Impacts of Artisanal Crude oil Refining Activities on Soil Microorganisms

Abstract

Aim: To evaluate the effect of illegal crude oil refining activities on soil microorganisms using standard microbiological methods.

Study design: This study employs laboratory experimental design, statistical analysis of the data and interpretation.

Place and Duration of Study: Soil samples were taken once a month for three months (May-July, 2018) from Ke in Degema Local Government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria, where illegal crude oil refining activities are ongoing.

Methodology: Using standard microbiological methods, total culturable heterotrophic bacterial counts, total fungal counts, HUB and fungal counts were analysed to evaluate the effect of the activities. Total hydrocarbon content of the soil samples was also analysed.

Results: The populations of the total heterotrophic bacterial, fungal and hydrocarbon utilizing bacterial (HUB) and fungal (HUF) counts of the contaminated soil were enumerated. The mean total heterotrophic bacterial counts in Station 1 around the pot ranged from 2.5 x 10^{5} to 1.8 x 10^{6}cfu/g, fungal counts ranged from 2.1 x 10^{3} to 4.4 x 10^{4}cfu/g, HUB(HUB) counts ranged from 4.2 x 10^{4} to 6.4 x 10^{5}cfu/g and hydrocarbon utilizing fungal (HUF) counts ranged from 1.5 x 10^{3} to 4.0 x 10^{3}cfu/g. The results of soil samples taken 20m away from the Pot location ranged from 7.0 x 10^{5} to 8.2 x 10^{6}cfu/g for total heterotrophic bacterial counts, fungal counts ranged from 2.3 x 10^{3} to 1.5 x 10^{4}cfu/g, HUB ranged from 4.7 x 10^{4} to 5.7 x 10^{5}cfu/g and HUF ranged from 2.0 x 10^{3} to 3.5 x 10^{3}cfu/g. Also, the results of total heterotrophic bacterial counts for Station 2 ranged from; 4.3 x 10^{5} to 3.3 x 10^{6}cfu/g, fungi 2.0 x 10^{3} to 3.3 x 10^{4}cfu/g, HUB ranged from 3.8 X 10^{4} to 5.4 x 10^{4}cfu/g and HUF 1.6 x 10^{3} to 3.5 x 10^{3}cfu/g, while 20m away from the Pot total heterotrophic bacteria ranged from 1.3 x 10^{7} to 6.5 x 10^{7}cfu/g, fungi 5.8 x 10^{3} to 1.4 x 10^{5}cfu/g, HUB 5.4 x 10^{4} to 1.1x 10^{5}cfu/g and HUF 3.1 x 10^{3} to 4.7 x 10^{4}cfu/g. While the control samples taken from inside the community where no such activity is on, ranged from 2.6 x 10^{7} to 7.9 x 10^{7}cfu/g for total heterotrophic bacteria counts, total heterotrophic fungal counts ranged from 2.8 x 10^{4} to 5.3 x 10^{4}cfu/g, HUB 2.0 x 10^{2} to 3.1 x 10^{2}cfu/g and HUF 2.0 x 10^{1} to 2.3 x 10^{1}cfu/g. twelve bacterial genera were identified and eight fungal genera: *Bacillus, Alcaligenes, Flavobacterium, Acinetobacter, Pseudomonas, Micrococcus, Proteus, Serratia, Enterobacter, Streptococcus, Escherichia*, *Staphylococcus*, *Penicillum, Aspergillus, Fusarium, Mucor, Rhizopus, Geotrichum, Candida*, and *Cladosporium*. Total hydrocarbon content range from 106 to 281mg/kg across the locations. When compared with the control, it was observed that the microbial population and diversity were adversely affected. These variations observed in the microbial population are indicative of the effect of the illegal refinery on the soil microorganisms.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Conclusion: The results of this study indicates that the continuous contamination of the soil environment by the activities of illegal crude oil refining, lead to a decrease in microbial population and diversity. This may result in devastating ecological damage, adversely affecting the ecological balance which may affect food chain and in turn animals and humans.

