

2

3 **BARK AND FRUIT EXTRACTS *Anadenanthera***

4 ***colubrina* (Vell.), *Mimosa tenuiflora* (Willd.) AND**

5 ***Acacia mearnsii* (Wild.) SPECIES**

6

7

8

9

10 **ABSTRACT**

11

The aim of this study was to quantify extracts from the bark and green fruits of *A. colubrina* and *M. tenuiflora*, compared to *A. mearnsii* bark using the formaldehyde method. Ten *M. tenuiflora* and ten *A. colubrina* trees were selected to collect the studied material and five *A. mearnsii* individuals. Moisture content, total solids, Stiasny index and condensed tannin content were analyzed. The results were compared by Tukey test at 5% probability. In relation to the Stiasny index, the species *A. mearnsii* and *M. tenuiflora* did not differ statistically, with averages of 68.3 and 62.6%, respectively. The content of condensed tannins found in *A. colubrina* fruits, did not differ statistically from the content of the bark of the same species, corroborates with data referenced in the literature in research with this species that is traditionally exploited in the Northeast Region of Brazil by the tannery industry. The *M. tenuiflora* and *A. colubrina* species present potential for the production of tannic extracts, although tannin contents are inferior to those obtained for *A. mearnsii*. In addition, due to the abundance in the Brazilian semiarid region, the *M. tenuiflora* has potential for exploitation to obtain tannins.

12

13 *Keywords: Vegetable components; phenolic compounds; tannins extraction; stiasny method*

14

15

16 **1. INTRODUCTION**

17

18 Tannic compounds are produced by the secondary metabolism of plants, with repelling

19 action to insect and microorganism attacks, and its oldest application is the tanning of animal

20 skins [1], being in turn beneficial to health because they present on their

21 antimicrobial properties, antioxidant and anticancer actions [2].

22 Tannins are natural compounds framed in two distinct classes of chemical compounds and

23 of phenolic nature, which are hydrolysable tannins and the condensates, being the first

24 group found in the bark of species such as *Terminalia sp.*, *Eucalyptus sp.*, *Phyllanthus sp.*

25 and *Caesalpiniasp.*, among other genders [3]. The condensates are present in the bark of all

26 the hardwood and coniferous species are studied until today, as well as in the core of

27 several woody species [4].

28 Due to the different concentrations of extracts in the distant parts of the plant, several

29 methods have been developed to detect tannins from plant extracts, in food products and

30 beverages [5]. The use of tannins in the manufacture of adhesives is considered recent in

31 Brazil. However, in some countries, such as South Africa and Australia, tannins for this

32 purpose are used on a commercial scale. Such use is related to the greater ease with

33 polyphenols bind together to formaldehyde, allowing their use in the industry of plywood and
34 particleboard, under normal bonding and pressing conditions [6].

35 Due to a lack of appropriate management and uncontrolled exploitation of the species *A.*
36 *colubrina* and *M. tenuiflora*, for tannin production, *M. tenuiflora*, a species common in
37 disturbed areas of Caatinga and widely used for the production of firewood, charcoal and
38 wood for cooking, were highlighted in this research the potential of its tannin content for use
39 in the tanning production chain using parts of the plants [7].

40 Therefore, the aim of this work was to quantify the condensed tannins obtained from bark
41 and fruits of *A. colubrina* and *M. tenuiflora* as well as the *A. mearnsii* species.

42 43 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

44 45 **2.1 Collection and preparation of material**

46 The materials (barks and fruits) used in this study were obtained in the year 2016 from a
47 StepicSavannah area in the municipality of Malta, State of Paraíba, Brazil, located at 07° 01'
48 South latitude and 37° 17' West longitude, with average altitude of 250 m, presenting a BSh
49 (hot and dry) climate, according to Koppen's classification, with annual average rainfall
50 between 250 and 800 mm, mainly concentrated in the months of February to April and
51 average temperature of 29°C.

52 Ten *M. tenuiflora* and ten *A. colubrina* matrices were selected, both species being vigorous
53 and with good phytosanitary appearance of the population, randomly selected at different
54 points in the area and equally distributed within the study area, in order to contemplate all
55 the variability of the local. Bark and green fruits samples of both species were taken for
56 extraction and quantification of the tannic substances.

57 In order to compare, five individuals of *A. mearnsii*, barks were already stored in the
58 Technology of Forest Products Laboratory, Health and Rural Technology Center, in form of
59 large fragments (splinters). These barks were derived of five tree individuals from a forest
60 stand located in the municipality of Pelotas-RS, Brazil.

