

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

Qualitative and quantitative analysis of hedgerows in urban areas

ABSTRACT

The research aimed to analyze the maintenance, composition, pruning, planting aspects and architectural elements on urban hedgerows. This study was carried out in an area of Imbiribeira, Recife, PE, Brazil, during six months. The survey was conducted in an area of 30 city blocks (37.024 hectares). The application of the questionnaire was directed to people residing, working, or owning real estate that contained hedgerows. Maintenance (person with the responsibility of pruning and maintenance of the hedge and types of maintenance performed on the hedges); Plant species aspects (species used were classified into groups of plant species: arboreal, shrub, herbaceous and climbing plants); Composition (**homogeneous or heterogeneous**); Pruning (**maintenance or topiary**); Planting aspects (planting alignment, spacing rhythm and dimensions of the hedge). In the study area, 30 blocks were sampled, where the presence of hedgerows was observed in 18 of them. The people responsible for the maintenance and management of hedgerows were mostly non-professional workers. The practice of watering hedgerows was found in **95.24%** of the visited properties. The use fertilizer was found in 28.57% of the cases and only 4.76% of the properties use pesticide products due to phytosanitary problems. Most of the hedgerows had a homogeneous composition. Topiary pruning is the most practiced treatment on the hedgerows, with a frequency of 82.28% in the study area. The quincux planting with no definite spacing rhythm was the most found. The most observed Planting Alignment in the study area was in line. The most observed Spacing Rhythm was the one with no rhythm of planting. Although hedgerows are widely used in urban and rural areas, studies on their maintenance, composition, structure, ecological importance and relevance to biodiversity conservation are scarce.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

*Keywords: Maintenance, pruning, green areas, **phytosanitary**.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The conversion of green areas into built areas is one of the major reasons for the destruction of natural habitats in urban cities around the world. According to **Yamamoto et al.** [1] no environment is more altered than the urban environment, due to the current models of buildings and land use that restrict the spaces that was once green areas. These restrictions limits the access to green areas in a urban environment.

According to **Veras** [2], the urban green **area** is characterized as one of the most important elements that compose the urban ecosystem. For the benefits that it produces, should be a permanent concern of any and all urban planning.

27 Plants influence climate as trees and other vegetables intercept, absorb and transmit solar
28 radiation, improving air temperature in the urban environment. A single tree hardly affects its
29 climate in a significant way, but being in groups or scattered, can be efficient in the
30 microclimatic improvement and comfort sensation [3].

31

32 Plants in urban areas helps to protect the soil, provides food and shelter to animals, present
33 ornamental values and it provides space to recreational activities. Plants are excellent
34 natural filters because they reduce ultraviolet radiation, air pollution, noise, negative sensory
35 effects and improve air quality. This filter effect contributes to the drastic reduction of direct
36 and indirect negative impacts on human health in urban areas as it reduces the insolation
37 [4]. According to Carrus et al. [5] plants in urban areas provide restorative, emotional
38 benefits and mental well-being.

39

40 There is a growing recognition of the benefits caused by the access to green areas on the
41 improvement on public well-being [6]. Several researches have provided scientific evidence
42 on the benefits of urban green areas on population health, in their different ages and
43 different uses [7,8,9,10].

44

45 Hedgerows such as lines of trees, shrubs or other plants are landscape elements used in
46 many parts of the world. They are considered mainly by their cultural and ecological values.
47 Hedgerows always have a human component that manages them to maintain control and
48 prevent their expansion to adjacent areas. This human action is essential for the formation of
49 hedges. Thus, the suggested definition would be a linear characteristic structure, composed
50 of managed shrubs and / or trees [11].

