

The Effect of Chemotherapy on Clinical, Haematological and Biochemical Profile in Breast Cancer Patients Undergoing Chemotherapy at Cape Coast Teaching Hospital; A Longitudinal study.

Rebecca Peniel Storph¹, Frank N Ghartey⁵, Richard K. D. Ephraim¹, Enoch Mensah¹, Martin Mornah⁶, Linda Ahenkorah-Fondjo³, David L. Simpong⁴, Charlotte Addai¹, Bright Kobena Segu Domson¹, Joseph Benjamin Baidoo², Patrick Adu¹,

¹Department of Medical Laboratory Science, School of Allied Health Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana.

²School of International Education and Cooperation, North Sichuan Medical College, China.

⁵ Department of Chemical Pathology, School of Medical Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Ghana.

⁴University of Leipzig

³Department of Molecular medicine, School of Medical Science, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, KNUST.

⁶Department of Surgery, School of Medical Sciences, University of Cape Coast.

Abstract

Background: People with primary invasive breast cancer receive both local (surgery and radiation therapy) and systemic treatment (chemotherapy and hormonal therapy). However, there are substantial short-and long-term side effects from chemotherapy as documented in several studies. This study assessed the effects of chemotherapy on clinical, haematological and biochemical profile of breast cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy in the Cape Coast Teaching Hospital.

Methods: This longitudinal study was conducted in the female surgical ward of the Cape Coast Teaching Hospital (CCTH). We randomly sampled 51 patients diagnosed with breast cancer and scheduled to start chemotherapy and recorded their demographic, clinical and therapeutic data. Blood was collected for haematological profiles [haemoglobin (Hb), white blood cell (WBC) count, platelets (PLT) and biochemical analysis (lipid profile, uric acid and creatinine) for day 1, day 21 and day 42 of their chemotherapy cycles.

Results: Majority of the participants were within 46-60 years, married, overweight and had informal employment. Throughout chemotherapy cycles, systolic blood pressure (SBP) significantly decreased till after the third cycle ($P=0.026$), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) significantly decreased after second cycle but increased slightly after the third cycle ($P=0.029$). Hemoglobin though insignificant, decreased after the second cycle but increased sharply after the third cycle ($P=0.281$). White blood cells (WBC) significantly decreased throughout cycles ($P=0.008$) whereas high density lipoprotein ($P=0.014$) increased throughout cycles- Uric acid ($P=0.852$) and creatinine ($P=1.000$). were maintained throughout cycles

Conclusion: Throughout cycles, chemotherapy had significant adverse effect on the clinical profile (systolic and diastolic blood pressure), white blood cells (WBC) and high density lipoprotein (HDL) in patients undergoing treatment.

Introduction

Breast cancer develops from breast tissue and like other cancers, occurs because of an interaction between an environmental (external) factor and a genetically susceptible host [1]. Signs of breast cancer may include a lump in the breast, a change in breast shape, dimpling of the skin, fluid coming from the nipple, or a red scaly patch of skin [2]. Risk factors for developing breast cancer include: female sex, obesity, lack of physical exercise, drinking alcohol, hormone replacement therapy during menopause, ionizing radiation, early age at first menstruation, having children late or not at all, and older age [3].

World Health Organization reports have shown that the incidence of breast cancer is second only to the incidence of cervical cancer and 1.4 million patients diagnosed annually with breast cancer worldwide [4]. With regards to Ghana, Ghana has no population-based cancer registry however there were 1,469 breast cancer patients identified through medical records during 2009 to 2014 and also noted that it is expected to increase as Ghana's population ages and a Western lifestyle is adopted [4].

People with primary invasive breast cancer receive both local (surgery and radiation therapy) and systemic treatment (chemotherapy and hormonal therapy). However the National Comprehensive Cancer Center Network, and other groups recommend adjuvant chemotherapy for women with invasive breast tumors greater than 1 cm in diameter, irrespective of whether axillary lymph nodes are involved [5]

There are substantial short- and long-term side effects from chemotherapy and several studies have been conducted to that effect. Studies have examined short- and long-term side effects of chemotherapy on organs and cell lines among breast cancer patients [6-10]. However there is scanty data on the effects of chemotherapy on haematological and biochemical profile among breast cancer patients in our part of the world. Hence the reason for this study, to assess the effects of chemotherapy on haematological and biochemical parameters among breast cancer patients undergoing treatment.

