

Evaluation of Fertility status of soils under Bamboo(*Bambusa vulgaris*) in Akamkpa and Odukpani Local Government Areas of Cross River State, Nigeria

Bello, O. S. and Akpa, E. A.

Department of Soil Science, University of Calabar, P.M.B. 1115, Calabar, Cross River St., Nigeria

Corresponding author: enyaanari@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The aim of this research was to investigate the fertility status of soils under Bamboo (*Bambusa vulgaris*) in Akamkpa and Odukpani Local Government Areas of Cross River State. Composite soil samples were collected at the depth of 0-15cm under Bamboo (*Bambusa vulgaris*) using soil auger from fourteen (14) locations. The soil samples were analysed for some physico-chemical properties using standard procedures. Results obtained showed that the soils were predominantly sandy loam in both Akamkpa and Odukpani with a significant difference in the soil pH which was very strongly acid (mean pH in water =5.0). Organic carbon was high (26.00–41.00g/kg) in Akamkpa and Odukpani (24.00 – 41.00g/kg). Total nitrogen was medium (2.4–4.9g/kg) in Akamkpa and low to medium (0.19 – 0.33%) in Odukpani. Available phosphorus was generally low (1.8-2.9mg/kg) and (1.88 – 6.63mg/kg) in both areas. Exchangeable calcium was low to medium (3.6-7.4cmol/kg) in Akamkpa and medium to high (5.6-14.8cmol/kg) in Odukpani. Magnesium contents were low (0.8-6.7cmol/kg) and high (0.4 – 12.4cmol/kg) in both areas. While exchangeable potassium (0.08 – 0.13cmol/kg) and (0.09 – 0.13cmol/kg) with sodium contents (0.06 – 0.08cmol/kg) and (0.06 – 0.10cmol/kg) were low. Exchangeable acidity of hydrogen (0.1 – 3.7cmol/kg) was high in Akamkpa and low to medium (0.08-2.32cmol/kg) in Odukpani and that of Aluminum contents (0.3 – 4.0cmol/kg) and (0.0 – 4.0cmol/kg) were generally low. The cation exchange capacity (CEC) was low (4.5 – 11.4cmol/kg) in Akamkpa and low to medium (7.2 – 24.01cmol/kg) in Odukpani and those of Effective Cation Exchange Capacity (ECEC) was low to medium (9.2 – 15.9cmol/kg) in Akamkpa but low and high (7.8 – 24.41cmol/kg) in Odukpani. The Base Saturation was medium to high (37 – 96%) in Akamkpa and high (60.9 – 98.4%) in Odukpani. The studies revealed that soils under Bamboo had high organic matter content. This could be attributed to the bamboo leaf fall which enhances the increase of organic matter content.

Keywords: *Fertility status, Bamboo, Composite soil sample, physicochemical properties*

INTRODUCTION

Bambusa vulgaris, common bamboo, local names; *Basini*, *Bans*, *Bakai*(Bengal) is a species of the large genus *Bambusa* of the clumping bamboo tribe *Bambuseae*, which are found largely in tropical and subtropical areas of Asia, especially in the wet tropics including the Central India and North East but highly concentrated in the Indo-Malayan rainforest. The findings of Crosby and Magill (2006) showed that bamboo has about 45 genera and about 480 species of perennial, woody, usually shrubby or tree-like plants of the grass family *Poaceae*. The origin of bamboo is traced to China and India as the two largest producers of bamboo in the World. Bamboo Major Production States in India are North Eastern States of India (Rao *et al.*, 1998). It is believed to have been introduced to Hawaii in the time of Captain James Cook in the late 18th century and it is the most popular ornamental plant there(Whistler, 2000).

Bamboos occur mostly in tropical and subtropical areas, from sea level to snow-capped mountain peaks, with a few species reaching into temperate areas. They are most abundant in Southern eastern Asia (Satya *et al.*, 2010). The plants range from stiff reeds about 1m tall to giants reaching 50m in height and 30cm in diameter near the base. Most bamboos are erect, but some are vinyl, producing impenetrable thickets in some areas. The internodal regions of the stem are usually hollow, and the vascular bundles in the cross section are scattered throughout the stem. They are the fastest growing plants in the World (Saha and Howe,2001).

