

1 Original research paper

2
3 **Ginning efficiency and fiber quality properties of cotton as affected by roller**
4 **gin stand feeding methods and seed cotton grade**

5
6 **Aly A. A. El-Banna***

7 *Plant Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha), Alexandria University,
8 Egypt.

9
10 **ABSTRACT:**

Aims: Attaining the highest ginning efficiency process and fiber quality properties of Egyptian cotton cultivar 'Giza 88' during feeding methods of roller gin stand is the ultimate objective of the community of cotton field industry for local uses, but the productivity of the three feeding methods of conventional roller gin stand used in ginning process still limited. Therefore, the aim of this investigation is to overcome this obstacle.

Study design: This investigation was conducted in a completely randomized design with three replicates and analyzed as a factorial experiment.

Place and Duration of Study: Plant Production Department, the Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha), Alexandria University, Egypt during 2017.

Method: Four seed cotton grades; namely, Good to Fully Good (G/FG), Good + ¼ (G + ¼), Good (G) and Good -¼ (G - ¼) belonging to 'Giza 88' cotton cultivar were used in this work. The extra-long staple Egyptian cotton variety with the pedigree and origin of cotton Giza 88 (Giza 77 x Giza 45 B) was used. This work was carried out in 2017. About half cantar (1 cantar = 157.5 kg) of each seed cotton grade as a bulk sample was thoroughly mixed and checked and reclassified by a committee of three expert classers belong to the Cotton Arbitration for Testing General Organization (CATGO), in the gin plan.

Results:

The obtained results indicated that the gin stand's hand feeding method (control treatment); results insignificant increases the highest mean values of the gin stand capacity (0.97 kg lint/inch/h), Lint % (36.59%) and lint grade code (27.33) and the lowest mean value of the ginning time (1.42 h/cantar). Meanwhile, the Belt (2 row) as a mechanical feeding method; gave rise to the lowest mean value of gin stand capacity (0.89 kg lint/inch/h). The differences in fiber length parameters (Upper half mean length and short fiber index), fiber elongation %, micronaire reading, yellowness degree (+b) were not significantly affected. The highest seed cotton grade (Good / Fully Good) gave the better lint cotton grade and the best fiber properties tested by HVI instrument of 'Giza 88' cotton cultivar.

Conclusion:

- The hand feeding method of seed cotton to the gin stand surpassed all studied feeding methods in gin stand productivity, lint % and the most HVI fiber properties are better classer grade. Though, this method is recommended to be used specially with the high levels of the extra-long cottons.
- Cylinder feeding method ranked first in order among studied mechanical method and it could be recommended for ginning medium and low seed cotton level.
- Belt (2 rows) is the preferred feeding method regardless of gin stand productivity.

11
12 *Keywords:* Seed cotton grade, Hand method and Cylinder, feeding method, Fiber
13 quality.

14
15 **1. INTRODUCTION**

16 Historically, ginning is the process in which seed cotton is subjected to separation of fibers
17 from the seed with conserving its quality characteristics [1]. The roller gin stand was designed
18 by Fones McCarthy in 1840. Ginning efficiency usually evaluated as gin stand capacity,
19 ginning time and ginning out-turn. Generally, the gin stand capacity is influenced by several
20 factors such as gin stand speed and adjustments, feeding method, cotton variety grade,
21 besides its moisture content. Also, the feeder of gin stand regulates the flow of seed cotton

22 provided to ginning system according to rate preset by ginner, beside fluffing and cleaning of
23 the fed seed cotton. Historically, the first method for feeding gin stand with seed cotton by
24 using hands was designed by Eli Whitney. In Egypt, the hand feeding remains in use beside
25 two more mechanical feeding methods as the cylinder and belt. The rate of cleaning, fluffing
26 and regulating the flow of seed cotton to the ginning zone greatly varied from one method to
27 another, in addition to the lack of uniformity of seed cotton locks distribution along the ginning
28 roller. On the other hand, some cotton dealers believe that the mechanical feeders in general
29 have a deleterious effect on ginning efficiency and fiber quality.