Keywords: illegal crude oil refining, soil bacteria, fungi, population, diversity

Introduction

The discovery and large scale production of crude oil in the Niger Delta region have exposed this region to great crude oil pollution challenge. This region in the past years have experienced the devastating effect of oil spills into both the terrestrial and aquatic environments(Chikere and Ekwuabu, 2014).This results from oil refining operations, transport, equipment failure, accident, bunkering activities and also illegal crude oil refining activities (Douglas, 2018). Research has shown that, between 200,000 – 300,000 barrels of oil are lost daily due to oil thefts out of which about 75% is sold offshore while the remaining 25% are refined locally (Obenade and Amangabara, 2014; Douglas, 2018). The soil ecosystem is directly affected since, most of these activities take place here, resulting in the discharge of crude oil and its products at various levels of refining and waste products released. These components greatly impact on plants, animals and microorganisms that depend on the nutrients in the soil for their survival. It reduces plant growth, affects aeration by blocking soil pores, thereby creating anaerobic conditions (Njoku *et al*., 2016). When crude oil is refined, various hydrocarbon fractions are produced, which have eco-toxicological impacts on the environment when spilled. These impacts include; reduction in biodiversity, changes in soil physicochemical characteristics, groundwater contamination, adverse effect on microflora, bioaccumulation and biomagnifications in environmental receptors, alteration of the habitat and cancer in humans (Obire and Anyanwu, 2009; Kalantary *et al*., 2014). Toxicity of these products varies, which depends on the concentration, composition, the prevailing environmental conditions and the biological state of the organism when the pollution occurs (Obire and Anyanwu, 2009).

Microorganisms play key role as indicators of the Health of aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. This is due to their availability, abundance, their rapid growth, and ease of testing, which have made them an important tool in pollution monitoring. Microorganisms are very sensitive to changes or fluctuations in their environment, which is why they are used as microbial indicators of pollution (Parmar *et al*., 2016). The increased input of crude oil and petroleum products into the environment have produced an enriched microbial community, which is able to survive in such contamination (Chikere *et al*., 2009). Microorganisms have the ability to respond to low levels of pollutants and other biological and physicochemical changes in the environment (Parmar *et al*., 2016). The microbial communities in the soil ecosystem are responsible for food chain/web, nutrient recycling and biodegradation.

Research has revealed that bacteria have the highest population in the soil, and they are most adapted to use hydrocarbon as a source of carbon and energy (Das and Chandran, 2011; Chikere et

UNDER PEER REVIEW

al., 2011; Kostka et al., 2011). Whenever, crude oil and petroleum products are spilled into the soil ecosystem, the microbial community structure is altered and diversity reduces due to environmental stress or alteration which results in the production of dominant populations within the altered communities which can withstand such contamination with improved substrate utilization and physiological abilities(Atlas and Philip, 2005; Kumar and Khanna, 2010, Chikere *et al*., 2009). This research was carried out to evaluate the impact of the illegal crude oil refining activities on soil microorganisms. The Ke axis of the Degema Local Government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria houses several illegal crude oil refining sites and also a market for the refined products and other oil businesses.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

The study was conducted in two illegal crude oil refinery sites (designated as Station 1 and 2) in Ke, Degema Local Government Area, of Rivers State, Nigeria. The GPS Coordinates for Station 1 is Location 04^{0} 45’ 33.6’’ N, 007^{0} 00’ 01. 0’’E, and Station 2 is 04^{0} 45’ 33.6’’ N, 007^{0} 00’ 01. 0’’E as shown in Fig 1.



Fig 1 : location of study site in Ke, Degema Local Government Area, Rivers State, Nigeria.

3.2. Scope of Study

This study was carried out between May and July, 2018. Soil samples were collected at about 0-15cm depth using a soil auger into sterile bags, from four different points around the Pots. Pot here refers to the fabricated aluminum tanks used in the distillation process. For Station 1 it is

114 designed as Pot 1 and soil samples bulked for homogeneity. Then, 20m away from the pot a
115 second set of soil samples were also taken. Same was done for Station 2, soil samples were taken
116 around the pot and 20m away from the pot (Pot 2). Control soil samples were taken inside the
117 community, away from the illegal refining sites. These samples were labeled properly and
118 immediately transported to the laboratory for analyses.

119

120 **Enumeration of Total Heterotrophic Bacteria**

121 To determine the total heterotrophic bacterial counts spread plate method was used on nutrient
122 agar. One gram of soil was taken from each soil sample and homogenized in 9mls of
123 physiological saline. An aliquot of 0.1ml of the dilutions of 10^{-4} and 10^{-5} were plated out on the
124 surface of the agar and evenly spread using a sterile hockey stick (spreader/inoculator). Plates
125 were incubated at 30°C for 24 hours. The colonies that developed on the plates were counted and
126 mean calculated for duplicate plates, results expressed in colony forming unit per gram (CFU/g)
127 (Douglas and Green, 2015).

