

Evaluation of Eggplant (*Solanum* spp) Genotypes for Proline Accumulation

indrought Conditions of Ghana

ABSTRACT

Sixteen (16) genotypes of eggplant (*Solanum* spp) were grown over two years in the Coastal and Sudan Savannah areas of Ghana to identify proline accumulation response patterns of the genotypes under dry season and drought-stressed conditions of Ghana. The experiment was conducted at Savanna Agricultural Research Institute (SARI) experimental farm, Manga, Bawku (Sudan Savannah Agro-ecology), and University of Ghana, Legon, Accra, experimental farm (Coastal Savannah Agro-ecology). At each agro-ecology, leaf samples of the genotypes were collected at the flowering stages of growth, dried, milled and assayed for their proline levels. The proline data for each location and season for the two year period were separately analyzed by general analysis of variance (ANOVA), for the estimation of the variation among the genotypes in proline accumulation. Proline which confers tolerance of the crop to variable seasonal and drought-stressed conditions varied significantly, due to the genotype and genotype x environment interaction effects on its accumulation. The eggplant genotypes were observed to develop internal complementary drought survival mechanisms, by lowering leaf relative water contents (LRWC) and increasing proline content, thereby enabling plants to withstand periodic drought better. The genotypes A3, A4, A8, A9F, A10 and Bawku1 accumulated higher level of proline under dry season and drought-stressed conditions of the Coastal and Sudan savannahs, with the associated high temperatures across locations. These genotypes could be selected on the basis of

21 proline accumulation, for improved drought tolerance of the crop, and should be incorporated in
22 eggplant drought tolerant improvement programmes in Ghana.

23 **Key Words:** Eggplant, Drought, Growth Conditions, Proline Accumulation

24 **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

25 **Eggplants** (*Solanum spp*) are cultivated in Ghana as source of food and income, especially for the
26 small scale farmers [1, 2]. Though widely cultivated in a small scale in Ghana, it is grown in the
27 Coastal and Sudan savannah agro-ecologies under highly unstable conditions of high
28 temperatures, erratic rainfall and intermittent drought. Drought stress, in particular, is very
29 common in crop fields of these agro-ecologies, and it is a major crop developmental and yield-
30 limiting factor [3, 4].

31 Few eggplant genotypes are predominantly cultivated in the Coastal and Sudan savannah agro-
32 ecologies of Ghana, and may be considered as adaptive under those environmental conditions.
33 The stable and adaptable genotypes that are considered superior in **unfavorable** environments
34 similar to that of Coastal and Sudan savannah agro-ecologies of Ghana have been identified with
35 an ability to efficiently accumulate specific stressed-induced bio-active compounds [5-8].

36 In drought stress conditions, plants reduce and **lose** turgor, and are most susceptible during the
37 reproductive phase, when brief periods of water shortage could greatly reduce yield [9-11]. The
38 reduction or loss of turgor in plants subjected to stress conditions triggers several physiological
39 and/or chemical responses in them [12,13]. The accumulation of proline is the primary
40 physiological trigger in plants that activates a complex of a sequence of adaptive events correlated
41 to the level of stress, plant tolerance and plant growth stage [14, 3]. In plants, the accumulation of

42 cellular solutes, such as proline has been one possible means for overcoming osmotic stress
43 caused by loss of water [15, 16].

44 However, the levels of proline in plants are properly regulated, according to environmental
45 conditions [17]. It is mainly accumulated under drought-stress conditions but can be accumulated
46 under high temperature stresses [18]. In drought stress conditions, most plants increase proline
47 accumulation at flowering stages than at the vegetative stages [19, 20]. The proline accumulation
48 in plants under stressed conditions, therefore, becomes a survival mechanism in plants, which
49 greatly determine their adaptability to varying environments and largely influence their desirable
50 traits performance and stability over time and location [21].

51 Plants are able to adapt and resist stress because the accumulated proline regulates and reduces
52 water loss from dehydrated cells [22, 23]. Its biosynthesis also enables plants to survive under
53 stress conditions by assisting plants to maintain the photosynthetic efficiency and the overall
54 survival and productivity [24]. In general, there is better survival and performance of plant species
55 that accumulate proline under stress conditions. Proline, therefore, plays an important role in
56 adaptation and survival of plants under drought and temperature stresses [25, 27].

57 The physiological responses of plants in drought-stressed conditions such as increases or decreases
58 in proline accumulation are useful indices of drought tolerance [28, 29]. Such physiochemical
59 studies on eggplant genotypes under varying environments in Ghana are vital to ascertain the
60 physiological behavior of existing materials in the plant genetic pool [30]. In such studies, desirable
61 genotypes could be identified and selected for farmers and for crop improvement purposes based
62 on their physiological traits competencies across environments.

63 **However**,there is limitedstudy on the influence of varying soil moisture conditions onproline
64 accumulation ineggplantsacross agro-ecologies in Ghana. It is in this light that a study was
65 conducted to asseseggplant genotypes for proline accumulation under varying soil moisture
66 conditions of two most drought-stressed agro-ecologies of Ghana.