51

52 Hedgerows constitute an important part of our landscape, and can be an ideal frontier for
53 gardens. They have many benefits, such as: reduce noise; provide shelter and natural
54 habitats for animals such as insects, birds and mammals; pest control because they provide
55 habitat for natural predators; provide privacy; security, thorny species provide obstacles for
56 anyone trying to overcome them; they are visually attractive, may present different
57 physiognomy according to the time. They can also act as green corridors for the movement
58 of insect populations and increase the connection between the different parts of the hedges
59 [11,12].

60

61 In addition to providing a valuable food source, hedgerows provide an attractive habitat for
62 invertebrates, as they have a suitable microclimate, an environment for landing and mating,
63 and protection against predators, climatic extremes, and harmful agricultural operations [13].
64 Besides that, hedgerows provide a favorable environment for various birds such for nesting,
65 shelters, feeding, shelter against predators and displacement.

66

67 In some European countries, the implantation of hedgerows is widely used as a strategy for
68 the conservation and preservation of wild animals, aiming to make anthropic environments
69 more accessible to animals [11]. Although the description and distribution of these elements
70 have not yet been widely studied, hedgerows have a much wider distribution than can be
71 perceived [14]. According to Montagnini [15], in human cultural development is the use of
72 plants that form hedgerows, which stand out as a characteristic feature of rural landscapes
73 in many tropical American countries, in arid, semi-arid or humid regions.

74

75 According to Nair [16], hedgerows are currently distributed in the humid, sub-humid, semi-
76 arid and arid tropical regions of the world. It had been found in Latin America from
77 prehispanic times, from Mexico to Peru. It is described as the most widely distributed
78 agroforestry technology [17].

79

80 The study aimed to analyze the maintenance, composition, pruning, planting aspects and
81 architectural elements on urban hedgerows.

82

83 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

84

85 **2.1 Study area**

86

87 Located in the eastern portion of the Northeast, at 8° 04' 03" South latitude and 34° 55' 00"
88 West longitude, the RMR (Metropolitan Region of Recife) is located in the coast of
89 Pernambuco. In The City of Recife in in central part of the RMR. The city has an average
90 altitude of 4 meters. Recife has an eminently urban profile, with small rural population and
91 small farming activities. Rich and diversified environments in continuous process of
92 urbanization and economic exploitation.

93

94 The climate of the city of Recife, according to the classification of Köppen is rainy tropical,
95 type Ams'. It is characterized by two distinct periods: the first is a dry season, which runs
96 from September to February (spring-summer) and the second is the rainy season from
97 March to August (autumn-winter). It is considered a fairly humid climate, with an annual
98 precipitation above 750mm, average temperature ranging from 25° C to 30° C and relative
99 humidity ranging from 79.2% to 90.7% in the wetter months.

100

101 **2.2 Sample area**

102

103 This study was carried out in an area of Imbiribeira, Recife, PE, Brazil, during six months.
104 The neighborhood of Imbiribeira has 655.6 hectares of predominantly residential area, with
105 commerce in its main streets. It presents a landscape characterized by the Atlantic Forest
106 Biome, tropical climate, and average annual temperature of 21° C, with an average rainfall of
107 1400mm/year.

108

109 **2.3 Survey**

110

111 The survey was conducted in an area of 30 city blocks (37.024 hectares) consisting mostly
112 of residential properties and a small park.

113

114 After the delimitation of the study area, the number of properties with hedgerows were
115 registered. The application of the questionnaire was directed to people residing, working, or
116 owning real estate that contained hedgerows.

117

118 The method for conducting the interview was based on qualitative and quantitative research
119 with a semi-structured questionnaire, which, combined open and closed questions, where
120 the person inquired has the possibility to discuss the proposed topic.

121

122 **2.4 Maintenance**

123

124 The person with the responsibility of pruning and maintenance of the hedge has been
125 classified as: Owner, individual possessing the property containing hedgerows; Non-
126 professional worker, person who does not reside in the property that has hedgerows, but has
127 some working relationship with the owner of the property, not having technical knowledge;
128 Professional of the area, person with technical knowledge, able to perform the correct
129 maintenance of the hedge; and owner of the property, with some technical knowledge.

