

Original Research Article

Effect of indolebutyric acid immersion period on the rhizogenic process of guava cuttings (*Psidium guajava* L.) cultivar Século XXI

ABSTRACT

In the rhizogenic process, the immersion time of the base of the cuttings to be propagated in plant regulators depends on the concentration of the solutions, the cultivar to be used and the type of cutting required. Therefore, this research work has as objective to investigate which immersion time, in solution with indolebutyric acid promotes a greater rooting in herbaceous cuttings of guava cultivar Século XXI. The experiment was distributed in the completely randomized design, being defined as treatments the immersion times (5, 10, 15 and 20 seconds) of the base of the cuttings in the solution of indolebutyric acid - IBA at the concentration of 2000 mg L^{-1} , with three replicates and 10 cuttings per plot. In relation the variable dry mass of the aerial part, this presents a favorable response to the different immersion times in indolebutyric acid. Diverging of the variables cuttings rooted, live without root, number of roots, root length, callus, sprout, leaf retention and mortality which were not influenced by the different immersion times of the base of the cuttings in the plant regulator. Concluding that the different times of immersion of the base of the cuttings do not influence in the rhizogenic process of herbaceous cuttings of guava cultivar Século XXI. For the variable dry mass of aerial part, the immersion time of 5 seconds in the concentration of 2000 mg L^{-1} in AIB, present the better results when compared to the other concentrations.

Keywords: Propagation; cuttings, immersion times; plant regulators.

1. INTRODUCTION

The guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) is a native fruitful of tropical America [1], but is cultivated in Brazil, from Acre to Rio Grande do Sul, even though it is still of form extractive in many regions. Composing the picture of the 50 best-known edible fruits in the world, besides having commercial importance in more than 50 countries [2], due to its organoleptic qualities, affordable price and possibility of production throughout the year.

The cultivar Século XXI is a relatively new guava on the market, which can be used for industrialization and fresh consumption, as well as presenting an early cycle, large fruits, thick rosy-reddish pulp and few and small seeds, promising to be an excellent choice of guava, for its quality and productivity [3, 4].

28 The most diverse guava cultivars may have their perpetuation through sexual propagation,
29 however, currently in function of the to market needs, this is not a commercially used
30 practice, due to the high heterogeneity of the plants, which damages the standardization of
31 the fruits and causes hindrances to the management. Being used so alternative methods,
32 such as asexual reproduction. Nowadays, the most frequently used asexual mechanism for
33 this crop is the cutting method, per enable the commercial production of good quality
34 seedlings in a short period of time and promotes uniformity in the crop [5]. Having as
35 principle the regeneration that is when segments removed from a mother plant are able to
36 regenerate giving rise to a new plant, thanks to cellular totipotency [6, 7].

37 For the success of vegetative propagation several factors must be considered, whether they
38 are intrinsic, related to the plant itself or the extrinsic, related to the environmental
39 conditions, such as size, age and type of cuttings [4, 8], presence of leaves, luminosity [4],
40 type of auxin concentration [3], times of immersion of the base of the cuttings in the inductor
41 of rooting [9], among others.

42 Aiming to favor the rhizogenic process, the use of indolebutyric acid in vegetative
43 propagation has become a constant, bringing into its composition characteristics beneficial
44 to the process [6], promoting the acceleration of the rooting process of the cuttings and
45 consequent increase of the rooting percentage [10]. However, in spite of the increasing use
46 of IBA exogenously, more specific studies must still be carried out with the aim of
47 characterizing the time interval of immersion of the base of the cuttings in inductors
48 considered optimal to stimulate the rhizogenesis [10], in the most diverse plant tissues.

49 [9] reported that the time of immersion of the hormonal solution at the base of the cuttings
50 depends on the concentration of the solutions used. Diluted solutions with low
51 concentrations require contact with the base of the cuttings for hours, while solutions at high
52 concentrations, the contact with the base of the cuttings should be in seconds.

53 Being thus, considering the importance of the immersion time of the base of the cuttings, in
54 the regulators, for the success of the vegetative propagation in the commercial production, it
55 was aimed to find out which immersion time, in solution with indolebutyric acid promotes a
56 better response to the rhizogenesis process of herbaceous cuttings of guava 'Século XXI'.
57

58 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

59
60 The herbaceous cuttings of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Século XXI were collected from
61 parent plants located in the external area of the Fruit Crop Nursery of the Department of
62 Phytotechnie and Environmental Sciences, at the Center of Agricultural Sciences of the
63 Federal University of Paraíba - Areia, PB, located in the geographical coordinates 6°51'47 "
64 and 7°02'04" South latitude and 35°34 ' 13 "and 35 ° 48'28" west longitude of the Greenwich
65 meridian, which were with five years old and were originating from asexual propagation and
66 were in full vegetative activity at the time of collection. The herbaceous cuttings were
67 removed from the apical part of the branches, with eight pairs of leaves, which were
68 wrapped in moistened paper and packed in plastic bags, forming a humid chamber, to
69 reduce the possibility of dehydration of the tissues, then were transported to the nebulization
70 chamber, located in the internal area of the Fruit Crop Nursery of the Department of
71 Phytotechnie and Environmental Sciences, at the Center of Agricultural Sciences of the
72 Federal University of Paraíba. The experiment was carried out from December 2014 to
73 March 2015.

