

XRCC1 A910G POLYMORPHISM AND GASTRIC CANCER RISK IN AN BRAZILIAN POPULATION IN THE AMAZON REGION

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to examine the association between the XRCC1 A910G polymorphism in gastric cancer patients in the city of Macapá, State of Amapá, Amazonia, Brazil. DNA samples were obtained from 102 individuals, of which 40 were cancer patients and 62 controls. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) was carried out to detect polymorphism, followed by PCR-RFLP analysis with the restriction enzyme *HhaI*. Of the 40 patients analyzed, 22.5% had the Thr910Thr (A/A) genotype, while Ala910Ala (G/G) and Thr910Ala (A/G) genotypes accounted for 25% and 52.5% of samples, respectively. In the control group, of the 62 samples analyzed, 74.1% had the Thr910Thr (A/A) genotype, while Ala910Ala (G/G) and Thr910Ala (A/G) represented 9.6% and 16.1% of samples, respectively. Our findings demonstrate that A910G polymorphism was found in most of the patients with gastric cancer in the study population. The G allele was frequently found in the analyzed samples, as also observed in the genotype frequency, where AG and GG genotypes were present in cancer patients. This is the first study in Brazil to report the association between A910G polymorphism and gastric cancer.

KEYWORDS: Polymorphism, Gastric Cancer, Macapá

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer is characterized by the growth of abnormal cells in the stomach. It can occur anywhere along its extension, but most cases of this type of tumor are found in the mucous layer, appearing as small and irregular lesions with ulcerations [1]. As cancer progresses, abnormal cells replace normal ones, spreading to other layers of the stomach and affecting peripheral organs [2].

31 In Brazil, its peak incidence is seen in men of advanced age (nearly 65% of diagnosed
32 patients are over 50 years old), and is the third leading cause of death among men and fifth
33 among women [3].

34

35 In 2013, a list of hospitalization and death rates by gastric cancer in several Brazilian capitals
36 for the years 2005 and 2010 reported that in Macapá, the hospitalization rate was 3.3 per
37 100,000 inhabitants in 2005, while lethality was approximately 29%. In 2010, the
38 hospitalization rate was 8.1 and the lethality was 28% [4].

39

40 The occurrence of gastric cancer has been associated with intrinsic factors, as a result of
41 genetic constitution, and to extrinsic factors, such as consumption of diets with high
42 concentrations of sodium chloride, nitrates, and nitrites contained in smoked and fried foods
43 [5].

44

45 Unique polymorphisms of the *XRCCI* gene may affect the expression and function of the
46 *XRCCI* protein. Studies have shown that several polymorphisms of this gene, such as
47 tryptophan (Trp) of arginine (Arg) 194, histidine Arg280 (His), and glutamine Arg399 (Gln),
48 are potentially associated with gastric cancer susceptibility [6].

49

50 The DNA repair system consists of a group of proteins encoded by several genes [7] and is a
51 complex, multi-step process involving several proteins and enzymes. Currently, four main
52 pathways for repairing DNA have been identified: base excision, nucleotide excision, double-
53 strand break, and mismatch repair. These DNA repair systems are crucial to maintain the
54 integrity of the human genome [8].

55

56 Several studies have suggested that *XRCC1* polymorphisms that cause amino acid changes
57 may prevent the interaction of *XRCC1* with other enzymatic proteins and consequently alter
58 the process of base excision repair. Since polymorphisms are common and some studies have
59 shown their effect on DNA repair systems, this may increase the susceptibility of some
60 individuals to gastric cancer [9].

61

62 In this way, the aim of this work is to investigate whether A910G polymorphism is present in
63 patients diagnosed with gastric cancer in the city of Macapá and analyzing its association

64 with this disease for a more accurate diagnosis, along with other possible molecular markers
65 already identified.

66 MATERIAL AND METHODS

67 The case-control study was carried out in the city of Macapá, state of Amapá, in the Amazon
68 region of Brazil. DNA samples were obtained from 102 individuals, of which 62 were
69 healthy individuals (controls) and 40 were gastric cancer patients of the High Complexity
70 Oncology Unit (Unidade de Alta Complexidade em Oncologia - UNACON) of the Dr.
71 Alberto Lima Clinical Hospital and the Institute of Hematology and Hemotherapy of Amapá
72 (HEMOAP). The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee (REC) of the
73 Federal University of Amapá (UNIFAP) and was carried out in accordance with the Helsinki
74 Principle Declaration. All individuals signed the Informed Consent Form (ICF).