Methodology

Study design/Study Site/Participants/Eligibility criteria

This longitudinal single center study was conducted at Female Surgical Ward and Laboratory unit at the Cape Coast Teaching Hospital (CCTH) from May 2016 to February 2017. CCTH serves as the main referral health referral facility in the in the Cape Coast Metropolis and the rest of Central region and beyond. Fifty one (51) eligible breast cancer patients were recruited using randomized sampling technique. Patients undergoing surgery and radiation were excluded. We also excluded patients with any form of renal pathology.

Ethical Consent

Ethical approval was granted by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Cape Coast (IRB/UCC), and the hospital. Informed consent was obtained from the participants or their relatives or spouses before recruitment into the study.

Anthropometric Data/Blood pressure

Their anthropometric data (weight and height) before the first dose and after the completion of every cycle of chemotherapy were taken. BMI was calculated classified based on WHO criteria [11]. Blood pressure was recorded before the first cycle of chemotherapy and after completion of each cycle.

Blood Sample collection

We collected 4 ml of venous blood from the participants. The sampling was done before the first dose and after the completion of every cycle of chemotherapy. Serum obtained from the centrifuged sample was analyzed for lipid profile, uric acid and creatinine levels, using automated ELITECH Auto analyzer. The principle for the assay of the lipids, creatinine and uric

acid was based on enzymatic methods for lipids [12]. Jaffes's technique for creatinine [13] and the uricase method for uric acid [14].

Statistical analysis

Data was entered into Microsoft Excel and Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 16.0 for windows version was used for statistical analysis. Continuous variables like age were reported using mean and standard deviation. Bivariate analysis was reported using t-test and multivariate analysis was done using ANOVA and the significance level was set at 0.05.

Results

Of the 51 participants, 43.1% were within the age range 46-60 years, 51.0% were married, 74.5% were informally employed, 60.8% had invasive ductal carcinoma. Demographic characteristics of participants with the various classes of breast cancer are shown in Table 1. Demographic distribution of the participants showed that patients with advanced breast cancer (ABC), invasive ductal carcinoma (NST), and invasive ductal carcinoma (NOS) were mainly within 46-60, ≤ 45 , and ≥ 61 years respectively. Majority were married with a few being single. Most had informal form of occupation and were overweight as well.

Table 2 demonstrates the baseline hematological and biochemical characteristics of the participants. Most of the study participants showed insignificant mean values of hematological parameters (Hb, PLT and WBC) before first dose. The biochemical parameters (Creatinine and Uric acid) recorded significant mean values before first dose with majority within normal range except for Uric acid where patients with advanced breast cancer (ABC) had equally (50%) high and normal uric acid levels. The lipid profile of the participants before first dose was mainly normal except for patients with invasive ductal carcinoma (NOS) who had high levels of LDL (62.1%).

Table 3 shows hematological and biochemical characteristics of participants after 2nd dose. After the second dose, all the hematological and biochemical parameters of participants remained normal except for invasive ductal carcinoma (NST) patients who had 60% low Hb and WBC. Creatinine and Uric acid of all participants recorded significant mean values, p-values 0.029 and 0.018 respectively. Majority of the participants had high levels of cholesterol, HDL **>0.91mmol/l**, and LDL **>3.4mmol/l** but normal levels of VLDL and Triglyceride.

Hematological and biochemical characteristics of participants after 3rd dose is demonstrated in Table 4. After 3rd cycle, most of the participants recorded insignificant normal hematological and biochemical parameters except Uric acid which showed significant mean value, p-value 0.045. Though manifested insignificant, majority of the participants had low WBC, normal PLT levels, and equal normal and low Hb levels. Regarding biochemical parameters most patients had normal Creatinine and Uric acid, high cholesterol and HDL, low LDL, normal VLDL and triglyceride.

Table 5 shows the clinical, hematological and biochemical demographics of participants throughout the cycles of chemotherapy. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) reduced significantly throughout the cycles whilst Diastolic blood pressure (DBP) significantly reduced by 2nd cycle and increase again slightly after 3rd cycle. WBC reduced significantly throughout the cycles, and HDL increased significantly throughout the cycles. The comparative mean values of the rest of the parameters throughout the cycles were insignificant although Hb decreased by the 2nd cycle and increased after 3rd cycle, Uric acid increased throughout the cycle, creatinine was maintained throughout cycles. Cholesterol, LDL, VLDL and Triglyceride increased throughout the cycle of chemotherapy.