Findings of Saha and Howe, (2001) have shown that bamboos have notable economic and cultural significance in South Asia, Southeast Asia and East Asia, being used for building materials, as a food source and as a versatile raw product. In the tropics, they are used for constructing houses, rafts, bridges, and scaffolding. Split and flattened culms can be used as flooring and interwoven to make baskets, mats, hats, fish traps, and other articles; culms of large species may be used as containers for liquids. Paper is made from bamboo pulp, and fishing rods, water pipes, musical instruments, and chopsticks from other parts. Many bamboos are planted as ornamentals, and young shoots are eaten as a vegetable.

Bamboo can be powerfully used for land restoration (Hans, 2014). This strategic resource thrives on problem soils and steep slopes help to conserve soil and water and improves land quality. It is used to restore or reclaim degraded lands, improve the environment, carry out drought proofing. It grows rapidly, slowly degradation and repairing

damaged ecosystems and its long fibrous and shallow roots effectively stabilize soil – a bamboo plant typically binds up to 6cm³ of soil, and its efficiency as a soil binder has been reported in China, Costa Rica, India, Nepal, the Philippines, and Puerto.

Bamboos are a source of organic matter in the soils. They provide the soils with organic matter thus improve the soil fertility. This contributes to nutrient cycling processes and various aspect of soil fertility. Studies also showed that bamboo helps to boost nutrients and organic matter, increase carbon content, and add humus to the soil through leaf fall. Bamboo in the soil absorbs and holds excessive moisture which gets released back into the soil providing better aeration for grass, plants and vegetables.

Findings of Winsley (2007), Gaunt and Lehmann (2008) has also shown that bamboo charcoal known as biochar acts as a catalyst to enhance the plants' ability to absorb or retain nutrients, fosters the development of beneficial microorganisms, store and utilize carbon to assist in plant development. Soil improved by biochar is more efficient, retaining critical nutrients such as Magnesium, Calcium, Phosphorus and Nitrogen. Bamboo charcoal when submerged in water softens the water, absorbs harmful minerals including Chlorine and releases its nutrient minerals such as Calcium, Sodium, and Magnesium into the water. Findings of Qian *et al.*(2015)have shown that this carbon-rich material, known as biochar, has helped crop to thrive, possibly even increasing their yield, acid soil remediation because of its alkaline pH value and is rich in plant nutrients.

Bamboo requires well-drained soils with pH range of 4.5 to 6.0. The ideal soil is sandy loam or loam. The findings of Hans (2014) has shown that it adapts to most climatic conditions and soil types, acting as a soil stabiliser. A sandy loam is fairly satisfactory for bamboo growth, but clayey loam produces the best quality. The findings of Christine *et al.*, (1992) showed that it grows on marginal and degraded land, elevated ground, along with field bunds and river banks.

Hypothesis

This study formulates the null hypothesis (H₀) that there was no significant difference in the fertility status of the two locations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Study Area

The study was conducted in Akamkpa and Odukpani Local Government Areas of Cross River State of Nigeria. Odukpani is located on Latitude $5^{\circ}7'0''$ N and Longitude $8^{\circ}20'0''$ E and Akamkpa is located on Latitude $8^{\circ}7''$ N and Longitude $9^{\circ}1''$ E. The both areas are situated within the coastal plains of Cross River State. High relative humidity and rainfall characterized the climate of the study areas. Rainfall is usually heavy occurring almost all the months of the year. The total annual rainfall is about 4,000mm and the relative humidity of 80% with an annual temperature of 29°C (Iwena, 2008). The rainfall spreads between April and October and characterized by two peak periods and a short break in the month of August known as 'August break'.

Field and Laboratory Studies

Sample Collection and Handling

Soil samples were collected to the depth of 0-15cm under Bamboo (*Bambusa vulgaris*) using soil auger from fourteen locations: Awi, Okomita, Ifunkpa, Uyanga, Mbarokom, Old Netim and Eakang in Akamkpa Local Government Area and Okurikan, Akanobio, Oduyama, Obot Yoho, Okoyong, Federal Housing and Netim in Odukpani Local Government Area in the month of December, 2015. Composite samples were collected from each location and stored in a well-labelled polythene bag and transported to the Soil Science laboratory, the University of Calabar for analysis. In the laboratory, the samples were air dried and then ground using a porcelain pestle and mortar and sieved through a 2mm mesh. The fine earth fraction was used for all laboratory analyses.