128 **Enumeration of the Hydrocarbon Utilizing Bacterial Population**
129 HUBpopulations in the soil samples were enumerated using mineral salt agar. The vapour phase
130 transfer method using Mineral salt medium composition of Mills *et al*., 1978 was used as
131 modified by Okpokwasili and Okorie (1988). Aliquot (0.1ml) of the 10^{-4} to 10^{-5} dilutions,
132 previously obtained during the serial dilution of the soil samples, were inoculated in duplicates
133 on appropriately labeled mineral salt agar plates which was freshly prepared and dried. The
134 vapour phase transfer method in which a sterile Whatman No. 1 filter paper, placed on the lid of
135 the Petri plate is saturated with 5ml Bonny light crude oil. Plates were inverted and incubated for
136 7days at 30°C. Colonies were counted after incubation, average counts calculated for duplicate
137 plates and expressed as colony forming unit (CFU/g).
138 **Enumeration of Total Heterotrophic Fungi**
139 Spread plate method was used on Sabouraud Dextrose agar (SDA). An aliquot, 0.1ml of 10^{-3} and
140 10^{-4} dilutions were inoculated onto the freshly prepared SDA plates, in which 0.5% Ampicillin
141 has been added. This was done to inhibit bacterial growth while allowing the growth of fungi
142 (Cheesbrough, 2000). The inoculum was spread evenly using sterile hockey stick. Plates were
143 inverted and incubated at 28^0C for 5days. Colonies that developed on the plates were counted,
144 average counts on duplicate plates calculated and recorded as cfu/g.

145

146 **Enumeration of the Hydrocarbon Utilizing Fungi**
147 The MSA as composed by Mills *et al*., 1978 as modified by Okpokwasili and Okorie, (1988) to
148 which 5% tetracycline was added to prevent bacterial growth was used. This medium was used
149 for the isolation and enumeration of hydrocarbon utilizing fungi. From dilutions of 10^{-3} and 10^{-4},
150 0.1ml aliquot was transferred on the freshly prepared plates; evenly spread using the hockey
151 stick. The vapour phase transfer method in which a sterile Whatman No. 1 filter paper, placed on

UNDER PEER REVIEW

the lid of the Petri plate is saturated with 5ml Bonny light crude oil. Plates were inverted and incubated for 7days at 30°C. Colonies that developed on the plates after incubation were counted, average counts calculated for duplicate plates and expressed as colony forming unit (CFU/g) of soil.

Purification and characterization of Organisms

Discreet colonies that developed on the Nutrient and Mineral Salt agar plates were subcultured by streaking on Nutrient agar until pure cultures were obtained. Colonies on SDA and MSA for fungi were also subcultured by streaking on SDA until pure cultures were obtained. Pure cultures of bacterial and fungal isolates were preserved in bijou bottles containing nutrient and SDA slants respectively. The pure isolates were then refrigerated and were used for other analyses. The pure bacterial isolates were further investigated by carrying out routine microbiological analyses including; cultural and biochemical characteristics. The following test were done; Gram staining, cell motility, oxidase, indole and catalase production, citrate utilization, methyl Red-Voges Proskaeur test, acid/gas production from sugar fermentation, as described by Bergey's Manual for Determinative Bacteriology(Holt *et al*., 1994).

Identification of Fungal Isolates

The fungal isolates were identified basically by both macroscopic and microscopic examination. Macroscopic identification was done by observing the morphology of the pure cultures in the plates. The microscopy was done by removing a small portion and placing on clean grease free slide. Lactophenol blue was dropped on the slide and smeared, it was covered using a cover slip and viewed under x10 and x40 objective lens (Cheesebrough, 2000). The observed characteristics were recorded and compared with the identification key in Barnett and Hunter, (1972).

Determination of Total Hydrocarbon Content (THC)

Total Hydrocarbon Content (THC) analyses were carried out on all soil samples using spectrophotometric method. The total hydrocarbon content of the soil samples were determined by shaking 10g of a representative soil sample with 20ml xylene and the oil extracted determined by measuring the absorbance using a spectrophotometer at 420 nm using a spectronic 20. A standard curve of the absorbance of different concentrations of hydrocarbon concentration in the soil sample was measured in g/g after reference to a standard curve and multiplying by the appropriate multiplication factor (Nrior *et al*., 2017).