130

131 The interviewee was questioned about the types of maintenance performed on the hedges
132 (pruning, watering, fertilizer, defensive products or others).

133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184

2.5 Composition

The hedgerows were classified according to their function and composition in: Homogeneous, when the hedge was composed of only one plant species, independent of the group of plant species; and Heterogeneous, when the hedge is composed of more than one plant species, independent of the group of plant species.

2.6 Plant species aspects

The species used were classified into groups of plant species: arboreal, shrub, herbaceous and climbing plants.

2.7 Pruning

The treatment given to the individual members of the hedgerows was classified as: Maintenance, when only dry or diseased branches are eliminated through pruning, remaining the specific form of the species; and Topiary, when, in the presence of pruning, the shape of the canopy is changed for aesthetic purposes, creating hedgerows with carved aspect, modifying the natural form of the plants (generally in square or rectangular format).

2.8 Planting aspects

The planting alignment was characterized as: Line planting, when the planting was carried out in one or more lines with individuals opposing each other; and Quincunx planting, when the planting was carried out in two or more lines with individuals alternating each other.

The spacing rhythm was classified as: spacing with rhythm, when the hedge had a standard spacing throughout its length and; Spacing without rhythm when the hedgerow had no standard spacing along its length. In the classification of the hedgerows with rhythm, the spacing used was noted. In hedgerows without rhythm, the range of spacing used was noted.

2.9 Dimensions

The Height (H), Width (w), Extension (E) and Planting Spacing of the hedgerows were measured with the aid of a measuring tape. With such data, the occupied area or Area of Projection (AP) of the hedgerow was calculated, and also the Area of Maintenance of the hedge (AM), that is, the total area of the hedgerow where pruning is practiced.

The occupied area, or projection area (AP) of the hedge and the maintenance area (AM) were found according to the following equations:

$$AP = W \times E \quad (1)$$

$$AM = 2 \times (H \times E) + 2 \times (H \times W) + (W \times E) \quad (2)$$

The calculation of the maintenance area was adjusted according to the need of each hedge, due to the fact that some hedgerows have one or more of their sides facing walls, and no maintenance is performed on these faces.

2.10 Architectural elements on hedgerows

185 It was observed the absence or presence of architectural elements (walls, gratings, barbed
186 wire, etc.) associated with the hedgerows as complementary materials to the structure of the
187 hedgerows.

188

189 From the obtained data, the total number of the analyzed blocks, the total number of
190 hedgerows found in the study area, the average number of hedgerows found per block and
191 the average number of hedgerows per analyzed properties were determined. The data was
192 computed as Frequency (%), which was the percentage that each variable presented in
193 relation to the analyzed variable, using the Microsoft Excel.

194

195 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

196

197 **3.1 Properties characterization**

198

199 In the study area of the neighborhood of Imbiribeira, 30 blocks were sampled, where the
200 presence of hedgerows was observed in 18 of them. In these, 73 hedgerows were surveyed
201 with an average of 2.43 hedgerows per block. The presence of hedgerows was verified in 27
202 properties (that is, 27 people interviewed), with an average of 2.70 hedgerows per property,
203 of which 18 (66.67%) are residential and 9 (33.33%) belong to private companies.

204

205 Although, considering the low number of properties with hedgerows, the number of
206 hedgerows surveyed in the area was above the expectations. Opening the possibility to
207 consider that this system is valued in the area.

208

209 **3.2 Maintenance**

210


211 The people responsible for the maintenance and management of hedgerows in the study
212 area in the Imbiribeira neighborhood were mostly non-professional workers. Non-
213 professionals represented 61.54% of the cases, followed by professionals with 23.08% and
214 owners of the properties with 15.38% (Fig. 1). These results show that the majority of
215 hedgerow maintenance is conducted by people who only have empirical training. It is also
216 noted that few owners personally manage their hedgerows.