30 In 1902 Chessman used a small drum as cleaning feeder to regulate the flow of seed
31 cotton. In 1917 Murray Company invented a draper or a spiked belt as a feeder, a drum type
32 feeder, and saw gin stand, which was usually located between the ginning roller and the
33 overhead cleaning feeder to provide more uniformity and slower feeding at the working zones.
34 The feeder was used at this time with modern roller gin stands. It was a type known as
35 cleaner extractor, it was regulate the feeding the roller gins with suitable amount of seed
36 cotton [2].The seed cotton feeding rate to the gin stand, significantly affected the ginning
37 efficiency (ginning capacity and ginning time), lint grade, non-lint content and lint colour (Rd%
38 and +b). As the feeding rate increased; the amount of seed cotton increased in ginning point,
39 while the extractor of tight locks worked as an opener for the seed cotton before ginning in
40 process. Ginning efficiency increased or decreased owing to the level of feeding rate and the
41 position of the extractor [3]. Feeding rates of seed cotton to roller gin stand, significantly
42 affected gin stand capacity, ginning time, non-lint content, but insignificantly affected lint
43 colour (Rd% and +b) [4]. An extractor feeder led to lint separation from seeds consistently at
44 higher given feed rate. The obtained results showed that the performance and capacity of the
45 cage gin can be increased by improving the separation and distribution of seed cotton on the
46 surface of the roller [5]. Likewise, feeding the gin stand with seed cotton by hand exhibited the
47 highest gin stand capacity (32.76, 38.8 and 38.9 kg/in/h) for the belt, cylinder and hand
48 feeding methods, respectively [6]. A new designed extractor-feeder machine was built to
49 replace both the inefficient belt and cylinder methods that are in use in feeding the gin stand
50 with seed cotton .The obtained results also show that fixing the speed of the extractor feeder
51 at 0.7 rpm, resulted in an increase in the gin stand capacity ca. 38.5% higher than using
52 hand, and by about35% and 27% for cylinder and belt methods, consecutively [7].
53 Furthermore, the seed cotton hand feeding method to the gin stand, surpassed all studied
54 feeding methods in gin stand productivity, ginning out-turn, length uniformity be better classer
55 grade [8]. Gin stand capacity (kg/inch/h) was increased by increasing the seed cotton grade,
56 while, the ginning time varied within the same variety using different grades. This could be
57 explained on the basis that each cotton variety has unique characteristics in terms of staple
58 length, lock size, seed weigh and also the attachment force of the fibers to seeds [9]. Fiber
59 length parameters considerably depending on the used grade of cotton cultivar [10].

60 The present research was conducted aiming to investigate the effect of feeding
61 method of conventional roller gin stand and seed cotton grade on ginning efficiency, lint
62 grades and fiber properties of the Egyptian extra-long staple cotton variety 'Giza 88'.

63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

79 This investigation was carried out in the Plant Production Department, the Faculty of
80 Agriculture (Saba Basha), Alexandria University, Egypt to overcome the research statement.

81 Two independent variables were under investigation as 1) three feeding methods were used
 82 in this research as follows: hand feeding (control), cylinder feeding and belt feeding (2 rows)
 83 of tooth spicks, and 2) four seed cotton grades; namely, Good to Fully Good (G/FG), Good +
 84 $\frac{1}{4}$ (G + $\frac{1}{4}$), Good (G) and Good - $\frac{1}{4}$ (G - $\frac{1}{4}$) belonging to 'Giza 88' cotton cultivar during the
 85 season of 2017. It is an extra-long staple Egyptian cotton variety and its pedigree and origin
 86 of cotton Giza 88 (Giza 77 x Giza 45 B). About half cantar (1 cantar = 157.5 kg) of each seed
 87 cotton grade as a bulk sample was, thoroughly, mixed and checked or reclassified by a
 88 committee of three expert classers belong to the Cotton Arbitration for Testing General
 89 Organization (CATGO), in the gin plant. The studied samples were attained from the Arabia
 90 Ginning Company, Damanhour, of the commercial cotton received from Shubrakhit region, El-
 91 Beheira Governorate, during 2017 season. The bulk sample (27 kg) of each seed cotton
 92 grade was divided into nine sub- samples (3 kg/replicate), representing the various
 93 combinations of both variables (Twelve treatments representing four seed cotton grades and
 94 three feeding methods). The studied sub-samples were ginned using the conventional single
 95 roller gin stand [a roll covered with natural leather (McCarthy roller gin)] with the adjustments
 96 required for the each grade in the same gin plant.

