

Identification of hemin-binding protein of oral streptococci via electrophoresis in SDS polyacrylamide gel

Eun Jeong Kim¹ and Si Young Lee^{2*}

¹Department of Oral Microbiology, College of Dentistry, Research Institute of Oral Science, Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, 210-702, Korea

²Department of Oral Microbiology, College of Dentistry, Research Institute of Oral Science, Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, 210-702, Korea

ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: It has been reported that hemin binding proteins are involved in the mechanism of obtaining iron in some bacteria. Oral streptococci in the dental plaque are assumed to acquire iron through hemin or hemin compounds. The aim of this study was to identify the presence of a protein (hemin binding protein) involved in the hemin binding mechanism of oral streptococci.

Methodology: In this study, we investigated the presence of proteins involved in hemin binding of oral streptococci through sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) analysis using hemin-agarose beads.

Results: As a result of SDS-PAGE analysis, similar or different sizes of hemin binding protein bands were observed depending on the strains belonging to streptococci. The molecular weight of hemin binding protein in *Streptococcus gordonii*, *Streptococcus rattus*, *Streptococcus sobrinus*, *Streptococcus sanguis* and *Streptococcus oralis* were approximately 95 kDa, 43 kDa, 43 kDa, 39 kDa, and 39 kDa, respectively.

Conclusion: In this study, the presence of hemin binding protein in streptococci was confirmed and the proteins involved in hemin binding in different species of oral streptococci may be different.

Keywords: *Streptococcus*, *Electrophoresis*, *Hemin*, *Hemin binding protein*

1. INTRODUCTION

Oral streptococci are gram-positive bacteria present in human oral cavity [1]. They are involved in the initial attachment stage of bacteria in plaque formation, and some are responsible for dental caries and bacterial infective endocarditis [1]. Iron is an essential nutrient for the growth of bacteria, which is also important for the metabolism of microorganisms. Iron is required for a variety of biochemical and physiological reactions, including oxygen binding proteins, heme and nonheme electron transport enzymes [2].

Currently, three mechanisms have been identified as the mechanism of iron accumulation of bacteria [3-5]. First, many aerobic and anaerobic bacteria produce low molecular weight iron-binding compounds called siderophores that are involved in iron transport [3]. After siderophore binds to iron, it binds to receptors present in bacteria. This allows bacteria to acquire iron [3]. Second, some bacteria have low-iron-inducible outer membrane proteins

*Corresponding author : E-mail: siyoung@gwnu.ac.kr

that can bind to human iron binding proteins such as transferrin or lactoferrin. Using this, the bacteria can bind to the iron binding protein of the host. Through this process, bacteria can obtain iron [4]. Finally, some bacteria have heme binding proteins. Heme or heme compounds such as hemoglobin or myoglobin can bind iron. The iron-bound heme binds to the heme binding protein of bacteria. Through this, bacteria can obtain iron, and heme compounds can supply enough iron to grow bacteria [5].

However, streptococci does not have siderophores [6], and *Streptococcus mutans* does not utilize lactoferrin [7]. Therefore, streptococci are likely to obtain iron through heme and heme compounds. In some bacteria that can obtain iron through heme, including *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, studies have shown that heme binding protein is involved in the mechanism by which bacteria acquire iron using heme [8-10]. In this study, the presence of heme binding protein in oral streptococci was confirmed by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) using heme-agarose beads.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Bacteria and culture conditions

In this experiment, streptococcus species frequently found in oral cavity were mainly used. *Streptococcus gordonii* DL1 and *Streptococcus rattus* Fa-1f, *Streptococcus sobrinus* KN100, *Streptococcus sanguis* KN107, and *Streptococcus oralis* KN116 were used in the experiment. Streptococci were cultured in Todd-Hewitt Broth (Becton Dickinson biosciences, Franklin Lake, NJ, USA) for 18 hours at 37°C in a CO₂ incubator containing 5% CO₂.

