

Case study

Methanol Toxicity Presenting as Acute Abdomen ;Case Report .

Abstract

Background; Acute methanol poisoning is a fatal illness. Several Atypical presentations could make it difficult to suspect diagnosis.

Case report: A 50 years old male known chronic alcoholic presented to emergency department with severe acuteabdominal pain nausea and vomiting. He rapidly deteriorated within 2 hours to develop confusion and seizures, was found to have double gap severe metabolic acidosis and elevated serum methanol. Patient was managed with Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy (CRRT) and supportive measures. He gradually improved and was discharged with no neurological or visual complications.

Conclusion and Recommendations; Acute methanol toxicity should be expected if alcoholic patient develops a rapid neurological deterioration and shows double anion gap acidosis.

Health authorities should provide the serum formic acid test for diagnosis and the fomepizole as a preferable anti dote . Until then, supportive treatment,intravenous ethanol and CRRT should be immediately started in these patients.

Key words ; Methanol toxicity . Contineous Renal Replacement Treatment . Double anion gap acidosis .

Background ;

Also known as wood alcohol, methanol is a component of washing fluids, antifreeze formulations, photocopying fluids, perfumes, and paint removers [1]. Methanol is a clear, colorless solvent used in anti-freeze solutions, varnishes, paint, and fuel. Methanol poisoning may occur due to accidental or suicidal ingestion of these, or it may occur due to consumption of adulterated alcoholic beverages. The lethal dose of methanol is around 1 g/kg [2]. Methanol by itself is largely non-toxic and toxicity results due to its metabolism into formaldehyde and formic acid in the liver. This also accounts for the time lag between substance ingestion and clinical manifestation which is considered to be around 6-24 h. Patient may present with non-specific symptoms as nausea, vomiting, headache, dizziness, and weakness. Clinically, the patient may present with several complications like high anion gap metabolic acidosis, visual disturbances, and neurologic deficit. If not treated early, the patient may develop coma and death can occur [3].

Case report ;

50 years old male , heavy smoker, and alcoholic for 10 years , has history of hypertension and was on no regular treatments , with no other chronic illnesses or drug intake . The patient Presented to O Hud hospital emergency room (ER) with a complaint of severe epigastric pain, repeated vomiting for 2 days. There was no history of fever, hematuria , seizures, weakness, decreased sensations, or visual changes . At first on presentation to ER , the patient was fully Conscious, oriented, alert, GCS 15/15, temp.: 36.7 C , pulse 84 bpm , BP 187/127mmhg and SpO2 was 99% on room air. Chest , cardiovascular and neurological examinations were all normal . abdominal examination revealed Moderate epigastric tenderness . His complete blood count on presentation was; WBC 9.52 RBC 12.4 HB 15.7 PLAT 256 . Biochemistry: Alp 157, Ck 219, AST 162 ALT 170 ALKP 157 GGT 256 ALB 40.1 bil 11.9 . Random blood sugar was RBS 227mg/dl and serum Amylase was 245 mg/dl . Kidney function test showed blood urea nitrogen of 1.9 mmol/L, creatinine of 132 mmol/L, serum sodium 144 mmol/L, and potassium 5.2mmol/L. Arterial blood gas revealed pH of 7.17, bicarbonate of 9.9 mmol/L, and paCo2 of 19.5 mm Hg. Anion gap was 15 mmol/L , osmolal gap was 47 mmol/kg (table 1). ECG was normal. ECHO: good systolic function, mild concentric LVH, normal right side, no pericardial effusion . Thyroid Function Test , Troponin and cardiac enzymes were normal . The Surgeon revised the patient after 2h for the clinical possibility of acute pancreatitis by that time Patient became tachypneic and tachycardic PR: 130 B/m, RR: 30 B/m, SpO2: 100% room air , U/S abdomen was done and revealed no gall stones but pancreas was not seen , so C-T abdomen was arranged but Patient rapidly deteriorated and became confused GCS: 12/15, PR: 167bpm, RR: 40bpm, BP: 210/109mmhg. He was immediately managed by IV thiamin 100mg , Tri B amp. (4 amp) IM and Na HCO3 infusion , Intubated , ventilated and transferred to intensive care unit . serum methanol levels were sent which were high with value of 54mmol/L and Serum Ethanol level was 15 mmol/L , it was revealed later that the patient drank ethanol and methanol together . Serum formic acid test could not be done in our laboratory . 4 hours later while in ICU , the patient developed acute generalized seizure that was aborted by propofol and dormicum . He had another repeated seizure 2 h later and was also aborted by dormicum infusion . CT Brain was done and reported to be normal . Ophthalmologist reported no optic neuropathy . Venous blood gases test (VBG) showed improvement of acidosis after Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy (CRRT) pH: 7.46, pCO2: 22.5, HCO3: 15.8 . The patient gradually stabilized and improved (table 1) . He was extubated on the third day of admission and transferred to the general medical ward and was discharged after another 2 days . He was back to his normal status with no neurological deficits .