67 **2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

68 **2.1 The Study Areas**

69 The experiment was carried out at Savanna Agricultural Research Institute (SARI) experimental
70 farm, Manga, Bawku in the Sudan savannahagro-ecology and University of Ghana, Legon, Accra
71 experimental farm in the Coastal savannah agro-ecology. Manga, Bawku is located in the North-
72 Eastern corner of the Upper East Region of Ghana, on Latitude 11°11'and 10°40'N and Longitude
73 0°18' W and 0°6'E, at an altitude of 249 meters above sea level, with atopography of gently sloping
74 terrain of gradient 1-2%. The University of Ghana experimental farm is located in the north-east of
75 the Greater Accra region of Ghana, on Latitude 5°38'45"N and Longitude 00°11'13"E at an altitude
76 of approximately 300 meters above sea level.

77

78 **2.2 Climatic Data Collection**

79 Climatic data (Table 1) was collected during the respective rainy and dry seasons of 2012-2013
80 and 2013-2014 at each experimental site of Legon and Manga. Within the study period, Legon site
81 recorded 5 months of dry season and 7 months of rainy season whereas Manga site was
82 7 months of dry season and 5 months of rainy season. Until flowering of the plants, temperature,
83 relative humidity and sunshine data were collected daily at the University of Ghana, Legon-Accra on

84 Hobo Pro data loggers (Pocassett, ME, USA), whereas those of Manga-Bawku were taken from on-
 85 farm weather station. The rainfall data from both experimental sites was collected using on-farm rain
 86 gauges.

87 **Table 1. Location and seasonal differences in monthly average climatic data per year from**
 88 **Manga-Bawku and Legon-Accra experimental farms during the 2012-2014 experimental period**

Location		Manga-Bawku Experimental Farm							
Climatic Parameter	Rainfall (mm)		Temperature (°C)		Relative humidity (%)		Sunshine (Hours)		
	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	
Year / Month									
Oct-April	0.2	0.2	29.8	30.7	50.4	50.2	8.5	8.4	
May-Sept.	114.1(4)	102.9(3)	27.7	28.1	80.7	80.1	6.4	6.4	
Yearly Mean	47.6 (4)	43 (3)	28.3	29.4	63.1	62.6	7.5	7.4	

Location		Legon-Accra Experimental Farm							
Climatic Parameter	Rainfall (mm)		Temperature (°C)		Relative humidity (%)		Sunshine (Hours)		
	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	
Year / Month									
Nov-March	25.4(2)	12.8(2)	27.6	28.4	75.1	73.4	5.8	6.4	
April-Oct.	89.5(4)	56 (3)	27	27.2	78	76	5.7	5.8	
Yearly Mean	62.0 (3)	37.6 (3)	27.3	27.6	76.5	74.9	5.8	6.2	

89 ()* = Mean days of rainfall

90
 91 **2.3 Sampling and Analysis of Soil**

92 Samples of soil were randomly collected at 0-30 cm depth from six (6) different locations of the
 93 experimental plots at Legon, Accra and SARI, Manga. The soil samples of each experimental plot in
 94 the rainy and dry seasons were accordingly combined, air-dried and then sieved through a 5mm
 95 mesh.

96
 97 The organic matter content of the soil was analyzed following [31]. The method for the
 98 determination of nitrogen was the Macro - kjeldhal [32] and that of phosphorus was the P-Bray

99 No. 1. The sieved soil samples were also used to determine particle sizes, exchangeable bases and
 100 pH. Soil bulk density was determined by collecting samples at six (6) different locations in each of
 101 the experimental sites using core samplers. The soil samples were analyzed in duplicates, and the
 102 results of the soils' physical and chemical analysis are shown in Table 2.

103 **Table 2: Soil characteristics at 0-30 cm depth from Manga-Bawku and Legon-Accra**

104

Locations	Manga-Bawku Experimental Farm			Legon-Accra Experimental Farm		
	Rainy Season	Dry Season	Mean	Rainy Season	Dry Season	Mean
Physical						
Sand (%)	84.1	75.3	79.7	58.7	70.3	64.5
Silt (%)	1.5	2.9	2.2	6.3	9.0	7.7
Clay (%)	14.4	21.8	18.1	34.9	20.7	27.8
Bulk Density (g/cm ³)	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.5
Chemical						
pH1:1 H ₂ O	6.6	6.2	6.4	5.8	5.3	5.5
Nitrogen (%)	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.15	0.14
Organic Matter (%)	0.57	0.88	0.73	1.16	1.57	1.37
Available P. (ppm)	3.70	4.13	4.13	4.28	5.15	4.72

105 EC = Electrical Conductivity

106 The Coastal and Sudan agro-ecologies of Ghana differ in climatic and edaphic characteristics, and
 107 crop growth and performance are often influenced by those characteristics. The soils of both
 108 locations are sandy, low in organic matter and water-holding capacities (Table 2). These
 109 characteristics influence the loss of soil nutrients and soil moisture as well as soil drying.

110 **2.4 Soil moisture content determination**

111 Soil moisture content at the Legon and Manga Experimental farms was determined following
 112 standard procedures and methods. The sampled soils were weighed and measured at different
 113 pressure plates of 0.3 bars and 15 bars, and oven-dried at 105 °C for 48 hours to constant weights
 114 before weighing [33, 34]. The soil moisture content values for Legon and Manga in the rainy

115 season were 68% and 63%; dry season (irrigated) were 57% and 53% and under water-stressed
116 were 26% and 24%.

