

2  
3 **Heterosis and combining ability of melon**  
4 **genotypes of *momordica* group.**

5  
6  
7  
8 **ABSTRACT**

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

The objective of this study was to estimate, through partial diallel cross, the combinatorial capacity of melon genotypes of the *momordica* group and the expression of heterosis in the hybrids obtained for the characters: mean fruit mass (MFM), mean fruit length (MFL), mean fruit diameter (MFD), fruit length/diameter ratio (LDR), fruit internal cavity (FIC) and mean pulp thickness (MPT). Forty-one treatments (26 Hybrids and 15 parents) were evaluated in a randomized complete block design with four replications, conducted in a greenhouse at the Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil, between February and June 2015. The results showed the importance of the additive and non-additive genes effects, with a greater participation of additive gene action in the control of most characters. In accordance with estimation of the general combining ability – GCA, the genitors G-03, G-11, G-14, G-16 and G-18 were the ones that presented the best results for MFM, MFL, MFD and MPT. The effect of the specific combining ability – SCA was important in controlling the majority of the characters, in 30.7% of the hybrid combinations and in 15 of them the heterosis was positive for MFM, MFL, MFD and MPT. The genotypes presented good productivity, thick pulp and satisfactory fruit size and can be used in breeding programs to obtain superior genotypes.

11 *Key words: Cucumis melo L., partial diallel, hydroponics, productivity.*

13 **1. INTRODUCTION**

14 The melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) has different botanical groups (*inodorus*, *cantalupensis*,  
15 *conomom*, *dudaim*, *flexuosus* and *momordica*) [1]. Among these, melons of the *momordica*  
16 group, in Brazil known by several common names (melon papoco, snow melon, caxi, melon  
17 vitamin and etc). It is native to India, where it is widely cultivated and commonly called  
18 "phut", which means 'to divide', because the cracks on the ripe fruit, they still present a low  
19 percentage of soluble solids, which cause their fruits to be consumed "*in natura*"  
20 accompanied by sugar, honey or other sweeteners, besides being used in the preparation of  
21 juices, salads and pickles when ripe or cooked when immature, as well as sources of vitamin  
22 C, iron and calcium [2, 3, 4, 5].

23 In addition to the culinary attributes, melons from *momordica* group have been used as a  
24 source for resistance to fungal and viral diseases, nematodes and insects, among them  
25 *Fusarium oxysporium*, *Podosphaera xanthii*, *Meloidogyne incognita*, PRSV (Papaya Ring  
26 Spot Virus) [5], *Liriomyza trifolii* Burgess and *Aphis gossypii* [6] and *Myrothecium roridum* [7]  
27 and also tolerant to drought, soil salinity and high temperature [8].

28 The choice of good genitors is of fundamental importance for the success of an  
29 improvement program. Among the most efficient and commonly used methodologies for this  
30 purpose are diallel crossing, which provides estimates of genetic parameters, useful for the

31 selection of genitors to be used in hybridization and in the understanding of the gene action  
32 involved in determining the characters and existence of heterosis [9].

33 Griffing [11] is one of the main methods used, this method provides information on the  
34 general combining ability (GCA), associated with concentration of predominantly additive  
35 genes, and the specific combining ability (SCA) associated with gene concentration with  
36 non-additive effect (dominance and epistasis) [10]. The difficulty of evaluating a large  
37 number of genitors in complete diallels stimulated adaptations to be suggested, among them  
38 that of partial diallels. These adaptations involve the evaluation of genitors arranged in two  
39 groups, belonging or not to a common set, and the inferences made for each group [9].

40 In view of the above, the present work had the objective of estimating the combinatorial and  
41 heterosis capacity manifested in experimental hybrids of melon genotypes of the *momordica*  
42 group obtained from partial diallel cross, in order to identify promising hybrid combinations.

43

## 44 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

45

46 The experiment was conducted between February and June of 2015 in the Department of  
47 Agronomy of the Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil.  
48 Previously, crossbreed between 15 melon genotypes of the *momordica* group were  
49 performed according to the partial diallel scheme 2x13. The genotypes were selected  
50 according to the genetic variability presented for the traits considered and were derived from  
51 data collected in different places in Brazil (Table 1) [ 2, 3].