Laboratory Analysis

The physical and chemical properties of the prepared soil samples were analyzed using standard procedures. Particle size distribution was determined by the hydrometer method (Bouyoucos, 1951) using sodium hexametaphosphate (calgon) as the dispersant. The percentages of sand, silt and clay were determined. The pH (in water) was determined in soil water ratio of 1:2.5 using a glass electrode pH meter. Organic carbon was determined by the dichromate wet oxidation method (Walkley and Black, 1934). Total nitrogen was determined by the Kjeldahl digestion method (Juo, 1979). Available phosphorus was determined

according to the Bray-1 method (Bray and Kurtz, 1945). Exchangeable bases (Ca, Mg, K and Na) were extracted in 1 N NH₄OAc at pH 7. Potassium and sodium were determined with a flame photometer while calcium and magnesium were determined by the EDTA titration method (Black *et al.*, 1965). Exchangeable acidity was determined by titration method using 1 N KCL extract (McLean, 1965). Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) was by summation of exchangeable bases while effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC) was a summation of exchangeable bases (Ca, Mg, K and Na) and exchangeable acidity. Percent base saturation was obtained by dividing the total exchangeable bases (Ca, Mg, K and Na) by the effective cation exchange capacity.

Data Analyses

Data obtained were subjected to t-test and simple descriptive statistics of range, mean, Standard deviation and coefficient of variation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1: Physico-chemical properties of soils under Bamboo in Akamkpa Local Govt. Areas

Location	Depth (cm)	Clay (%)	Silt (%)	Sand (%)	TC	pH	Org. C g/kg	TN g/kg	C:N	Av. P mg/kg	Exchangeable Bases				Ex. Acidity		CEC	ECEC	BS (%)
											Ca	Mg	K	Na	Al	H			
Awi	0-15	9.7	21.0	69.3	SL	4.9	31	4.9	7.23	2.5	3.6	0.8	0.08	0.06	3.88	3.72	4.54	12.14	37.4
Okomita	0-15	20.7	37.0	42.3	L	4.9	32	2.8	13.3	2.8	5.8	5.4	0.09	0.07	0.31	0.12	11.36	11.79	96.4
Ifunkpa	0-15	14.6	31.0	54.4	L	5.0	41	3.4	14.2	1.8	7.4	3.8	0.11	0.08	0.32	0.56	11.39	12.27	92.8
Uyanga	0-15	9.9	20.0	70.1	SL	5.2	39	4.9	9.29	2.4	6.2	3.9	0.08	0.06	0.33	1.9	10.24	12.47	82.1
Mbarakom	0-15	31.7	18.0	50.3	SCL	4.8	34	3.0	13.5	2.2	5.8	3.0	0.10	0.06	4.0	2.9	8.96	15.86	56.5
Old Netim	0-15	8.9	32.0	59.1	SL	4.9	26	2.4	12.7	2.1	6.6	1.8	0.13	0.07	0.3	0.4	8.5	9.20	92.4
Ekang	0-15	5.8	30.0	64.2	SL	5.1	28	2.9	11.1	2.9	3.7	6.7	0.11	0.06	0.53	0.2	10.57	11.3	93.5
Mean		14.5	27.0	58.5		5.0	33	3.0	11.6	2.4	5.6	3.6	0.10	0.07	1.4	1.4	9.37	12.1	78.7
Minimum		5.8	18.0	42.3		4.8	26	2.4	7.23	1.8	3.6	0.8	0.08	0.06	0.3	0.1	4.5	9.20	37.4
Maximum		31.7	37.0	70.1		5.2	41	4.9	14.2	2.9	7.4	6.7	0.13	0.08	4.0	3.7	11.4	15.9	96.4
St. Dev.		9	7.26	10.25		0.1	5.5	1.0	2.55	0.39	1.43	2.02	0.02	0.008	1.75	1.45	2.4	1.98	22.8
CV (%)		62.1	26.9	17.5		2.0	16.7	33.3	21.9	16.3	25.5	56.1	20	11.3	125	103.6	25.6	16.4	29.0

TC =Textural class; Org. C = Organic carbon; TN =Total nitrogen; C: N = Carbon/nitrogen ratio; Av. P = Available phosphorus; Ca = Calcium; Mg = Magnesium; K = Potassium; Al = Aluminium; H = Hydrogen; CEC = cation exchange capacity; ECEC = Effective cation exchange capacity; BS = Base saturation; L = Loam; SL = Sandy Loam; SCL = Sandy clay; Loam; CV = Coefficient of Variation