117 **2.5 Planting Materials**

118 Fourteen (14) **eggplant** (*Solanumaethiopicum*) genotypes were obtained from the Department of
119 Crop Science, University of Ghana, Legon and Plant Genetic Resources Research Institute (PGRRI)
120 of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Bunso and two popular local genotypes
121 of bitter eggplant (*Solanumincanum*) commonly cultivated in Bawku area, were obtained from
122 **aneggplant producing farmer** in Bawku. The sixteen (16) eggplant genotypes were grown in two
123 successive rainy and dry seasons' conditions of Coastal Savannah and Sudan Savannah agro-
124 ecological zones in 2012 and 2013, and 2013 and 2014. Experimental procedure for the trials on
125 the 16 genotypes was the same across seasons and locations.

126 **2.6 Treatments and experimental design**

127 The genotype, rainy season, dry season, water-stressed and location (Legon and Manga) were the
128 main treatments. There were sixteen (16) genotypes, three (3) soil moisture conditions and two
129 (2) locations, giving ninety-six (96) treatment combinations. After ploughing and harrowing, the
130 experimental fields were laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three (3)
131 replications in both rainy and dry seasons.

132 Plant-to-plant spacing within a row was 80 cm and planting in both years was done in May-June,
133 and November-December, coinciding with the onset of rainy season and dry season of 2012-2013
134 and 2013-2014. **In both seasons, transplants at four weeks were applied with a compound
135 fertilizer N: P: K (15-15-15) at the rate of 250kg\ha, till flower initiation.**

136 **2.7 Leaf sampling, drying and milling**

137 Twelve (12) uppermost leaves were sampled from four recorded plants per genotype per
138 replication at 50% flowering in both the rainy and dry season experiments and were oven-dried at
139 50 °C for 72 hours. During the dry season, leaves were sampled at 50% flowering under well-
140 watered and ten-days of water deprivation (stress) conditions.

141 Four (4) leaves from the sampled twelve (12) leaves for proline determination were picked
142 immediately after excision from plants and cleaned well for leaf relative water content (LRWC)
143 following [35] and [36]. The remaining eight (8) of the sampled leaves per treatment per location
144 were oven-dried at 50 °C for 72 hours.

145 The dried leaves from each location were bulked according to genotype and growth condition and
146 ground into composite powders through a 1 mm mesh sieve fitted in the mill (Type: Fritsch,
147 Schmeasal, AZ 15 ZVK-2005, Germany).

148 The composite leaf powders of the rainy season, dry season and stressed conditions were
149 packaged in air-tight black polythene containers and stored in a freezer for analysis. The powdered
150 leaf samples were used for determination of proline content.

151 **2.8 Determination of proline content in leaf samples**

152 The proline content of leaves was estimated colorimetrically by the acid-ninhydrin method,
153 following [37]. Samples of dry leaf powder were weighed 0.5g and homogenized in 10 ml of 3%
154 aqueous sulfosalicylic acid. The homogenate was filtered through Whatman No. 1 paper and made
155 up to 50 ml with distilled water. Proline standard concentrations of 5-100
156 µg/ml were prepared. One milliliter (1 ml) each of the filtrate (extract) and proline standards was

157 pipetted into test tubes before adding 1ml acid ninhydrin and 1ml glacial acetic acid and mixed
158 thoroughly. The mixtures were incubated for an hour at 100 °C in water bath to develop colours.
159 The test tubes were immediately cooled in an ice bath and vigorously vortex
160 before adding 4 ml toluene reagent.

161 The chlorophore containing toluene was aspirated from the aqueous phase, and then warmed to
162 room temperature (25 °C) and the absorbance read in a UV/Vis spectrophotometer at wavelength
163 520 nm, using toluene as blank. The proline concentration was calculated from a standard curve
164 and computed on dry weight basis as $\mu\text{mole proline/g}$ of dry leaf weight [37] as follows:

$$165 \quad \mu\text{mole proline g}^{-1} \text{ dry weight} = \frac{(\mu\text{g proline/mL} - \text{Toluene/mL}) \times \text{Initial dilution} \times 5}{115.5 \times \text{Sample weight}}$$

166 **2.9 Analysis of proline content data**

167 The proline concentration data was analyzed using GenStat Statistical Software (12th Edition). The
168 data for each location and season for the two years were separately analyzed by general analysis
169 of variance (ANOVA), for the estimation of the variation among the genotypes in the measured
170 traits. Where ANOVA showed significant differences in proline, the mean values were separated
171 by the Least Significant Difference (LSD) at probability level of 0.05.

172 The coefficient of variation (% CV) was calculated as $= \frac{\sqrt{\text{MSE}}}{\bar{X}} \times 100$; where MSE = Error mean
173 square; and \bar{X} = Mean, from analysis of variance

174

175

176

177 **3.0 RESULTS**

178 Proline content in eggplant leaves at 50% flowering varied depending on the genotype, location
 179 and growth condition (Table 3). During rainy season conditions, location and genotype x location
 180 interaction effects on proline concentration were not significantly different ($P = 0.05$). The location
 181 and genotype x location interaction effects under dry-season conditions significantly ($P = 0.05$)
 182 affected the average proline levels of the genotypes.

