₃ = T ₂ + Zn ₁	30.34	31.25	21.74	22.01	18.09	17.60
T ₄ = T ₂ + Zn ₂	30.72	31.64	22.46	22.74	19.08	18.57
T ₅ = T ₂ + S ₁	33.09	34.08	23.77	24.09	20.29	19.76
T ₆ = T ₂ + S ₂	34.85	35.90	25.22	25.56	21.83	21.99
T ₇ = T ₃ + S ₁	35.93	37.00	25.22	25.56	22.42	22.57
T ₈ = T ₃ + S ₂	37.00	38.11	29.18	29.61	24.34	24.50
T ₉ = T ₄ + S ₁	32.89	33.88	23.51	23.82	19.79	19.93
T ₁₀ = T ₄ + S ₂	32.77	33.12	22.58	22.86	19.63	19.77
CD(P=0.05)	1.02	1.07	2.15	2.28	1.08	1.18
SEm(±)	0.34	0.36	0.72	0.77	0.36	0.39

[Where, N:P₂O₅:K₂O = N:P₂O₅:K₂O at 80:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ as Urea, SSP and MOP; FYM = 10 t FYM ha⁻¹; Zn₁ = 5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ as Zn-EDTA; Zn₂ = 10 kg Zn ha⁻¹ as Zn-EDTA; S₁ = 20 kg S ha⁻¹ as elemental S; S₂ = 40 kg S ha⁻¹ as elemental S]

3.5 CHANGES IN THE AVAILABLE K₂O **CONTENT**

More or less similar trend of results is observed in for available K₂O (Table 6) as was found for available P (Table 5) in soil. The effect of treatment was also same on available K **content** as that of available P in soil. The decrease in available K with increase in the period of crop growth is due to its utilization by rice. Addition of FYM **enriched** the K content in soil over that of control. The build-up of available K due to FYM addition might be due to additional K applied through it. Similar findings were earlier reported by Wahlanget *al.* (2017) [25] and Kanaujia (2016) [17].

Table 6. Changes in the amount (kg ha⁻¹) of available K₂O in soil at different growth stages of rice consecutively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) under different treatment combinations

Treatments	Different growth stages of rice					
	Tillering		Flowering		Harvesting	
	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15
T ₀ = Control	109.33	112.23	60.67	101.42	79.60	78.59
T ₁ = N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O	118.67	121.83	103.00	105.08	85.00	84.72
T ₂ = T ₁ + FYM	126.67	60.07	105.00	105.35	87.33	87.04
T ₃ = T ₂ + Zn ₁	125.00	128.30	107.33	107.63	89.60	91.92
T ₄ = T ₂ + Zn ₂	143.33	147.20	110.00	110.32	94.67	94.35
T ₅ = T ₂ + S ₁	145.00	148.93	112.33	112.83	93.67	93.31

$T_6 = T_2 + S_2$	145.67	149.61	110.00	110.32	98.33	98.12
$T_7 = T_3 + S_1$	150.00	154.00	118.33	118.70	60.00	99.67
$T_8 = T_3 + S_2$	153.33	157.47	125.00	125.62	102.33	101.99
$T_9 = T_4 + S_1$	122.33	125.61	107.33	107.61	95.00	94.70
$T_{10} = T_4 + S_2$	125.00	128.30	101.33	101.67	90.67	90.37
CD(P=0.05)	0.50	0.95	NS	NS	NS	1.31
SEm(±)	3.19	3.35	4.87	4.85	4.53	4.43

[Where, N:P₂O₅:K₂O = N:P₂O₅:K₂O at 80:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ as Urea, SSP and MOP; FYM = 10 t FYM ha⁻¹; Zn₁ = 5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ as Zn-EDTA; Zn₂ = 10 kg Zn ha⁻¹ as Zn-EDTA; S₁ = 20 kg S ha⁻¹ as elemental S; S₂ = 40 kg S ha⁻¹ as elemental S]

3.6 CHANGES IN THE AVAILABLE S CONTENT

Results in Table 7 pointed out that, available S reduced with period of time. This decrease in available S is due to its utilization by the growing rice plants. Furthermore, highest amount of available S was observed in T₈ treatment like that of available N and K. Addition of S at 40 kg ha⁻¹ successively for two years resulted in accumulation of highest amount of available S in this treatment. Perusal of data in Table 7 further revealed that addition of FYM increased S content in soil over that of control. Mineralization of organic S present in FYM also encouraged the build-up of available S pool in FYM treated plots. Thus, it may be said that application of recommended dose of N, P and K along with FYM improves fertility status of soils [28] and addition of S further accentuates available S [29].