Table 2: Physico-chemical properties of soils under Bamboo in Odukpani Local Government Area

Location	Depth (cm)	Clay (%)	Silt (%)	Sand (%)	TC	pH	Org. C g/kg	TN g/kg	C:N	Av. P mg/kg	Exchangeable Bases				Ex. Acidity		CEC	ECEC	BS (%)
											Ca	Mg	K	Na	Al	H			
Okurikan	0-15	8.7	31.0	60.3	SL	5.4	26	2.2	13.5	1.88	6.6	0.4	0.11	0.9	0.0	0.6	7.2	7.8	92.3
Akanobio	0-15	10.7	25.0	64.3	SL	5.0	25	2.8	10.4	2.25	5.8	3.0	0.1	0.07	3.84	1.92	8.97	14.73	60.9
Oduyama	0-15	7.7	23.0	69.3	SL	5.0	39	3.0	16.3	2.5	7.6	3.8	0.12	0.09	4.0	2.32	11.61	17.93	64.8
Obot Yoho	0-15	11.7	34.0	54.3	SL	4.9	34	2.9	13.5	2.88	14.8	5.0	0.13	1.0	0.28	0.12	20.03	20.43	98.0
Okoyong	0-15	5.7	20.0	74.3	LS	5.0	24	1.9	14.3	6.63	5.6	1.8	0.09	0.06	0.32	0.08	7.55	7.95	94.9
F/Housing	0-15	12.7	45.0	42.3	L	5.0	41	3.3	14.2	2.13	11.4	12.4	0.12	0.09	0.0	0.4	24.01	24.41	98.4
Netim	0-15	6.7	31.0	62.3	SL	5.1	31	2.4	15.2	2.13	6.8	5.8	0.11	0.08	0.0	0.56	12.79	13.35	95.8
Mean		9.1	29.9	61		5.0	31	3.0	13.9	2.9	8.4	4.6	0.1	0.3	1.2	0.9	13.2	15.2	86.4
Minimum		5.7	20.0	42.3		4.9	24	1.9	10.4	1.88	5.6	0.4	0.09	0.06	0.0	0.08	7.2	7.8	60.9
Maximum		12.7	45.0	74.3		5.4	41	3.3	16.3	6.63	14.8	12.4	0.13	1.0	4.0	2.32	24.01	24.41	98.4
St. Dev.		2.6	8.3	10.4		0.2	6.9	0.49	1.84	1.67	3.44	3.9	0.013	0.43	1.86	0.89	6.48	6.2	16.3
CV (%)		28.6	27.8	17.0		3.6	223	163	13.2	57.6	40.9	84.8	13	143.3	155	98.9	49.1	40.9	18.9

TC =Textural class; Org. C = Organic carbon; TN =Total nitrogen; C:N = Carbon/nitrogen ratio; Av. P = Available phosphorus; Ca = Calcium; Mg = Magnesium; K = Potassium; Al = Aluminium; H = Hydrogen; CEC = cation exchange capacity; ECEC = Effective cation exchange capacity; BS = Base saturation; L = Loam; SL = Sandy loam; SCL = Sandy clay loam; CV = Coefficient of variation

1

Table 3: t-test at 0.05% significance level of physicochemical properties of soils in the study area

Soil properties	Mean diff.	t-test	diff.	Sig at 0.05%
Sand	-104.4	-104.4	13	*
Silt	-60	-60	13	*
Clay	-112.7	-112.7	13	*
pH	-1.25	-1.25	13	**
Org. C	-4.7	-4.7	13	*
Total N	-7.5	-7.5	13	*
C: N	-21.9	-4.4	13	*
Avail. P	-7.58	-7.58	13	**
Ca	-19.9	-19.9	13	**
Mg	-7.06	-7.06	13	**
K	-0.12	-0.12	13	**
Na	1.02	1.02	13	**
H	-11.64	-11.64	13	*
CEC	-34.2	-34.2	13	**
ECEC	-46.27	-46.27	13	**
BS	-181	-181	13	*