61 In the *M. tenuiflora* and *A. colubrina* species, the bark and fruit were removed with aid of
62 hand tools (machetes, hammers and knives). The material was collected and kept in a
63 ventilated environment for natural drying; later was stored in dark plastic bags. For *A.*
64 *mearnsii*, the barks were already in this condition.

65 After the drying stage, the materials (barks and/or fruits) from trees of each species were
66 homogenized, fragmented in a hammermill and milled in a Willey type mill, with constant
67 stops to avoid heating. Subsequently, the particles were subjected to the vibrating sieve,
68 selecting the portion that got through the 35 mesh (0.42 mm) sieve and was retained in the
69 60 mesh (0.25 mm) sieve.

70 Finally, the classified particles were stored in identified hermetically sealed bottles, protected
71 from the light and humidity.

72 **2.2 Generation of analytical solution**

73 The extraction was carried out under boiling in a volumetric flask with capacity of 500 ml, in
74 which 300 ml of distilled water and 12.5 g of air-dried sample were added, following a similar
75 methodology used by [9] and [11]. Posteriorly, the mixture was boiled under reflux for 2

76 hours. After the boiling period, the mixture was submitted to a 150 mesh (0.105 mm) sieve,
77 being the liquid part (liquid extract) stored in a plastic bottle, while the solid part (particles)
78 was again subjected to three more boils, 2 hours each, in order to remove the most of the
79 extractives. The filtrates from the sample (900 ml total) were packed in the same bottle. After
80 this procedure, they were strained in a flannel and filtered through a sintered glass crucible
81 of porosity 2, having then the volume completed to 1000 ml by the addition of distilled water.

82 **2.3 Determination of particle humidity**

83 Simultaneously to the removal of the sample for the generation of the analytical solution
84 (primary sample), a secondary sample (air-dried) of 3 g was obtained, which was placed in
85 an oven (100°C) until its anhydrous mass was obtained, all in order to calculate its humidity
86 content (Equation 1).

$$87 \text{ TU\%} = ((\text{Mus} - \text{Mas}) / \text{Mus}) \cdot 100 \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

88 In which:

89 TU% = Humidity content of the secondary sample, in %;

90 Mus = Air dry mass of the secondary sample (3 g), in grams;

91 Mas = Anhydrous mass of the secondary sample, in grams.

92

93 **2.4 Determination of anhydrous mass of the particles subjected to extraction**

94

95 Knowing the humidity content (secondary sample) and the air-dry mass of the portion
96 transferred to the volumetric flask (primary sample), the anhydrous mass of the sample
97 undergone to the extraction and was calculated by the equation 2:

$$98 \text{ Mae} = \text{Mue} \cdot [1 - (\text{TU\%/100})] \quad (\text{Equation 2})$$

99 In which:

100 Mae = Anhydrous mass of the sample used in the extraction, in grams;

101 Mue = Air dry mass of the sample used in the extraction, in grams;

102 TU% = Humidity content of the secondary sample, in %.

103

104 **2.5 Determination of Total Solids**

105

106 For the determination of the total solids content, a 50 ml aliquot of the analytical solution was
107 evaporated in an oven (103 ± 2°C) until its anhydrous mass was obtained and the total
108 solids content (TST) was calculated, according to equation 3, being the initial anhydrous
109 mass corresponding to an anhydrous mass of 12.5 g of the air dried sample and the final
110 anhydrous mass obtained from 50 ml (residue after evaporation in the oven) and
111 extrapolated to 1000 ml.

$$112 \text{ TST} = (\text{Mf} / \text{Mi}) \cdot 100 \quad (\text{Equation 3})$$

113 In which:

114 TST = Total solids content of the solution, in %;

115 Mf = Final anhydrous mass of the sample, in grams;

116 Mi = Initial anhydrous mass of the sample, in grams.

117

118 **2.6 Determination of the Stiasny Index**

119

120 The method of [Stiasny 2016](#) was employed, with modifications suggested by [8]. In a 100 ml
121 sample of the analytical solution were added 4 ml of formaldehyde (37%) and 1 ml of
122 concentrated HCl. The material was heated under reflux for 30 minutes. In this condition, the
123 tannins formed insoluble complexes, which were separated by filtration.