Table 7. Changes in the amount (kg ha⁻¹) of available S in soil at different growth stages of rice consecutively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) under different treatment combinations

Treatments	Different growth stages of rice					
	Tillering		Flowering		Harvesting	
	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15
T ₀ = Control	8.01	8.09	7.08	7.30	6.98	6.96
T ₁ = N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O	8.22	8.31	7.72	7.96	7.21	7.18
T ₂ = T ₁ + FYM	9.85	9.95	9.40	9.68	9.01	8.98
T ₃ = T ₂ + Zn ₁	9.85	9.95	9.48	9.76	9.05	9.02
T ₄ = T ₂ + Zn ₂	9.90	10.00	9.63	9.92	9.05	9.02
T ₅ = T ₂ + S ₁	16.72	16.88	15.36	15.82	10.35	10.32
T ₆ = T ₂ + S ₂	18.67	18.85	15.48	15.95	12.45	12.41
T ₇ = T ₃ + S ₁	18.97	19.16	16.07	16.55	12.62	12.58
T ₈ = T ₃ + S ₂	19.02	19.21	16.34	16.83	12.77	12.73
T ₉ = T ₄ + S ₁	16.06	16.22	12.06	12.42	10.29	10.25
T ₁₀ = T ₄ + S ₂	15.24	15.39	12.05	12.41	10.22	10.19
CD(P=0.05)	0.11	0.14	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.09
SEm(±)	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03

[Where, N:P₂O₅:K₂O = N:P₂O₅:K₂O at 80:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ as Urea, SSP and MOP; FYM = 10 t FYM ha⁻¹; Zn₁ = 5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ as Zn-EDTA; Zn₂ = 10 kg Zn ha⁻¹ as Zn-EDTA; S₁ = 20 kg S ha⁻¹ as elemental S; S₂ = 40 kg S ha⁻¹ as elemental S]

3.7 CHANGES IN THE DTPA-EXTRACTABLE ZN CONTENT

No drastic variation in Zn content in soil was observed throughout the growing period of rice (Table 8). However, irrespective of treatments, DTPA-extractable Zn was decreased with the period of crop growth in both the years of experiments. Perusal of the data in Table 8 further revealed that addition of FYM enriched the DTPA-extractable Zn content in soil. This is due to the production of organic acids through decomposition of FYM which in turn increased the availability of Zn in soils [30]. Highest amount of DTPA-extractable Zn was remained in soil which received recommended doses of N, P and K accompanied with FYM as well as S at 40 kg ha⁻¹ and Zn at 5 kg ha⁻¹. Addition of higher amount of Zn (10 kg ha⁻¹) failed to enhance DTPA-extractable Zn to higher order in soil. This is perhaps due to fixation of Zn with organic compounds produced during decomposition of FYM [31]. Statistical analysis of the results revealed that all the treatments differ significantly with respect to accumulation of DTPA-extractable Zn in soil. Results further showed that significantly larger amount of available Zn was maintained in T₈ treatment. It could be concluded that continuous application of mineral fertilizers with FYM helps to build up fertility status of soil. The present trend of results finds support of prior findings [32-33].