75 The protocol used was described in 2013 [10] by a study that analyzed the association of
76 A910G polymorphism and its relation with esophageal cancer in a Chinese population. PCR
77 was carried out under the following conditions: 94°C for 5 minutes, 94°C for 30 seconds,
78 64.2°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 30 seconds, 32 cycles and a final extension at 72°C for 8
79 minutes. The primers used (GenBank reference sequence IDs: NC_000019.9, NM_006297.2,
80 and NP_006288.2) had the following sequence (5'-GACTGCTGGGTCTGAGGGAGG-3',
81 5'-TCAGCACCCTACCACACCCTG-3').

82

83 After amplification of the 238bp PCR product, 10µl of the product was digested with 1µL of
84 the restriction enzyme HhaI at 37°C for 16h in a water bath. Subsequently, 1.5% agarose gel
85 electrophoresis with ethidium bromide was carried out to visualize bands under ultraviolet
86 light. The results of the genotypes followed the standards: AA (251 bp), AG (251, 169, and
87 82 bp), and GG (169 and 82 bp).

88

89 Statistical Analysis

90 All statistical analyzes were performed using the software Bio Estat (Ayres, M. Pará, Brazil).
91 Allele and genotype frequencies and general characteristics among gastric cancer patients and
92 controls were analyzed using the chi-square test (X²). The odds ratios (ORs) and 95%
93 confidence intervals (95% confidence intervals) of the unconditional logistic regression were
94 used to evaluate the possible associations between genetic variants of XRCC1 and the risk of
95 gastric cancer. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

96 **RESULTS**

97 Of the 40 gastric cancer patients, 9 were dominant homozygous (AA), 10 were
 98 recessive homozygous (GG), and 21 carried the heterozygous mutation (AG). In the
 99 control group, of the 62 samples analyzed, 46 were normal homozygous (AA), 6 were
 100 recessive homozygous (GG) and 10 were mutated heterozygous (AG) for A910G
 101 polymorphism. (Table 01). Allele and genotype frequencies and gastric cancer risk are
 102 shown in Tables 02 and 03.

103

104 **Table 1: Distribution of the genotype frequency of XRCCI A910G polymorphism in a sample of the**
 105 **population of the city of Macapá-AP.**

106

Gastric Cancer Patients (n=40)					Control Group (n=62)				
Gene	SNP	%	no SNP	%	SNP	%	no SNP	%	<i>p-value</i>
XRCCI	31	77.5	9	47.5	10	16.2	52	86.8	P=< 0.0001

107

SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism

108

109 **Table 2: Allele and genotype frequency of XRCC1 A910G polymorphism in gastric cancer patients and controls**
 110 **in a sample of the population of the city of Macapá-AP.**

111

	Genotype Frequency (%)			Allele Frequency (%)	
	AA	AG	GG	A	G
Patients (n=40)	09 (22.5)	21(52.5)	10(25)	39(48.7)	41(51.2)
Controls (n=62)	46(74.1)	10(16.1)	06(9.6)	102(82.2)	22(17.7)
Total (n=92)	55(59.7)	31(33.6)	16(17.3)	141(69.1)	63(30.8)
	$X^2 = 26.271$		P=< 0.0001	$X^2 = 25.579$	P=< 0.0001

112

113 **Table 3: Association between gastric cancer risk and XRCC1 A910G polymorphism.**

114

SNPs	COMPARISON	ASSOCIATION TESTS		
		OR (95% CI)	X ²	P-value
A910G	Homozygous Comparison (GG vs AA)	8.51(2.46-29.40)	13.46	0.0008
	Heterozygous Comparison (AG vs AA)	10.73(3.80-30.03)	23.03	< 0.0001
	Dominant Model (GG/AG vs AA)	9.90(3.88-25.22)	26.15	< 0.0001
	Recessive Model (GG vs AG/AA)	0.32(0.10-0.97)	4.316	0.0721
	Allele Contrast (G vs A)	4.87 (2.58-9.20)	12.03	< 0.0001

115

116

117 **DISCUSSION**

118 Gastric cancer is a common malignant polygenic disease resulting from complex interactions
119 between several genetic and environmental factors [11, 12] with a significant prevalence
120 worldwide [13]. XRCC1 is one of the most important genes implicated in gastric cancer. In
121 recent years, several association studies have been conducted to evaluate the role of XRCC1
122 polymorphisms, such as Arg194Trp and Arg399Gln, and gastric cancer risk [8, 14, 15].