Discussion

Methanol toxicity effect is caused by its metabolite formic acid. Formic acid inhibits the cytochrome oxidase system resulting in reduced ATP production which eventually causes tissue hypoxia and increased serum lactate

[4]. The main source of methanol in our patient was ingestion of cologne with a methanol concentration of 65%. As there is a latent period between methanol ingestion and clinical manifestations which is the time needed for accumulation of formic acid, our patient did not show typical presentation of methanol toxicity on arrival to ER. The main presentation of this patient was unusual, as he presented with acute severe abdominal pain that seemed to be secondary to severe acidosis. Similarly, Kavalci et al. put gastrointestinal tract complaints and vision problems to the forefront [5]. Neurological deficits in the form of confusion and later seizures was delayed, owing to the time of accumulation of the toxic metabolite. Ophthalmic electrophysiological tests such as ERG and ECP have been reported to be more sensitive indicators of retinal toxicity [6], so their use, even if the fundoscopic examination is normal, should be considered. In our patient both ECP and visual fields were tested and were normal. Despite the high level of serum methanol, the patient did not develop ocular toxicity and was discharged eventually with no visual disturbances or any neurological deficit. This is in agreement with Mathieu et al, as they found that serum methanol concentration was not correlated with visual damages or mortality [7]. Preferable outcome in our patient might be due to the early Continuous Renal Replacement Treatment (CRRT), contradicting the recent recommendations that limit hemodialysis indications [8]. Our findings are in agreement with Jelle L Epker and et al, who believed that in a hemodynamic unstable situation high methanol concentrations and methanol-induced derangements of homeostasis are safely and effectively treated with continuous venous hemodiafiltration (CVVH-DF) [9]. The fact that the patient ingested ethanol together with the methanol may have played a role as a self-anti-dote and contributed to the complete recovery. Another study reported a case of a patient who consumed an excessive mixture of methanol and ethanol however he survived the acute methanol poisoning without any long-term neurological sequelae [10]. We believe that Continuous renal replacement treatment (CRRT) might be better than the usual hemodialysis interrupted session in removing methanol and its metabolite in a continuous manner. There is also a case report of a patient who survived acute methanol poisoning without long-term sequelae despite a high serum level of formic acid upon admission after being treated with 10% solution of ethanol, intravenous folic acid and two 8-hour sessions of intermittent hemodialysis [11]. Intravenous ethanol infusion was not given to our patient nor fomepizole, because they were not available.

Conclusion; Acute methanol poisoning is a fatal illness. Several atypical presentations could make it difficult to suspect diagnosis and is related to the time it takes to form the toxic metabolite. It should be expected if an alcoholic patient develops a rapid neurological deterioration and shows a double anion gap acidosis.

Recommendations ; There should be a public education campaign about the sources and consequences of methanol ingestion. Health authorities should provide the serum formic acid test for the proper diagnosis. Fomepizole as a preferable anti-dote should also be available. Until then, supportive treatment and CRRT should be immediately started in patients with acute methanol toxicity.