2 * = significantly different, ** = no significantly different

3

TABLE 4: FERTILITY CLASSES FOR RATING SOIL CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Parameter	Low	Medium	High
Total N (%)	0.1 - 0.2	0.2 - 0.5	0.5-1.0
Bray 1 - P(mg/kg)	< 8.0	8 -20	> 20
Exchangeable K ⁺ (cmol/kg)	0.2	0.2 - 0.4	>0.40
Exchangeable Ca ²⁺ (cmol/kg)	< 5.0	5.0 – 10	> 10.0
Exchangeable Mg ²⁺ (cmol/kg)	< 1.5	1.5 - 3.0	3.0
Exchangeable Na ⁺ (cmol/kg)	< 0.3	0.3 - 0.7	0.7
Soil pH _{1:2.5}	< 5.5	5.5 - 7.0	7.0 - 8.5
Aluminium Sat. (%)	< 30	30 – 60	> 60
Exchangeable Al (cmol/kg)	< 4.0	-	> 4.0
Base Sat. (%)	< 20	20 – 60	> 60
CEC (cmol/kg)	5 – 15	15 – 25	25 – 40
ECEC (cmol/kg)	< 10.0	10 – 20	> 20
Org. Carbon (%)	< 1.5	1.5 - 2.0	> 2.0

SOURCE:FDALR (1990) and Landon(1991)

TABLE 5: Soil pH Rating

Soil pH Range	Rating
< 4.5	Extremely acid
4.5 - 5.0	Very strongly acid
5.1 - 5.5	Strongly acid
5.6 - 6.0	Moderately acid
6.1 - 6.5	Slightly acid
6.6 - 7.5	Neutral
7.6 - 7.8	Slightly alkaline
7.9 - 8.4	Moderately alkaline
8.5 - 9.0	Strongly alkaline
> 9.0	Very strongly alkaline

4 **SOURCE:** FAO (2004). Special programme for Food security, Federal Ministry of
5 Agriculture and Rural Development (SPFS FMARD)

6

7 **Soil texture**

8 The particle size analysis is presented in Table 1 and 2. The results show that the soils are
9 coarse textured with a high content of sand giving dominant textural classes of sandy loam
10 and t-test result showed that all the texture were significantly different($p < 0.05$). The high
11 sand content shows that the proportion of sand was higher than silt and clay. This means the
12 soil is low in the water holding capacity and can retain low nutrients than silt and clay that
13 have greater capacities to retain water and available nutrients. This agrees with the work of
14 Akpan – Idiok *et al.*(2012) who reported that coarse texture soils at the surface to subsurface
15 soils in the tropics are well aerated, low in nutrients, high infiltration rates and showed
16 susceptibility to degradation. The content of clay was high in coefficient of variability to
17 those of sand and silt. The high variability of clay in the location implied differences in the
18 influence of clay on soil properties such as moisture nutrient retention, cation exchange
19 capacity (CEC) and vis-à-vis soil management (Ogunkunle and Erinle, 1994).

20 **CHEMICAL PROPERTIES**

21 **Soil pH**

22 The result on the soil pH (H₂O) is presented in Table 1 and 2. The soil pH (H₂O) showed very
23 strongly acid (FAO, 2004) and t-test result showed that there was not significantly different
24 ($p < 0.05$). The content of the soil pH was low in coefficient of variability. This might be

25 attributed to the acidic nature of the parent rock and intensive rainfall releasing H^+ which
26 contributes to the acidity of the soil. This agrees with the work of (Ogban *et al.*1998 and
27 Akpan- Idiok *et al.* 2012) who reported that soil pH ranged from 4.9-5.2 is due to the acidic
28 conditions of soils resulting from high rainfall exceeding 3500mm which could leach out
29 basic cations from the soil solum in the area.

30 **Organic Carbon**

31 The Organic Carbon is presented in Table 1 and 2.The organic carbon was high as reported
32 by FDALR (1990) and Landon (1991) and t-test result showed that there was significantly
33 different ($p<0.05$). The content of organic carbon varied highly in its coefficient of
34 variability. The high level in the organic carbon could be attributed to the slow
35 decomposition of bamboo leaves in the environment which depend on the carbon/nitrogen
36 ratio to mediate microbes at different rates in the complex mixture of chemical compounds.
37 This is in line with the work of Agbede, (2009) who reported that the lower the nitrogen the
38 higher the carbon/nitrogen ratio which slow the rate of decomposition.