123

124 The present study was aimed at evaluating XRCC1 A910G polymorphism and gastric cancer
125 risk in the city of Macapá-AP, Brazil. In a study conducted in 2013 [9] that related single
126 nucleotide polymorphisms with the risk of gastric cancer in a Chinese population, a
127 significant association was reported between the polymorphism, more specifically the GG
128 genotype, and a higher susceptibility to gastric cancer in a Chinese population, when
129 compared to the genotypes AA and AG.

130

131 Also in 2013 [15] was found an association between the presence of the A910AG SNP and
132 esophageal cancer risk, but these authors pointed out the need to confirm these results in
133 different populations. This was also underlined in another study investigating polymorphisms
134 in XRCC1, MTHFR, and EGFR genes as potential cancer susceptibility markers in a
135 population of Belém-PA [8] and concluded that African and European ancestry are important
136 factors associated to susceptibility to gastric and breast cancers.

137

138 In the present study, genotypes and alleles of A910G polymorphism were statistically
139 associated with gastric cancer risk. A significant increase in gastric cancer risk was found in a
140 comparison between homozygous (GG vs AA: OR = 8.51, 95% CI = 2.46-29.40, $X^2 = 13.46$,
141 $P = 0.0008$), and heterozygous (AG vs AA: OR = 10.73, 95%CI = 3.80-30.03, $X^2 = 23.03$, $P <$
142 0.0001), in a comparison using a dominant model (GG/AG vs AA: OR = 9.90, 95%CI = 3.88-
143 25.22, $X^2 = 26.15$, $P < 0.0001$), or the recessive model (GG vs AG/AA: OR = 0.32, 95%CI =
144 0.10-0.97, $X^2 = 4.316$, $P = 0.0721$), and finally in the comparison using the allele contrast
145 model (G vs A: OR = 4.87, 95%CI = 2.58-9.20, $X^2 = 12.03$, $P < 0.0001$) (Table 03).

146

147 Table 1 shows significant differences in distribution of the genotype frequency of A910G
148 polymorphism in the analyzed samples. The results demonstrate that 77.5% of gastric cancer

149 patients exhibited this polymorphism; 25% of these were associated with the GG genotype
150 and 52.5% with the AG genotype (Table 2). Regarding genotype frequency, in gastric cancer
151 patients the frequency of the G allele (51.2%) was higher than that of A (48.7%).

152

153 The allele G was also frequently found in the samples, which is in agreement with the
154 observed in genotype frequency, where AG and GG genotypes were present in gastric cancer
155 patients.

156

157 In a study conducted in 2014 [16] that evaluated the A910G XRCC1 polymorphism and the
158 risk of liver cancer in a Chinese population was reported that the frequency of allele A
159 (59.94%) was higher than that of G (40.06%) and that only 17.80% of patients had the GG
160 genotype.

161

162 **CONCLUSION**

163

164 Gastric cancer is a relatively common genetic disorder in northern Brazil, with a significant
165 mortality rate [17]. In the state of Amapá, this is the third most frequent cancer, which led us
166 to carry out this study. Also, no studies have been conducted in Brazil on the association of
167 A910G polymorphism and gastric cancer. This is first study conducted in Brazil reporting
168 this association. Despite our small sample, especially of gastric cancer patients, future studies
169 should be conducted to evaluate this polymorphism as a genetic marker for gastric cancer risk
170 and contribute to future research aimed at elucidating the facilitation of the acquisition of
171 stomach infection by *Helicobacter pylori* in patients with polymorphism such as A910G in
172 the XRCC1 gene in a Brazilian context.

173

174 **TYPE OF ARTICLE**

175

176 Original research papers

177

178 **CONSENT**

179

180 All authors declare that written informed consent was obtained from all the patient.

181

182

183 **ETHICAL APPROVAL**

184

185 All authors hereby declare that all experiments have been examined and approved by the
186 appropriate ethics committee and have therefore been performed in accordance with the
187 ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

188

189 **REFERENCE**

190 1. Almeida VD, Leitão A, Reina LD, Montanari CA, Donnici CL, Lopes MT. Câncer and
191 agentes antineoplásicos ciclo-cellular specific and cycle-cellular not specific that interagem
192 with the DNA: A introdução. *Quim Nova*. 2005;28(1):118-29.

193

194

195 2. Britto AV. Câncer de estômago: fatores de risco. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública*. 1997;13:S7-
196 13. Portuguese.