183 Table 3: Proline accumulation in leaves of eggplant genotypes at flowering in rainy,
 184 dry season and drought-stressed conditions of two locations for two years

Condition	Rainy Season			Dry Season			Drought-Stressed		
	Location	Manga	Legon	Mean	Manga	Legon	Mean	Manga	Legon
Genotype	($\mu\text{g/g}$ dry weight)			($\mu\text{g/g}$ dry weight)			($\mu\text{g/g}$ dry weight)		
A1	0.44a	0.37ab	0.41a	0.78bc	0.55bc	0.67c	3.92bc	1.82d	2.87d
A2	0.40a	0.33b	0.37ab	0.83ab	0.65ab	0.74ab	4.22ab	3.65a	3.93a
A3	0.42a	0.40a	0.41a	0.82ab	0.72a	0.77a	4.30ab	3.64a	3.98a
A4	0.30b	0.38ab	0.34bc	0.88a	0.69a	0.78a	4.12b	3.85a	3.99a
A6B	0.43a	0.40a	0.42a	0.82ab	0.70a	0.76a	4.02b	3.90a	3.96a
A6F	0.37a	0.29bc	0.39a	0.84a	0.68a	0.76a	4.43a	2.94bc	3.69bc
A7	0.46a	0.42a	0.44a	0.80b	0.74a	0.76a	4.30ab	3.07bc	3.68bc
A8	0.42a	0.40a	0.41a	0.85a	0.66a	0.76a	4.22ab	3.78a	4.00a
A9A	0.45a	0.40a	0.42a	0.74c	0.65ab	0.70bc	3.96b	3.55a	3.76a
A9F	0.37a	0.29bc	0.33bc	0.83a	0.72a	0.77a	4.31ab	3.79a	4.05a
A10	0.44a	0.40a	0.41a	0.75c	0.70a	0.73a	4.41a	3.75a	4.08a
A11	0.22b	0.41a	0.32bc	0.81b	0.71a	0.76a	4.31ab	3.51a	3.91a
A12	0.31b	0.43a	0.37ab	0.87a	0.67a	0.77a	4.22ab	3.65a	3.71b
Legon1	0.42a	0.40a	0.41a	0.78bc	0.72a	0.75a	4.37a	3.52a	3.95a
Bawku1	0.45a	0.38a	0.42a	0.81b	0.71a	0.76a	4.42a	3.51a	3.97a
Bawku2	0.47a	0.40a	0.43a	0.84a	0.61bc	0.72ab	4.20b	2.46c	3.33c

Mean	0.40	0.39	0.39	0.81	0.68	0.75	4.25	3.37	3.82
%CV	15.3	11.6	14.4	4.7	9.2	7.6	4.3	18.2	12.4

185 Means with different letters in a column are significantly different at $P = 0.05$.
 186 LSD (5%) (Proline):Location (Rain-fed = 0.03ns; Dry season = 0.02**; Drought-stressed = 0.12**)
 187 Genotype x Location (Rainy season = 0.11ns; Dry season = 0.09**; Drought-stressed = 0.48**). ns
 188 = Not significant; ** =Significant at 1% levels of probability.

189

190 Under drought-stressed conditions, the location and genotype x location interaction effects on the
 191 proline contents of the genotypes were significant (Table 3). At each location, the rainy and dry
 192 season conditions did not have significant effects on genotype proline levels; whereas drought-
 193 stressed conditions at each location significantly ($P < 0.001$) affected genotypes' proline
 194 accumulation. Generally, the proline levels of the genotypes in the dry season of growth were
 195 higher than that of the rainy season, whereas the levels of proline in genotypes under drought-
 196 stressed were about ten-fold higher than those in the rainy season and about five-fold higher than
 197 those under dry season conditions. In general, the proline levels of the genotypes across the
 198 growth seasons and conditions were consistently higher at Manga than at Legon.

199 Under drought-stressed conditions (Table 3), the Manga site recorded proline levels ranging from
 200 3.93 $\mu\text{g/gDW}$ in A1 to 4.43 $\mu\text{g/gDW}$ in A6F; the levels at Legon ranged from 1.72 $\mu\text{g/gDW}$ in A1 to
 201 3.91 $\mu\text{g/gDW}$ in A6B. Across locations, the genotypes proline levels ranged from 2.87 $\mu\text{g/gDW}$ in A1
 202 to 4.08 $\mu\text{g/gDW}$ in A10. The site means ranged from 3.36 $\mu\text{g/gDW}$ at Legon to 4.24 $\mu\text{g/gDW}$ at
 203 Manga. The highest six proline accumulating genotypes in drought-stress conditions across the
 204 locations, in the order of highest was A10 (4.08 $\mu\text{g/gDW}$), A9F (4.05 $\mu\text{g/gDW}$), A8 (3.99 $\mu\text{g/gDW}$),
 205 A4 (3.98 $\mu\text{g/gDW}$), A3 (3.97 $\mu\text{g/gDW}$) and Bawku1 (3.96 $\mu\text{g/gDW}$).

206 There were significant genotype and genotype and environment interaction effects on proline
 207 synthesis in eggplants grown across seasons of the Coastal and Sudan savannah agro-
 208 ecologies. The drought-stressed conditions of both locations were also associated with low leaf
 209 relative water contents of the genotypes (Table 4) but with higher variability (CV = 13.3%) among
 210 genotypes than the dry season variability (CV = 8.5%). The proline content in the leaves of the
 211 genotypes also increased as leaf relative water contents decreased (Tables 3 & 4). This indicates
 212 an inverse relationship between leaf water content and proline levels in eggplants.