2.2 SDS-PAGE analysis using heme agarose beads

SDS-PAGE analysis was performed to identify proteins involved in heme binding of streptococci [11]. Streptococci were cultured in Todd-Hewitt liquid medium for 18 hours. The bacterial pellet obtained by centrifugation (12,000 x g, 4°C, 10 min) was washed twice with PBS. The bacterial pellet was suspended in 10 ml of Tris-NaCl buffer (50 mM Tris (Pharmacia Biotech, New Jersey, USA) + 1 M NaCl (Shinyo Pure Chemicals, OSAKA, JAPAN), pH 8.0) and left on ice for 10 minutes and crushed with an ultrasonicator (SONICS & MATERIALS, Newtown, Connecticut, USA) (left on ice for 30 seconds on and off 30 seconds). The suspension was centrifuged (12,000 x g, 4°C, 10 min) and 1 ml of the supernatant and 50 µl of heme agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, Missouri, USA) were incubated in a 37°C water bath for 1 hour. When the reaction was complete, the supernatant was removed by centrifugation (7,000 x g, 4°C, 3 min). The resulting beads were suspended in 1 ml of Tris-NaCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 10 mM EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.75% sarkosyl (Sigma-Aldrich). After the supernatant was removed by centrifugation, beads were suspended in 1 ml of 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) to which 1 M NaCl, 10 mM EDTA and 0.5% sarkosyl were added, centrifuged again and the supernatant was removed (Repeat 3 times). The obtained beads were suspended in 1 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) containing 1 M NaCl, then centrifuged (7,000 x g, 4°C, 3 min) and the supernatant was removed. (Repeat 2 times) 1 ml of Tris-NaCl buffer (pH 8.0) was added to suspend the beads and centrifuged (7,000 x g, 4°C, 3 min) to remove the supernatant. The beads were dissolved in 2X sample buffer (1/2 of the bead volume) and heated at 100°C for 5 minutes. 20 µl of the prepared sample was electrophoresed (80 volts, 230 mA, 30 min → 125 volts, 230 mA, 2 hours) on

10% polyacrylamide gel (KOMABIOTECH, Seoul, Korea). The gel was stained with coomassie brilliant blue (Sigma-Aldrich) [11].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SDS-PAGE experiments showed different patterns of protein bands appearing to be involved in the hemin binding proteins of *S. gordonii* DL1 and *S. rattus* Fa-1f (Fig. 1A). The 95 kDa band of the predicted hemin binding-related protein observed in *S. gordonii* (Fig. 1A, lane2) was not found in *S. rattus*. In *S. rattus*, a 43 kDa band was observed as an estimated hemin binding protein (Fig. 1A, lane 3). The protein band of 43 kDa was not seen in *S. gordonii*. A 43 kDa protein was observed as the hemin binding protein of *S. sobrinus* KN100 (Fig. 1B, lane 2) and a 39 kDa protein was observed as the hemin binding protein of *S. sanguis* KN107 (Fig. 1B, lane 3). In *S. oralis* KN116, a 39 kDa protein was observed as the hemin binding protein (Fig. 1B, lane 4). Based on these results, it can be deduced that the proteins involved in hemin binding in different species of oral streptococci may be different from each other.

Previous studies have identified hemin binding proteins in some bacteria. In *Haemophilus influenzae*, a 39.5 kDa protein was founded as a protein involved in hemin binding [9]. In addition, in a study of *Vibrio vulnificus*, a 36.5 kDa hemin binding protein was observed to be involved in hemin binding [10]. And in the study on *P. gingivalis*, a 40 kDa protein was identified as a hemin binding protein [8]. The 40 kDa of *P. gingivalis* showed the ability to bind with hemin and was important for the growth of bacteria in hemin-poor environments [8]. These results have suggested that the proteins involved in hemin binding may be different in each bacterium.

The SDS-PAGE analysis used in this study can infer the presence or absence of proteins involved in hemin binding, but did not provide any definitive information on the location of the hemin binding protein in bacteria.

Recently, Gao et al. [12] found a 18 kDa Dps protein homologue capable of binding to the hemin in *P. gingivalis*. In addition, Yamamoto et al. [13] reported a 20 kDa protein of the Dpr family, which is structurally similar to the Dps protein in *S. mutans*. This protein could bind to iron. Mieno et al. [14] showed that the Dpr protein of *S. mutans* and the Dps protein can develop into a heme-binding family (such as ferritin). In this study, 95 kDa, 43 kDa, 43 kDa, 39 kDa and 39 kDa proteins were identified as the possible hemin binding proteins of *S. gordonii*, *S. rattus*, *S. sobrinus*, *S. sanguis* and *S. oralis*, respectively. Whether the hemin binding protein of streptococci evolved from Dpr and Dps is not clear, but there is a possibility. In order to accurately identify the relationship between these proteins, further studies such as biochemical characterization of the Dpr protein and the hemin binding protein, protein structure confirmation, and cloning of hemin binding proteins genes are required.

The results of this study can support the rationale that hemin binding protein is involved in the mechanism by which streptococcus bacteria obtain iron using heme compounds. And, if the structure of the hemin binding protein of each bacterium is precisely understood, hemin binding can be inhibited by modifying the specific structure of the portion binding to the hemin in the hemin-binding protein. It can be used as a method of killing oral streptococci which plays an important role in dental caries because it can suppress bacterial growth. In this respect, this study is meaningful.