124 The filter paper containing the material was transferred to a 250 ml Becker beaker and dried
125 at $103 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 24 hours. Knowing the mass of the filter paper, Stiasny Index was
126 calculated by the following equation 4):
127 $\text{IS} (\%) = (M2 / M1) \cdot 100$ (Equation 4)
128 In which:
129 IS = Stiasny Index, in %;
130 M1 = Mass of solids in 100 ml of extract, in grams;
131 M2 = Mass of tannin-formaldehyde precipitate, in grams.

132

133 **2.7 Determination of the condensed tannins content**

134

135 After obtaining the TST and IS, the condensed tannin content of the material (TTC) was
136 calculated, according to equation 5:

137 $\text{TTC} (\%) = (\text{TST} \cdot \text{IS}) \cdot 100$ (Equation 5)

138 In which:

139 TTC = Condensed tannins content, in %;

140 TST = Total solids content (Equation 3);

141 IS = Stiasny Index (Equation 4).

142

143 **2.8 Experimental design**

144 Ten matrices of *M. tenuiflora* and *A. colubrina* were evaluated, combined in their different
145 parts (bark and fruits), in addition to the *A. mearnsii* bark, totalizing five treatments. Mixtures
146 of the materials related to the treatments after collection of the different trees and materials
147 (bark and/or fruits) were carried out, and afterwards, a completely randomized design was
148 employed.

149 **2.9. Data analysis**

150

151 Three replicates (extractions) per treatment were evaluated and all sub-replicates (humidity
152 content, total solids, Stiasny index, condensed tannin content) were analyzed in duplicate.
153 The results were interpreted through comparison of means by the Tukey test, considering a
154 5% probability of error.

155

156 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

157

158 The variance analysis of the humidity content of the particles did not indicate a significant
159 statistical difference between the treatments ($P < .2371$), which varied from 10.1% (*M.*
160 *tenuiflora* fruit) to 12.5% (*M. tenuiflora* bark), which is probably associated with the collection
161 season, since it was carried out in the same period.

162 The total solids content (TST) of the analytical solution can be understood as the gross yield
163 of the material in powdered extract. It was observed that there was no significant statistical
164 difference in relation to the TST of the bark of studied species, being the highest value
165 obtained for the bark of *A. mearnsii* (47.9%), followed by the *A. colubrina* (44.3%). The
166 lowest mean (27.7%) was obtained by the *M. tenuiflora* fruit, presenting a significant
167 difference in relation to the others (Table 1).

168

169

170

171

172

173 **Table 1. Comparisons of averages of the total solids content obtained from different**
 174 **forest species and parts of the plant.**

| Treatment** | TST %* | IS %* | TTC %* |
|-------------|--------|-------|--------|
| ANb | 47.9a | 68.3a | 32.5a |
| JPb | 42.0a | 62.6a | 26.1a |
| AVb | 44.4a | 47.1b | 20.2c |
| JPf | 27.7b | 9.9c | 2.7d |
| AVf | 40.3a | 50.2b | 20.2c |

175 *Means followed by the same letter in the column do not differ statistically by the Tukey test
 176 (P < .05).

177 **ANb = *A. mersiiani* bark; JPb= *M. tenuiflora* bark; AVb = *A. colubrina* bark; JPf = *M. tenuiflora*fruit; AVf
 178 = *A. colubrina* fruit.

179
 180 For condensed tannins, the highest mean was obtained by *A. mersiiani* bark with 32.5%,
 181 followed by *M. tenuiflora* and *A. colubrina* barks, with means of 26.2 and 20.3%,
 182 respectively. The *M.tenuiflora* fruits showed, on the other hand, mean of 2.7%, statistically
 183 differing from its bark, emphasizing their tannic potential (Table 1).

184
 185 For the Stiasny index, the extract of the *A. mearnsii* bark obtained a superior mean in
 186 relation to the others, with 68.3%, however, it did not differ statistically from the *M. tenuiflora*,
 187 which presented 62.6%, indicating the latter's potential for the production of tannin-
 188 formaldehyde-type adhesives (Table 1), in the other hand, the lowest mean was obtained by
 189 *M. tenuiflora* fruit (9.9%).

190
 191 **4. DISCUSSION**

192
 193 It was observed a tendency of the *M. tenuiflora* bark humidity content to be higher. This is
 194 mainly due to the higher humidity of the air during the period in which these barks were put
 195 to dry (rainy period), being that for the other cases, a more homogeneous humidity was
 196 obtained. Nevertheless, the existing humidity facilitated the grinding of the material, with little
 197 loss in the form of fines and, consequently, few incrustations in the mill knives.