52 Table 1. Genomes of *C. melo* (*momordica* group) with their respective identifications and  
53 provenances. Recife, Brazil, 2015.

Genomes	Provenances
<b>Grupo I</b>	
G-09	Serra Talhada -PE
G-24	Chapadinha-Ma
<b>Grupo II</b>	
G-01	São José do Egito-PE
G-03	Triunfo – PE
G-04	Petrolina - PE
G-07	Lagoa de Itaenga - PE
G-08	Serra Talhada - PE
G-11	Floresta – PE
G-12	Arcoverde - PE
G-13	Buíque – PE
G-14	Belo Jardim - PE
G-15	Mocambinho - MG
G-16	Juazeiro - BA
G-17	Jeremoabo - BA
G-18	Santa Tereza do Oeste - PR

54

55 The seedlings were obtained by indirect sowing in plastic trays containing 128 cells, filled  
56 with coconut shell powder. Plants were individually transplanted 13 days after sowing to  
57 plastic vessels, with a capacity of 5 liters, filled with the coconut shell powder and spaced in  
58 1.2 x 0.5 x 0.6 meters.

59 The plants were cultivated in hydroponics, in a greenhouse, adopting cultural treatments  
 60 such as pruning, fruit thinning and staking. The pruning was performed after the appearance  
 61 of the fifth leaf, and nipping on the third, elimination of the tertiary buds until the eighth leaf  
 62 and conduction with only two secondary stems. The tertiary branches that appeared after  
 63 the eighth leaf were pruned after the second. During fruiting, fruit thinning was performed,  
 64 leaving only two fruits per plant and in different tertiary branches in order to reduce the  
 65 competition between them, favoring their development and higher quality for harvesting. The  
 66 plants were vertically staked with twisted nylon thread and the fruits protected with mesh  
 67 bags or raschel bags (nets).

68 Mineral nutrition and water requirement of the plants were supplied through a balanced  
 69 nutrient solution at each stage of plant development, through a drip irrigation system  
 70 controlled automatically by a digital timer. A total of 41 treatments (Hybrids and genitors)  
 71 were used in the randomized block design with four replications and four plants per plot,  
 72 where the following characteristics were evaluated: mean fruit mass (MFM), mean fruit  
 73 length (MFL), mean fruit diameter (MFD), fruit length/diameter ratio (LDR), internal fruit  
 74 cavity (FIC) and mean pulp thickness (MPT).

75 The data were submitted to analysis of variance and the means grouped by the Scott-Knott  
 76 test ( $p < 0.05$ ). Diallel analysis was performed according to Griffing's Model 1, method 2,  
 77 adapted for partial diallels including genitors [11, 12]. Estimates of the heterosis relative to  
 78 the genitors' mean ( $H_r$ ) were obtained by the equations  $H = [F1 / (P1 + P2 / 2)]$  and  $H_r = H /$   
 79  $P1 + P2 \times 100$  for each F1 hybrid combination. The analyzes were performed using the  
 80 GENES program [13].

81

### 82 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

83 The treatments' average squares were significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) for all evaluated traits (Table 2),  
 84 which was already expected, since the choice of the genitors was based on the genetic  
 85 variability presented by them [2]. Thus, the treatments' average squares were differentiated  
 86 in general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability effects, according to method 2, model  
 87 2 [11].

88 Table 2. Average squares of the partial diallel analysis for mean fruit mass (MFM), mean  
 89 fruit length (MFL), mean fruit diameter (MFD), fruit length/diameter ratio (LDR), mean pulp  
 90 thickness (MPT) and fruit internal cavity (FIC) in melon genotypes of the *momordica* group.  
 91 Recife, Brazil, 2015.

Sources of variation	GL	MFM	MFL	MFD	LDR	MPT	FIC
		(kg)	(cm)	(cm)		(cm)	(cm)
<b>Genotypes</b>	40	0.229**	21.526**	4.928**	0.155**	0.241**	1.231**
<b>Groups</b>	1	1.121**	7.501 <sup>ns</sup>	13.157 <sup>ns</sup>	0.340*	1.151**	1.987**
<b>GCA group I</b>	1	0.010 <sup>ns</sup>	56.688*	1.597*	0.203 <sup>ns</sup>	0.095 <sup>ns</sup>	0.001 <sup>ns</sup>
<b>GCA group II</b>	12	0.359**	27.060**	8.029*	0.196**	0.264**	2.396**
<b>SCA I x II</b>	26	0.144*	18.159**	3.308**	0.123**	0.201**	0.712**
<b>Residue</b>	120	0.085	8.959	1.754	0.067	0.197	0.286

92 <sup>ns</sup> Not significant at 5% level of probability following F test.