39 **Total nitrogen**

40 The total nitrogen is presented in Table 1 and 2.The total nitrogen was generally low as
41 reported by FDALR (1990) and Landon (1991) and t-test result showed that there was
42 significantly different ($p<0.05$).The content of total nitrogen varied highly in its coefficient of
43 variability. This variation occurs due to the low in the nitrogen content resulting from a
44 change of N_2 gas to plant utilization forms by mineralization since organic N is converted to
45 usable mineral N, a major source in non- fertilized soils (Agbede, 2009). Generally, tropical
46 soils usually have low nitrogen (Ojo – Atere *et al.*, 2011). This agrees with Ibanga *et al.*
47 (2008) who stated in Calabar that a mean value of 0.4g/kg total nitrogen is low in Calabar
48 environment.

49 **Carbon: nitrogen ratio**

50 The carbon/nitrogen ratio is presented in Table 1 and 2. The result showed that
51 carbon/nitrogen ratio in the two locations varied between 3:1 and 2:1 which is generally
52 smaller and t-test result showed that there was significantly different ($p<0.05$). The content of
53 the carbon/nitrogen ratio varied highly in its coefficient of variability. The smaller ratios
54 mean they were rapid decomposition of the plant material. This is in line with Agbede (2009)

55 who stated that the smaller the ratio is, the more rapidly the material will decompose and the
56 higher the ratio, the longer it takes the material to decompose.

57

58 **Available phosphorus**

59 The available Phosphorus is presented in Table 1 and 2. The available Phosphorus was
60 generally low as reported by FDALR (1990) and Landon (1991) and t-test result showed that
61 there was no significantly different ($p>0.05$). The content of available phosphorus varied
62 highly in its coefficient of variability. The high coefficient of variability shows low
63 phosphorus content which could be attributed to soil erosion that contributes to loss of
64 phosphorus and acidic nature of the soil hence makes phosphorus gets tied up with iron and
65 aluminium. This agrees with (Ogban *et al.*1998; Chikezie *et al.*2010 and Ojo – Atere *et*
66 *al.*2011) who stated that highly weathered soils of the tropics are generally deficient and low
67 in available phosphorus.

68 **Exchangeable Bases**

69 **Calcium**

70 The exchangeable calcium is presented in Table 1 and 2. The exchangeable calcium was low,
71 medium and high as reported by FDALR (1990) and Landon (1991) and t-test result showed
72 that there was no significantly different ($p>0.05$). The content of exchangeable calcium
73 varied highly in its coefficient of variability. This could be due to low exchangeable bases
74 and acidity clays. This is in line with Ojo – Atere *et al.* (2011) who observed that the
75 deficiencies of calcium usually occur in very acidic sandy soils with low cation exchange
76 capacity in the tropics.

77 **Magnesium**

78 The exchangeable magnesium is presented in Table 1 and 2. The exchangeable magnesium
79 was low, medium and high as reported by FDALR (1990) and Landon (1991) and t-test result
80 showed that there was not significantly different ($p>0.05$). The content of exchangeable
81 magnesium varied highly in its coefficient of variability. This high coefficient of variability
82 shows that exchangeable magnesium was low. This agrees with Bulktrade (1989) that
83 reported that magnesium content of South Eastern soils is low to medium in surface and
84 subsurface soils.

85 **Potassium**

86 The exchangeable potassium is presented in Table 1 and 2. The exchangeable potassium was
87 generally low as reported by FDALR (1990) and Landon (1991) and t-test result showed that
88 there was not significantly different ($p > 0.05$). The content of exchangeable potassium varied
89 highly in its coefficient of variability. The low in potassium is due to low cation exchange
90 and high rainfall causing weathering in the areas. This is in line with the work of Ojo – Atere
91 *et al.* (2011) who reported that the soil potassium (K) status in the tropics is related to the
92 parent material and the degree of weathering.

93 **Sodium**

94 The exchangeable sodium is presented in Table 1 and 2. The exchangeable sodium was
95 generally low as reported by FDALR (1990) and Landon (1991) and t-test result showed that
96 there was not significantly different ($p > 0.05$). The content of exchangeable sodium varied
97 highly in its coefficient of variability. This high coefficient of variability shows the leaching
98 condition of this cation.

99 **Exchangeable Acidity**

100 **Hydrogen**

101 The exchangeable Hydrogen is presented in Table 1 and 2. The exchangeable hydrogen was
102 generally low as reported by FDALR (1990) and Landon (1991) and t-test result showed that
103 there was significantly different ($p < 0.05$). The content of exchangeable hydrogen varied
104 highly in its coefficient of variability. This high coefficient of variability shows low hydrogen
105 attributed to the high rainfall in the areas resulting in leaching of most of the nutrients down
106 the soil profile.