Results

The effect of illegal crude oil refining activities on soil microorganisms was investigated. The mean total heterotrophic bacterial counts in Station 1 around the pot ranged from 2.5 x 10^{5} to 1.8 x 10^{6}cfu/g, fungal counts ranged from 2.1 x 10^{3} to 4.4 x 10^{4}cfu/g, HUB counts ranged from 4.2 x 10^{4} to 6.4 x 10^{5}cfu/g and hydrocarbon utilizing fungal (HUF) counts ranged from 1.5 x 10^{3} to 4.0 x 10^{3}cfu/g. The results of soil samples taken 20m away from the Pot location ranged from 7.0 x

UNDER PEER REVIEW

191 10^5 to 8.2 x 10^6cfu/g for total heterotrophic bacterial counts, fungal counts ranged from 2.3 x 10^3
192 to 1.5 x 10^4cfu/g, HUB ranged from 4.7 x 10^4 to 5.7 x 10^5cfu/g and HUF ranged from 2.0 x 10^3
193 to 3.5 x 10^3cfu/g. Also, the results of total heterotrophic bacterial counts for Station 2 ranged
194 from; 4.3 x 10^5 to 3.3 x 10^6cfu/g, fungi 2.0 x 10^3 to 3.3 x 10^4cfu/g, HUB ranged from 3.8 X 10^4
195 to 5.4 x 10^4cfu/g and HUF 1.6 x 10^3 to 3.5 x 10^3cfu/g, while 20m away from the Pot total
196 heterotrophic bacteria ranged from 1.3 x 10^7 to 6.5 x 10^7cfu/g, fungi 5.8 x 10^3 to 1.4 x 10^4cfu/g,
197 HUB 5.4 x 10^4 to 1.1x 10^5cfu/g and HUF 3.1 x 10^3 to 4.7 x 10^4cfu/g. While the control samples
198 taken from inside the community where no such activity is on, ranged from 2.6 x 10^7 to 7.9 x
199 10^7cfu/g for total heterotrophic bacteria counts, total heterotrophic fungal counts ranged from 2.8
200 x 10^4 to 5.3 x 10^4cfu/g, HUB 2.0 x 10^2 to 3.1 x 10^2cfu/g and HUF 2.0 x 10^1 to 2.3 x 10^1cfu/g.
201 Mean values of counts are showed in Table 1.

202 Figures 1 and 2 reveal the distribution of the various group of organisms identified during the
203 period. In this study, twelve bacterial genera identified from the control site include: *Bacillus,*
204 *Alcaligenes, Flavobacterium, Acinetobacter, Pseudomonas, Micrococcus, Proteus, Serratia,*
205 *Enterobacter, Streptococcus, Escherichia*, and *Staphylococcus*. The following eight fungal
206 genera were identified form the control: *Penicillum, Aspergillus, Fusarium, Mucor, Rhizopus,*
207 *Geotrichum, Candida*, and *Cladosporium*. The most predominant bacterial species in the
208 uncontaminated soil sample were *Bacillus, Acinetobacter,* and *Pseudomonas* species. The
209 hydrocarbon utilizing bacteria from the control site include: *Bacillus, Pseudomonas,* and *Serratia*
210 species. In this study, the microbial diversity between the uncontaminated soil and the
211 contaminated soil samples were recorded (Table 2). In Station 1, the bacteria isolated around the
212 Pot were; *Flavobacterium* sp, *Micrococcus* sp, *Bacillus* sp, *Pseudomonas* sp and *Acinetobacter*
213 sp; and *Penicillum* sp, *Mucor* sp, *Rhizopus* sp and *Aspergillus* sp were the fungi isolated around
214 Pot 1. *Bacillus, Pseudomonas and Acinetobacter sp, Mucor, Rhizopus, Penicillum, Fusarium* and
215 *Aspergillus* sp were isolated 20 meters away from Pot 1. Station 2 Pot 2, had the following
216 bacterial genera: *Serratia, Micrococcus, Bacillus, Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter* whereas
217 *Penicillum, Aspergillus, Rhizopus,* were the fungal genera. Except *Acinetobacter sp and*
218 *Micrococcus sp,* bacterial genera isolated from Station 2, Pot 2 were also isolated 20 meters
219 away from the Pot. Also *Penicillium, Mucor and Aspergillus* were the fungal genera isolated
220 from Station 2 Pot 2, which was slightly different from *Mucor, Rhizopus, Penicillium and*
221 *Aspergillus* sp isolated 20 meters away from the Station 2, Pot 2. The results of total hydrocarbon
222 contents range from 106 – 281 mg/kg across the sampling locations. The highest value of 281
223 mg/kg was observed in the month of May around Pot 1, the least value of 106mg/kg was
224 observed for Pot 2 in the month of July. It was observed that the concentration decreased across
225 the stations during the sampling period. This may be due to surface runoff as a result of the rains
226 since that is the peak of the rainy season.