93 \* Significant at 5% level of probability following F test.

94 The effects of SCA and GCA of groups I and II were significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) for the characters  
 95 mean fruit length (MFL) and mean fruit diameter (MFD) (Table 2). This significance for both  
 96 combining abilities shows the importance of additive and non-additive gene effects as  
 97 causes of the genetic variation observed for MFL and MFD [14]. However, the averages  
 98 squares of group II's GCA were significant and magnitudes higher than the SCA for all the  
 99 traits, which indicates a greater participation of the additive gene action in their control. On

100 the other hand, for the genitors of group I, only the traits MFL and MFD presented significant  
 101 values, which indicates that the genitors of groups I and II are quite divergent and that the  
 102 action of the additive gene effects are able to influence the expression of these characters  
 103 (Table 2).

104 In regards of SCA, there were significant differences for all the studied traits, which show  
 105 that the existence of genetic differences in these characters is due to the non-additive gene  
 106 effects (Table 2). Non-additive gene effects can be exploited to obtain promising hybrid  
 107 combinations, since dominance interaction favors the generation of superior hybrids,  
 108 especially those from genitors with favorable GCA effects [15].

109 Concerning the GCA effects of the 15 genotypes, group I and II, for MFM, MFL, MFD and  
 110 MPT, positive values were observed in five of them (G-03, G-11, G-14, G-16 and G-18),  
 111 indicating that these genotypes are superior to the others with respect to the average  
 112 performance of crosses for the most important traits, unlike others that presented negative  
 113 values of GCA for most of the investigated traits (Table 3).

114 Table 3. Estimates of the general combining ability of groups I and II and specific combining  
 115 ability of 26 hybrid combinations resulting from partial diallel cross between melon  
 116 genotypes of the *momordica* group. Recife, Brazil, 2015.

Genotypes	Traits <sup>1</sup>					
	MFM (kg)	MFL (cm)	MFD (cm)	LDR	MPT (cm)	FIC (cm)
<b>General combining ability (group I)</b>						
G-09	0.009	0.646	0.108	0.039	-0.026	-0.003
G-24	-0.009	-0.646	-0.108	-0.039	0.026	0.003
<b>General combining ability (group II)</b>						
G-01	-0.012	-0.501	-0.1932	-0.015	0.002	-0.088
G-03	0.087	0.459	0.9079	-0.126	0.091	0.111
G-04	-0.033	-0.290	-0.1040	-0.003	-0.046	-0.293
G-07	-0.271	-1.683	-1.4070	0.202	-0.257	-0.824
G-08	0.069	-0.060	0.1019	-0.041	0.042	0.125
G-11	0.035	0.073	0.1202	-0.027	0.141	0.058
G-12	-0.090	-0.232	-0.3713	0.057	-0.088	-0.145
G-13	-0.102	-1.903	-0.2512	-0.117	-0.062	-0.061
G-14	0.105	1.572	0.1444	0.101	0.093	0.193
G-15	-0.076	-0.476	-0.1260	-0.019	-0.029	0.068
G-16	0.065	1.174	0.0565	0.080	0.037	0.081
G-17	-0.008	0.363	0.1949	-0.021	-0.028	0.287
G-18	0.228	1.504	0.9269	-0.069	0.104	0.489
<b>Specific combining ability</b>						
H-09x01	0.209	0.828	0.380	-0.025	0.063	0.179
H-09x03	-0.004	-0.022	1.936	-0.204	0.036	-0.095
H-09x04	0.273	4.395	1.390	0.101	0.245	0.637
H-09x07	0.119	1.945	0.470	0.013	0.138	0.423
H-09x08	-0.028	0.040	0.087	-0.029	0.092	-0.069
H-09x11	-0.313	-2.833	-1.487	0.077	-0.320	-0.627
H-09x12	0.009	-1.711	-0.135	-0.132	0.064	0.056
H-09x13	-0.105	-0.542	-0.560	0.065	-0.149	-0.049
H-09x14	-0.347	-1.290	-1.056	0.109	-0.282	-0.232
H-09x15	-0.087	-0.547	-0.268	-0.008	-0.032	-0.082
H-09x16	-0.144	-1.074	0.030	-0.117	-0.057	-0.400
H-09x17	0.207	2.680	0.472	0.099	0.268	0.250
H-09x18	0.178	-1.487	0.237	-0.186	-0.160	0.027
H-24x01	-0.241	-2.416	-0.777	-0.040	0.300	-0.563
H-24x03	0.027	-0.378	-0.063	-0.049	-0.097	0.608
H-24x04	-0.171	-1.209	-0.861	0.080	-0.213	0.192
H-24x07	-0.189	-2.864	-0.733	-0.132	-0.150	-0.522