Table 8. Changes in the amount (mg kg⁻¹) of DTPA-extractable Zn in soil at different growth stages of rice consecutively for two years (2013-14 and 2014-15) under different treatment combinations

Treatments	Different growth stages of rice					
	Tillering		Flowering		Harvesting	
	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15
T ₀ = Control	0.32	0.34	0.40	0.43	0.27	0.29
T ₁ = N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O	0.40	0.42	0.45	0.48	0.32	0.34
T ₂ = T ₁ + FYM	0.46	0.49	0.53	0.57	0.35	0.37
T ₃ = T ₂ + Zn ₁	0.54	0.58	0.60	0.64	0.41	0.43
T ₄ = T ₂ + Zn ₂	0.58	0.61	0.64	0.69	0.43	0.45
T ₅ = T ₂ + S ₁	0.82	0.87	0.74	0.80	0.55	0.57
T ₆ = T ₂ + S ₂	0.85	0.90	0.79	0.85	0.61	0.64
T ₇ = T ₃ + S ₁	0.91	0.97	0.83	0.89	0.65	0.69
T ₈ = T ₃ + S ₂	0.99	1.05	0.88	0.94	0.70	0.74
T ₉ = T ₄ + S ₁	0.70	0.74	0.70	0.76	0.49	0.51
T ₁₀ = T ₄ + S ₂	0.63	0.67	0.67	0.73	0.44	0.47
CD(P=0.05)	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.09	0.04	0.04
SEm(±)	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01

[Where, N:P₂O₅:K₂O = N:P₂O₅:K₂O at 80:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ as Urea, SSP and MOP; FYM = 10 t FYM ha⁻¹; Zn₁ = 5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ as Zn-EDTA; Zn₂ = 10 kg Zn ha⁻¹ as Zn-EDTA; S₁ = 20 kg S ha⁻¹ as elemental S; S₂ = 40 kg S ha⁻¹ as elemental S]

4. CONCLUSION

Integrated Nutrient Management elevated the organic carbon content in rice soils of hilly regions of Meghalaya. Combined application of both organic and inorganic fertilizers improved the available nutrient content in soil as well as maintain for longer period during a cropping season.

REFERENCES

- Government of Meghalaya Report. Directorate of Agriculture.2013.
- Kour S, Gupta M, Arora S. Soil sulphur status and response of crops in foothill region of J &Journal of Soil and Water Conservation. 2014;13(3):292-296.

- 209
210 3. Singh WJ, Banerjee M, Singh LN. Effect of Sulphur and Zinc on Yield Attributes, Yield and
211 Economics of Rice. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*.
212 2018;7(3):531-537.
213
214 4. Pal RK, Pathak J. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on yield and economics of mustard.
215 *International Journal of Science and Nature*. 2016;7(2):255-261.
216
217 5. Sahu G, Chatterjee N, Ghosh GK. Integrated Nutrient Management in Rice *Oryza sativa*) in Red and
218 Lateritic Soils of West Bengal. *Indian Journal of Ecology*. 2017;44(Special Issue-5):349-354.
219
220 6. Black CA. Method of soil analysis part I and II Am. Soc. Agron. Inc. Madison Wisconsin, USA.1965.
221
222 7. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of wet acid method for determining soil organic matter and a
223 proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*. 1934;37:29-38.
224
225 8. Schollenberger CJ, Simon RH. Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in
226 Soil-Ammonium Acetate Method. *Soil Science*. 1945;59:13-24.
227
228 9. Bouyoucos GJ. Hydrometer method improved for making parking size analysis of soils. *Agron.*
229 *Journal*. 1926;54:4661-4665.
230
231 10. Piper CS. Soil and Plant Analysis: a laboratory manual of methods for the examinations of soils and the
232 determination of the inorganic constituents of plants. University of Adelaide, Adelaide.1942.
233
234 11. Bremner JM, Keeney DR. 1966. Determination of exchangeable ammonia, nitrate and nitrite by
235 extraction distillation methods. *Soil Science Society of America Proceedings*. 1966;30: 577-587.
236
237 12. Bray RH, Kurtz LT. Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil*
238 *Sci*. 1945;59:39-45.
239
240 13. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Printice Hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd., New Delhi.1973.
241
242 14. Chesnin L, Yien CH. Turbidimetric determination of Available Sulphate. *Proc. Soil Sci Am*.
243 1951;15:149-151.
244
245 15. Lindsay WL, Norvell WA. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese, and copper.
246 *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 1978;42:421-428.
247
248 16. Singh R, Chandra S. Performance of basmati rice (*Oryza sativa*)-based cropping systems under
249 different modes of nutrient management. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2011;81. 336-339.
250
251 17. Kanaujia VK. Effect of FYM and fertilizers Nutrition on Production Potential, Nutrients Uptake and
252 Soil properties under Rice-Wheat cropping system. *Journal of Agri Search*. 2016;3(2): 101-105.
253
254 18. Bhanuvally M, Ramesha YM, Murthy DK, Yogeeshappa H. Grain Yield and Nutrient Uptake of Direct
255 Seeded Rice as Influenced by Application of Micronutrients. *International Journal of Current*
256 *Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017;6(12): 935-941.
257 19. Singh G, Singh V, Singh O, Singh RK. Production potential of various cropping systems in flood
258 prone areas of Eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 1997;42(1):9-12.
259
260 20. Rao PSC, Jessup RE, Reddy KR. Simulation of nitrogen dynamics in flooded soils. *Journal. Soil Sci*.
261 1984;138 (1):54-62.
262

