

3
4 **Prevalence Of Intestinal Parasitic infections Among**
5 **Inmates Of The New-Bell Central Prison, Cameroon**

6
7
8 **ABSTRACT**

9 Intestinal parasitic infections (IPIs) remain a public health issue in developing countries where
10 overcrowded settlements and poor sanitation are general rule. Due to paucity of IPIs data in known
11 overcrowded Cameroonian prisons, this cross-sectional study conducted in 2015 in the New-Bell
12 Central Prison (NBCP) aimed to establish biodiversity, prevalence and risk factors of intestinal
13 protozoan and helminthe infections among inmates.

14 Fresh stool samples collected from the NBCP volunteered inmates were laboratory examined
15 microscopically as fresh mounts plus iodine, Kato-Katz smears, formalin-ether concentration and
16 modified Ziehl-Nelsen stained sediments.

17 Of a total 374 inmates who participated in the study, overall IPIs prevalence was 39.3%. Helminthe
18 and protozoa prevalence was 16.6% and 24.6% respectively. Parasites species were recorded at
19 following prevalence: *Ascaris lumbricoides* (10.4%), *Trichuris trichiura* (5.1%), *Schistosoma mansoni*
20 (0.5%), *Entamoeba histolytica/dispar* (14.2%), *Entamoeba coli* (16.6%), *Giardia intestinalis* (7.2%),
21 *Chilomastix mesnili* (2.4%), *Blastocystis spp* (2.1%) and *Cryptosporidium* sp (4.3%). Co-infections by
22 two or three parasites were recorded among parasitized inmates.

23 Overall IPIs prevalence was not significantly influenced by gender, age, detention duration, education
24 level, handwashing practices, sanitation and drinking water source. However, highest IPIs prevalence
25 occurred in males aged 30 to 49 years old, less than one year detainees, latrine users and those who
26 drank borehole water. Systematic handwashing practices and education level did not influence
27 significantly IPIs prevalence. All helminthe infections were of light intensities.

28 Inmates in the New Bell central prison were parasitized by several species of protozoa and intestinal
29 worms in varying prevalence depending on the detention period, the sex, the age and hygiene. A
30 regular IPIs control among prison inmates was recommended to the NBCP managers to prevent
31 related morbidity.

32 **Key words:** *intestinal parasites, protozoa, helminthes, prevalence, inmates, New-Bell central prison,*
33 *Douala*

34
35 **INTRODUCTION.** Intestinal parasitic infections (IPIs) are among the most prevalent neglected
36 tropical diseases (NTDs) affecting one third of the world's population and rely mainly on poor hygiene
37 and sanitation living conditions [1]. Highest IPIs prevalence were reported mostly across sub-Saharan
38 Africa countries where transmission favoured primarily by scattered and overcrowded settlements
39 becomes aggravated by lack of safe drinking water and adequate hygiene practices, improper

40 sanitary habits, poor faecal disposal systems and poor socioeconomic status [2]. Despite significant
41 progress made in most African countries to improve sanitation and access to potable drinking water,
42 in 2012 only 74% and 45% of the Cameroonian population used improved drinking water sources and
43 improved sanitation respectively, the remaining population therefore used poor sanitation conditions
44 and doubtful drinking water source [3] therefore giving the way to poor hygiene-related infectious
45 diseases.

46 In 2002, the human rights reported overcrowding in Cameroonian prisons with an approximate 450%
47 population increase than their normal capacity [4,5]. Such high increase in prison population likely
48 worsened living conditions to below acceptable standard and aggravated health problems by
49 contributing to the spread of hygiene-related communicable diseases such as intestinal parasitic
50 infections. The high numbers of persons per unit space create inadequate or poor nutritional quality,
51 and overall low-living standards compared to the general population. Inmates may therefore have
52 limited access to basic potable drinking (clean) water as demand increases, poor sanitation and
53 hygiene conditions in the prison through lack or insufficient waste disposals and convenient latrines.
54 Such unhealthy conditions may therefore favour open air defecation, poor handwashing practices
55 before eating or after defecation in the prison area.

56 Hygiene-related intestinal parasitic infections data made available in prisons from some African
57 countries indicated high overall prevalence of IPIs always over 70% at Ouagadougou [6], **some**
58 **Nigerian prisons namely Keffi prison, Owerri prison and Jos Central Prison** [7,8,9,10] and Ethiopia
59 [11]. In Kajang Prison, Selangor, Malaysia an overall 26.5% IPIs prevalence was reported among
60 inmates [12]. Depending on the laboratory diagnostic techniques used, intestinal parasite found in
61 stool samples in either studies belonged to various protozoa and/or helminthes species and were
62 recovered singly or in combination. Such reports on intestinal parasitic infections in any Cameroonian
63 prison were not available in the literature. Thus an evidence-based IPI's control strategy could not be
64 recommended so far. However, previous hospital-based and community-based studies indicated
65 variable prevalence of IPIs among residents of the Douala city [13,14].