H-24x08	0.036	0.299	0.146	-0.004	0.021	-0.251
H-24x11	0.104	0.053	0.743	-0.141	-0.022	0.457
H-24x12	0.024	1.323	-0.149	0.149	0.009	0.146
H-24x13	0.222	1.126	1.491	-0.196	0.431	0.764
H-24x14	0.401	1.949	1.579	-0.174	0.484	0.566
H-24x15	0.080	1.468	-0.001	0.121	-0.100	-0.129
H-24x16	0.130	0.397	0.462	-0.073	0.165	0.105
H-24x17	0.085	4.621	-0.637	0.553	-0.015	-0.606
H-24x18	-0.077	-0.423	0.666	-0.131	-0.068	0.075

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

<sup>1</sup>Mean fruit mass (MFM), mean fruit length (MFL), mean fruit diameter (MFD), fruit length/diameter ratio (LDR), mean pulp thickness (MPT) and fruit internal cavity (FIC).

High values, positive or negative for a given genitor indicate a higher concentration of favorable alleles to increase or reduce the mean, when compared to the other genitors [9], that means that when the objective is to increase the mean of a trait it is used a genitor with a high and positive GCA, on the other hand, when the lowest mean of the trait is the objective, genitors with high and negative GCA are used. Thus, in the selection of populations, it is sought those crosses that present a high average and that at least one of the genitors have a high absolute value of GCA [16].

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

As for the signs of SCA estimates, both positive and negative values were observed in all the traits, highlighting the existence of bidirectional dominance deviations regulated by genes that increase the expression of the trait and by those that reduce it. However, about 30.7% of the hybrid combinations presented good complementation for the traits MFM, MFL, MFD and MPT, with positive SCA estimates. When the estimated values are high, positive or negative, there is an indication that the genitor is superior or inferior to the other genitors of the diallel [9].

135

136

137

138

139

140

In relation to the SCA, from the 30.7% of combinations with positive values, only 37.5% presented at least one genitor with high GCA (Table 3). This result can be explained by the fact that GCA does not depend only on the loci in heterozygosis, but also on the number of loci fixed with favorable alleles. Therefore, the ratio of loci in favorable homozygosis in relation to loci with unfavorable homozygosis is important in the estimation of SCA, since it represents a deviation from the mean [17].

141

142

143

144

145

146

The SCA has an important value, together with the GCA of one of the genitors when the objective is the exploitation of hybrids, because it is directly related to heterosis, associated to the non-additive effects of the genes, being a function of the crossing and the trait being considered [18, 19]. In this sense, heterosis was positive for MFM, MFL, MFD and MPT in 15 hybrid combinations, about 57.7%. And among those hybrid combinations with positive values of SCA, heterosis was positive in all of them (Table 3).

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

The mean values for MFM were higher in 69.2% of the hybrid combinations, of which 88.8% presented positive heterosis for this trait. In this group the means varied from 1.5 to 2.1 kg.fruit<sup>-1</sup> among hybrids and from 1.5 to 1.8 kg.fruit<sup>-1</sup> among the genotypes, the means of eight of them did not differ from the means of the hybrids. These results were superior to those report by reported by other authors [20], which obtained fruits with MFM ranging from 0.5 to 1.9 kg [5], between 0.18 and 1.4 kg [21], between 0.7 and 1.2 kg, and [22], between 0.2 and 1.5 kg per plant, both in soil cultivation.