97 Studied characteristics

98 1. Ginning efficiency parameters:

99 These parameters were calculated according to the following equations, proposed by [11]:

100 1.1. Gin stand capacity (GSC) expressed as the lint weight (kg) per inch per hour, as follows:

$$\text{Gin stand capacity (GSC)} = \frac{60 \times \text{weight of ginned lint (kg)}}{\text{Time (min)} \times \text{Length of roller (inch)}} = (\text{kg lint /inch/h})$$

101 (Length of roller = 40 inch of the McCarthy roller gin stand)

102 1.2. Ginning time (GT) was determined according the following equation:

$$\text{Ginning time (GT)} = \frac{\text{Ginning time (minute)} \times 157.5}{\text{Seed cotton weight (kg)} \times 60} = (\text{h/cantar})$$

104 (1 metric seed-cotton cantar = 157.5 kilograms)

105 1.3. Lint (%): was expressed as a percentage, and determined according the following
 106 equation:

$$\text{Lint \%} = \frac{\text{Lint cotton weight (kg)}}{\text{Seed cotton weight (kg)}} \times 100 = \%$$

107 1.4. Seed index: The average weight of 100 seeds (g) was determined for each replicate.

108 1.5. Lint grade: The ginned lint of each sample was determined by a three expert classers, at
 109 (CATGO), Alexandria. For statistical analysis, the grades were converted to code numbers
 110 [12] as shown in the following Table (1).

111 Table (1): Lint cotton grades, their abbreviation and their codes.

Grade	Abbreviation	Code
Extra	Extra	41
Fully good/Extra	FG/Extra	37
Fully good	FG	33
Good/fully good	G/FG	29
Good	G	25
Fully good fair/good	FGF/G	21
Fully good fair	FGF	17
Good fair/fully good fair	GF/FGF	13
Good fair	GF	9
Fully fair/good fair	FF/GF	5
Fully fair	FF	1

112 Each 1/8 grade is represented by one mark.

113

114

115

116 3. Determination of fiber properties using HVI instrument:

117 Description of cotton fiber quality characteristics (USTER® HVI SPECTRUM)

118 High Volume Instrument (HVI)

119 In relation to cotton fiber selection, the HVI system is the primary source of fiber
 120 information. This is because of the rapid testing and data access associated with the

121 system. The introduction of the High Volume Instrument (HVI) has revolutionized the process
122 of fiber selection and bale management. The HVI system provides many measures of fiber
123 characteristics including: Micronaire (Mic), fiber length (FL), length uniformity (LU), fiber
124 strength (FS), fiber elongation (FE), trash area (TA), short fiber index (SFI), color reflectance
125 (Rd), and color yellowness (+b). The HVI system was introduced to provide a rapid and
126 accurate testing of cotton fibers in a way that largely resembles the traditional subjective
127 evaluation of cotton by the classer. In the U.S. market, all upland cotton is classed using the
128 HVI system. The rate of HVI testing is generally determined on the basis of throughput in
129 cycle time for one sample to be measured once on all stations. In modern HVI systems, this
130 amounts to approximately 80 tests per hour or approximately 640 tests per eight-hour shift.
131 This feature is important considering the millions of cotton bales that are classed by the
132 system during the harvest season. The flood of data generated by the HVI system can be
133 managed and manipulated by microcomputers and powerful software programs.

134 **Length:** Upper Half Mean Length, Uniformity Index, Short Fiber Index Measured optically in
135 a tapered fiber beard which is automatically prepared, carded, and brushed.

136 **Upper half mean length**

137 UHML is the mean length by the number of fibers in the largest half by weight of
138 fibers in a cotton sample, usually measured from the fibrogram. Upper half mean length is
139 normally equivalent to the staple length. Fiber length which is equivalent to the classer's
140 staple.

141 **Uniformity index:** The ratio between mean length (ML) & Upper half quartile length is called
142 uniformity index, express as a percentage. Quality characteristic which is proportional
143 to the variation of the fiber length $UI = \frac{ML}{UHML} \times 100$

144 **Short fiber index**

145 Short fiber content is the percentage by number or weight of fibers less than a
146 specified length, 0.5 inches (12.7mm) for cotton. Measurement of short fibers <0.5 in/12.7
147 mm.