66 This study thus aimed to assess the prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections including protozoa
67 and helminthes infections **among** inmates of one the biggest prison in Cameroon, the New Bell central
68 prison which is located in Douala metropolis. As IPIs may have significant health impact on the
69 affected subjects, knowledge on their prevalence and major favouring factors will enable recommend
70 specific IPIs control safeguard in the New-Bell central prison as well as other prisons in Cameroon.

71 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

72 **Study type, time and place.** This was a cross-sectional study carried out from December 2014 to
73 May 2015 in the New-Bell Central Prison. The New-Bell Central Prison is located in the New-Bell
74 health area in Douala town and is of the biggest prison among the 10 central prisons in the Cameroon
75 territory. This prison was ranked as a central prison according to a classification made by "The African
76 Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights (ACHPR)" in 2002 [4]. This ACHPPR classification
77 distinguished three main categories of prisons in Cameroon namely central prisons which are located
78 in the capital city of the Regions, principal prisons which are linked to magistrate courts

79 accommodating all categories including pre-trial prisoners, and secondary prisons which only
80 accommodate sentenced prisoners and are spread across the country [4].

81 The New-Bell Central Prison was constructed in the years 50th to host a maximum of 800 prisoners
82 [15,16]. At the time this assessment study was conducted, the New-Bell Central Prison hosted 3002
83 inmates according to census data received from the prison's authority. This population included 12
84 less than 18 years old prisoners named juveniles, 39 female inmates and 2951 adult males. The New-
85 Bell central infrastructures were mostly dilapidated despite some repairs by NOGs.

86 The national observatory for human rights defines a detainee as any person punished by its society's
87 law for misconduct [15]. In the New-Bell Central Prison, males and females inmates were separated,
88 each sex occupying a sector also called quarter. The men's sector was divided into sub-sectors
89 namely minors, eldest persons, previous administrators also named VIP (very important persons),
90 disabled inmates, and an interior main hall for homeless inmates. Inmates in the main hall were the
91 greatest number of prisoners maintained in open air conditions and subjected to any poor living
92 conditions. Access to potable water was limited to five tap water points. Sanitation conditions were
93 made of one toilet for each quarter therefore limiting waste disposals and likely favouring open air
94 defecation. The interior main hall of the New-Bell Central Prison was usually flooded after heavy
95 rains. The New-Bell Central Prison had a health centre with a pharmacy. However, heavy suffering
96 detainees were transferred to reference hospitals in case of necessity [16].

97 Douala town itself is the economic capital of Cameroon and is located close to the Atlantic Ocean in
98 the gulf of Guinea. Douala has a equatorial climate with four seasons including a greater dry season
99 from November to March, a small rainy season which extend from March to June, a small dry season
100 from June to August and a greater rainy season which extends from August to November. Mean
101 annual ambient temperature was 26°C.

102 **Ethics.** Prior to starting the study, an ethical clearance (issued under the registration number CEI-
103 UD/084/02/2015/T), a research authorization and institutional authorization were secured from the
104 Douala University ethical review board, the Littoral Regional Delegation of Public Health and the
105 Manager of the New-Bell Central Prison respectively. A meeting was then held with the medical staff
106 of the prison, prisoners guards, the leaders of each prison's quarter and the study investigators during
107 which the research investigator presented and explained the study aim and protocol. A recruitment
108 calendar was then arranged together with the medical staff of the prison and prisoners guards.
109 Leaders of the prison's headquarters were asked to explain the aim of the research aim and
110 procedure to their mates. After inmates had the study information, investigators were therefore
111 allowed to face them for data collection. At each data collection date, research investigators were
112 accompanied by prison wardens and a member of the prison's medical staff who provided protection
113 and assistance.

114 **Study criteria.** Only volunteered inmates of the New-Bell Central Prison irrespective to gender, age,
115 reason of detention and detention duration who signed the study consent form, responded to the
116 study questionnaire and provided an adequate stool sample were included in the study. Visitors,
117 prison staff were excluded from the study.

118 **Data collection.** Each volunteer inmate of the New Bell Central Prison who filled the study criteria
119 had to response to a questionnaire and after provided an adequate stool sample. The study
120 questionnaire sought demographic information and hygiene practices. Demographic data sought were
121 age, sex, time spent in the jail (also termed as detention duration) and educational level. Hygiene
122 practices referred to systematic handwashing before eating or after defecation, toilet type used for
123 defecation, drinking water source and walking barefooted practices. A pre-labelled screw cap plastic
124 container was then handed out to each participant and the later was asked to provide a thumb-sized
125 fresh stool sample early in the following day morning. Stool containing containers were collected
126 before 10 am and the fresh faecal samples were readily transferred to the parasitology laboratory of
127 the Faculty of Medicine and Pharmaceutical Sciences within 2 to 4 hours post-collection for laboratory
128 analysis.