154

155

156

157

For the LDR trait, both positive and negative heterosis values were observed, and in 69% of the hybrid combinations heterosis was negative. However, because it is a trait related to the shape of the fruit, the results obtained can be considered in two ways, when the objective is to obtain fruits of more spherical shape, the genotypes with the means close to the unit

158 should be chosen, on the other hand, in order to obtain more elongated fruits, these values  
 159 must exceed the unit. Fruits with ratio  $\leq 1.0$  are classified as spherical and those with  
 160 ratio between 1.0 - 1.5 are rather oval shaped. Fruits with ratio  $> 1.5$  are classified  
 161 as long [23].

162 The LDR means of 26.9% of the hybrids were concentrated between 2.6 and 3.0 and did not  
 163 differ from seven of the 15 genitors used. On the other hand, the means of 27 genotypes,  
 164 including around 53% of the genitors whose means were concentrated between 2.42 and  
 165 2.53 and 73% of the hybrids with means between 2.22 and 2.57, did not show statistical  
 166 difference (Table 4). Variation for this trait were reported by other authors [2, 3, 24].

167 **Table 4.** Mean of the genitors,  $f_1$  hybrids and heterosis relative to genitor means (Hr) for  $f_1$   
 168 hybrids for mean fruit mass (MFM), mean fruit length (MFL), mean fruit diameter (MFD), fruit  
 169 length/diameter ratio (LDR), mean pulp thickness (MPT) and fruit internal cavity (FIC).  
 170 Recife, Brazil, 2015.  
 171