148 **Fiber Strength**

149 Fiber strength is measured by breaking the fibers held between clamp jaws. It's
150 reported as grams per tex, which is the force in grams required to break a bundle of fibers
151 one tex unit in size. A tex unit is equal to the weight in grams of 1000 meters of fiber. Fiber
152 strength, measured at the fiber bundle

153 **Strength and elongation**

154 Breaking tenacity measured on fiber bundle. Strength is measured physically by
155 clamping a fiber bundle between 2 pairs of clamps at known distance. The second pair of
156 clamps pulls away from the first pair at a constant speed until the fiber bundle breaks. The
157 distance it travels, extending the fiber bundle before breakage, is reported as elongation

158 **Maturity index**

159 Calculated index of the maturity. Maturity Ratio Calculated using a sophisticated
160 algorithm based on several HVI™ measurements. Ratio of mature to immature fibers.

161 **Micronaire value**

162 Indicates fiber fineness. Micronaire reading Measured by relating airflow resistance to
163 the specific surface of fibers. Quality characteristic which is proportional to the fiber fineness.
164 The Micronaire value is taken as an indication of fineness (linear density) and maturity
165 (degree of cell-wall development). For a given cotton type, a relatively low Micronaire reading
166 is a predictor for problems in processing, generation of Neps, and inefficient dyeing.

167 **Fiber brightness or reflectance degree (Rd %)**

168 A measure of the reflected light from the sample and ranges in cotton from (40-90%).
169 The higher the degree of (Rd) the whiter color. Whiteness/grayness of the cotton sample. Rd
170 (Whiteness) Measured optically by different color filters. The higher this value, the better the
171 cotton is rated.

172 **Chroma or degree of yellowness (+b)**

173 A scale that reflects the degree of yellowing in the sample and ranges in cotton from
174 (4 - 18) and the higher the degree of + b the more the sample is yellowing. Yellowness of the
175 cotton sample. +b (Yellowness) Measured optically by different color filters. Assessment of
176 color, degree of yellowness

177 **Trash:** Trash content of a measured sample. Particle count, % surface area covered by trash,
178 trash code measured optically by utilizing a digital camera.

179 **Trash count:** Number of trash particles per defined area.

180 **Trash area:** Percentage of trash per defined area.
 181 **Spinning consistency index (SCI):** Calculated index of the spinnability of measured sample.
 182 **Calculation for predicting the spinnability of the fibers.**

183
184

4. Statistical procedures

185 This investigation was conducted in a completely randomized design with three
 186 replicates and analyzed as a factorial experiment according to the procedure of [13]. The
 187 mean values were computed using the CoStat 6.311 (1998-2005) [14] as a statistical
 188 program, to test significant differences among treatments using the least significant difference
 189 (L.S.D.) at 0.05 level of probability.

190 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

191 1. Ginning efficiency parameters:

192 Results presented in Table (2) show the mean values of the ginning efficiency
 193 parameters, i.e. gin stand capacity, ginning time, Lint (%), seed index and lint grade code for
 194 the cotton cultivar 'Giza 88' during the studied season (2017).

195 The attained results indicated that feeding methods treatments affected significantly
 196 the gin stand capacity, ginning time, Lint (%) and lint grade code. Whereas, the differences in
 197 seed index were insignificant due to the feeding methods, effect.

198 It is obvious that the hand method (control treatment) possessed the highest mean
 199 values of the gin stand capacity (GSC), lint %, seed index and lint grade code and the lowest
 200 mean value of the ginning time (GT). Meanwhile, the Belt (2 row) mechanical feeding method;
 201 gave the lowest mean values gin stand capacity (GSC), Lint %, seed index and lint grade
 202 code, and the highest mean value of ginning time. It could be proposed that the gin stand
 203 capacity increases and the ginning time decreases proportionally as the increase in delivery
 204 of cotton locks to the ginning zone in case of the hand feeding method. These results are in
 205 accordance with those obtained by [6, 8] they noticed that the feeding rates of seed cotton to
 206 roller gin stand significantly affected ginning efficiency (ginning stand capacity and ginning
 207 time).

208 Table (2). Mean values of the ginning efficiency parameters of Giza 88 cotton variety as affected by
 209 the feeding method, seed cotton grade and their interaction during season of 2017.