- 263 21. Kumar S, Patra AK, Singh D, Purakayastha TJ, Rosin KG, Kumar M. Balanced Fertilization along
264 with Farmyard Manures Enhances Abundance of Microbial Groups and Their Resistance and
265 Resilience against Heat Stress in a Semi-arid Inceptisol, Communications in Soil Science and Plant
266 Analysis. 2013;44(15):2299-2313.
267
- 268 22. Balasubramanian P, Palaniappan SP. Effect of high density and fertilizer rate on growth and yield of
269 lowland rice. Indian J. Agron. 1991;36(1):10-13.
270
- 271 23. Bhatnagar VK, Kundu S, Ved PK. Effect of long term manuring and fertilization on soil physical
272 properties under soybean-wheat cropping sequence. Indian Journal Agril. Sciences. 1992;62:212-4.
273
- 274 24. Yaduvanshi NPS. Effect of five years of rice-wheat cropping and NPK fertilizer use with and without
275 organic and green manures on soil properties and crop yields in a reclaimed sodic soil. Journal
276 Indian Society Soil Science. 2001;49(4):714-719.
277
- 278 25. Wahlang B, Das A, Layek J, Ramkrushna GI, Babu S. Soil and Crop Productivity of Lowland Rice as
279 Influenced by Establishment and Nutrient Management Practices. Indian Journal of Hill Farming.
280 2017;30(1):116-124.
281
- 282 26. Tadesse T, Dechassa N, Bayu W, Gebeyehu S. Effects of Farmyard Manure and Inorganic Fertilizer
283 Application on Soil Physico-Chemical Properties and Nutrient Balance in Rain-Fed Lowland Rice
284 Ecosystem. American Journal of Plant Sciences. 2013;4:309-316.
285
- 286 27. Singh PK, Bharadwaj V. Effect of different nutrient levels on yield and yield attributes of hybrid and
287 inbred rice varieties. Oryza. 2008;44(2):137-139.
288
- 289 28. Ali RI, Awan TH, Ahmad MM, Saleem U, Akhtar M. Diversification of rice-based cropping systems to
290 improve soil fertility, sustainable productivity and economics. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences.
291 2012;22(1):108-112.
292
- 293 29. Singh AK, Bhushan M, Meena MK, Upadhyaya A. Effect of Sulphur and Zinc on Rice Performance
294 and Nutrient Dynamics in Plants and Soil of Indo Gangetic Plains. Journal of Agricultural Science.
295 2012;4(11): 162-170.
296
- 297 30. Chaudhary, SK, Thakur SK, Pandey AK. Response of wetland rice to nitrogen and zinc. Oryza.
298 2007;44(1), 31-34.
299
- 300 31. Charati A, Malakouti MJ. Effect of zinc and cadmium concentrations on the rates of their composition,
301 and feed nutritional quality for swine. J. Prod. Agric. 2006;11:180–184.
302
- 303 32. Singh AK, Meena MK, Bharati RC. Sulphur and Zinc Nutrient Management in rice-lentil cropping
304 system. International Conference on “Life Science Research for Rural and Agricultural Science of
305 Food and Agriculture”. 2011;90:2440-2446.
306
- 307 33. Muthukumararaja TM, Sriramachandrasekharan MV. Effect of zinc on yield, zinc nutrition and zinc
308 use efficiency of lowland rice. Journal of Agril. Tech. 2012;8:551-561.