129 Each stool sample was investigated in laboratory for possible parasites as fresh mount plus lugol's
130 iodine, thick smear according to Kato-katz method and sediment from centrifuged formalin-ether
131 concentration as described by Cheesbrough [17]. Protozoan cysts were confirmed after adding iodine
132 on fresh mount as well as formalin-ether concentrated sediment. The Kato-Katz technique was used
133 for helminthe eggs counting as number of eggs per gram of stool (epg). *Cryptosporidium* sp oocysts
134 were diagnosed after staining each formalin-ether concentration derived sediment by the modified
135 Ziehl-Neelsen technique. Processed stool samples were appropriately examined under light
136 microscope by experienced technicians and the investigators for the presence of intestinal parasites.
137 Data were analyzed using the software STATA CPRO/SE, the Chi-square test for statistical analysis
138 considering a p-value less than 0.05 as statistically significant.

139

140 **RESULTS**

141 A total 374 inmates who provided adequate stool sample were included in the study. As shown in
142 table I, 95.5% participants were males, less than 18 years old inmates were the least represented
143 group and inmates aged between 18 to 49 years were the most represented groups.

144 **Intestinal parasites biodiversity recorded in stool samples.** Tables I and II indicated that 9
145 intestinal parasites species were diagnosed during the study. These parasites belonged to protozoa
146 and helminthe. These intestinal parasites belonged to four biological classes namely Amoeba,
147 Flagellates, Nematodes and Trematodes. Protozoa species were diagnosed as cysts and for some
148 species also as trophozoites whereas helminthes parasites were diagnosed only as eggs stage.
149 Protozoa species were *Giardia intestinalis*, *Entamoeba histolytica/dispar*, *Entamoeba coli*, *Chilomastix*
150 *mesnili*, *Blastocystis hominis* and *Cryptosporidium* sp. Helminthe parasites belonged to 3 species
151 namely *Ascaris lumbricoides*, *Trichuris trichiura*, *Schistosoma mansoni*.

152 **Overall IPis prevalence.** As indicated in the tables I and table II, 147 inmates had intestinal
153 parasites in their stool sample owing an overall prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections was
154 39.3%. Prevalence of protozoa and helminthe infections was 24.6% and 16.6% respectively. Mixed
155 infections by helminthes or protozoa as well as by protozoa and helminthes were diagnosed in some
156 inmates stool samples. Co-infections recorded were *E.coli* + *A.lumbricoides*, *G.intestinalis* +

157 *T.trichiura*, *E.histolytica/dispar* + *A.lumbricoides*, *E.histolytica/dispar* + *T.trichiura* and *E.coli* +
158 *S.mansoni*. Prevalence of each of the co-infection was 0.5%.

159 One inmate (0.3%) harboured a co-infection by three parasite species namely *E.coli* + *G.intestinalis* +
160 *A.lumbricoides*.

161 **Prevalence of IPIs according to gender and age groups.** Table I indicated that age and gender did
162 not significantly influenced IPIs among inmates. However, prevalence of intestinal infections was
163 significantly different between males and females inmates, males always bearing higher infection
164 prevalence than females. This trend was identical when considering specific infections except the
165 cases of *G.intestinalis*, *Cryptosporidium* sp and *T.trichiura* infections in which female inmates had
166 higher infection prevalence than males.

167 According to age, inmates aged between 18 years and 49 years always had higher overall prevalence
168 of infection by protozoa as well as helminthes infections than juvenile and older inmates. Also,
169 considering specific infection, inmates aged less than 18 years and those aged over 50 years were
170 frequently less parasitized.

171 **Influence of jailed time in the New-Bell Central prison.** Inmates who spent less than 1 year
172 in the NBCP were the most represented group (56.9%). Those who had spent more than 10 years in
173 the prison were the least represented group (3.5%). Neither overall infection prevalence, nor any
174 specific intestinal parasite infection was significantly influenced by the jailed time in the NBCP ($\chi^2=$
175 1.0; df2, p = 0.05). Inmates who had spent less than one year in the NBCP had the highest infection
176 prevalence (41.3%) whereas those who spent more than 10 years in the prison had the lowest
177 infection prevalence (7.6%). Overall prevalence of protozoa infections was also highest but not
178 statistically significant in inmates who spent less than one year in the NBCP. Overall helminthe
179 prevalence was highest among inmates who spent between 1 year and 10 year in the NBCP.
180 Considering specific infection, inmates who spent 1year to 10 years in the NBCP, prevalence of
181 *E.histolytica/dispar* and *G.intestinalis* infection showed highest prevalence of protozoa infections while
182 the highest prevalence of helminthe infections was recorded in *A.lumbricoides* infected inmates.