227 **Discussion**

228 The impact of illegal crude oil refining activities on soil microbes were determined by the
229 enumeration of total heterotrophic bacterial, total heterotrophic fungal, HUB and fungal counts

UNDER PEER REVIEW

presented in Table 1. This observation could be attributed to the presence of vegetation cover, high nutrient content (especially nitrogen and phosphorus) as a result of decomposition of organic materials to release nutrients and other environmental factors required for the survival of these microorganisms in the soil (Gougoulias et al., 2014). Counts observed in this study is similar to that obtained by previous researchers in contaminated soil Obire and Anyawu 2009. The continuous refining activities releases crude oil and petroleum products into the soil, resulting in pollution which could be inhibitory to certain group of organisms while it becomes an enriched microbial community for the other group capable of survival in such contaminations (Obire and Anyanwu, 2009; Douglas, 2018). From the results obtained, it was observed that the difference between the THBC and HUB was not significant which means that most of the organisms found in the contaminated locations are hydrocarbon utilizing microorganisms which are capable of using these contaminants as a source of carbon.

Soil has been reported as a suitable medium that aids the growth and survival of microorganisms, but the introduction of these contaminants retard the activities of these organisms, thus giving room to organisms that have the ability of metabolizing such products and limiting the growth of non-metabolizers of the products (Chikere and Ekwuabu, 2014). Lower microbial counts were observed in the samples around the pots from both stations. This observation apart from the contamination from the crude oil and petroleum products may be attributed to the heat used for the distillation process. This is in conformity with previous studies who have reported that temperatures that exceed 70-80ºC are capable of killing many soil microbes and that non-spore forming fungi will be killed at 70ºC (Pattison *et al*., 2009).

Results of this study also show that microbial diversity was also affected by the oil refining activity. Douglas (2018) has also reported that higher concentrations of the illegal refined crude oil deposit lead to a uniform reduction in species diversity and population of soil fungi over time. Thus, continuous dumping of *kpo-fire* residue into the terrestrial environment would impact negatively on the crucial role played by these groups of organisms in decomposition and interfere with other metabolic activities of the organisms in the environment.

The fungal isolates in this study have been reported by previous scholars to be capable of metabolizing or utilizing crude oil pollutants (Obire *et al.,* 2008, Douglas, 2018). Also, Obire and Anyanwu (2009), in a previous study of soil samples contaminated with crude oil had isolated fourteen fungi genera belonging to *Alternaria* sp., *Aspergillus* sp., *Cephalosporium* sp.; *Cladosporium* sp.; *Fusarium* sp., *Geotrichum* sp., *Mucor* sp.; *Penicillium* sp.; *Rhizopus* sp. *Trichoderma* sp., *Candida* sp., *Rhodotolura* sp., *Saccharomyces* sp. and *Torulopsis* sp from the control soil, with five hydrocarbon utilizing fungi identified out of the fourteen. But in this study, *Alternaria* sp, *Cephalosporium* sp.; *Geotrichium* sp.; *Rhodotolura* sp.; *Trichoderma* sp, and *Fusarium* sp were not identified. The hydrocarbon utilizing bacteria identified by this study has been shown to have the ability to utilize crude oil as carbon source (Chikere and Ekwuabu, 2014: Douglas and Green, 2015).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

270

Conclusion

271

272 This research has shown that the illegal crude oil refining activities has increased the quantities
273 of crude oil, petroleum products and residue (waste) into the soil environment, with the
274 accompanying heat, used for the distillation process greatly affecting both microbial load and
275 diversity in the soil environment. The refining activities could exert a negative impact on the
276 population, diversity as well as the activities of soil microorganisms. Since, the microbial
277 diversity is important for soil health, community structure and functions. Thus, the continuous
278 exposure of the soil to the indiscriminate illegal refinery activities, will not only hamper the
279 texture or structure of the soil but, would also lead to a decline in microbial populations which
280 could pose a serious threat to the food chain, decomposition, nutrient recycling, bioremediation
281 and the ecological balance.
282