Table 6 Compares the various clinical, hematological, and biochemical parameters among the stages of the cycles, Comparing the various parameters, SBP significantly decreased after 2nd dose to after 3rd does, DBP decreased significantly from 1st dose to after 2nd dose, WBC decreased significantly throughout all the stages of the cycle and HDL increased from the 1st dose to after 2nd dose significantly. Comparison of the rest of the parameters recorded insignificant values.

Discussion

Chemotherapy is the anticancer treatment of choice for hundreds of thousands of cancer patients diagnosed each year [15], of which Cyclophosphamide, Adriamycin and 5-Fluorouracil (CAF) is one of the most effective antineoplastic therapies in use today and is prescribed to millions of women worldwide for the adjuvant or palliative treatment of breast cancer (Fisher 1989). However chemotherapy, CAF regimen is known to have adverse effect on the hematological and biochemical profile thus results in neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, anemia, hyperuricaemia, dyslipidemia, to mention a few [16].

Our study, in the same vein, reinforces these findings on the adverse effects of chemotherapy. We observed that throughout cycles, systolic blood pressure decreased till after the third cycle and diastolic blood pressure decreased after second cycle but increased slightly after the third cycle. Hemoglobin though insignificant, decreased after second cycle but increased sharply after the third cycle. White blood cells (WBC) decreased throughout cycles whilst HDL increased throughout the chemotherapy cycles. The levels of uric acid and creatinine was remained unchanged throughout the cycles.

The reduced blood pressure recorded in this study confirms the findings of Henderson *et al.*, [16] who observed a significant decrease in systolic and diastolic blood pressure among patients undergoing chemotherapy. This confirms the assertions of Henderson and colleagues that cardiotoxicity is reduced during chemotherapy. Our findings however contradicts that of the Partridge *et al.*, [17] which stated cardiac dysfunction as a long term effect of cancer chemotherapy . The duration of the study accounts for the difference in findings. Our study

lasted for approximately six months (up to the third cycle) while that of Partridge *et al.*, covered data of breast cancer patients over years [8].

Increased frequency of anemia with each doxorubicin dose administration [7] though hemoglobin levels normalized per our findings and none was diagnosed of anemia. This difference could be as a result of the drugs administered. Whereas doxorubicin was administered in both studies, multivitamins and fersolate (ferrous sulphate) was administered in addition to curb the likelihood of anemia among our patients. The WBC level by the third cycle of our study affirms a study by [18], [6], and [7].

In agreement with earlier studies conducted in a breast cancer clinic in Kumasi, Ghana [19], we observed an abnormal lipid profile among the breast cancer patients. All lipid parameters increased throughout cycles however only HDL was significantly increased. The observed increased HDL also corresponds with earlier studies which recorded normal lipid levels and increased HDL levels at 3 and 6 months of chemotherapy respectively [9, 20].

Hyperuricaemia causes renal dysfunction due to high cell turn over (tumour lysis syndrome) in cancer treatment [21]. Even though uric acid increased through the cycles of chemotherapy the increase was not significant to warrant any effect on renal function hence the observed creatinine among our participants. The short duration of our study and the small sample size employed limits the scope of this study.

Conclusion

Throughout cycles, chemotherapy had significant adverse effect on the clinical profile (systolic and diastolic blood pressure), white blood cells (WBC) and high density lipoprotein (HDL) in

patients undergoing treatment. These parameters should be regularly be monitored in breast cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy.