183 **Influence of education level on IPIs prevalence.** According to school attendance, inmates
184 were either illiterate or attended primary, secondary or higher education level. Inmates with a
185 secondary education level were the most represented group (64.4%). There was no significant
186 influence of educational level on IPIs prevalence ($\chi^2=$ 2.4; df3, p= 0.05). IPIs prevalence was
187 however highest among primary level educated inmates (68.2%) whereas inmates who higher
188 education level had the least IPIS prevalence (3.3%). When addressing specific parasite infection,
189 inmates who attended only primary or secondary school had the higher infection prevalence than the
190 other groups.

191 **Influence of handwashing practices and drinking water source.** According to handwashing
192 practices before eating and after defecation, inmates who declared systematically washing hands
193 before eating and after defecation were the most represented groups (73.5% and 74.6%
194 respectively). As shown in table II, highest overall IPIs prevalence was recorded among inmates who
195 systematically washed hands before eating and those who did not systematically wash hands after
196 defecation. Prevalence in specific infections showed similar trend with highest prevalence of infection

197 by either protozoa or helminthe recorded in inmates who reported not systematically washing hands
198 before eating.

199 According to drinking water source, inmates who participated in the study drank water from tap and/or
200 borehole or exclusively mineral water. Those who drank tap water were the most represented group
201 (97.6%). IPIs were recorded in either inmate group. The highest overall prevalence of IPIs was
202 recorded among inmates who drank water from borehole (44.4%). Also, prevalence of helminthe and
203 protozoa infections was highest in inmates water from borehole (17.5% and 26.9% respectively). All
204 inmates who drank exclusively mineral water were infected by a protozoa or a helminthe parasite.

205 *Entamoeba coli* showed the highest protozoa infection prevalence (29.8%) among inmates who
206 exclusively mineral water; whereas *T.trichiura* and *A.lumbricoides* prevalences were highest but
207 similar prevalence among inmates who drank water from borehole.

208 Participants who reported walking sometimes barefooted represented 13.4% of study sample.
209 *Schistosoma mansoni* was the only percutaneous infecting helminthe found in stool samples.
210 *Schistosoma mansoni* infection occurred in one inmate owing a 0.5%.

211 **Helminthe infection loads.** Mean *A.lumbricoides* and *T.trichiura* parasitic loads were 331 eggs
212 per gram of faeces (epg) each. Parasitic loads among inmates infected by *A.lumbricoides* or
213 *T.trichiura* ranged between 48 epg to 1536 epg of faeces and 48 epg to 552 epg of faeces
214 respectively indicating overall light intensities of infection. Parasitic load for *S. mansoni* ranged
215 between 96 and 384 epg of faeces (mean 240 epg of faeces).

216 217 **DISCUSSION**

218 This study aimed to establish the biodiversity, prevalence and identify main risk factors of intestinal
219 protozoa and helminthe infections among inmates of the New-Bell central prison in Douala,
220 Cameroon. Intestinal parasites recorded in this study belonged to protozoan and helminthes namely
221 *E.histolytica/dispar*, *E. coli*, *G.intestinalis*, *Chilomastix mesnili*, *Blastocystis hominis*, *Cryptosporidium*
222 sp, *Iso spora* sp, *A.lumbricoides*, *T.trichuira* and *S. mansoni*. Among these parasites species
223 identified, some are known highly harmful to human being and others less pathogenic. Also, all the
224 parasites were of the most common species commonly found in stool samples in Cameroon and most
225 African countries in community-based as well as hospital-based studies. Studies among inmates in
226 Keffi and Owerri prisons reported the same protozoa parasites species exception of *Chilomastix*
227 *mesnili* and *Blastocystis hominis* [7,8]. In a previous study focussed on laboratory analysis of stool
228 samples from both HIV positive and HIV negative adult male inmates in Kajang Prison in Malaysia,
229 both study groups harboured *Blastocystis* sp., *Strongyloides stercoralis*, *Entamoeba* spp.,
230 *Cryptosporidium* spp., *Giardia* spp., and *T.trichiura* as the major intestinal parasites using Kato-katz,
231 formaline-ether concentration and Ziehl-Nelsen stained formalin ether-concentrated sediment with
232 no statistical influence of HIV infection status [12]. Concerning helminthe infections, a greater
233 diversity was reported in 2014 in the Jos prison in Nigeria [9] and the Shewa Robit prison in Ethiopia
234 [11] with an additional occurrence of hookworm, *S.stercoralis* and *Taenia* sp. The greater biodiversity
235 reported in the Nigerian and Ethiopian prisons may be due to additional specific techniques used
236 namely Willis flotation technique, Graham tape test technique. IPIs parasites recorded in the New-Bell

237 prison area show more parasites species than community-based [7] and hospital-based [6] studies
238 recorded which did not found *Chilomastix mesnili* and *Blastocystis hominis* in the Douala town in 2013
239 and 2010 respectively.