197

198 3. Salviano Dos Santos A, Burchianti LC, Netto NA, Mazon AP, Malheiros CA, Editor P.
199 Adenocarcinoma gástrico Gastric adecarcinoma. *Arq Med Hosp Fac Cienc Med St Casa São*
200 *Paulo*. 2015;60:156–9. Portuguese.

201

202 4. Amorim, César Augusto da Fonseca Lima. Distribuição e Avaliação Geográfica do Câncer
203 Gástrico no Brasil entre 2005-2010 [Master's Thesis]. Rio de Janeiro: Universidade Federal
204 do Rio de Janeiro/UFRJ; 2013. Portuguese.

205

206 5. Teixeira JB, Nogueira MS. Câncer gástrico: fatores de risco em clientes atendidos nos
207 serviços de atenção terciária em um município do interior paulista. *Rev. latinoam. enferm.*
208 *2003;11(1):43-8*. Portuguese.

209

210 6. Qiao W, Wang T, Zhang L, Tang Q, Wang D, Sun H. Association study of single
211 nucleotide polymorphisms in XRCC1 gene with the risk of gastric cancer in Chinese
212 population. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2013;9(7):753.

213

214 7. Machado FS, Da Costa CH, Palazzo R, Bagatini P, Kayser M, Mergener M, Siebe AM, Da
215 Silva L, Maluf BSWA, De Andrade FM. Influência do polimorfismo Arg399Gln do gene
216 XRCC1 sobre o dano de DNA em indivíduos expostos a agentes mutagênicos e em controles
217 [abstract]. In: X Salão de Iniciação Científica PUCRS. 2009;320-322. Portuguese

218

219 8. Ghosh S, Ghosh S, Bankura B, Saha ML, Maji S, Ghatak S, Pattanayak AK, Sadhukhan S,
220 Guha M, Nachimuthu SK, Panda CK. Association of DNA repair and xenobiotic pathway
221 gene polymorphisms with genetic susceptibility to gastric cancer patients in West Bengal,
222 India. *Tumor Biology*. 2016;37(7):9139-49.

223

224 9. Vieira, Priscilla Cristina Moura. Investigação de polimorfismos nos genes XRCC1,
225 MTHFR e EGFR como possíveis marcadores de suscetibilidade ao câncer, na população de
226 Belém-PA [Master's Thesis]. Belém: Universidade Federal do Pará/UFPA; 2013. Portuguese.

227

228 10. Chen XQ, Wang F, Zheng YL, Fan QX, Yue DL, Ma ZJ. Association between the c.
229 910A> G genetic variant of the XRCC1 gene and susceptibility to esophageal cancer in the

- 230 Chinese Han population. Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research.
231 2013;46(12):1028-32.
- 232
- 233 11. Ju H, Lim B, Kim M, Kim YS, Kim WH, Ihm C, Noh SM, Han DS, Yu HJ, Choi BY,
234 Kang C. A regulatory polymorphism at position-309 in PTPRCAP is associated with
235 susceptibility to diffuse-type gastric cancer and gene expression. Neoplasia.
236 2009;11(12):1340-7.
- 237
- 238 12. Zabaleta J. Multifactorial etiology of gastric cancer. In Cancer Epigenetics. Humana Press,
239 Totowa, NJ. 2012;411-435.
- 240 13. Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P. Global cancer statistics, 2002. CA: A Cancer
241 Journal for Clinicians. 2005;55(2):74-108.
- 242
- 243 14. Halim NH, Chong ET, Goh LP, Chuah JA, See EU, Chua KH, Lee PC. Variant alleles in
244 XRCC1 Arg194Trp and Arg399Gln polymorphisms increase risk of gastrointestinal cancer in
245 Sabah, North Borneo. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2016;17:1925-31.
- 246
- 247 15. Hu HQ, Wang F, Du X, Zhao XZ, Jin Z, Hou MX. Genetic variability of XRCC1
248 influences the treatment outcome of gastric cancer. Genet Mol Res. 2016;11:15.
- 249
- 250 16. Xia WF, Ma XP, Li XR, Dong H, Yi JL. Association study of c. 910A> G and c. 1686C>
251 G polymorphisms in XRCC1 gene with risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in the Chinese
252 population. Genetics and Molecular Research. 2014 Jan 1;13(1):1314-22.
- 253
- 254 17. Instituto nacional de câncer - INCA. Estimativa 2012: Incidência de Câncer no Brasil;
255 2011.
- 256