283 **Disclaimer:**
284

285 This paper is based on preliminary dataset. Readers are requested to consider this paper as
286 preliminary research article, as authors wanted to publish the initial data as early as possible.
287 Authors are aware that detailed statistical analysis is required to get a scientifically established
288 conclusion. Readers are requested to use the conclusion of this paper judiciously as statistical
289 analysis is absent. Authors also recommend detailed statistical analysis for similar future studies.
290

291 **Conflict of Interests**
292 The author(s) have not declared any conflict of interests.

References

293

294 American Public Health Association (APHA) (2008) Standard Methods for the Examination of
295 Water and Waste Water. 21st ed. Washington DC.
296

297 Atlas, R. M. and Philp, J (2005) Bioremediation: applied microbial solutions for real-world
298 environmental cleanup. American Society for Microbiology(ASM) press, Washington, DC, pp
299 78-105
300 Aparna C, Saitha P, Himabindu V, Alok B, Anjaneyulu Y (2010). Evaluation of ioremediation
301 effectiveness on sediments Contaminated with industrial wastes. International Journal Of
302 Environmental Sciences. Volume 1: (4).

303 Barnett, H. L. and Hunter, B. B. (1972). Illustrated Genera of Fungi Imperfecti. 3rd Ed., Burgess
304 Publication Co.

305 Cheesebrough, M. (2000) District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries. Part 2, Cambridge
306 University Press, London, UK. Pp 143 – 156.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

307 Douglas, S. I. and Green, D. I. (2015) Microbial Communities Found in Diesel Contaminated
308 Soil. International Journal of Physical & Applied Sciences. 2(4): 38 – 48.

309 Chikere, C. B. and Ekwuabu, C. B. (2014). Culture-dependent characterization of hydrocarbon
310 utilizing bacteria in selected crude oil-impacted sites in Bodo, Ogoniland, Nigeria. African
311 Journal of Environmental Science & Biotechnology. 8(6): 401-406.

312 Chikere, C. B., Okpokwasili, G. C. and Ichiakor, O.(2009) Characterization of hydrocarbon
313 utilizing bacteria in Tropical marine Sediments. African Journal of Biotechnology. 8(11): 2541-
314 2544

315 Douglas, S. I. (2018). Effect of illegally Refined Crude Oil (Kpo-Fire) Residue on Soil Fungi.
316 International Journal of current microbiology and Applied Sciences. 7(12):3309-3316.

317 Holt JG, Krieg NR, Sneath PHA, Stanley JT, Williams ST (1994). Bergey's Manual of
318 Determinative Bacteriology. 9th Ed. Williams and Wilkins Company, Baltimore, USA. pp. 71-
319 561.

320 Kalantary, R. R., Mohseni-Banpi, A., Esrafile, A., Nasseri, S., Ashmagh, F.F., Jorfi, S. and
321 Jafari, M.(2014) Effectiveness of biostimulation through nutrient content on the bioremediation
322 of phenanthrene contaminated soil. Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering.
323 12(143): 1-9.

324 Kumar, M. and Khanna, S. (2010) Diversity of 16S rRNA and dioxygenase genes detected in
325 coal tar-contaminated site undergoing active bioremediation. Journal of Applied Microbiology
326 108: 1252 – 1262.

327 Mills, A. L., Breuil, C. and Colwell, R. R. (1978) Enumeration of Petroleum Degrading marine
328 and estuarine Microorganisms by most probable number Method. Canadian Journal of
329 Microbiology.12:234-248.

330 Njoku, J. D., Ebe, T. E. and Akachukwu, O. E. (2016). Analysis of Heavy Metal Contamination
331 by Artisanal Refining Plants in The Niger Delta Region, Southern Nigeria. British Journal of
332 Environmental Sciences. 4(3): 39-48.

333 Citation: Renner R. Nrior, Salome Ibietela Douglas and Martha Tamunoemi Ojongo, 2017.
334 "Effect of wastewater on biodegradability of drilling fluid", International Journal of
335 Development Research, 7, (12), 17872-17876.