213 **Table 4: Leaf relative water content (LRWC) of eggplant genotypes at flowering under rainy, dry**
 214 **season and drought-stressed conditions of two locations for two years**

Condition	Rain season			Dry season			Water-stressed			
	Location	Manga	Legon	Mean	Manga	Legon	Mean	Manga	Legon	Mean
Genotypes	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
A1	78.4d	82.7c	80.5f	63.4b	75.2b	69.3b	47.7b	51.0b	49.3b	
A2	78.7d	80.4c	79.5f	63.3b	75.3b	69.3b	48.2b	50.7b	49.5b	
A3	84.2b	84.8bc	84.5c	61.1c	73.7b	67.4c	52.6a	60.7a	56.4ab	
A4	83.5b	77.2d	80.4f	63.2b	75.9a	69.5b	47.4b	51.7b	49.6b	
A6B	80.1c	79.4d	79.8f	63.5b	75.0b	69.2b	48.9b	53.8b	51.3b	
A6F	85.8a	78.0d	81.9e	67.3a	77.2a	72.3a	50.5b	58.7a	54.6ab	
A7	81.0c	87.0ab	84.0c	65.7b	73.4b	69.5b	53.6a	60.5a	57.0ab	
A8	77.1d	84.9b	81.0e	66.2b	75.4a	70.8b	54.0a	61.5a	57.8a	
A9A	84.3b	85.8b	85.1c	64.5b	73.9b	69.2b	54.0a	61.8a	57.9a	
A9F	77.3d	86.3b	81.8e	65.3b	73.2b	69.3b	53.4a	58.1a	55.7ab	

A10	80.3c	86.5ab	83.4d	70.3a	75.2b	72.7a	53.8a	50.6b	52.2b
A11	81.5c	85.4b	83.5d	64.8b	76.8a	70.8b	51.5a	62.5a	57.0ab
A12	77.4d	86.5ab	82.0e	63.1b	75.0b	69.1c	51.8a	57.9a	54.9ab
Legon1	79.5c	84.9b	82.2e	69.0a	74.1b	71.6a	53.1a	52.4b	52.7b
Bawku1	87.4a	89.3a	88.3a	64.3b	76.1a	70.2b	54.4a	65.0a	59.7a
Bawku2	87.6a	86.5ab	87.0b	68.9a	78.0a	73.5a	56.0a	63.1a	59.6a
Mean	81.5	84.1	82.8	65.3	75.2	70.2	51.9	57.5	54.7
%CV	4.9	4.9	5.1	6.0	3.4	8.5	9.3	14.3	13.3

215 *Means with different letters in a column are significantly different at P = 0.05.*

216 LSD(5%) (LRWC at flowering): Rainy season (Location= 0.4**;*Genotype x Location = 1.7***);

217 Dry season (Location = 0.9**;*Genotype x Location = 3.4***); and, Drought-

218 stressed(Location=1.69**;*Genotype x Location = 6.8***). ** = Significant at 1% level of probability.

219 The reduction in moisture content of leaves in the dry season could also be due to the utilization

220 of the moisture to build proline and other leaf constituents. The accumulation of proline enable

221 plants to maintain low water potentials, and this condition in plants could trigger the

222 accumulation of other compatible osmolytes as well as chlorophyll and allows additional water to

223 be taken up from the environment, and hence help in buffering the immediate effect of water

224 deficit within the leaf [38, 39]. In dry conditions, the proline in garden egg remained active and so

225 some amount of water retention was made possible (Table 3&4).

226

227

228

229

230

231 **4.0 DISCUSSIONS**

232 The concentration of proline in the leaves of eggplant genotypes depended on the soil moisture
233 levels of the rainy season, dry season and drought-stressed conditions of Manga and Legon (Table
234 3). With the exception of the rainy season, the dry season and drought-stressed conditions
235 significantly ($P = 0.05$) affected the proline levels in the genotypes. The growth conditions of
236 Manga resulted in higher levels of proline in plants than Legon, indicating that environmental
237 conditions of Manga triggered higher proline synthesis than Legon. Seasonally, the dry season
238 conditions enhanced proline synthesis than rainy season, suggesting that the rainy season and for
239 that matter, higher moisture conditions **do not trigger** proline synthesis in eggplants.

240 This is an indication that proline accumulation may result from both induction of proline
241 biosynthesis and/or inhibition of its oxidation [40, 41]. The induction of proline biosynthesis is
242 activated by the enzyme pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase, and proline is inhibited from
243 degeneration by the enzyme proline dehydrogenase [40, 22, 42].

244 Plants accumulate proline when exposed to abiotic stresses such as drought [43, 44], as well as
245 varying temperatures [45]. The high proline accumulation in the eggplant genotypes during the
246 dry season and drought-stressed conditions could be attributed to lack of adequate water supply
247 or due to high sunshine and temperatures at that period. During the dry season, temperatures
248 were generally high across ecologies (Table 1), and so temperature increases in addition to low
249 soil moisture or drought stress trigger and significantly increased proline synthesis through
250 enhanced activities of the biosynthetic enzyme, pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase.

251 High proline accumulation is part of physiological responses to intense stress, and has been
252 indicative of higher capability to resist drought [46-49]. This is an indication that during drought
253 stress, eggplants generally have inherent ability to counteract or minimize the effects through
254 proline accumulation. It is also suggestive that, the production of proline is probably a common
255 response of eggplant under drought-stress.