Genotypes	Traits <sup>1</sup>											
	MFM (kg)	Hr	MFL (cm)	Hr	MFD (cm)	Hr	LDR	Hr	MPT (cm)	Hr	FIC (cm)	Hr
G-01	1.45 b		29.43 b		11.08 b		2.65 a		2.26 b		6.07 b	
G-03	1.62 a		30.75 a		12.15 a		2.55 b		2.65 a		6.02 b	
G-04	1.34 b		27.46 b		10.80 b		2.53 b		2.33 b		5.05 d	
G-07	0.95 b		26.73 b		8.59 b		3.11 a		1.93 b		4.46 d	
G-08	1.59 a		29.34 b		11.36 b		2.59 b		2.46 b		6.46 b	
G-11	1.63 a		31.17 a		11.88 a		2.63 b		2.89 a		6.26 b	
G-12	1.26 b		29.36 b		10.67 b		2.76 a		2.22 b		5.66 c	
G-13	1.19 b		25.54 b		10.30 b		2.48 b		2.17 b		5.58 c	
G-14	1.64 a		32.45 a		11.30 b		2.89 a		2.52 b		6.27 b	
G-15	1.31 b		28.22 b		11.15 b		2.56 b		2.44 b		6.30 b	
G-16	1.59 a		32.32 a		11.14 b		2.91 a		2.46 b		6.36 b	
G-17	1.30 b		26.71 b		11.74 a		2.28 b		2.25 b		6.81 a	
G-18	1.86 a		33.60 a		12.67 a		2.67 a		2.76 a		6.98 a	
G-09	1.79 a		31.52 a		11.79 a		2.68 a		2.74 a		6.45 b	
G-24	1.53 a		27.16 b		11.17 b		2.42 b		2.43 b		6.05 b	
H-09x01	1.81 a	11.92	31.00 a	1.73	12.09 a	5.72	2.57 b	-3.77	2.63 a	5.20	6.35 b	1.40
H-09x03	1.70 a	-0.35	31.11 a	-0.09	14.75 a	23.21	2.28 b	-12.55	2.69 a	-0.11	6.27 b	0.62
H-09x04	1.86 a	18.59	34.78 a	17.93	13.19 a	16.80	2.70 a	3.35	2.76 a	9.08	6.60 a	14.77
H-09x07	1.47 b	6.86	30.94 a	6.21	10.97 b	7.64	2.82 a	-2.61	2.45 b	4.80	5.85 c	7.39
H-09x08	1.66 a	-1.98	30.65 a	0.72	12.09 a	4.48	2.54 b	-3.67	2.70 a	3.75	6.31 b	-2.23
H-09x11	1.34 b	-21.78	27.91 b	-10.95	10.54 b	-10.98	2.66 a	0.08	2.39 b	-15.22	5.69 c	-10.47
H-09x12	1.54 a	0.62	28.73 b	-5.63	11.40 b	1.49	2.53 b	-6.87	2.54 b	2.40	6.17 b	1.83
H-09x13	1.41 b	-5.56	28.23 b	-1.06	11.09 b	0.42	2.55 b	-1.07	2.35 b	-4.12	6.15 b	2.25
H-09x14	1.37 b	-19.88	30.96 a	-3.22	10.99 b	-4.78	2.82 a	1.20	2.38 b	-9.66	6.22 b	-2.27
H-09x15	1.45 b	-6.23	29.65 b	-0.74	11.51 b	0.34	2.58 b	-1.47	2.50 b	-3.38	6.24 b	-2.04
H-09x16	1.54 a	-9.13	30.77 a	-3.60	11.99 a	4.59	2.57 b	-8.01	2.54 b	-2.06	5.94 b	-7.32
H-09x17	1.82 a	17.67	33.72 a	15.80	12.57 a	6.83	2.68 a	8.16	2.80 a	12.32	6.79 a	2.49
H-09x18	2.02 a	10.73	30.69 a	-5.74	13.07 a	6.84	2.35 b	-12.12	2.51 b	-8.73	6.77 a	0.86
H-24x01	1.35 b	-9.52	26.47 b	-6.46	10.72 b	-3.68	2.47 b	-2.56	2.92 a	24.62	5.61 c	-7.42
H-24x03	1.71 a	8.94	29.46 b	1.76	12.53 a	7.48	2.35 b	-4.89	2.61 a	2.90	6.98 a	15.69
H-24x04	1.40 b	-2.62	27.88 b	2.10	10.72 b	-2.38	2.61 b	4.70	2.36 b	-0.78	6.16 b	10.98
H-24x07	1.14 b	-7.96	24.84 b	-7.82	9.55 b	-3.36	2.60 b	-6.14	2.21 b	1.54	4.91 d	-6.42
H-24x08	1.71 a	9.31	29.62 b	4.85	11.93 a	5.96	2.48 b	-0.84	2.68 a	9.66	6.13 b	-1.94
H-24x11	1.74 a	10.08	29.51 b	1.18	12.55 a	8.89	2.36 b	-6.55	2.74 a	2.96	6.78 a	10.16
H-24x12	1.54 a	9.98	30.47 a	7.83	11.17 b	2.27	2.73 a	5.56	2.54 b	9.21	6.26 b	6.94
H-24x13	1.72 a	26.37	28.61 b	8.57	12.93 a	20.41	2.22 b	-9.70	2.99 a	29.92	6.96 a	19.85
H-24x14	2.11 a	32.95	32.90 a	10.40	13.41 a	19.38	2.46 b	-7.50	3.19 a	29.14	7.02 a	13.95
H-24x15	1.60 a	13.06	30.37 a	9.69	11.56 b	3.58	2.63 b	5.67	2.49 b	2.22	6.20 b	0.45
H-24x16	1.80 a	15.01	30.95 a	4.08	12.20 a	9.43	2.54 b	-4.84	2.82 a	15.49	6.45 b	3.89
H-24x17	1.68 a	18.79	34.37 a	27.59	11.24 b	-1.84	3.06 a	30.05	2.57 b	10.00	5.94 b	-7.55
H-24x18	1.75 a	3.28	30.46 a	0.29	13.28 a	11.40	2.33 b	-8.58	2.65 a	2.37	6.82 a	4.77

172 <sup>1</sup>Means followed by the same letters in the columns do not differ significantly from one another by the Scott-Knott  
 173 test at 5% probability.

174 The LDR evaluation alone is not interesting, because genotypes that produce small fruits  
175 may have an LDR considered to be ideal, which may result in erroneous classification of fruit  
176 size. Thus, the measures of length and average diameter of the fruit as well as LDR are  
177 essential for this distinction and the means and values of heterosis can be analyzed from  
178 two perspectives, since it is possible to select genotypes of different shapes and sizes.