217

218 The lack of knowledge of the professionals that deal with the hedgerows can contribute to
219 several damages to the hedges. According to Castro [18], bad pruning besides damaging
220 the canopy, leaves the tree exposed to the attack of pests and diseases by the physiological
221 stress imposed by this practice. The pruning period of the hedgerows should be evaluated
222 according to the typology of the plant species. The duration and frequency of pruning is a
223 variable that requires studies to quantify it, since it depends, among other factors, on the
224 density of planting, type of pruning and quality of the work. The longer the interval between
225 prunings, the thicker the pruned branches and the more disturbed the hedge will be.
226 However, most plants are able to withstand this kind of damage. There are few plants that
227 get sick or die for this reason. The gradual increase of pruning height and width will reduce
228 the damages caused to the branches by pruning [19].

229

230




231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248

Fig. 1. Responsible for the maintenance and conduction of the hedgerows in the study area in the neighborhood of Imbiribeira, Recife, PE, Brazil

The practice of watering hedgerows was found in 95.24% of the visited properties. The use of fertilizer was found in 28.57% of the cases and only 4.76% of the properties use pesticide products due to phytosanitary problems (Fig. 2).

In a study about hedgerows, [Britt et al.](#) [20] found that over 40% of farmers have already used herbicides in weed control. More than half were always careful that herbicides did not come into contact with live fences, while 9% never used herbicides. This work also showed that selective herbicides can be valuable in the recovery of highly weed infested hedges. Treatments with herbicides also increase the diversity of bed bug species (Heteroptera) [21]. This can be beneficial from an ecological and agronomic point of view, although it is pointed out that other weeds can be beneficial to insects.



249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258

Fig. 2. Watering and Insunes used to maintain hedgerows in the study area in the neighborhood of Imbiribeira, Recife, PE, Brazil

3.3 Composition

Most of the hedgerows had a homogeneous composition, representing a frequency of 85.14% of the study area of the Imbiribeira neighborhood (Fig. 3). Hedgerows with heterogeneous composition presented a frequency of 14.86%.


259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283

In a study carried out in rural area of Abreu e Lima/ PE, a predominance of hedgerows with heterogeneous composition was observed [22]. The introduction of more species to increase diversity in the construction of hedgerows can be a tactic to reduce pest impacts.

Hedgerows composed by a larger diversity of species can provide more benefits to the wildlife than the ones composed by only one species [21,23,24]. Although heterogeneous hedgerows have a greater ecological importance in terms of plant species diversity and importance to fauna, the preference for hedgerows with homogeneous composition is higher in urban areas. The homogeneous composition of hedgerows, when subjected to proper maintenance, gives the environment a pleasant and elegant visual appearance. This is why their adoption is predominant in urban areas.

In a study about the importance of hedgerows, composed by different plant species, in the density and diversity of spiders, Wu et al. [25], observed that spiders can present preferences for determinate plant species in different seasons, as well as preference for hedgerows instead of others agroforestry systems.

The plants diversity is one of the most important components of the urban ecosystem because it provides several ecological benefits and contributes directly to the quality of life and well-being of the population [26,27]. The diversity of species can contribute to conserve local biodiversity by preserving native tree species in urban environments and providing natural habitats for local animal species [28].



284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302

Fig. 3. Composition of hedgerows (homogeneous or heterogeneous) in the neighborhood of Imbiribeira, Recife, PE, Brazil

3.4 Pruning

Topiary pruning is the most practiced treatment on the hedgerows, with a frequency of 82.28% in the study area (Fig. 4). Hedgerows that exhibit uniformity have a more pleasant visual appearance, which is why most of the hedgerows are subjected to frequent topiary pruning aiming for uniform growth of the individuals that compose the hedgerow.

A research about hedgerows in the city of Abreu e Lima, in Pernambuco, reported that only one of the hedgerows evaluated was managed with maintenance pruning [22]. A lower frequency of hedgerows treated with maintenance pruning was found in the study area.