256 The osmotic adjustment through the accumulation of cellular solutes, such as proline, has been
257 suggested as one of the possible means for overcoming osmotic stress caused by loss of water [15,
258 16, 50]. In this study, proline content in the leaves of eggplant genotypes tended to increase as
259 leaf relative water contents decreased (Tables 3 & 4), indicating an inverse relationship between
260 leaf water content and proline content in eggplants.

261 The proline levels enable plants to maintain low water potentials, and it is this condition that
262 triggers the accumulation of other compatible osmolytes and allows additional water to be taken
263 up from the environment, and hence help in buffering the immediate effect of water deficit within
264 the leaf [38, 39]. The drought-stressed conditions of both locations were associated with low leaf
265 relative water contents of the genotypes (Table 4) suggesting that the accumulation of proline is
266 probably a mechanism to withhold water during periods of water stress [38].

267 Regardless of the growth conditions of the crop, there were significant differences ($P = 0.05$)
268 among genotypes in proline accumulation, suggesting that garden egg genotypes differ in their
269 abilities to synthesize proline. The variation in the genotypes proline levels across locations was
270 higher under drought-stressed conditions ($CV = 12.4\%$) than the dry season conditions ($CV = 7.6\%$)
271 (Tables 3), and this clearly indicates the influence of drought-stressed conditions on

272 proline accumulation in eggplants. Though there were location specific genotypic differences, the
273 highest six proline accumulating genotypes under drought-stressed conditions across locations,
274 were A3, A4, A8, A9F, A10 and Bawku1, and this present great opportunity in drought tolerant
275 improvement programmes in garden egg under Coastal and Sudan savannah agro-ecologies of
276 Ghana.

277 **5.0 Conclusion**

278 Proline as a bioactive compound, confer tolerance of many plants genotypes to drought or
279 moisture stressed conditions. Eggplant genotypes at reproductive phase varied in their proline
280 accumulation ability under drought or moisture stressed conditions. Under drought conditions, the
281 crop genotypes might have developed internal complementary drought survival mechanisms by
282 lowering leaf relative water contents (LRWC) and increasing proline concentrations, thereby
283 enabling genotypes to withstand periodic drought better.

284 The information on genotypic differences in proline accumulation is useful in the survival and
285 productivity of eggplant, and could be useful in setting the crop breeding objectives. Though there
286 were location specific genotypic differences, the highest six proline accumulating genotypes under
287 drought-stressed conditions across locations, were A10, A9F, A8, A4, A3 and Bawku1. This may
288 present a great opportunity in drought tolerance improvement programmes in eggplant for
289 improved performance in drought-prone agro-ecologies of Ghana.

290 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

291 Authors declared there are no competing interests

292
293

294 **REFERENCES**

- 295 1 Bonsu K. O., Owusu E. O., Nkansah, G. O. and Schippers R. R.. Preliminary
296 characterization of *Solanum macrocarpon* germplasm from different ecological zones of
297 Ghana. Workshop for Identification of vegetable Research Priorities. Brong Ahafo Region of
298 Ghana, 10-11th September, 1998, Sunyani, Ghana. 1998; 7.
- 299 2 Ministry of Food and Agriculture (MOFA). Agriculture in Ghana: facts and figures.
300 Produced by the Statistics, Research and Information Directorate. Accra. 2003.
- 301 3 Pirzad, A., Shakiba, M. R., Zehtab-Salmasi, S., Mohammadi, S. A., Darvishzadeh, R. and
302 Samadi, A. Effect of water stress on leaf relative water content, chlorophyll, proline
303 and soluble carbohydrates in *Matricaria chamomilla* L.). Journal of Medicinal Plants
304 Research. 2011; 5(12): 2483-2488
- 305 4 Bai, C., Liang, Y., Zhu, Y., Ge, Y., Lin, X. and Jia, W.. The temporal and spatial
306 variation of soil respiration in pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.), eggplant (*Solanum melongena*
307 L.) and maize (*Zea mays* L.) agro-ecosystems in Northwest of China. Australian Journal of
308 Crop Science. 2012; 6(11): 1565-1571.
- 309 5 Meletiou-Christou, M. S., Banilas, G. P. and Diamantoglou, S. Seasonal trends in
310 energy contents and storage substances of the Mediterranean species *Dittrichia viscosa* and
311 *Thymela eatartonnaira*. Journal of Environment and Experimental Botany. 1998; 39: 21–32.
- 312 6 Wyka, T. Carbohydrate storage and use in an alpine population of the perennial herb
313 *Oxytropis sericea*. Oecologia. 1999; 120: 198–208.
- 314 7 Fayyaz-Ul-Hassan, Qadir, G. and Cheema, M. A.. Growth and development of
315 sunflower in response to seasonal variations. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2005; 37(4): 859-
316 864
- 317 8 Akinci, S. and Lösel.. Plant water stress response mechanisms. (Ismail md. Mofizur
318 Rahman (ed). Intech Publishers. 2012; 1-42. <http://www.intechopen.com/books/water-stress>
319 (Accessed June, 2016).
- 320 9 Crasta, O. R. and Cox, W. J. Temperature and soil water effects on maize growth,
321 development yield and forage quality. Journal of Crop Science. 1996; 36: 341-348.
- 322 10 Bray, E. A. Plant responses to water deficit. Trends in Plant Science. 1997; 2: 48–54