References

1. Akram M, Iqbal M, Daniyal M, Khan AU: **Awareness and current knowledge of breast cancer.** *Biological research* 2017, **50**(1):33.
2. Saunders C, & Jassal, S. : **Breast cancer.** In.; 2009.
3. WHO: **World Cancer Report 2014.** In.; 2014.
4. Thomas AS, Kidwell, K. M., Oppong, J. K., Adjei, E. K., Osei-Bonsu, E., Boahene, A., Jiggae, E., Gyan, K., & Merajver, S. D. : **Breast Cancer in Ghana: Demonstrating the Need for Population-Based Cancer Registries in Low- and Middle-Income Countries.** *American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2017, **3**(6):765-772.
5. Shapiro CL, Recht A: **Side effects of adjuvant treatment of breast cancer.** *New England Journal of Medicine* 2001, **344**(26):1997-2008.
6. Crawford J, Dale, D. C., Lyman, G. H. : **Chemotherapy-Induced Neutropenia; Risks, Consequences, and New Directions for Its Management.** *American Cancer Society* 2003:228-237.
7. Henderson IC, *et al*: **Improved Outcomes From Adding Sequential Paclitaxel but Not From Escalating Doxorubicin Dose in an Adjuvant Chemotherapy Regimen for Patients With Node-Positive Primary Breast Cancer.** *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2003, Vol 21, No 6 1-9.
8. Partridge AH, Harold J., Burstein, E. P., Winer: **Side Effects of Chemotherapy and Combined Chemohormonal Therapy in Women With Early-Stage Breast Cancer.** *Journal of the National Cancer Institute Monographs* 2001, **30**:135–142.
9. Thangaraju M, Kumar, K., Gandhirajan, R., and Sachdanandam, P., : **Effect of Tamoxifen on Plasma Lipids and Lipoproteins in Postmenopausal Women with Breast Cancer** *CANCER* 1994, **73**.
10. Love RR, Leventhal, H., Easterling, D. V., & Nerenz, D. R.: **Side Effects and Emotional Distress During Cancer Chemotherapy.** *CANCER* 1989, **63**:604-612.
11. Fezeu L, Balkau B, Kengne A-P, Sobngwi E, Mbanya J-C: **Metabolic syndrome in a sub-Saharan African setting: central obesity may be the key determinant.** *Atherosclerosis* 2007, **193**(1):70-76.
12. Akins JR, Waldrep K, Bernert Jr JT: **The estimation of total serum lipids by a completely enzymatic ‘summation’ method.** *Clinica chimica acta* 1989, **184**(3):219-226.
13. Junge W, Wilke B, Halabi A, Klein G: **Determination of reference intervals for serum creatinine, creatinine excretion and creatinine clearance with an enzymatic and a modified Jaffe method.** *Clinica chimica acta* 2004, **344**(1-2):137-148.
14. Liao F, Zhao Y-s, Zhao L-n, Tao J, Zhu X-y, Liu L: **Evaluation of a kinetic uricase method for serum uric acid assay by predicting background absorbance of uricase reaction solution with an integrated method.** *Journal of Zhejiang University Science B* 2006, **7**(6):497-502.
15. Silverberg E, & Lubera, J.: **Cancer statistics.** *CA—A Journal for Clinician* 1986, **36**:9-25.
16. Henderson IC, Berry DA, Demetri GD, Cirrincione CT, Goldstein LJ, Martino S, Ingle JN, Cooper MR, Hayes DF, Tkaczuk KH: **Improved outcomes from adding sequential paclitaxel but not from escalating doxorubicin dose in an adjuvant chemotherapy regimen for patients with node-positive primary breast cancer.** *Journal of clinical oncology* 2003, **21**(6):976-983.

17. Partridge AH, Burstein HJ, Winer EP: **Side effects of chemotherapy and combined chemohormonal therapy in women with early-stage breast cancer.** *JNCI Monographs* 2001, **2001**(30):135-142.
18. Leanna JS: **Immune defects in breast cancer patients after radiotherapy.** *Journal of the Society for Intergrative Oncology* 2008:110-121.
19. Owiredu W, Donkor S, Addai BW, Amidu N: **Serum lipid profile of breast cancer patients.** *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* 2009, **12**(4):332.
20. Moorman PG, Millikan, R. C., & Newman, B.,: **Oral Contraceptives and Breast Cancer Among African-American Women and White Women.** *Journal of the National Medical Association* 2001, **93**:329-334.
21. Jasek AM, & Day, H. J.: **Acute spontaneous tumor lysis syndrome.** *American Journal of Hematology* 1994, **47**(2):129-131.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of participants with the various classes of carcinoma.