In the maintenance pruning of hedges, it is important to ensure that the lower part of the plant does not remain in the absence of light. This error is very common and its main consequence is the emergence of leafless bases, with flaws and dead or diseased

303 branches. This problem happens mainly when the hedgerow has a square shape, because
304 the superior part will always grow more than the lower one, because it will receive more light
305 [28]. Although this practice was not studied in this research, there were no hedgerows
306 presenting the pruning suggested by the author.

307


308 The management of hedgerows controls its various structural parameters such as width,
309 height, density and vegetation stratification, determining its value for invertebrates [13].

310

311 According to Marshall et al. [21] the effects of time and frequency of pruning are determinant
312 for the presence of populations of some arthropods species. Some populations of insects
313 show higher growth in hedgerows that are not pruned, while others are more abundant in
314 pruned hedgerows. This fact can also happen when the period of the year in which pruning
315 is performed is considered. Due to this fact, the authors recommend that not all individuals
316 composing a hedgerow should be pruned at the same time.

317

318



319

320

321 **Fig. 4. Treatments given to hedgerows in the study area in the Imbiribeira**
322 **neighborhood, Recife, PE, Brazil**

323

324 Hedgerows composed of climbing species receive mostly topiary pruning, with a frequency
325 of 92.31% (Fig. 5). While in hedgerows composed of herbaceous species, only maintenance
326 pruning was noted. This is due to the different aesthetics of the species, making pruning not
327 necessary to modify the structure of the species.

328


329 Hedgerows with shrub species were subjected to both topiary and maintenance pruning in
330 the study area with frequencies of 80.95% and 19.05%, respectively.

331

332 Hedgerows composed of tree species were submitted, in most cases, to topiary pruning in
333 100.00% of the cases in the Imbiribeira neighborhood.

334

335




336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355

Fig. 5. Treatments given to hedgerows in the different groups of plant species in the study area in the Imbiribeira neighborhood, Recife, PE, Brazil

3.5 Planting aspects

The quincunx planting with no definite spacing rhythm was the most found in the study area with a frequency of 94.74% (Fig. 6). The rhythm of spacing most used in line plantings was with rhythm, with a 78.57% frequency.


Double row planting with intercalated pits (quincunx) can form wider hedgerows in a shorter time. Depending on the owner's purpose or preference, the width of the hedgerows may be influenced by the alignment chosen at the moment of implantation of the hedge. Larger hedgerows can be obtained through quincunx plantations and hedgerows of smaller widths can be obtained with plantings in rows. However, this type of planting eventually consumes more space in the garden. The single line can be formed by aligned grooves or holes and occupy less space.



356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366

Fig. 6. Relation between Spacing Rhythm and the Planting Alignment used in the hedgerows of the study area in the Imbiribeira neighborhood, Recife, PE, Brazil


The most observed Planting Alignment in the study area was in line, with a 65.58% frequency (Fig. 7). The quincunx alignment was observed in 34.48% of the cases. Even though the quincunx alignment is able to form wider hedgerows, the line alignment is the most common used.



367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377

Fig. 7. Planting Alignment used in the hedgerows of the study area in the Imbiribeira neighborhood, Recife, PE, Brazil

The most observed Spacing Rhythm was the one with no rhythm of planting, with a frequency of 54.17% (Fig. 8). This fact could be explained due to the death of individuals or new individuals added to the hedgerow. The spacing with rhythm was observed in 45.83% of the cases.



378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401

Fig. 8. Spacing Rhythm used in the hedgerows of the study area in the Imbiribeira neighborhood, Recife, PE, Brazil

There is a difficulty in measuring the spacing and pace of spacing due to death of individuals or individuals entering the hedge. Due to the fact that the present research carried out the survey of the hedgerows in just one moment, the data exposed disregarded dead individuals, taking into account only the current arrangement.