Fig. 1. SDS-PAGE analysis of hemin binding proteins of streptococci
 (A) Lane 1 (molecular weight markers) represents the mass (kDa) of a protein molecule. Hemin binding protein was prepared from the *S. gordonii* DL1 (lane 2) and *S. rattus* Fa-1f (lane 3). (B) Lane 1 (molecular weight markers) represents the mass (kDa) of a protein molecule. Hemin binding protein was prepared from the *S. sobrinus* KN100 (lane 2) and *S. sanguis* KN107 (lane 3), *S. oralis* KN116 (lane 4).

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we confirmed the presence of hemin binding protein in streptococci by SDS-PAGE analysis using hemin agarose and showed that the proteins involved in hemin binding may be different if the bacterial species is different.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Research sponsors were not involved in this study.

147 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

148

149 The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

150

151 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

152

153 This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author EJK performed the
154 experiments and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author SYL designed the study,
155 managed the analyses of the study. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

156

157 **REFERENCES**

158

- 159 1. R. B. Roberts, A. G. Krieger, N. L. Schiller and K. C. Gross. Viridans streptococcal
160 endocarditis: the role of various species, including pyridoxal-dependent streptococci.
161 Reviews of infectious diseases. 1979;1(6):955-66.
- 162 2. J. J. Bullen, E. Griffiths and C. E. Edmiston. Iron and Infection: Molecular, Physiological
163 and Clinical Aspects, 2nd Edition. Shock. 1999;12(5):410.
- 164 3. G. S. Moeck and J. W. Coulton. TonB-dependent iron acquisition: mechanisms of
165 siderophore-mediated active transport. Molecular microbiology. 1998;28(4):675-81.
- 166 4. A. B. Schryvers. Characterization of the human transferrin and lactoferrin receptors in
167 *Haemophilus influenzae*. Molecular microbiology. 1988;2(4):467-72.
- 168 5. S. Yamamoto, Y. Hara, K. Tomochika and S. Shinoda. Utilization of hemin and
169 hemoglobin as iron sources by *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and identification of an iron-
170 repressible hemin-binding protein. FEMS microbiology letters. 1995;128(2):195-200.
- 171 6. Z. Eichenbaum, E. Muller, S. A. Morse and J. R. Scott. Acquisition of iron from host
172 proteins by the group A streptococcus. Infection and immunity. 1996;64(12):5428-29.
- 173 7. S. L. Evans, J. E. Arceneaux, B. R. Byers, M. E. Martin and H. Aranha. Ferrous iron
174 transport in *Streptococcus mutans*. Journal of Bacteriology. 1986;168(3):1096-99.
- 175 8. M. Shoji, Y. Shibata, T. Shiroza, H. Yukitake, B. Peng, Y. Y. Chen, K. Sato, M. Naito, Y.
176 Abiko, E. C. Reynolds and K. Nakayama. Characterization of hemin-binding protein 35
177 (HBP35) in *Porphyromonas gingivalis*: its cellular distribution, thioredoxin activity and role in
178 heme utilization. BMC microbiology. 2010;10(152-2180-10-152).
- 179 9. B. C. Lee. Isolation of an outer membrane hemin-binding protein of *Haemophilus*
180 *influenzae* type b. Infection and immunity. 1992;60(3):810-16.
- 181 10. B. Fouz, R. Mazoy, F. Vazquez, M. L. Lemos and C. Amaro. Isolation of a hemin and
182 hemoglobin binding outer membrane protein of *Vibrio vulnificus* biotype 2 (serogroup E).
183 FEMS microbiology letters. 1997;156(2):187-91.
- 184 11. S. S. Tai, T. R. Wang and C. J. Lee. Characterization of hemin binding activity of
185 *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Infection and immunity. 1997;65(3):1083-87.
- 186 12. J. L. Gao, Y. Lu, G. Browne, B. C. Yap, J. Trehwella, N. Hunter and K. A. Nguyen. The
187 role of heme binding by DNA-protective protein from starved cells (Dps) in the Tolerance of
188 *Porphyromonas gingivalis* to heme toxicity. The Journal of biological chemistry.
189 2012;287(50):42243-58.
- 190 13. Y. Yamamoto, L. B. Poole, R. R. Hantgan and Y. Kamio. An iron-binding protein, Dpr,
191 from *Streptococcus mutans* prevents iron-dependent hydroxyl radical formation in vitro.
192 Journal of Bacteriology. 2002;184(11):2931-39.
- 193 14. A. Mieno, Y. Yamamoto, Y. Yoshikawa, K. Watanabe, T. Mukai and K. Orino. Binding
194 analysis of ferritin with heme using alpha-casein and biotinylated-hemin: detection of heme-
195 binding capacity of Dpr derived from heme synthesis-deficient *Streptococcus mutans*. The
196 Journal of veterinary medical science. 2013;75(8):1101-05.

197