74 The experimental design was a completely randomized design, presenting as treatment four
75 immersion times (5, 10, 15 and 20 seconds) of the cuttings base in the 2000 mg L⁻¹ solution
76 of indolebutyric acid (IBA) with three replicates and 10 cuttings per plot.

77 The hydroalcoholic solution of IBA was prepared by dissolving the IBA in 10 mL of alcohol,
78 after fully dissolved, the volume was completed to 100 mL with distilled water, obtaining then
79 the concentration of 2000 mg L⁻¹ of IBA [3].

80 The preparation of the cuttings were performed in the nebulization chamber, staying these
81 with a straight cut at the apex and a bevel at the base, with ± 10 cm of length, being
82 maintained a pair of leaves, and the limbs reduced to half the length. After finishing of the
83 preparation of the cuttings, they were grouped by plot and had 1.0 cm of the base placed in
84 the hormonal solution, according to the treatments mentioned. Being then staked in tubes
85 filled with a composition of 50% carbonized rice husk and 50% organic compound, which
86 were kept under intermittent misting system with mean opening and closing time of 30
87 seconds and 10 minutes respectively, which could vary according to the daily climate; and
88 with shading coverage (50%). For the control of fungal diseases, the cuttings were treated
89 with applications of Aliette® fungicide, always that necessary.

90 After 70 days of the experiment installation the following variables were analyzed: rooted
91 cuttings (%), live rootless cuttings (%), live cuttings (%), number of roots (n°), length of roots
92 (cm), cuttings with callus (%), cuttings with sprout (%), cuttings with leaf retention (%),
93 mortality (%), dry mass of the aerial part (g) and dry mass of roots (g).

94 The variables: rooted cuttings (%), live rootless cuttings (%), cuttings with callus (%),
95 cuttings with sprout (%), cuttings with leaf retention (%) and dry mass of the aerial part (g)
96 were correlated only with the percentage of cuttings that remained alive until the end of the
97 experiment. The variables: number of roots (n°), length of roots (cm) and dry mass of roots
98 (g) were correlated only with the percentage of cuttings that rooted and ending the
99 percentage of dead cuttings took into account all dead cuttings at the end of the experiment.

100 For the statistical analysis initially was carried out the transformation of the data for the
101 square root function ($y + 0.5$) when obtained by percentage and, logarithmically ($\log + 1$)
102 when obtained by counting. Then, carried out the analysis of variance and regression, using
103 the F test to verify the treatment effect and the adjustments of the models, assuming an error
104 of up to 10% probability. The software SAS 9.4 [11] was used.

105
106

107 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

108

109 The rooted cuttings, although not influenced by the factor under study, exhibit an average
110 value of 63.61% (Figure 1A). Superior value than found by other authors, such as [3] that by
111 working with herbaceous cuttings of cv. Século XXI treated with IBA for 10 seconds,
112 obtained a rooting by part of the cuttings of 35% when using the concentration of 2000 mg L⁻¹
113 of AIB.

114 This high rooting percentage obtained by cuttings treated with IBA, is due to many factors
115 such as the leaf maintenance during the experiment, mother plants with continuous
116 management, presence of intermittent misting, among others, which favor the
117 expressiveness of the plant material to the stimuli performed.

118 In relation the live rootless cuttings (Figure 1B), it can be said that the observed value
119 (28.05%) is indicative of the maintenance of live cuttings, even when they do not emit root.


120 Not being able to discard the possibility of a future emission, seen the days that the stakes
121 remained in the greenhouse, sometimes they are not enough for the expression of the
122 vegetal tissue [5]; or even that the tissues that present callus can, in the future, issue root,
123 even though these processes are seen as independent.

124 In the figure 1C the percentage of cuttings with callus, was also not suffered influence of the
125 immersion times. Callus formation depends basically on two factors, one being the
126 regulators and their concentrations and the other is the type of formation process, which can
127 be direct or indirect. When dependent on the regulators it is necessary that there is adequate
128 hormonal balance between the promoter and inhibitory substances in the plant. The
129 interaction between auxins and cytokinins is a primary relationship in propagation, because,
130 the high auxin/cytokinin ratio favors rooting, the high cytokinin/auxin ratio favors sprouts
131 formation, and the high level of both favors the development of callus [12].