- 323 11 Pirzad, A., Shakiba, M.R., Zehtab-Salmasi, S., Mohammadi, A., Darvishzadeh, R. and
324 Hassani, A. Phenology of *German chamomile* and its changes under different
325 irrigation regimes and plant densities. *NotulaeScientiaBiologicae*.2010; 2:43-48.
- 326 12 Bray, E. A., Bailey-Serres, J. and Weretilnyk, E..Responses to abiotic stresses. In:
327 Gruissem W, Buchannan B, Jones R (eds) *Biochemistry and molecular biology of plants*.
328 ASP, Rockville, MD.2000; 1158-1249.
- 329 13 Kakati, L. N. and Kakati, B. T. Seasonality of nutrient contents of different leaf
330 types of two primary host plants of *Antheraeaassamensis*, Helfer. Paper presented in 3rd
331 International Conference on Climate Change, Forest Resource and Environment
332 (ICCFRE), held 9-11th December, 2011, University of Kerala. In: *Journal of*
333 *Environmental Sciences*. 2011; 1: 261-265.
- 334 14 Heuer, B..Role of proline in plant response to drought and salinity. In: *Handbook of*
335 *plant and crop stress*. M. Pessarakli (ed). 3rd Edition.CRC Press.2010; 213-238
- 336 15 Singh, D. K., Sale, P. W. G., Pallaghy, C. K. and Singh, V.. Role of proline and leaf
337 expansion rate in the recovery of stressed white clover leaves with increased phosphorus
338 concentration. *Journal of New Phytology*.2000; 146 (2): 261-269.
- 339 16 Caballero, J. I., Verduzco, C. V., Galan, J., Jimenz, E. S. D.. Proline accumulation as
340 a symptom of drought stress in maize: A tissue differentiation requirement. *Journal of*
341 *Experimental Botany*.2005; 39 (7): 889-897.
- 342 17 Ueda, A., Shi, W. M., Sanmiya, K.,Shono, M. and Takabe, T. Functional analysis
343 of salt-inducible proline transporter of barley roots. *Journal of Plant Cell Physiology*.
344 2001; 42:1282-1289.
- 345 18 Sairam, R. K., VeerabhadraRao, K. and Srivastava, G. C. Differential response of
346 wheat genotypes to long term salinity stress in relation to oxidative stress, antioxidant
347 activity and osmolyte concentration. *Journal of Plant Science*. 2002. 163: 1037–1046.
- 348 19 Chiang, H. H. and Dandekar, A. M. (1995). Regulation of proline accumulation in
349 *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.)Heynh during development and in response to desiccation.*Journal*
350 *of Plant Cell and Environment*. 18: 1280–1290.
- 351 20 Lobato, A. K. S., Oliveira Neto, C. F., Costa, R. C. L., Santos Filho, B. G., Cost, R. C. L.,
352 Cruz, F. J. R., Neves, H. K. B. and Lopes, M. J. S. Physiological and biochemical
353 behavior in soybean (*Glycinemax cv. Sambabia*) plants under water deficit. *Australian*
354 *Journal of Crop Science*.2008; 2: 25-23.

- 355 21 Ssemakula, G. and Dixon, A. Genotype x environment interaction, stability and
356 agronomic performance of carotenoid-rich cassava clones. Journal of Scientific Research
357 and Essay.2007; 2 (9): 390-399
- 358 22 Maggio, A., Miyazaki, S., Veronese, P., Fujita, T., Ibeas, J. I., Damsz, B., Narasimhan, M. L.,
359 Hasegawa, P. M., Joly, R. J. and Bressan, R. A.. Does proline accumulation play an
360 active role in stress-induced growth reduction.Plant Journal.2002; 31: 699–712.
- 361 23 Chutia, J. and Borah, S. P.. Water stress effects on leaf growth and chlorophyll
362 content but not the grain yield in traditional rice (*Oryza sativa*, Linn) genotypes of Assam,
363 India II. Protein and proline status in seedlings under PEG induced water stress. American
364 Journal of Plant Sciences.2012; 3: 971-980
- 365 24 Sivakumar, P., Sharmila, P. and PardhaSaradhi, P. Proline alleviates salt-stress-
366 induced enhancement in ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate oxygenase activity. Biochemical and
367 Biophysical Research Communications. 2000; 279: 512-515
- 368 25 Ashraf, M., Saeed, M. M. and Qureshi, M. J.. Tolerance to high temperature in cotton
369 (*Gossypiumhirsutum*, L.) at initial growth stages. Environmental Experimental Botany.
370 1994; 34:275
- 371 26 Watanabe, S., Kojima, K., Ide, Y. and Satohiko, S. Effects of saline and osmotic
372 stress on proline and sugar accumulation in *Populuseuphraticain vitro*. Journal of Plant
373 Cell Tissue Organ.2000; 63: 199-206.
- 374 27 Saruhan, N., Terzi, R., Kadioglu, A. The effects of exogenous polyamines on some
375 biochemical changes during drought stress in *Ctenanthesetosa*. ActaBiol Hung, 2006; 57:
376 221-229.
- 377 28 Ramanjulu, S. and Bartels, D..Drought- and desiccation-induced modulation of gene
378 expression in plants.Journal of Plant Cell and Environment.2002; 25: 141–151.
- 379 29 Xiao, X., Xu, X. and Yang, F. Adaptive responses to progressive drought stress in
380 two *Populuscathayan*populations. Silva Fennica, 2008; 42(5): 705–719.
- 381 30 Nkansah, G. O.. Some physiological features of the African eggplant, *Solanum*
382 *aethiopicum*group 'Gilo'. Journal of Science of Horticulture.2001; 90: 181-186.
- 383 31 Nelson, O. O. and Sommers, L. E. (1982). Total carbon, organic carbon, and organic matter.
384 In: methods of soil analysis. Part 2.Chemical and microbiological properties, 2ndedn.
385 American Society of Agronomy, Madison, (Agronomy 9).