Characters Treatments	Gin stand capacity (kg lint/inch/h)	Ginning time (h/cantar)	Lint (%)	Seed index (g)	Lint grade code
Feeding method (A)					
Hand	0.97 a	1.42 b	36.59 a	9.19 a	27.33 a
Cylinder	0.94 b	1.49 ab	36.36 a	8.90 a	26.83 b
Belt (2 row)	0.89 c	1.55 a	35.89 b	8.89 a	26.66 b
L.S.D. _{0.05}	0.027	0.076	0.411	0.372	0.397
Seed cotton grade (B)					
Good / Fully Good	1.03 a	1.38 c	37.33 a	10.48 a	29.00 a
Good + ¼	0.97 b	1.46 bc	37.08 a	9.99 b	28.33 b
Good	0.89 c	1.52 ab	35.98 b	8.98 c	26.33 c
Good - ¼	0.84 d	1.57 a	34.73 c	6.52 d	24.11 d
L.S.D. _{0.05}	0.032	0.088	0.474	0.430	0.458
Interaction					
A × B	Ns	ns	**	*	ns

210 Means designated by the same letter within each column are not significantly different.

211 * Significant at 0.05 level of probability. **: Significant at 0.01 level of probability.

212 NS. : Not significant.

213
214

215 In terms of the main effect of seed cotton grade, results outlined in the same Table,
 216 reveal that all studied ginning efficiency parameters were significantly affected by seed cotton
 217 grade. It is obvious that the highest seed cotton grade (Good to Fully Good (G/FG)); brought
 218 about the lowest mean values of the ginning time and the highest mean values for the rest of
 219 the studied ginning efficiency parameters. This result might be because the highest seed
 220 cotton grade usually contains the highest proportion of the big fluffy cotton locks, and the
 221 lowest proportion of foreign matters or trash content and tight locks. In this connection, [8, 15]
 222 reported that the highest seed cotton grade, gave rise to the highest ginning out-turn (%) and
 gin stand capacity and the lowest value of the ginning time.

223 Results tabulated in Table (2) declare that the interaction between the two studied
 224 factors, i.e. feeding methods and seed cotton grades (A×B) was significant for lint % and seed
 225 index of the cotton cultivar 'Giza 88'. Mean values of the same traits are presented in Table
 226 (3). It is obvious that the hand feeding method of the highest seed cotton grade (G/FG)
 227 records the highest mean value of lint %. Otherwise, the lowest mean value of the same trait
 228 was recorded from the Belt (2 row) mechanical feeding method with seed cotton grade (G -
 229 ¼).

230 Regarding the seed index, the highest mean value was reached by the cylinder
 231 feeding method with the highest seed cotton grade (G /FG) and the Belt (2 row) mechanical
 232 feeding method with the same seed cotton grade (G /FG). On the other hand, the lowest
 233 mean value of the same trait was obtained using the cylinder feeding method with the lowest
 234 seed cotton grade (G - ¼) and the Belt (2 row) mechanical feeding method with the same
 235 seed cotton grade (G - ¼).

237 Table (3). The interaction between feeding method and seed cotton grade (A × B) for the
 238 lint (%) and seed index (g) of 'Giza 88' during season of 2017.

Variables		Lint (%)	Seed index (g)
Feeding method (A)	Seed cotton grade (B)		
Hand	G / FG	37.36	10.29
	Good + ¼	37.15	9.99
	Good	36.01	9.00
	Good - ¼	35.86	7.50
Cylinder	G / FG	37.33	10.58
	Good + ¼	37.16	9.99
	Good	36	8.99
	Good - ¼	34.98	6.04
Belt (2row)	G / FG	37.32	10.58
	Good + ¼	36.93	9.99
	Good	35.95	8.96
	Good - ¼	33.36	6.04
L.S.D. _(0.05)		0.822	0.744

239

240 3. Fiber properties tested by H.V.I. instrument:

241 In general the results outlined in Table (4) indicated that the effect of the feeding
 242 method treatments had a highly significant on spinning consistency index (SCI), maturity
 243 index, length uniformity index (UI), the fiber bundle strength, fiber reflectance degree (Rd %)
 244 and the differences in trash count, and trash area. Whereas, the differences in micronaire
 245 value, fiber length parameters, upper half mean length (UHML), and short fiber index (SFI),
 246 fiber elongation %, micronaire reading and yellowness degree (+b) were not significantly
 247 affected, due to the feeding method effect.