179 For the MFD trait the means were about 53.8% higher of the hybrid combinations, whose  
180 values were between 11.93 and 14.74 cm, however, they would not differ from the means of  
181 accesses G-03, G-09, G- 11, G-17, G-18 and G-19 with values between 11.74 and 12.67  
182 cm. Similar performance was observed for the MFL trait, where 57.69% of the hybrid  
183 combinations showed means between 30.37 and 34.78 cm and did not differ from the  
184 accesses G-03, G-09, G-11, G-14, G-17 and G-18 with means ranging from 30.37 to 34.78  
185 cm (Table 4). Fruits with lengths between 12.1 and 40.7 cm for genotypes collected in India  
186 were reported [21] and of 12.9 to 25.4 cm for accesses collected in northeastern Brazil [20].

187 For MPT the means were superior in 50% of the hybrid combinations and did not differ from  
188 26,6% of the accesses. The means for MPT ranged from 2.62 to 2.98 cm in the hybrids and  
189 from 2.64 to 2.88 cm in the genitors (Table 4). Because it is a raw material for the  
190 preparation of juices, ice creams and even for consumption "*in natura*" the thickness of pulp  
191 must be the largest possible, however, when reaching the point of maturation, the texture of  
192 the pulp gains characteristic farinaceous, brittle and easily melts, and has a low soluble  
193 solids content [3]. However, the protection of fruits with bag in raschel mesh favored the  
194 maintenance of the structure of the fruit, even with the occurrence of burst fruits, maintaining  
195 the quality of the pulp [24].

196 For FIC, the variation in the formation of the groups was greater in relation to the other traits,  
197 where only three hybrid combinations presented the lowest means, between 5.60 and 5.68  
198 cm, not differing from the G-12 and G-13 accesses with means of 5.66 and 5.57 cm  
199 respectively (Table 2). Heterosis was positive in 61.5% of the hybrid combinations, however  
200 heterosis negative for this trait is interesting, since the internal cavity of the fruit should be  
201 the smallest possible, to give the fruit resistance to handling and transportation, preventing  
202 the displacement of the placenta, a fact that anticipates the degradation of the fruit and also  
203 prolonging the post-harvest life, small internal cavity and greater pulp thickness are  
204 characteristics of the melon fruit that make it more valued and accepted by the market [23].

#### 205 **4. CONCLUSION**

206  
207 The results showed the importance of the additive and non-additive genes effects, with a  
208 greater participation of additive gene action in the control of most characters. In accordance  
209 with estimation of the general combining ability – GCA, the genitors G-03, G-11, G-14, G-16  
210 and G-18 were the ones that presented the best results for MFM, MFL, MFD and MPT. The  
211 effect of the specific combining ability – SCA was important in controlling the majority of the  
212 characters, in 30.7% of the hybrid combinations and in 15 of them the heterosis was positive  
213 for MFM, MFL, MFD and MPT. The genotypes presented good productivity, thick pulp and  
214 satisfactory fruit size and can be used in breeding programs to obtain superior genotypes.

#### 215 **5. REFERENCES**

- 216  
217 1. Robinson RW, Decker-Walters DS. Cucurbits. New York: CAB International. 1997.  
218 2. Valadares RN, Melo RA, Sarinho IVS, Oliveira NS, Rocha FAT, Silva JW, Menezes D.  
219 Genetic diversity in accessions of melon belonging to momordica group. Horticultura  
220 Brasileira. 2018; 36: 253-258.