107 **Cation Exchange Capacity**

108 The cation exchange capacity (CEC) is presented in Table 1 and 2. The results show that the
109 cation exchange capacity (CEC) varied from low to medium as reported by FDALR (1990)
110 and Landon (1991) and t-test result showed that there was not significantly different
111 ($p > 0.05$). The content of cation exchangeable capacity was low in its coefficient of
112 variability. The variation might be attributed to the Organic carbon content of the soil
113 properties. The more the organic matter (humus) content of the soil, the higher, the CEC and
114 has a greater potential ability for soil fertility (Peinemann *et al.* 2002). It is also reported in

115 the Clemson University (2015) that a typical CEC for soils is about 2.0meq/100g of soil. This
116 gives an indication of the soils potential to hold plant nutrients.

117 **Effective Cation Exchange Capacity**

118 The ECEC is presented in Table 1 and 2. The results show that the ECEC was low, medium
119 and high as reported by FDALR (1990) and Landon (1991) and t-test result showed that there
120 was not significantly different ($p < 0.05$). The content of effective cation exchange capacity
121 was low in its coefficient of variability. Low ECEC has been attributed to strongly weathered
122 soils. Agbede (2009) reported tropical soils have low ECEC and SOM is the major source of
123 ECEC in such soils with higher values in the top soils than the subsoils. The higher the
124 ECEC, the more cationic nutrients the soil can retain against leaching forces. Bulktrade
125 (1989) reported ECEC range of 2.0-28.4cmol/kg for surface soils in Southeastern Nigeria to
126 be medium and high.

127 **Base Saturation**

128 The Base Saturation is presented in Table 1 and 2. The Base saturation was generally high as
129 reported by FDALR (1990) and Landon (1991) and t-test result showed that there was
130 significantly different ($p < 0.05$). The content of Base saturation was low in its coefficient of
131 variability. This indicates the base-rich nature of the soils of the study area. The high-level
132 BS was related to the soil pH range and the level of the basic cations of the soils.

133

134 **CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS**

135 The result of the study affirmed that the soils were predominantly sandy loam in physical
136 properties and low to medium in chemical properties with the exception of organic carbon which
137 was high in fertility characteristics. Based on this study, the two research areas do not have
138 different management practices, hence recommends that production of Bamboo should be
139 promoted to improve soil nutrient situation due to high organic carbon content and sometimes
140 bamboo may get over its acidity naturally.

141

142

143

144 **REFERENCES:**

- 145 Agbede, O. O. (2009). Understanding soil and plant nutrition. Petra Digital Press. ISBN: 978-
146 978-900-087-6, Pp 53157
- 147 Akpan – Idiok, A.U. (2012). Physicochemical Properties, Degradation Rate and Vulnerability
148 Potential of soils formed on Coastal plain sands in Southeast, Nigeria. *International*
149 *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 7:358 –366
- 150 Black, C. A., Evans, D. D., White, J. L., Ensminger, L. E. and Clerk, F. E.(1965). Methods of
151 Soil Analysis. American Society of Agronomy. Inc., Madison, WI., USA
- 152 Bouyoucos, C. A. (1951). A re-calibration of the hydrometer for making mechanical analysis
153 of soils. *Agron. J.*, 31:510-513
- 154 Bray, R. H. and Kurtz, L. T. (1945). Determination of total, organic and available forms of
155 phosphorus in soils. *Soils Sci.*, 59: 39-46 Retrieved from Scialert.
156 [Net/fulltext/%3Fdoi%3Dijar...](#)
- 157 Bulktrade and Investment Co Ltd (1989). Soil and land use survey of Cross River State
158 Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Calabar
- 159 Chikezie, I.A. I.A., H. Eswaran, D.O. Asawalam and A.O. Ano, (2010). Characterization of
160 two benchmark soils of contrasting parent materials in Abia state, Southeastern
161 Nigeria. *Global J. pure Applied Sci.*, 16: 23-29.
- 162 Christine, R., Max, F. W. and David, C. (1992). Timber press Bamboos in Europe.
- 163 Clemson Public Service Activities (2015). Agricultural service Laboratory, Clemson
164 University, 130 Lehotsky Hall, Clemson
- 165 Crosby, M. R. and Magill, R. E. (2006). Index of bryophytes 2005. Missouri Botanical
166 Garden, St. Louis, 12pp
- 167 FAO, (2004). Special Programme for Food Security, Federal Ministry of Agric. And Rural
168 Development (SPFS FMARD)
- 169 FDALR, (1990). The reconnaissance soil survey of Nigeria (1:450,000) Soil report (Lagos,
170 Ogun, Oyo, Ondo, Bendel States). Federal Department of Agricultural Land
171 Resources, Lagos 1.
- 172 Hans, F. (2014). Network for Bamboo and Rattan. Retrieved from [hansfriederich.](#)
173 [Wordpress.com/2014/0...](#)
- 174 Ibanga I. J, Umoh N. B. & Iren, O. B. (2008). Effects of Cement dust on soil chemical
175 properties in Calabar environment. *Soil and plant analysis* vol 39-issue. Pp 551 - 558
- 176 Iwena, O. A.(2008). *Essential of Geography for Secondary Schools 2* Pp 240-245
- 177 Juo, A.S.R.(1979). Selected Methods for soil and plant Analysis. *International Institute of*
178 *Tropical Agriculture* (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria. Retrieved from Scialert.
179 [Net/fulltext/%3Fdoi%3Dijar...](#)