248 Hand feeding method exhibited the highest mean values for the spinning consistency
 249 index (SCI), maturity index, length uniformity index (UI), the fiber bundle strength, fiber
 250 reflectance degree (Rd %) and the lowest mean values of trash count and trash area, as
 251 shown in Table (4), while the lowest mean values of the same traits and the highest mean
 252 value of trash count were possessed by using the Belt (2 rows) mechanical feeding method.
 253 Meanwhile, the lowest mean value of the trash area was recorded by cylinder feeding method
 254 to the gin stand. These results could be attributed to the little chance for the tight locks to be
 255 hanged and ginned, besides the lower rate of flow of seed cotton to the ginning zone in case
 256 of the Belt (2 rows) mechanical feeding method.

257 These results are in agreement with the findings of [7, 8]. They reported that the fiber
 258 length parameters as upper half mean length (UHML) and short fiber index (SFI), were
 259 insignificantly affected by the seed cotton feeding method to the gin stand. In the same time
 260 the attained results disagree with those of [3], who indicated that the length parameters were
 261 significantly affected by the different levels of feeding rates.

262 All studied fiber properties tested by HVI instrument were significantly affected by the
 263 seed cotton grade, as presented in Table (4).

264 The highest mean values of spinning consistency index (SCI), maturity index,
265 length uniformity index (UI), upper half mean length (UHML), the fiber bundle strength, fiber
266 elongation %, fiber reflectance degree (Rd %) and the lowest mean values of four characters
267 short fiber index (SFI), trash count, trash area and yellowness degree (+b) were reached by
268 the highest seed cotton grade Good / Fully Good (G/FG). On the other exhum, the highest
269 mean value of the micronaire reading was recorded by the seed cotton grade Good + ¼ (G +
270 ¼). Fiber properties tested by HVI instrument of 'Giza 88' cotton cultivar, except short fiber
271 index (SFI), trash count, trash area and yellowness degree (+b) correspondingly decreased
272 as the seed cotton grade decreased. These results were in harmony with those obtained by
273 [8, 15]. They claimed that the HVI fiber properties are in relation with the grade and the high
274 content of mature locks and fibers and low content of trash (non-lint content) and short fibers
275 of the highest seed cotton levels gave the better lint cotton grades.

276 Likewise, results of Table (4) refer that the interaction (A × B) of both variables under
277 the study i.e. feeding method (A) and seed cotton grade (B) affected insignificantly all studied
278 H.V.I. fiber properties.

279 Table (4). Mean values of the H.V.I fiber properties of 'Giza 88' as affected by feeding
 280 methods and seed cotton levels during season of 2017.

Characters Treatments	SCI	UHML (mm)	Unifo- rmy index (%)	Short fiber index (%)	Fiber strength (g/tex)	Fiber elon- gation (%)	Mat- urity index (%)	Micro- naire reading	Rd (%)	+ b	Trash count	Trash Area (%)
Hand	218.50 a	35.04 a	88.42 a	5.54 a	46.07 a	3.72 a	0.87 a	4.00 a	70.14 a	11.61 a	35.08 b	0.49 b
Cylinder	204.75 b	35.27 a	86.09 b	5.58 a	40.27 b	3.64 a	0.85 b	3.97 a	67.98 b	11.51 a	87.08 a	1.04 a
Belt (2 row)	202.25 b	35.01 a	86.31 b	5.55 a	38.94 b	3.58 a	0.84 b	3.94 a	67.31 c	11.47 a	87.41 a	1.00 a
L.S.D. _{0.05}	9.844	ns	1.073	ns	2.292	ns	0.008	ns	0.626	ns	8.857	0.164
Good / Fully Good	219.88 a	35.58 a	88.65 a	5.38 c	45.66 a	3.82 a	0.87 a	4.25 a	70.08 a	11.33 b	39.22 d	0.43 c
Good + ¼	212.77 ab	35.48 a	88.10 a	5.40 c	41.03 b	3.74 a	0.87 a	4.30 a	69.02 b	11.47 ab	58.88 b	0.78 b
Good	204.44 bc	35.10 b	86.78 b	5.58 b	40.08 b	3.63 a	0.84 b	3.88 b	68.47 b	11.63 ab	72.66 b	1.03 a
Good - ¼	196.88 c	34.26 c	85.31 c	5.85 a	40.26 c	3.40 b	0.83 b	3.44 c	66.33 c	11.70 a	108.66 a	1.13 a
L.S.D. _{0.05}	11.367	0.369	1.239	0.103	2.646	0.179	0.009	0.113	0.723	0.256	10.227	0.189
A × B	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