The most used range of spacing in hedgerows composed of herbaceous plants in the study area was 0.00m to 0.20m and 0.21m to 0.40m, with a 50% frequency each (Fig. 9).

Hedgerows composed of shrub species had more frequent spacing in the range of 0.21m to 0.40m, presenting a frequency of 58.33%, followed by the range 0.00m to 0.20m, with a 29.17% frequency. This group was also found with other spacing range, but with a lower frequency.


Hedgerows composed of tree species presented the planting spacing only in the range from 0.81m to 1.00m.

In general, the most widely used spacing range in planting hedgerows was 0.21m to 0.4m with a frequency of 56.86% for the study area.

402 A common practice is to reduce the spacing between the seedlings in order to accelerate the
 403 formation of the hedgerow. Thus, the roots and branches will overlap, generating
 404 competition for light, water and nutrients and impairing the development and health of the
 405 plants. Instead, it is preferable to acquire more developed seedlings, respecting the
 406 recommended spacing for each species [29].

407
 408 The appropriate spacing between the seedlings varies from species to species and should
 409 always be respected, otherwise the roots and branches will overlap, generating competition
 410 for sunlight, water and nutrients and impairing the development and health of the plants.
 411

412 The range of spacing of the climbing plants were not possible to measure.
 413
 414



415
 416
 417 **Fig. 9. Range of Spacing used in different groups of species that compose hedgerows**
 418 **in the study area in the Imbiribeira neighborhood, Recife, PE, Brazil**
 419

420 **3.6 Dimensions**
 421

422 It was possible to observe a greater height for the climbing species, according to Table 1,
 423 due to the fact that the plant has to be adequate in proportion to the height of the support,
 424 which are generally high walls. The dimensions of climbing species, in general, fit the
 425 dimensions (height, width and extension) of the support.
 426

427 The average heights of hedgerows formed by herbaceous and shrubs were similar, with
 428 0.95m and 0.98m respectively.
 429

430 The width of hedgerows showed a tendency to increase according to the natural size of the
 431 group of species. The average widths found in hedgerows composed of herbaceous and
 432 shrubs species in the study area presented averages of 0.48m for herbaceous and 0.67m for
 433 shrubs. The average values of width found for hedgerows composed of trees were 1.50m.
 434

435 The maintenance areas found presented an average of 45.37m² in climbing plants, 10.63m²
 436 in herbaceous plants, 12.13m² in shrubs, and 18.55m² in trees of the study area. These
 437 dimensions in conjunction with the extension and the projected area can be used to make a
 438 better plan of the hedgerows maintenance and pruning considering time and costs.
 439

440 **Table 1. Dimensions of hedgerows in the different groups of species in the study area**
 441 **in the Imbiribeira neighborhood, Recife, PE, Brazil**
 442

Average values	Group of Species			
	Climbing species	Herbaceous	Shrubs	Arboreal

Height (m)	2.60	0.95	0.98	1.85
Width (m)	0.15	0.48	0.67	1.50
Extension (m)	10.32	4.08	4.36	2.50
Area of Projection (m ²)	2.34	1.92	2.67	3.75
Area of Maintenance (m ²)	45.37	10.63	12.13	18.55

443

444

445

3.7 Architectural elements on hedgerows

446

Most of the hedgerows did not present complements in their structure or in their proximity (Fig. 10).

447

448

449

The presence of walls was verified in 35.62% of the hedgerows in the study area, representing the highest frequency among the verified elements.

450

451

452

The presence of hedgerows complementing fences of grids presented 16.44% of frequency in the studied hedgerows, and has as function the privacy to one or both sides of the hedge. The presence of low walls was found with the same frequency.

453

454


455

456

The use of barbed wire was not observed in hedgerows in the study area.