Parameter	Advanced Breast Cancer (n=6)	Invasive ductal carcinoma (NST) (n=14)	Invasive ductal carcinoma (NOS) (n=31)	P-value
Age				0.375
≤45	2(33.3)	8(57.1)	9(29.0)	
46-60	3(50.0)	3(21.4)	9(29.0)	
≥61	1(16.7)	3(24.4)	6(31.4)	
Marital Status				0.773
Single	0(0.0)	1(7.1)	2(6.5)	
Married	4(66.7)	9(57.1)	14(45.2)	
Divorced	0(0.0)	2(14.3)	8(25.8)	
Widowed	2(33.3)	3(21.4)	7(22.6)	
Occupation				0.748
Formal	1(16.7)	2(14.3)	2(6.5)	
Informal	4(66.7)	11(78.6)	23(74.2)	
Unemployed	1(16.7)	1(7.1)	6(19.4)	
BMI kg/m²	29.85±6.61	31.32±8.85	28.55±5.85	0.458
BMI Classification				0.814
Underweight	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(3.2)	
Normal weight	0(0.0)	3(23.1)	7(22.6)	
Overweight	4(66.7)	5(38.5)	14(45.2)	
Obese	2(33.3)	5(38.5)	9(29.0)	

Table 2: Hematological and biochemical characteristics of participants before 1st dose

Parameter	Advanced Breast Cancer (n=6)	Invasive ductal carcinoma (NST) (n=14)	Invasive ductal carcinoma (NOS) (n=31)	P-value
HB g/dl	11.28±1.75	11.88±1.27	11.90±1.41	0.778
HB Ranges				0.543
Low	1(25.0)	6(42.9)	6(26.1)	
Normal	3(75.0)	8(57.1)	17(73.9)	
High	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
WBC 10⁹/l	6.08±1.85	5.97±1.90	5.35±2.45	0.659
WBC Ranges				0.709
Low	1(25.0)	4(28.6)	10(43.5)	
Normal	3(75.0)	10(71.4)	12(52.2)	
High	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(4.3)	
Platelets 10³/ul	271.50±39.62	279.21±88.35	316.57±184.62	0.710
Platelets Ranges				0.860
Low	0(0.0)	1(7.1)	2(8.7)	
Normal	4(100.0)	12(85.7)	18(78.3)	
High	0(0.0)	1(7.1)	3(13.0)	
Creatinine umol/l	48.63±33.72	75.30±11.75	80.56±20.71	0.017
Creatinine Ranges				
Low	1(25.0)	0(0.0)	1(4.3)	
Normal	3(75.0)	13(92.9)	17(73.9)	
High	0(0.0)	1(7.1)	5(21.7)	
Uric acid umol/l	393.53±176.55	273.84±42.79	310.49±79.02	0.044
Uric acid Ranges				0.043
Low	0(0.00)	0(0.0)	1(4.5)	
Normal	2(50.0)	14(100.0)	19(86.4)	
High	2(50.0)	0(0.0)	2(9.1)	
Cholesterol	4.26±0.75	5.11±1.20	5.83±1.49	0.055
Cholesterol Range				0.142
Norm(<5.2mmol/l)	4(80.0)	5(62.5)	11(37.9)	
High(>5.2mmol/l)	1(20.0)	3(37.5)	18(62.1)	
HDL	0.79±0.49	1.29±0.43	1.21±0.42	0.112
HDL Ranges				0.523

Parameter	Advanced Breast Cancer (n=6)	Invasive carcinoma (NST)	ductal Invasive carcinoma (NOS)	ductal Invasive carcinoma (NOS)	P-value
>0.91mmol/l (N)	3(60.0)	7(85.7)	21(72.4)		
<0.91mmol/l (L)	2(40.0)	1(12.5)	8(27.6)		
LDL	2.79±0.81	3.13±0.96	3.89±1.51	0.146	
LDL Ranges				0.142	
<3.4mmol/l (N)	4(80.0)	5(62.5)	11(37.9)		
Hb g/dl	12.50±1.80	11.57±1.21	11.78±1.19		0.533
>3.4mmol/l (H)	1(20.0)	3(37.5)	18(62.1)		0.278
Hb Ranges					
VLDL	0.66±0.11	0.70±0.54	1.18±1.60	0.902	
VLDL Ranges	1(33.3)	6(60.0)	6(30.0)	0.113	
Low (<0.10mmol/l)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)		
Normal (0.10-1.70)	5(100.0)	7(87.5)	29(100.0)		
High (>1.70mmol/l)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)		
WBC 10⁹/l	1.46±0.24	1.53±1.16	1.60±0.54	0.902	0.720
WBC Ranges				0.119	0.637
Low (<0.45mmol/l)	0(0.0)	1(33.3)	0(0.0)	9(45.0)	
Normal (0.45-1.71)	5(100.0)	7(87.5)	18(62.1)	11(55.0)	
High (>1.71mmol/l)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(12.5)	0(0.0)	