198 Analyzing the humidity of the airdried bark of the *A. colubrine* (10.4%) and *M.*
 199 *tenuiflora*(12.5%) species, [8] obtained lower results, with mean values of 7.93% and 9.30%,
 200 respectively. These differences in humidity may be reflect the time when such authors
 201 carried out the study (drier), of the method or storage site.

202 Lower results were obtained by [9] when analyzing the TST of barks from *A. colubrina*
 203 (22.48%) and *M. tenuiflora* (26.32%). [11], in an analysis of the *A. colubrina* barks, obtained
 204 a mean of 23.30% in the total solids content, a result much lower than the one found in this
 205 study for the same species. This difference can be due to the method used to separate the
 206 solid fraction (material under extraction) from the liquid extract.

207 In a similar way [12], when analyzing the quality of *A. mearnsii* and *M. tenuiflora* peels from
 208 the same sources of the present study, observed total solids contents between 56.8 and
 209 39.9%, values close to the one observed in this study, probably because of the similarity in
 210 the methodology used. The author points out that this difference between the results found
 211 in the literature may arise from the period of collection of the bark, plant phenophases, site
 212 characteristics or age of the trees.

213 The *A. mearnsii*, a species known worldwide for its high tannin yield and use, mainly for skin
 214 tanning, presented a higher mean than the others studied, with a value of 32.6%. The

215 Brazilian company [13] described that it presents approximately 28% of tannins in its bark,
216 however, without describing the methodology used. Lower yield of condensed tannins was
217 also obtained by [14] for the barks of *A. colubrina* and *M. tenuiflora*, with 11.89 and 17.74%
218 respectively.

219 When comparing the TTC of forest species occurring in the semiarid region, [8] observed
220 17.7% for the *M. tenuiflora* bark, indicating its potential for leather and tanner industries.
221 Already [15] found that the tannins obtained from this species present good characteristics
222 for the production of tannin formaldehyde adhesive.

223 Research conducted by [11] obtained 13.95% of tannin in the *A. colubrina* bark, from
224 composite samples obtained in three positions equidistant in the trunk, in three positions in
225 the main branches and three in twigs.

226 For the *M. tenuiflora* species, in comparison to the *A. colubrina*, which is constituted in a
227 species commercially used by tanneries in the Brazilian northeast, it reveals its potential as a
228 tannin producer, indicating that it must be tested for use in adhesives for wood, due to
229 considerable content of condensed tannin present in its barks. It was observed a tendency of
230 the *M. tenuiflora* bark humidity content to be higher. This is mainly due to the higher humidity
231 of the air during the period in which these barks were put to dry (rainy period), being that for
232 the other cases, a more homogeneous humidity was obtained. Nevertheless, the existing
233 humidity facilitated the grinding of the material, with little loss in the form of fines and,
234 consequently, few incrustations in the mill knives.

235 In relation to the Stiasny index, in this reaction only tannins of the flavanol type are
236 precipitated by condensation with formaldehyde in an acid medium, these products being of
237 high molecular weight and of difficult dissolution, where the greater the number of Stiasny,
238 higher the quantity of polyphenols (tannins) present in the extracts [16-17]. Tannins are quite
239 chemically reactive because they are phenolic substances. The Stiasny method is
240 characterized by the determination of the content of reactive polyphenolic components
241 (condensable tannins). Condensed tannins or proanthocyanidins are composed of flavonoid
242 units, especially flavone-3-ols (catechin) and flavan 3,4-diols (leucoanthocyanins), which are
243 precipitated by condensation with formaldehyde in acid medium [18].

244 The Stiasny index value obtained by [8] of 52.88%, in the bark of the *A. colubrina* species
245 was superior to the one found in this study, a fact that may be associated with the time the
246 barks were collected, since [9] found that the same index varied with the plant phenotypes
247 and trunk positions, in which it obtained values that presented a total variation of 32.2 to
248 68.3%.

249 With the obtained data it can be observed the significant difference in the content of
250 condensed tannins between the barks of *M. tenuiflora* and *A. colubrina* and the fruits of
251 these same species. It can also be observed the low index of the *M. tenuiflora* fruits and the
252 considerable index obtained by the *A. colubrina* fruits, a behavior also observed by [9] when
253 studying *A. colubrina* fruits. Regarding the amount of fruits produced by *A. colubrina* trees, in
254 relation to the proportion of tannins found in them, taking into account the demand of
255 traditional tanneries, studies to test the viability of the tannins present in the fruits for skin
256 tanning and other purposes become indispensable, to the example of researches aimed at
257 their use in the manufacture of adhesives for wood.