336 Obenade, M, and Amangabara, G. T. (2014) Perspective: The Environmental Implications of Oil
337 Theft and Artisanal Refining in the Niger Delta Region. Asian Review of Environmental and
338 Earth Sciences, 1(2): 25 - 29

339 Obire, O. and Anyanwu, E. C. (2009) Impact of various Concentrations of Crude Oil on Fungal
340 Populations of Soil. International Journal of Science & Technology. 6(2): 211-218.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

341 Okpokwasili, G. C. and Okorie, B. B. (1988), Biodegradation Potentials of Microorganisms
342 Isolated From car engine lubricating oil. Tribol International 21(4): 215 -220.

343 Parmar, T. K., Rawtani, D., and Agrawal, Y. K. (2016) Bioindicators: the natural indicator of
344 environmental pollution. *Frontiers in Life Science*, 9(2): 110 -118.

345 Pattinson, G.S., K.A. Hammill, B.G. Sutton and P.A. McGee (2009) Simulated fire reduces the
346 density of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi at the soil surface. *Mycological Research journal*, 103:
347 491-496.

348 United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP) 2011. www.unep.org. Accessed 12 June,
349 2012.

350 Nilanjana Das and Preethy Chandran, "Microbial Degradation of Petroleum Hydrocarbon Contaminants:
351 An Overview," Biotechnology Research International, vol. 2011, Article ID 941810, 13 pages, 2011.

352 Chikere, C. B., Okpokwasili, G. C., & Chikere, B. O. (2011). Monitoring of microbial hydrocarbon
353 remediation in the soil. *3 Biotech*, *1*(3), 117-138.

354 Kostka, J. E., Prakash, O., Overholt, W. A., Green, S., Freyer, G., Canion, A., ... & Huettel, M. (2011).
355 Hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria and the bacterial community response in Gulf of Mexico beach sands
356 impacted by the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, AEM-05402.

357 Gougoulias, C., Clark, J. M., & Shaw, L. J. (2014). The role of soil microbes in the global carbon cycle:
358 tracking the below-ground microbial processing of plant-derived carbon for manipulating carbon
359 dynamics in agricultural systems. *Journal of the science of food and agriculture*, *94*(12), 2362-71.

360

361

362

363

364 Table 1: Mean Microbial Counts from the Sampling Site

Sample Location	THBC(cfu/g)	THFC(CFU/G)	HUB(CFU/G)	HUFC(CFU/G)
Station 1(pot)	2.3×10^5	2.6×10^3	2.2×10^4	2.3×10^3
20m away	7.1×10^6	1.9×10^4	7.2×10^4	3.0×10^3
Station 2(Pot)	8.8×10^5	5.0×10^4	5.9×10^4	1.0×10^4
20m away	3.6×10^6	3.8×10^5	3.3×10^4	3.3×10^3
Control	7.8×10^7	4.1×10^5	2.7×10^2	2.2×10

365

UNDER PEER REVIEW



366 Fig. 1: Microbial Distribution for Station 1

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

UNDER PEER REVIEW

377



Fig 2: Microbial distribution for Station 2

378 Table 2: Microbial Diversity From the Sampling Locations

THB(control)	THB	HUB	THF	HUF
Bacillus sp	*Micrococcus* sp	*Pseudomonas* sp	*Aspergillus niger*	*Penicillium* sp
Klebsiella sp	*Bacillus* sp	*Micrococcus* sp	*Aspergillus flavus*	*Apergillus* sp
Pseudomonas sp	*Enterobacter* sp	*Acinetobacter* sp	*Cladosporium* sp	*Fusarium* sp
Serratia sp	*Micrococcus* sp	*Bacillus* sp	*Penicillium* sp	*Rhizopus* sp
Enterobacter sp	*Acinetobacter* sp	*Proteus* sp	*Fusarium* sp	*Mucor* sp
Micrococcus sp	*Flavobacterium* sp	*Serratia* sp	*Rhizopus* sp	*Cladosporium* sp
Flavobacterium sp	*Serratia* sp	*Flavobacterium* sp	*Geotrichum* sp	
Proteus sp	*Alcaligenes* sp		*Mucor* sp	
Acinetobacter sp	*Proteus* sp			
Escherichia coli				
Alcaligene sp				
Streptococcus sp				

379 Key: THB total heterotrophic bacteria, HUB Hydrocarbon utilizing bacteria, hydrocarbon
380 utilizing fungi

UNDER PEER REVIEW