457

458



459

460

461

Fig. 10. Architectural elements associated with hedgerows in the study area in the Imbiribeira neighborhood, Recife, PE, Brazil. Where: A – No complements; B – Presence of high walls (above 0.5 m); C – Presence of low walls (under 0.5 m); D – Presence of grids

462

463

464

465

466

4. CONCLUSION

467

468

Throughout the study area a considerable amount of relatively well conserved hedgerows of varying sizes could be witnessed.

469

470

471

Although heterogeneous hedgerows have a greater ecological importance in terms of plant species diversity, there was a preference for hedgerows of homogeneous composition for providing pleasant and elegant aesthetics.

472

473

474

475

Hedgerows that have uniformity have a more pleasant visual appearance, which is why most of the hedgerows are subjected to frequent topiary pruning. This promotes uniform growth of the individuals that compose the hedgerow.

476

477

478

479

Although hedgerows are widely used in urban and rural areas, studies on their composition, structure, ecological importance and relevance to biodiversity conservation are scarce.

480

481

482 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

483

484 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

485

486 **REFERENCES**

487

488 1. Yamamoto MA, Schmidt ROL, Couto HTZ, Silva Filho DF. *Arvores Urbanas*. Piracicaba:
489 ESALQ/USP; 2004.

490 2. Veras LMSC. Plano de arborização de cidades – metodologia. In: Congresso Nordeste
491 de Ecologia. Recife – PE. **Anais**. Recife: UFRPE, Departamento de Biologia, 1986;8-14.
492 Portuguese.

493 3. Milano M, Dalcin E. *Arborização de vias públicas*. Rio de Janeiro: Light; 2000.

494 4. Nowak DJ, Hirabayashi S, Doyle M, McGovern M, Pasher J. Air pollution removal by
495 urban forests in Canada and its effect on air quality and human health. *Urban for urban*
496 *green*. 2018;29:40-48.

497 5. Carrus G, Scopelliti M, Laforteza R, Colangelo G, Ferrini F, Salbitano F, et al. Go
498 greener, feel better? The positive effects of biodiversity on the well-being of individuals
499 visiting urban and peri-urban green areas. *Landsc Urban Plan*. 2015;134:221-228.

500 6. Laforteza R, Davies C, Sanesi G, Konijnendijk CC. Green Infrastructure as a tool to
501 support spatial planning in European urban regions. *iForest*. 2013;6:102–108.

502 7. Lee J, Lee D. Cardiac and pulmonary benefits of forest walking versus city walking in
503 elderly women: A randomised, controlled, open-label trial. *Eur J Integr Med*. 2014;6:5–11.

504 8. Sonntag-Öström, E.; Stenlund T, Nordin M, Lundell Y, Ahlgren C, Fjellman-Wiklund A, et
505 al. Nature's effect on my mind" – Patients' qualitative experiences of a forest-based
506 rehabilitation programme. *Urban For Urban Greening*. 2015;14(3):607-614.

507 9. Gundersen V, Skår M, O'Brien L, Wold LC, Follo G. Children and nearby nature: A
508 nationwide parental survey from Norway. *Urban For Urban Green*. 2016;17:116-125.

509 10. Song C, Ikei H, Kobayashi M, Miura T, Li Q, Kagawa T, Kumeda S, et al. Effects of
510 viewing forest landscape on middle-aged hypertensive men. *Urban For. Urban Greening*.
511 2017;21:247–252.

512 11. Baudry J, Bunce RGH, Burel F. Hedgerows: An international perspective on their origin,
513 function and management. *J Environ Manage*. 2000;60:7–22.

514 12. Burel F. Hedgerows and their role in agricultural landscapes. *Crit Rev Plant Sci*.
515 1996;15:169-190.

516 13. Zwolfer H, Stechman DH. Structure and function of hedgerows for animals. *Verh. Ges.*
517 *Ökol*. 1989;17:643-656.