Platelets 10³/ul	281.00±37.72	301.10±147.74	262.40±115.17	0.718
Platelets Ranges				0.823
Low	0(0.0)	1(10.0)	3(15.8)	
Normal	3(100.0)	7(70.0)	14(73.7)	
High	0(0.0)	2(20.0)	0(0.0)	
Creatinine umol/l	54.13±48.20	66.45±11.50	80.41±73.79	0.029
Creatinine Ranges				0.007
Low	1(33.3)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
Normal	1(33.3)	10(100.0)	18(90.0)	
High	1(33.3)	0(0.0)	2(10.0)	
Uric acid umol/l	417.37±173.56	275.20±49.46	310.35±60.41	0.018
Uric acid Ranges				0.246
Low	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
Normal	2(66.7)	10(100.0)	17(85.0)	
High	1(33.3)	0(0.0)	3(15.0)	
Cholesterol	5.35±1.02	6.39±0.33	5.92±1.17	0.769
Cholesterol Range				0.478
Norm(<5.2mmol/l)	1(33.3)	0(0.0)	7(43.8)	
High(>5.2mmol/l)	2(66.7)	2(100.0)	9(56.3)	
HDL	1.30±0.15	1.66±0.34	1.48±0.46	0.657
HDL Ranges				0.849
>0.91mmol/l (N)	3(100.0)	2(100.0)	15(93.8)	
<0.91mmol/l (L)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(6.3)	
LDL	3.41±0.61	3.97±0.52	1.50±0.78	0.910
LDL Ranges				0.281
<3.4mmol/l (N)	1(33.3)	0(0.0)	9(43.8)	
>3.4mmol/l (H)	2(66.7)	2(100.0)	7(43.8)	
VLDL	0.64±0.29	0.76±0.14	0.80±0.35	0.733
VLDL Ranges				-
Low (<0.10mmol/l)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
Normal (0.10-1.70)	3(100.0)	2(100.0)	16(100.0)	
High (>1.70mmol/l)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
Triglyceride	1.42±0.65	1.68±0.32	1.77±0.76	0.736
Triglyceride Ranges				0.924
Low (<0.45mmol/l)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
Normal (0.45-1.71)	2(66.7)	1(50.0)	9(56.3)	
High (>1.71mmol/l)	1(33.3)	1(50.0)	7(43.8)	
Parameter	Advanced Breast Cancer	Invasive ductal carcinoma (NST)	Invasive ductal carcinoma (NOS)	P-value

Table 3: Hematological and biochemical characteristics of participants after 2nd dose

Table 4: Hematological and biochemical characteristics of participants after 3rd dose