- 180 Landon, J. R. (1991). Booker Tropical Soil Manual. Longman Publishers, Harlow, UK. Page
181 134
- 182 Lehmann, J. and Andrew C. D. (2013). Heterogenous global crop yield response to biochar: a
183 meta – regression analysis. Published 16 December, 2013. Top publishing Limited.
184 Retrieved from edepot.wur.nl/311299
- 185 McLean. E. O. (1965). Aluminium In:Methods of soil Analysis, Part 2: Chemical and
186 Biological Properties, Black, C. A. (Ed.). American Society of Agronomy, Madison,
187 WI, USA, pp: 987- 990. Retrieved from Scialert. Net/fulltext/%3Fdoi%3Dijar...
- 188 Ogban, P.I, T.P. Ekanem, E.R. Etim and G.S Effiong, (1998). Muching Practices on a
189 tropical physical properties. Proceedings of the 25th Annual Conference on soil
190 Science Society of Nigeria, September 10, 1998, Abeokuta, Nigeria.
- 191 Ogunkunle, A. O. & Erinle, W. O. (1994). Influence of tillage on the variability of some
192 properties of an Alfisol in Southern Nigeria. Journal of Science Research 1(1):52-57
- 193 Ojo-Atere, J. O., Ogunwale, J. A. & Oluwatosin, G. A. (2011). Fundamentals of Tropical Soil
194 Science. Evans Brothers(Nigeria Publishers) Ltd. ISBN 978-978-020-976-6, Pp 101,
195 260, 261 & 262
- 196 Peinemann, N., Amiotti, N. M, Zaiba, P, and Villamil, M. B. (2002). “Effect of clay minerals
197 and organic matter on the Cation exchange capacity of silt fraction” J Plant Nutrition,
198 163(1), 2000 Pp 47-52
- 199 Qian, K. Z., Kumar, A., Zhang, H. L., Bellmer, D., and Huhnke, R. (2015).”Recent advances
200 in utilization of biochar”, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 42,1055-1064.
201 DOI: 10.1016/j.rser:2014:10.074
- 202 Rao, A.N., Ramanatha, R. V. and John, D. (1998). Priority species of bamboo and rattan,
203 page 25, Bioersity International, ISBN 978-92-9043-491-7. Retrieved from
204 www.bamboobotanicals.ca/html/bamboo...
- 205 Saha, S. and Howe,H. F. (2001). The Bamboo Fire Cycle Hypothesis: A comment. The
206 American Naturalist 6(158): 659-663
- 207 Satya, S., Bal, L.M. Singhal, P. and Naik, S. N. (2010). Bamboo shoot processing. Food
208 quality and safety aspect (a review). Trends Food (Issue 21), Pages 181 – 189.
- 209 Walkley, A. and Black, I. A. (1934). An examination of the degtjareff method for
210 determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid
211 titration method. Soil Sci., 37:29-38
- 212 Whistler, W. A. (2000). Tropical ornamentals: a guide, Pp 77-78, Timber Press 2000
- 213 Winsley, P. (2007), Guant, J. and Lehmann, J. (2008). “Energy and Emissions Associated
214 with Biochar Sequestration and pyrolysis Bioenergy production” . Environmental
215 Sciences and Technologies. 42 (11): 4152
- 216