- 221 3. Valadares RN, Melo RA, Silva JAS, Araújo ALR, Silva FS, Carvalho Filho JLS, Menezes.  
222 Estimates of genetic parameters and correlations in melon accessions of the momordica  
223 group. Horticultura Brasileira. 2017; 35: 557-563.
- 224 4. Goyal M, Sharma SK. 2009. Traditional wisdom and value addition prospects of arid foods  
225 of desert regions of North West India. Indian Journal Traditional Knowledge. 2009; 8: 581-  
226 585.
- 227 5. Dhilon NPS, Ranjana R, Singh K, Eduardo I, Monforte AJ, Pitrat M Dhilon NL, Singh PP.  
228 Diversity among landraces of Indian snapmelon (*Cucumis melo* var. *momordica*). Genetics  
229 Resources Crop Evolution. 2007; 54: 1267-1283.
- 230 6. Fergany M, Kaur B, Monforte AJ, Pitrat M, Rys C, Lecoq H, Dhilon NPS, Dhaliwal SS.  
231 Variation in melon (*Cucumis melo*) landraces adapted to the humid tropic of southern India.  
232 Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 2011; 58: 225-243.
- 233 7. Nascimento IJB, Nunes GHS, Sales Júnior R, Silva KJP, Guimarães IM, Michereff SJ.  
234 Reaction of melon accessions to crater rot and resistance inheritance. Horticultura  
235 Brasileira. 2012; 30: 459-465.
- 236 8. Dhilon NPS, Singh H, Pitrat M, Monforte AJ, McCreight JD. Snapmelon (*Cucumis melo* L.  
237 *momordica* group), an indigenous cucurbit from India with immense value for melon breeding.  
238 Acta horticulturae. 2015. 1102: 99-108.
- 239 9. Cruz CD, Regazzi AJ, Carneiro PCS. Biometric models applied to genetic improvement.  
240 4th edn. Viçosa: UFV. 2012.
- 241 10. Castiglioni VBR, Oliveira MF, Arias CAA. Combining ability analysis among inbred lines  
242 of sunflower. Brazilian Journal of Agricultural Research. 1999; 34: 981-988.
- 243 11. Griffing B. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing  
244 systems. Australian Journal of Biological Sciences. 1956; 9: 463-493.
- 245 12. Gerald IO, Miranda Filho JB. Adapted models for the analysis of combining ability of  
246 varieties in partial diallel crosses. Brazilian Journal of Genetics. 1988; 11: 419-30.
- 247 13. Cross CD. GENES - the software package for analysis in experimental statistics and  
248 quantitative genetics. Acta Scientiarum. 2013; 35: 271-276.
- 249 14. Colombo GA, Vaz de Melo A, Taubing M, Tavares RC, Silva RR. Diallel analysis for  
250 resistance to southern rust in corn at different levels of phosphorus fertilization. Bragantia.  
251 2014; 73: 65-71.
- 252 15. Souza FF, Dias RCS, Queiróz MA. 2013. Combining ability of advanced lines and  
253 marketable cultivars of watermelon. Horticultura Brasileira. 2013; 31: 595-601.
- 254 16. Krause W, Rodrigues R, Leal NR. Combining ability for agronomic traits in snap bean.  
255 Revista Ciancia Agronômica. 2012; 43: 522-531.
- 256 17. Ramalho MAP, Abreu AFB, Nunes JAR, Santos JB. Applications of Quantitative  
257 Genetics in the Breeding of Autogamous Plants. Lavras: UFLA. 2012.
- 258 18. Monforte AJ, Eduardo I, Abad S, Arus P. Inheritance mode of fruit traits in melon-  
259 heterosis for fruit shape and its correlation with genetic distance. Euphytica. 2005; 144: 31-  
260 38.
- 261 19. Cruz CD, Vencovsky R. Comparison of some methods of diallel analysis. Brazilian  
262 Journal of Genetics. 1989; 12: 425-438.
- 263 20. Torres Filho J; Nunes GHS; Vasconcelos JJC. Morphologic characterization of melon  
264 accessions collected in the Brazilian northeast. Caatinga. 2009; 22: 174-181.



- 265 21. Manohar SH, Murthy HN. Estimation of phenotypic divergence in a collection of Cucumis  
266 melo, including shelf-life of fruit. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2012; 148: 74-82.
- 267 22. Koli SP, Murthy HN. Estimation of phenotypic divergence in a collection of Cucumis melo  
268 from Kerala State, Southern India. *Agricultural Sciences*. 2013; 4: 21-31.
- 269 23. Paiva WO, Sabry Neto H, Lopes AGS. Evaluation of melon inbred lines for plant and fruit  
270 characteristics. *Horticultura Brasileira*. 2000; 18: 109-113.
- 271 24. Valadares RN, Nóbrega DA, Silva JAS, Mendes AQ, Silva FS, Rocha FAT, Moura  
272 MCCL, Menezes D. Performance of Melon from Momordica Group as Affected by Pruning  
273 Techniques in Different Regions of Brazil. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*.  
274 2018; 29: 1-6.
- 275
- 276

UNDER PEER REVIEW