132 The direct formation comprises the formation of the roots from the proximities of the vascular
133 system, common in species of easy rooting. In the indirect formation there is a cicatricial
134 reaction with the formation of a layer of suberin at the base of the cutting, giving rise to callus
135 which is constituted by proliferative and dedifferentiated masses of parenchymatic cells,
136 typically disorganized, and in different degrees of lignification. Thus, the parenchyma cells
137 present in the callus that preserve meristematic function can be reactivated with this function
138 and / or resume the function giving rise to the new root beginnings that evolve to a
139 connection with the vascular system, typical in species of difficult rooting [13, 8, 14].
140 However, some authors consider that callus and root formation are independent processes,
141 and that the simultaneous occurrence is due to the dependence of endogenous conditions of
142 the cuttings and the environment being similar [8, 15, 14, 16, 17].


143 The percentage of cuttings with sprouting also did not present significant difference when
144 analyzed the use of IBA in the different immersion times (Figure 1D), as well as the
145 percentage of live cuttings (Figure 1E).

146



147

148



149
150

151

152 **Fig. 1. Percentage of cuttings rooted (A) percentage of live cuttings without roots (B)**
 153 **percentage of cuttings with callus (C) percentage of cuttings with sprout (D) and**
 154 **percentage of live cuttings (E) cuttings of guava cv. Sécuro XXI treated with IBA**
 155 **(indolebutyric acid) at 70 days after application of the treatments, Areia-PB.**

156

157 In the foliar retention it was not possible to verify a significant difference when related the
 158 use of IBA to the immersion times of guava herbaceous cuttings 'Sécuro XXI', being show an
 159 average value of cuttings that kept the leaves until the end of the research, of 76.11%
 160 (Figure 2A).

161 In the staking, the leaves had their limbs reduced for a decrease in perspiration and
 162 maintenance of leaf reserves, which reflected in the percentage of rooted cuttings. Because
 163 known itself that the retention of leaves in the propagation process is important because it
 164 helps in the production and transport of auxin, allowing the carbohydrate supply through
 165 photosynthesis, favoring the division and stretching [18, 5].


166 The importance of the presence of leaves in the cuttings, in the rooting of most plants, is
 167 recognized by several authors. [19] observed that the treatments without leaves resulted in
 168 the death of the cuttings, compromising the homogeneity and normality of the data. These
 169 same responses were reported in the experiments of [19, 20] because they verified that the
 170 cuttings without leaves did not present roots formation. For the mortality variable (Figure 2B)
 171 no significant difference was found when the immersion time factor was analyzed.

172 It was verified that for both the number of roots and the length of the roots the data were not
 173 significant, revealing an average value of 1.13 and 7.91 cm, respectively (Figure 2C and
 174 2D). [5] working with herbaceous 'Paluma' cuttings and different inducers in the time of 5
 175 seconds of immersion of the base, obtained values very close (2.66 and 8.03, respectively)

176 to those found in this experiment, with no significant response to root length as well.
177 Corroborating with the data of [3] that working with herbaceous 'Século XXI' cuttings treated
178 with IBA for 10 seconds, linked in talc and alcohol, verified that for both the number of roots
179 for cuttings and root length, there was no significant interaction between the IBA
180 concentrations and the application forms.

181 The low number of roots in the cuttings can be related to two factors, the time of reduced
182 permanence in greenhouse or the difficulty of the cultivar in responding to the treatments
183 used. But in spite of the low number of roots emitted by the cuttings, a relevant result of
184 factors related to the aerial part such as the dry mass of aerial part could be observed, which
185 was also due, for satisfactory length of the roots emitted. Demonstrating the importance of
186 the roots emitted quickly by the cuttings in the accumulation of mass. Importance confirmed
187 by [21], who reported that the emission of roots in greater number and length is fundamental
188 when the objective is the production of seedlings in commercial scale. In addition, the well-
189 formed root system increases the area of soil to be exploited, favoring the absorption of
190 nutrients and water, which provides a better development of the seedlings when taken to the
191 field [3, 22].

192



193

194

195 **Fig. 2. Percentage of leaf retention (A), mortality (B), number of roots (C) and root**
196 **length (D) cuttings of guava cv. Século XXI treated with IBA (indolebutyric acid) at 70**
197 **days after application of the treatments, Areia-PB.**


198

199 The dry mass of the aerial part presented a quadratic model with the time of 5 seconds
200 being the holder of the highest value obtained (1.49 g) (Figure 3A). Possibly this favorable
201 response to the immersion time factor was due to the maintenance of the leaves on the

202 cuttings, as well as the presence of roots, which promoted an accumulation of nutrients and
203 water in the leaves and stem, which remained until the end of the test.