258 **5. CONCLUSION**

259

260 The *M. tenuiflora* and *A. colubrina* species present potential for the production of tannic
261 extracts.

262 Although it was possible to compare the contents of condensed tannins present in the
263 different parts of the *M. tenuiflora* and *A. colubrina* species, it is suggested to carry out new
264 studies in order to improve the extraction and quantification parameters.

265 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

266

267 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist

268

269 **REFERENCES**

270

271 1. Covington AD. Modern tanning chemistry. Chemical Society Reviews, Cambridge.
272 1997;26(1):111-126.

273 2. De Bruyne T, Pieters L, Deelstra H, Vlietinck A. Condensed vegetable tannins:
274 biodiversity in structure and biological activities. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology.
275 1999;27(4):445-459.

276 3. Pizzi A. Advanced wood adhesives technology. M. Dekker; New York. 1994.

277 4. Wollenweber E, Dietz VH. Occurrence and distribution of free flavonoid aglycones in
278 plants. Phytochemistry. 1981;20(1):869-932.

279 5. Hagermann AE, Zhao Y, Johnson S. Methods for Determination of Condensed and
280 Hydrolyzable Tannins. Antinutrients and Phytomedicine in Food.1997;662(1):209-222.

281 6. Pizzi A. Wood adhesives: chemistry and technology. New York: Marcell Dekker; 1983.

282 7. Medeiros JX, Calegari L, Silva GH, Oliveira E, Pimenta A. Measurement of Tannic
283 Substances in Forest Species. Floresta e Ambiente. 2018;25(3):1-8.

284 8. Paes JB, Diniz FCE, Marinho IV, Lima CR. Evaluation of the tanniferous potential of six
285 forest species occurring in the Brazilian semi-arid region. Cerne. 2006a; 12 (3): 232-238.
286 Portuguese.

287 9. Paes JB, Marinho IV, Lima RA, Lima CR, Azevedo TKB. Technical viability of the tannins
288 of four forest species occurring in the Brazilian semi-arid region in tanning. Forest Science.
289 2006b; 16 (4): 453-462. English.

290 10. Paes JB, Santana GM, Azevedo TD, Morais RDM, Calixto Júnior JT. Tannic substances
291 present in several parts of the tree *A. colubrina*-red (*Anadenanthera colubrina* (Vell.) Brenan.
292 2010; 38 (87): 441-447. Portuguese.

293 11. Guangcheng Z, Yunlu L, Yazaki Y. Extractives yields, Stiasny values and polyflavanoid
294 contents in barks from six Acacia species in Australian Forestry, Queen Victoria.
295 1991;3(54):154-156.

- 296 12. Calegari L, Lopes PJG, Oliveira E, Gatto DA, Stangerlin DM. Quantification of tannins in
297 the bark of *M. tenuiflora* and black acacia. Brazilian Forest Research. 2016; 36 (1): 61-69..
- 298 13. Tanac S.A. Taninos da Acácia Ltda. Accessed 9 January 2015. Available:
299 <www.tanac.com.br>.
- 300 14. Lima CR, Paes JB, Lima VL, Delgado MF, Lima RA. Potentiality of the tannic extracts of
301 three forest species in the tanning of caprine skins. Brazilian Journal of Environmental
302 Engineering. 2014; 18 (11): 1192-1197. English.
- 303 15. Azevedo TKB. Relationship between wood, bark and tannin content and tannin quality of
304 (*Mimosa tenuiflora* (Willd.) Poir.) For the production of tannin formaldehyde adhesives
305 [dissertation]. Patos: Federal University of Campina Grande; 2010. English.
- 306 16. Mori FA. Use of tannins from Eucalyptus grandis bark for the production of adhesives.
307 1997. Viçosa. Dissertation (Master in Forest Science) - Federal University of Viçosa, Viçosa,
308 1997. English.
- 309 17. Gonçalves CA, Lelis RCC, Brito EO, Nascimento AM. Production of agglomerated wood
310 sheets with adhesive Urea modified formaldehyde with Mimosa caesalpiniaefolia Benth
311 (sabiá). Forest and Environment. 2003; 10 (1): 1-9. English.
- 312 18. Gonçalves CA, Lelis RCC. Tannin content of bark and wood of five tree legumes. Forest
313 and Environment 2001; 8 (1): 167-173. Portuguese.