240 Beyond the biodiversity, **parasites co-infections** by two or three intestinal parasites were recorded
241 within the same inmates. Such parasites co-infections though at low prevalence indicated a risk to
242 acquire **multiple** IPIs in the New-Bell central prison setting. Some of the parasites **co-infections** found
243 were between known pathogenic parasites like *Entamoeba histolytica/dispar*-*Giardia intestinalis*,
244 *Entamoeba histolytica/dispar*-*Ascaris lumbricoides* and *Giardia intestinalis*-*Ascaris lumbricoides*.
245 Such combination may likely result to **development of** clinical symptoms. Such intestinal polyparasitic
246 infections were also reported in stool samples from inmates in the Nigerian prison [7], the Ethiopian
247 prison [11] and the Malaysian prison [12].

248 The overall IPIs prevalence in New-Bell central prison was lower than reports from Nigerian prisons
249 namely the Keffi prison in 2006 [7], the Owerri prison [8], as well as the Ouagadougou prison in
250 Burkina-Faso [6] and the Shewa Robit prison in Ethiopia [11] where IPIs prevalence was always over
251 70%. Prevalence of IPIs in the New-Bell central prison was however higher than recent report from
252 inmates in the Kajang prison, Selangor, Malaysia where in 2015 an overall 26.5% IPIs prevalence
253 was reported among inmates [12]. **These differences may not be due to laboratory techniques used**
254 **since the studies undergone in Nigerian prisons, the Burkina-Faso prison and Ethiopia combined**
255 **fresh mount and formol-ether concentration. Additional specific techniques were used in the study**
256 **undergone in the Ouagadougou prison namely Willis and Graham tape test.** Interestingly, IPIs
257 prevalence in the New-Bell prison setting was almost twofold high than overall prevalence previously
258 reported from community-based [13] and hospital-based [14] studies in the Douala city. Such data
259 indicated that inmates in the prison area were likely to acquire IPIs than subjects living outside of the
260 prison or a lack of frequent management of infected inmates or that may be related to poor hygiene
261 living conditions in the prison compared to standard. In fact, as indicated in material and methods
262 section, the majority of the inmates in the New-Bell central prison are poor and homeless with limited
263 access to potable water as well as sanitation. Such living conditions likely favoured poor handwashing
264 practices before eating or after defecation in the prison area and also favoured open air defecation.
265 As the interior main hall of the New-Bell central prison was usually flooded after heavy rains, parasitic
266 infections among prisoners will be aggravated as the floods will spread parasites from any open air
267 defecation.

268 **Influencing factors** on the IPIs prevalence were sometimes controversial among African prisons. Data
269 recorded this study indicated highest IPIs prevalence in male inmates than females, young inmates
270 and those who spent less than one year in the New-Bell central prison. Data according to gender
271 corroborated trend from recent findings among inmates in Maiduguri prison in 2013 [18] and Jos
272 Prison [9] in Nigeria who reported IPIs only among male inmates but were in accordance with data
273 recorded in 2008 in Owerri prison in Nigeria who reported higher IPIs among female inmates than
274 males [8]. Such lesser IPIs prevalence among female inmates of the New-Bell central prison may be
275 due to better cleaner living environment found by the study investigators in their quarter compared to
276 the open air quarters of most homeless male inmates. However, highest IPIs prevalence and

277 parasites biodiversity recorded among less than 50 years old inmates was in general main trend in all
278 African prisons as indicated in reports from some Nigerian prisons namely Jos, Owerri and Keffi
279 prisons and in Honduras prison [7,8,9,19]. Occurrence of highest IPIs prevalence among younger
280 inmates may be due to the fact that they were the predominantly open air inhabitant and seemed
281 mostly financially deprived.

282 This study data also indicated higher IPIs prevalence among inmates who spent less than one year in
283 the prison compared to other groups corroborate reports from data other prisons where newly jailed
284 inmates were all parasitized in the Nigerian Keffi and Maiduguri prisons [7,18]. Such high parasitic
285 infections frequency may either indicate that they were infected before the custody or also be a result
286 of the almost despaired often reported among newly jailed persons who may abandon major hygiene
287 practices regulation.

288 According to education level, data recorded in this study indicating lower IPIs prevalence among
289 illiterate inmates than literates was an unexpected observation since literacy has often been
290 considered as a factor of good hygiene practice adherence. We could not find an explanation to such
291 data as it did not corroborate reports from a community-based investigation in the Douala town a year
292 before which found illiterates bearing higher IPIs prevalence compared to literates [13].

293 Data indicated higher IPIs prevalence among inmates who did not systematically wash hands before
294 eating or after defecation compared to those who systematically washed were relevant therefore
295 calling for improvement of hygiene practices among inmates. Good handwashing practices before
296 eating and after defecation remains the main tool recommended for IPIs prevention in endemic areas
297 [3].

298 Data from this study call for the New-Bell central prison workers to improve drinking water quality from
299 tap and borehole since these two groups were predominant and had the greater number of
300 parasitized inmates. Those who declared drinking exclusively mineral water were also parasitized.