- 386 32 Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) (1990). Official Methods of Analysis.
387 15th Edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington D.C., Pp: 375-379.
- 388 33 Miller, R.W. and Donahue, R. L.. Soils: An introduction to soils and plant growth,
389 sixth Edition, Prentice – Hall International (UK) limited, London. 1990; 110-11, 282, 315
390 and 488.
- 391 34 Brady, N.C. and Weil, R.R. The nature and properties of soil (14th Ed.). Prentice
392 Hall. Upper Saddle River, N.J. 2008; Chapter 4.
- 393 35 Turner NC, Begg JE. Plant water relations and adaptation to drought. Journal of Plant and
394 Soil Science. 1981; 58: 97-113.
- 395 36 Yamasaki S, Dillenburg LR. Measurements of leaf relative water content in *Araucaria*
396 *angustifolia*. Revista Brasileira de Fisiologia Vegetal. 1999; 11(2): 69–75.
- 397 37 Bates, I. S., Waldern, R. P. and Teare, I. D.. Rapid determination of free proline for
398 water stress studies. Journal of Plant and Soil. 1973; 39: 205-207.
- 399 38 Mousa, H. R. and Abdel-Aziz, S. M.. Comparative response of drought tolerant and
400 drought sensitive maize genotypes to water stress. Australian Journal of Crop Science.
401 2008; 1: 31-36
- 402 39 Sarker, B. C., Hara, M. and Uemura, M.. Proline synthesis, physiological responses
403 and biomass yield of eggplants during and after repetitive soil moisture stress. Journal of
404 Science of Horticulture. 2005; 103: 387-402.
- 405 40 Hong, Z., Lakkineni, K., Zhang, Z. and Verma, D. P. S. Removal of feedback
406 inhibition of Δ^1 -pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase results in increased proline
407 accumulation and protection of plants from osmotic stress. Journal of Plant Physiology.
408 2000; 122: 1129-1136.
- 409 41 Kumar, V., Rani, A., Solanki, S. and Hussain, S. M.. Influence of growing
410 environment on the biochemical composition and physical characteristics of soybean seed.
411 Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. 2006; 19: 188-195.
- 412 42 Claussen, W.. Proline as a measure of stress in tomato plants. Journal of Plant
413 Science. 2005; 168: 241-248.
- 414 43 Kirnak, H., Kaya, C., Tas, I. and Higgs, D. The influence of water deficit on
415 vegetative growth, physiology, fruit yield and quality in eggplants. Bulgarian Journal of
416 Plant Physiology, 2001; 27(3–4): 34–46.

417 44Rubio, M. C., González, E. M., Minchin, F. R., Webb, K. J., Arrese-Igor, C., Ramos, J. and
418 Becana, M.. Effects of water stress on antioxidant enzymes of leaves and nodules of
419 transgenic alfalfa overexpressing superoxide dismutases. *Physiol. Plantarum*.(2002; 115:
420 531–540.

421 45 Pastori, G. M. and Foyer, C. H. Common components, networks, and pathways of
422 cross-tolerance to stress. The central role of “redox” and abscisic acid-mediated controls.
423 *Journal of Plant Physiology*.2002; 129: 460–468.

424 46 Kavi Kishore, P. B., Hong, Z., Miao, G. H., Hu, C. A. A. and Verma, D. P. S.
425 Overexpression of Δ -Pyroline-5-carboxylate synthetase increase proline production and
426 confers osmoltolerance in transgenic plants. *Journal of Plant Physiology*.1995; 108: 1387-
427 1394

428 47Hayashi, H., Alia Mustardy, L., Deshniem, P., Ida, M., Murata, N. Transformation
429 of *Arabidopsis thaliana* with the codA Gene for Chlorine oxidase: Accumulation of
430 Glycinbetaine and Enhanced Tolerance to Salt and Cold Stress. *Journal of Plants*.1997; 12:
431 133-142

432 48Yoshida, Y., Kiyosue, T., Nakashima, K., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., Shinozaki, K.
433 Regulation of Levels of Proline as an Osmolyte in Plants under Water Stress. *Journal of*
434 *Plant Cell Physiology*. 1997; 38:1095-1102

435 49 Hare, P. D., Cress, W. A. and Van Staden, J. Dissecting the roles of osmolyte
436 accumulation under stress. *Journal of Plant Cell and Environment*. 1998; 21: 535–553

437 50Ashraf, M. and Foolad, M. R. Role of glycine betaine and proline in
438 improving plant abiotic stress resistance. *Journal of Environmental and Experimental*
439 *Botany*.2007; 59(2): 206-216.

440