HB g/dl	12.20±1.25	12.06±0.90	16.82±23.15	0.859
HB Ranges				0.876
Low	1(33.3)	2(40.0)	10(52.6)	
Normal	2(66.7)	3(60.0)	8(42.1)	
High	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(5.3)	
WBC 10⁹/l	3.89±1.27	3.81±0.88	4.33±1.92	0.805
WBC Ranges				0.711
Low	1(33.3)	3(60.0)	11(57.9)	
Normal	2(66.7)	2(40.0)	8(42.1)	
High	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
Platelets 10³/ul	310.33±41.06	263.60±128.07	267.79±114.42	0.819
Platelets Ranges				0.800
Low	0(0.0)	1(20.0)	3(15.8)	
Normal	3(100.0)	3(60.0)	14(73.7)	
High	0(0.0)	1(20.0)	2(10.5)	
Creatinine umol/l	78.33±8.80	75.58±8.08	75.17±18.67	0.955
Creatinine Ranges				0.841
Low	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	2(10.5)	
Normal	3(100.0)	5(100.0)	16(84.2)	
High	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(5.3)	
Uric acid umol/l	312.33±35.73	247.66±42.50	336.38±73.01	0.045
Uric acid Ranges				0.137
Low	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
Normal	3(100.0)	5(100.0)	12(63.7)	
High	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	7(36.8)	
Cholesterol	4.63±1.01	6.71±0.00	6.69±1.55	0.278
Cholesterol Range				0.468
Norm(<5.2mmol/l)	1(50.0)	0(0.0)	1(14.3)	
High(>5.2mmol/l)	1(50.0)	1(100.0)	6(85.7)	
HDL	1.32±0.47	1.74±0.00	1.54±0.56	0.807
HDL Ranges				0.788
>0.91mmol/l (N)	2(100.0)	1(100.0)	6(85.7)	
<0.91mmol/l (L)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(14.3)	
LDL	2.62±0.21	0.44±0.00	4.25±1.47	0.368
LDL Ranges				0.208
<3.4mmol/l (N)	2(100.0)	0(0.0)	3(42.9)	
>3.4mmol/l (H)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	4(57.1)	
VLDL	0.68±0.21	0.62±0.00	0.89±30	0.529
VLDL Ranges				-
Low (<0.10mmol/l)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
Normal (0.10-1.70)	2(100.0)	1(100.0)	7(100.0)	
High (>1.70mmol/l)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
Triglyceride	1.50±0.47	1.36±0.0	1.96±0.66	0.533
Triglyceride Ranges				0.565
Low (<0.45mmol/l)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
Normal (0.45-1.71)	1(50.0)	1(100.0)	3(42.9)	
High (>1.71mmol/l)	1(50.0)	0(0.0)	4(57.1)	

Table 5: Clinical, hematological and Biochemical demographics of participants throughout the cycles.

Parameter	Before 1st dose	After 2nd dose	After 3rd dose	P-value
------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------

Blood pressure (mmHg)				
SBP	133.5 ± 20.14	124.5 ± 14.55	123.3 ± 15.26	0.026
DBP	82.54 ± 11.27	76.64 ± 8.96	77.19 ± 10.35	0.029
Hb (g/dl)	11.84 ± 1.37	11.78 ± 1.23	15.44 ± 10.39	0.281
WBC (x 10⁹/L)	5.63 ± 2.20	4.55 ± 1.93	4.18 ± 1.69	0.008
PLT (x 10³/uL)	299.4 ± 147.6	275.8 ± 120.1	271.7 ± 109.1	0.622
Uric acid (µmol/l/L)	306.1 ± 86.64	309.4 ± 78.71	317.3 ± 72.58	0.852
Creatinine (µmol/L)	75.65 ± 21.28	75.61 ± 14.72	75.60 ± 16.07	1.000
Cholesterol	5.51±1.45	5.88±1.55	6.27±1.57	0.293
HDL	1.17±0.45	1.47±0.42	1.52±0.49	0.014
LDL	3.62±0.22	3.63±0.29	3.93±0.44	0.801
VLDL	0.71±0.31	0.78±0.32	0.82±0.28	0.662
Triglyceride	1.57±0.68	1.72±0.71	1.81±0.61	0.521

Table 6: Anova comparison of parameters throughout the cycles

Parameter	Before	1st	After 2nd dose	After 3rd dose	P[*]	P^a
------------------	---------------	-----------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------

	dose				
BP					
Systolic (mmHg)	133.49	124.45	123.26	0.050	0.035
Diastolic (mmHg)	82.54	76.54	77.19	0.031	0.071
HB (g/dl)	11.84	11.78	15.44	0.999	0.259
WBC (10⁹/l)	5.63	4.55	4.18	0.040	0.008
PLT (10³/μl)	299.41	275.82	271.74	0.653	0.596
Uric Acid (μmol/l)	306.07	309.43	317.28	0.977	0.798
Creatinine (μmol/l)	75.65	73.79	75.60	0.887	1.000
Cholesterol	5.51	5.88	6.28	0.545	0.251
HDL	1.173	1.471	1.520	0.027	0.054
LDL	3.616	3.629	3.934	0.991	0.738
VLDL	0.714	0.780	0.823	0.638	0.505
Triglyceride	1.571	1.717	1.809	0.636	0.508

One-way Anova Multiple comparison of parameters using initial measurement (Before 1st) as a baseline for comparison with the other measurements. P^{*} indicates before 1st dose verse after 2nd dose whiles P^α indicates before 1st dose verse after 3rd dose.