301 Although *Schistosoma mansoni* was recorded in this study, this percutaneous transmitted intestinal
302 parasitic infection could not to be transmitted in the prison area where only temporary pocket
303 waterbodies established after rainfall were sometimes found. These waterbodies dried some hours
304 after rainfall. No other percutaneous parasitic infection was recorded in this study therefore not
305 corroborating data from stool samples analysis collected from inmates in the Jos Prison in Nigeria
306 where significant *Ancylostoma duodenale*, *S. mansoni* and *Strongyloides stercoralis* infections were
307 reported [9].

308 IPIs transmission risk factors included in this study were not the only which could be investigated.
309 Other living practices like eating raw, uncooked or unwashed food as well as person to person
310 transfer through handshake might be regarded as a probable source of intestinal parasitic infections
311 especially protozoan infections among inmates of the NBCP area. Also, overcrowding mentioned in
312 the prison likely worsen waste disposal also favouring hygiene-related parasitic infections.

313 **Protozoa infections prevalence.** Protozoa infections biodiversity recorded in this study was
314 higher than earlier data reported in other African prisons unlike in Nigerian prisons [7,8,9],
315 Ouagadougou prison [6] and the Ethiopian prison[11]. However pathogenic intestinal protozoa
316 infections were also reported in these African prisons indicating a widespread of such IPIs. Of the

317 protozoa infections identified, *E coli*, *C.mesnili* and *B.hominis* are known non pathogenic whereas the
318 others namely *E.histolytica*, *G.intestinalis* are known pathogenic. Presence of *E.histolytica*
319 trophozoites stages indicated therefore that the carrier inmates were experiencing a patent
320 amoebiasis. *Giardia* sp infections prevalence recorded was higher than data from previous studies in
321 two quarters of Douala town [13]. *Cryptosporidium* sp recorded in this study from inmates stool
322 samples have not yet been reported in previous studies in other African prisons. This intestinal
323 Sporozoa is usually considered as opportunistic in HIV patients indicating that they may likely worsen
324 the morbidity stage in case of HIV infections in these subjects. Prevalence of intestinal protozoa
325 infections was lower than earlier reports in the Owerri Nigerian prison [8].

326 **Intestinal helminthe infection prevalence.** Of helminthe species recorded in this study, *Ascaris*
327 *lumbricoides* infections were the most frequent as in general rule from many epidemiological studies
328 in tropical areas [2]. *Trichuris trichuira* which is always considered as a less pathogenic intestinal
329 helminthe parasite was less prevalent.

330 Overall intestinal helminthes infections prevalence was high than data reported in some African
331 prisons namely Jos prison [9,10] and Ouagadougou prison [6] but was some twofold to threefold
332 lesser than prevalence reported in other Nigerian prisons namely Keffi prison [7] and Owerri prison[8]
333 in 2006 and 2008 respectively. These higher helminthes infections prevalence may have been due to
334 additional specific techniques used by the authors namely the Willis flotation and Graham tape test
335 techniques. Overall intestinal helminthes infection prevalence in the New-Bell central prison was
336 however higher than previous data from community-based and hospital-based studies in Douala main
337 town [13,14] indicating existence of favouring factors in the NBCP area. Helminthes infection
338 prevalence in the New-Bell central prison though of light intensity infection need special attention from
339 the prison medical staff for periodic management of intestinal parasitic infection. *Schistosoma*
340 *mansoni* infection recorded in this study could not have any explanation linked to the prison
341 environment since standing waterbodies found in the prison yard resulted from the rain and dry up
342 rapidly before the next day.

343

344 **CONCLUSION.** Data from this study sorted the vulnerability of the New-Bell central prison inmates
345 to IPIs, the high diversity of parasitic infections among the inmates, and poor living conditions which
346 likely aggravated the intestinal parasites infection process. These data which can be generalized to
347 almost all prisons in Cameroon call for the New-Bell central prison manager and the prisons
348 authorities in the whole country to improve living conditions of inmates such limitation of
349 overcrowding, increase clean water supply and sanitation access which will in turn limit poor hygiene
350 related infections such as IPIs. Also, a control scheme for intestinal parasitic infections through
351 regular administration of antiprotozoa and antihelminthic drugs may be implemented in completion of
352 water and sanitation access.

353 **Ethical approval**

354 Prior to starting the study, an ethical clearance (issued under the registration number CEI-
355 UD/084/02/2015/T), a research authorization and institutional authorization were secured from the

356 Douala University ethical review board, the Littoral Regional Delegation of Public Health and the
357 Manager of the New-Bell Central Prison respectively.