518 14. Rackham O. Trees and woodland in a crowded landscape. In *The Cultural Landscape of*
519 *the British Isles*. In: Birks HH, Birks HJB, Kaland PE, Moe D, editors. *The Cultural*
520 *Landscape: Past, Present and Future*. Cambridge: University Press; 1988.

521 15. Montagnini F. *Sistemas agroforestales: principios y aplicaciones en los trópicos*. San
522 José, Costa Rica: Organización para Estudios Tropicales; 1992.

523 16. Nair PKR. *Icraf's agroforestry systems inventory project. Agroforestry systems in the*
524 *tropics*. Netherlands: Kluwer Academy Publishers; 1989.

525 17. Patiño RVM. *Historia de la actividad agropecuária em América Equinoccial*. Cali:
526 *Imprenta Departamental*; 1965.

527 18. Castro NS. *Arborização Urbana: poda, condução e legislação*. Boletim informativo. São
528 Paulo: SBAU; 2004.

529 19. Wolton R. Hedge cutting: answers to 18 common questions. *Natural England*. 2007.
530 Accessed 16 february 2019.

531 Available: <http://hockerton.com/admin/resources/hockerton-hedgecuttingfaqs.pdf>.

532 20. Britt C, Churchward J, Shea L, McMillan S, Wilson D. *Hedgerow Management: A Study*
533 *of Farmers' and Contractors' Attitudes*. Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food; 2000.

- 534 21. Marshall EJP, Maudsley MJ, West TM, Rowcliffe HR. Effects of management on the
535 biodiversity of English hedgerows. In: Barr CJ, Petit S, editors. Hedgerows of the World:
536 Their Ecological Functions in Different Landscapes. Iale: International Association of
537 Landscape Ecology; 2001.
- 538 22. Lima MSC., Potencial de Uso das Espécies que Compõem Cercas Vivas na
539 Comunidade Rural de Pitanga, Município de Abreu e Lima, Pernambuco. Mestrado em
540 Biologia Vegetal, Departamento de Biologia, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco; 2008.
- 541 23. Hayes MJ, Jones AT, Sackville Hamilton NR, Wildig J, Buse A. Studies on the
542 restoration of Welsh hedges. In: Barr C, Petit S, editors. Hedgerows of the World: Their
543 ecological functions in different landscapes. Iale: International Association of Landscape
544 Ecology; 2001.
- 545 24. Chamberlain DE, Vickery JA, Marshall EJP. The effect of hedgerow characteristics,
546 adjacent habitat and landscape on the winter hedgerow bird community. In: Barr CJ, Petit S,
547 editors. Hedgerows of the World: Their Ecological Functions in Different Landscapes. Iale:
548 International Association of Landscape Ecology; 2001.
- 549 25. Wu Y, Cai Q, Lin C, Chen Y, Li Y, Cheng X. Responses of ground-dwelling spiders to
550 four hedgerow species on sloped agricultural fields in Southwest China. Prog. Nat. Sci.
551 2009;19(3)337-346.
- 552 26. Dobbs C, Kendal D, Nitschke CR. Multiple ecosystem services and disservices of the
553 urban forest establishing their connections with landscape structure and sociodemographics.
554 Ecol Indic. 2014;43:44-55.
- 555 27. Scopelliti M, Carrus G, Adinolfi C, Suarez G, Colangelo G, Laforteza R, Panno A,
556 Sanesi G. Staying in touch with nature and well-being in different income groups: the
557 experience of urban parks in Bogotá. Landsc Urban Plan. 2016;148:139-148.
- 558 28. Ikin K, Le Roux DS, Rayner L, Villaseñor NR, Eyles K, Gibbons P, Manning AD,
559 Lindenmayer DB. Key lessons for achieving biodiversity-sensitive cities and towns. Ecol
560 Manage Restor. 2015; 16:206-214.
- 561 29. Patro R. **Cercas vivas**. 2013. Accessed: 14 february 2019.
562 Available: <http://www.jardineiro.net/cercas-vivas.html>.