281 Means designated by the same letter within each column are not significantly different

282 Ns: Not significant. UHML: Upper Half Mean Length.

283 SCI: Spinning consistency index

284

285 **Conclusion**

286 - The hand feeding method of seed cotton to the gin stand surpassed all studied feeding
 287 methods in gin stand productivity, lint % and the most HVI fiber properties is the best classer
 288 grade. Though, this method is recommended to be used specially with the high levels of the
 289 extra-long cottons.

290 - Cylinder feeding method ranked first in order among studied mechanical method and it
 291 could be recommended for ginning medium and low seed cotton level.

292 - Belt (2 rows) is the preferred feeding method regardless of gin stand productivity.

293

294 **REFERENCES:**

- 295 1. Bennett CA. Feeder, Ginning Cotton. U.S.D.A. Farmer's Bulletin. 1748, p. 16-19, 32.
 296 2. Bennett CA. Roller cotton ginning developments, 1-2 Austin, Tex : Texas Cotton Ginners
 297 Association.
 298 1960; 48, 50-52.
 299 3. Hossam El-Din AE. Ginning efficiency as affected by cotton characteristics and some other major
 300 factors. Ph.D. Diss, Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ. 1978.
 301 4. Eweida MHT, Morshed GA, Rizk MA and Marzook AS. Effect of the rotary knife gin stand
 302 adjustment and cleaning the cotton lint on ginning efficiency in some Egyptian and Upland cotton
 303 varieties. Agric. Res. Rev. 1984; 62 (6): 301- 311.
 304 5. Lepori WA, Shmulevich I, Carney DB, Findley D and Wilkes L. Seed cotton metering and lock
 305 separation. ASAE, 1991; No. 91-1508.
 306 6. Eweida MA. Cotton ginning efficiency as affected with the method of feeding the gin stand
 307 with seed cotton. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci. 1997; 12 (11): 203-208.
 308 7. El-Feky HEM. Designing a new seed cotton feeder for the reciprocating knife gin stand to
 309 improve the efficiency and capacity of the Egyptian ginnersy. M.Sc. Unpublished Thesis, Agric. Eng.
 310 Dept., Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ. 2001.
 311 8. Abdel-Aal WM. Effect of feeding method of conventional roller gin stand and seed cotton
 312 level on ginning efficiency. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Saba Basha, Alex. Univ., Egypt. 2006.
 313
 314

- 315 9. Solieman AMS. Impact of reciprocal position of the conventional roller gin stand fixed knife
316 on ginning efficiency and cotton fiber properties. M.Sc. Unpublished Thesis, Fac. Agric., Saba
317 Basha, Alex. Univ., Egypt. 2016.
- 318 10. Etman A. H. Relationships between fiber and yarn technological properties of some
319 Egyptian cotton genotypes. Ph. D. Unpublished Thesis, Fac. Agric., Saba Basha, Alex.
320 Univ., Egypt. 2018.
- 321 11. Champman WE and Stedronsky VL. Ginning acala cotton in the south-west. USDA. Agric. Res.
322 Serv. Prod. Res. Rep. 1959; 27.
- 323 12. Sallouma BM. Grades analysis of long staple Egyptian cotton. Unpublished Ph. D. Thesis, Fac.
324 Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Egypt, 1970.
- 325 13. Snedecor GW and Cochran WG. Statistical method, 6th ed. Iowa Stat Univ. Press, 593p 1967.
- 326 14. CoStat 6.311 CCW.. Cohort software798light house Ave. PMB320,
327 Monterey,CA93940,andUSA. (1998-2005). Email:info@cohort.com. [http:// www.cohort. com/
328 Download_CoStat_Part2. html](http://www.cohort.com/Download_CoStat_Part2.html) .
- 329 15.Ibrahim AEI. Impact of roller gin type and seed-cotton levels on ginning efficiency and
330 fiber properties. Alex. J. Agric. Res. 2010. 55 (1): 13-19.
331