358 **Consent**

359 **Not applicable**

360 REFERENCES

- 361 1. World Health Organization. Soil-transmitted helminthiases: eliminating soil-transmitted
362 helminthiases as a public health problem in children: progress report 2001-2010 and strategic plan
363 2011-2020 WHO 2011.
- 364 2. Hotez PJ, Bundy DAP, Beegle K, Brooker S, Drake L et al. Helminth infections: soil-transmitted
365 helminth infections and schistosomiasis. In: Jamison DT, Breman JG, Measham AR, et al., editors.
366 Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries. 2nd edition. Washington (DC): World Bank; 2006.
367 Chapter 24, pages 467-482.
- 368 3. World Health Organization 2014. World health statistics 2014.
- 369 4. The African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights. Prisons in Cameroon: report of the
370 special rapporteur on prisons and conditions of detention in Africa. Report to the Government of the
371 Republic of Cameroon on the visit of the Special Rapporteur on Prisons and Conditions of Detention
372 in Africa from 2 to 15 September 2002. ACHPR/37/OS/11/437 1
- 373 5. Sarkin J. Prisons in Africa: an evaluation from a human rights perspective. International Journal on
374 Human Rights, 2008, N° 9, São Paulo, December 2008.
- 375 6. Zida A, Sangare I, Bamba S, Sombie I, Traore LK, Coulibaly S et al. Intestinal parasites in
376 prisoners in Ouagadougou (Burkina Faso). *Medecine et Sante Tropicales* 2014 Nov, 24(4) : 383-387.
377 [in French]
- 378 7. Amuga G, Usman D, Onwuliri C. Human intestinal parasites among inmates of Keffi prison,
379 Nasarawa State, Nigeria. *Inter Jr of Nat Appl Sces* 2006. Vol. 2(1): 7-11
- 380 8. Okolie N. Intestinal parasites distribution among inmates of Owerri prison. *The Internet J Parasitic*
381 *Dis* 2008, 4(1). DOI:10.5580/1se 7.
- 382 9. Mamman A, Reuben C. Intestinal helminthiasis among inmates of Jos prison, Plateau State,
383 Nigeria. *World Journal of Biology and Biological Sciences*, July 2014, 2 (4), pp. 067-071. Available
384 online at <http://wsrjournals.org/journal/wjbbs>
- 385 10. Ishaleku D, Mamman AS. Co-Infection of Malaria and Helminthes Infection among Prison
386 inmates. *Journal of Microbiology Research and Reviews*. 2014 Jan.Vol. 2(1): 1-5.
- 387 11. Mamo H. Intestinal parasitic infections among prison inmates and tobacco farm workers in Shewa
388 Robit, north-central Ethiopia. *Plos One*. 2014 Jun 13;9(6)

389 **12.** Angal L, Mahmud R, Samin S, Yap NJ, Ngui R, Amir A, Ithoi I, Kamarulzaman A, Al Lim Y.
390 Determining intestinal parasitic infections (IPIs) in inmates from Kajang Prison, Selangor, Malaysia for
391 improved prison management. *BMC Infect Dis* 2015 Oct29; 15: 467. Doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1178-
392 3.

393 **13.** Kuete T, Yemeli FLS, ESSONO MVOA E, NKOA T, MOYOU SOMO R, SAME EKOBO A.
394 Prevalence and risk factors of intestinal helminth and protozoa Infections in an urban setting of
395 Cameroon: the case of Douala. *American Journal of Epidemiology and Infectious Disease*, vol. 3, no.
396 2 (2015): 36-44. Doi: 10.12691/ajeid-3-2-4.

397 **14.** Lehman LG, Kouodjip L, Bilong Bilong CF. Diagnostic des parasitoses intestinales à l'aide de la
398 microscopie à fluorescence. *Médecine d'Afrique Noire*. 2012;59(7):377-85.

399 **15.** Cameroon National Human Rights Observatory. Report on human rights situation: report of the
400 National Human Rights Observatory 2008-2010; P 34 [in French].

401 **16.** Christian Action for torture abolition (ACAT)-Littoral. Humanisation of detention conditions in
402 Cameroon: Imperative to adopt alternatives penalties to imprisonment. Report on situation in
403 Cameroon prisons, December 2011; p 29. [in French]

404 **17.** Cheesbrough M. *Medical Laboratory Manual for Tropical Countries*. 2nd Edition 2000, (11).
405 Cambridge University Press. p.605

406 **18.** Colman S, Mangoro Z, Isa L. Incidence of intestinal and urinary parasites among prison inmates.
407 *Acad J Microbiol Res* 2013. 1(1):011-015. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15413/ajmr.2012.0103>

408 **19.** Schapiro M, Molina JJ. Intestinal parasitism among the inmates of the Central Penitentiary,
409 Tegucigalpa, Honduras. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1959. 53 (3): 270-277.