Acknowledgement ; The authors would like to thank Enago for the English language review.

Disclaimer regarding Consent and Ethical Approval:

As per university standard guideline participant consent and ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the authors.

References;

- 1- Nozhalbrahimi, Youssef Blel, Nour Abidi, Nadia Kouraichi, Hafedh Thabet, Abderrazek Hedhili, Mouldi Amamou. Methanol poisoning in Tunisia. Clinical Toxicology (2007) 45, 717–720.

- 2- Buller F, Wood CA. Cases of death and blindness from Columbian spirits and other methylated preparations. JAMA 1904;43:972-7.
- 3- Nikhil Gupta, Ajinkya Ashok Sonambekar, Sunil Kumar Daksh, Laxmi Kant Tomar . A rare presentation of methanol toxicity, Ann Indian Acad Neurol 2013;16:249-51.
- 4- Jacobsen D. Methanol and ethylene glycol poisonings: mechanism of toxicity, clinical course: Diagnosis and treatment. Med Toxicol 1986; 1:309-334 .
- 5- Kavalcı C, Sezenler E, Kavalcı G, Çevik Y, Turan M. Metanol zehirlenmesi; olgusunu. Akademik Acil Tıp Olgu Sunumları Dergisi 2011;2:14-6.
- 6- Barceloux DG, Bond GR, Krenzelok EP, Cooper H, Vale JA. Practice guidelines on the treatment of methanol poisoning, American Academy of Clinical Toxicology. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 2002; 40:415-446.
- 7- Mathieu P, Hassoun A, Lauwerys R. Predictors of methanol intoxication with unfavourable outcome. Human Toxicol 1987; 8:135-137.
- 8- Hovd KE, Froyshov S, Gudmundsdottir H, Rudberg N, Jacobsen D. Fomepizole may change the indication for HD in methanol poisoning: Prospective study in 7 cases. Clin Nephrol 2005; 64:190-197.
- 9- Jelle L Epker and Jan Bakker . Accidental methanol ingestion: Case report, BMC Emerg Med. 2010; 10: 3
- 10- Olga Nurieva and Katerina Kotikova, Severe Methanol Poisoning with Supralethal Serum Formate Concentration: A Case Report Med Princ Pract 2015;24:581-583.
- 11- Nurieva O. · Kotikova K. Severe Methanol Poisoning with Supralethal Serum Formate Concentration: A Case Report, Medical Principles and Practice 2015;24:581-583.

Table 1 ; Patient serum biochemistry and venous peripheral gases progress throughout his hospital course .

	Normal Reference Range	ER 0 TIME	ER AFTER 1H	ICU 4H	ICU 2ED ADMISSION DATE	ICU 3ED ADMISSION DATE	ON DISCHARGE FROM THE HOSPITAL
PH	7.35-7.45	7.17	7.15	7.18	7.28	7.46	7.46
Pco2	34-45mmHg	19.5	29.9	35	38.6	22.5	35.2
Hco3	22-26mmol/L	9.9	11.6	13.7	18.2	19.6	24.8
RBS	2.5-6.4mmol/L	12.2	8.8	6.4			6.1
AMYLASE	25-115U/L	245	165		96		65
BUN	2.5-6.4mmol/L	1.9	1.9	1.5	0.5	1.01	0.4
CRE2	49-115Umol/L	132		69.1	90.4	59	58
Na	136-145 mmol/L	144	148	140	136		135
K	3.5-5.1 mmol/L	5.2	5.6	4.2	4.1	2.5	3.6
Cl	98-107 mmol/L	112					103
Calculated osmolality		312.5					
Measured osmolality	285-295 mmol/kg	360					
Anion gap	10-14 mmol/L	15					
Osmolal	5-10 mmol/kg	47.5					

gap							
ALT	1-37U/L	170		69	56		62
AST	15-41U/L	162	143	79	65		86
GGT		256	204	209			
BILI	5.13-17.1Umol/L	11.9	13.9				
ALB	35-41U/L	40.1		34.09			

UNDER PEER REVIEW