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418 Table I. Prevalence of intestinal parasites carriage according to gender, age groups, detention duration and education level

Infection type	Total	GENDER		AGE GROUPS (years)				DETENTION DURATION (years)				EDUCATION LEVEL						
		M	F	P	< 18	18-30	31- 49	≥ 50	< 1	1-10	>10	P	Illiterate	Primary	Colleg e	High er	P	
Sample size	374	357	17	P	9	150	185	30	P	213	148	13		18	85	241	30	
Overall prevalence	39.3	39.7	29.4	0.46	11.1	42.7	43.8	3.3	0.72	41.3	39.2	7.6	0.7	5.5	68.2	36.1	3.3	0.0
Protozoa	24.6	24.9	17.6	0.61	11.1	26.0	28.1	0	0.64	27.7	22.3	0	0.6	5.5	14.1	32.4	3.3	0.4
Helminthes	16.6	17.1	11.8	0.61	11.1	14.0	21.1	3.3	0.47	15.5	18.9	7.3	0.6	0	22.3	17.4	3.3	0.3
G.intestinalis	7.2	6.7	17.6	0.40	0	7.3	8.6	0	0.47	9.4	4.7	0	0.2	0	3.5	9.9	0	0.3
E. coli	16.6	17.1	5.9	0.96	0	23.3	14.6	0	0.57	4.3	1.1	0.2	0.1	0	8.2	22.8	0	0.0
E. histolytica	14.2	14.8	0	0.22	11.1	18.7	13.0	0	0.92	13.6	16.2	0	0.2	0	9.4	18.7	0.8	0.6
C. mesnili	2.4	2.5	0	0.82	0	2.7	2.7	0	0.79	2.8	2.0	0	0.4	0	0	3.7	0	0.3
B. hominis	2.1	2.2	0	0.82	0	4.0	1.1	0	0.66	2.8	1.3	0	0.6	0	1.1	2.9	0	0.0
Cryptosporidium	4.3	4.2	5.9	0.36	0	4.7	4.9	0	0.8	5.2	3.4	0	0.3	5.5	5.9	3.7	0	0.4
A.lumbricoides	10.4	16.5	0	0.15	0	9.3	12.9	3.3	0.16	9.8	11.5	7.6	0.8	0	14.1	11.2	0	0.7
T.trichiura	5.1	4.8	11.8	0.32	0	7.3	4.3	0	0.82	5.2	6.1	0	0.6	0	7.0	6.2	3.3	0.4
S. mansoni	0.5	0.6	0	0.75	0	0.3	0.2	0	0.96	0.5	0.7	0	0.9	0	1.1	0	0	0.8

419 M: male; F: female; *A.lumbricoides*: *Ascaris lumbricoides* ; *T. trichiura*: *Trichuris trichiura* ; *S. mansoni*: *Schistosoma mansoni*. *E.histolytica*:
420 *Entamoeba histolytica*. *E. coli*: *Entamoeba coli*. *G.intestinalis*: *Giardia intestinalis*. *C. mesnili*: *Chilomastix mesnili*. *B. hominis*: *Blastocystis*
421 *hominis*.

422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429

430

431

432 Table II. Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infection according to handwashing practices, sanitation type used, drinking water source

	Overall	Handwashing practices						Sanitation type			Drinking water type*			
		Before eating			After defecation			Modern	Latrine	Tap	Borehole	Mineral water		
		Yes	No	P	Yes	No	P						P	
Sample size	374	275	99	P	279	95	P	48	326	P	365	63	47	P
Overall prevalence	39.3	40.4	36.4	0.06	36.5	47.4	0.08	25.0	44.5	0.07	33.7	44.4	40.4	0.5
Protozoa	24.6	20.7	35.3	0.07	19.7	38.9	0.06	18.7	25.5	0.08	18.3	26.9	29.8	0.4
Helminthe	16.6	14.9	21.2	0.06	12.9	27.4	0.06	16.7	16.7	0.07	12.9	17.5	19.1	0.3
<i>Giardia intestinalis</i>	7.2	4.4	15.1	0.79	6.4	9.5	0.25	8.3	7.0	0.78	5.2	9.5	6.4	0.6
<i>E. coli</i>	16.6	8.4	39.4	0.42	10.4	34.7	0.02	22.9	15.6	0.12	10.1	19.0	29.8	0.4
<i>E. histolytica</i>	14.2	9.8	41.1	0.14	12.2	20.0	0.77	8.3	15.0	0.87	9.9	17.5	14.9	0.7
<i>Chilomastix mesnili</i>	2.4	1.8	4.0	0.54	2.1	3.1	0.55	2.1	2.4	0.7	1.9	3.2	0	0.8
<i>Blastocystis hominis</i>	2.1	1.1	5.1	0.09	1.8	3.1	0.55	2.1	2.1	0.7	1.4	1.6	4.2	0.8
<i>Cryptosporidium sp</i>	4.3	2.5	9.1	0.4	0.3	10.5	0.04	4.2	4.3	0.8	1.9	11.1	4.2	0.7
<i>A.lumbricoides</i>	10.4	9.1	14.1	0.15	10.7	9.5	0.72	6.2	11.0	0.6	10.1	9.5	4.2	0.2
<i>Trichuris trichiura</i>	5.1	4.0	8.1	0.10	5.7	3.1	0.32	4.2	5.2	0.7	3.6	9.5	2.1	0.4
<i>S.mansoni</i>	0.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.5	NA	NA	NA	NA

433 *Some inmates drank water from different sources. NA: not applicable

434