204 The root dry mass did not present a significant difference (Figure 3B). Even with a
205 considerable value in rooting, the cuttings did not present a satisfactory root mass value,
206 which can be justified by the short time in which the roots were emitted, giving them no time
207 to develop and accumulate more mass.

208



209

210 **Fig. 3. Dry mass of aerial part (A) and dry mass of root (B) cuttings of guava cv.**
211 **Século XXI treated with IBA (indolebutyric acid) at 70 days after application of the**
212 **treatments, Areia-PB.**

213 In general, it can be stated that the non-consistent expression of several hormones in the
214 rooting of cuttings of species of the Myrtaceae family may be correlated to the most diverse
215 factors, being they the cultivar, the age of the tissue, the type of cuttings, the conditions of
216 cultivation, times of collection, polarity, presence of leaves, temperature, light, humidity,
217 substrate, conditioning, concentration of hormones, oxidation of phenolic compounds and
218 the time of immersion used in the process of rooting of the material. Being necessary more
219 studies to clarify these divergences of responses.

220

221 4. CONCLUSION

222

223 The rapid immersion times, used at the base of the cuttings, do not influence the rhizogenic
224 process of herbaceous cuttings of guava 'Século XXI';

225

226 For the variable dry mass of aerial part, the immersion time of 5 seconds in the
227 concentration of 2000 mg L⁻¹ in AIB, present the better results when compared to the other
228 concentrations.
229

230 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

231

232 The authors would like to thank to the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de
233 Nível Superior – Capes, for the financial support granted to carry out the research and to
234 Federal University of Paraíba, Areia-pb, for the space used.
235

236 COMPETING INTERESTS

237 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

238

239 REFERENCES

240

- 241 1. Kumari S, Arumugam N, Singh R, Srivastav M, Banoth S, Mithra A.C, Arun MB,
242 Goswami A.K, Khan A.J. Diversity analysis of guava (*Psidium guajava*) germplasm
243 collection. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2018; 88 (3): 489–97.
- 244 2. Roberto BS. Guava residue: metabolism in rats and applicability in cereal bars.
245 Master. Federal University of Santa Maria, Santa Maria. 2012.
- 246 3. Yamamoto LY, Borges RS, Sorace M, Rachid BF, Ruas JMF, Sato O, Assis AM,
247 Roberto SR. Cutting rooting of *Psidium guajava* L. 'Século XXI' guava treated with
248 indolebutyric acid with talc and alcohol as a vehicle. Rev. Cien. Rural. 2010; 40 (5):
249 1037-1042.
- 250 4. Costa JCF. Rooting of cuttings of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cultivar século XXI
251 and paluma. Master in Agronomy, Federal University of Paraíba, Areia, 2015.
- 252 5. Costa JCF, Mendonça RMN, Fernandes LF, Silva GC, Silva SM, Pereira WE,
253 Cavalcanti LF, Figueiredo LF. Rooting inducers and organic substrates in the
254 propagation of 'Paluma' guava by cutting. Afr. J. Agric. Res. 2017; 12 (5): 371-376.
- 255 6. Paulus D, Valmorbida R, Toffoli E, Paulus E. Vegetative propagation of *Aloysia*
256 *triphyllo* (L'Hér.) Britton according to the concentration of IBA and the length of the
257 cuttings. Rev. Bras. Plantas Med. 2014; 16 (1): 25-31.
- 258 7. Santana AA. Rooting of 'Chinese' guava cuttings with the use of indolebutyric acid
259 under nebulization chamber. Graduation in Agronomy. University of Brasilia. Brasilia.
260 2014.
- 261 8. Hartmann HT, Kester DE, Davies Jr FT, Genever L. Plant propagation: principles
262 and practices. 8^a ed: New Jersey: Prentice Hall; 2011.
- 263 9. Lattuada DAS. Advances in the vegetative propagation of *Eugenia uniflora* and
264 *Plinia peruviana*. Master, Federal University of the Rio Grande do Sul. Rio Grande
265 do Sul. 2010.
- 266 10. Pizzatto M, Wagner Jr A, Luckmann D, Pirola K, Cassol DA, Mazaro SM. Influence
267 of the use of AIB collection season and stake size on the vegetative propagation of
268 hibiscus by cutting. Rev. Ceres. 2011; 58 (4): 487- 492.
- 269 11. Sas. User's Guide. Statistical Analysis Systems Institute Cary NC USA. (2013).
- 270 12. Santos M. Produção de mudas por semente e estaquia em annonáceae. Graduation
271 in Forest engineering. Federal University of Santa Catarina. Santa Catarina. 2016.
- 272 13. Santos JP. Potential of rooting of woody cuttings of forest species of ciliary forest.
273 Master in Forestry Engineering. Federal University of Lavras. Lavras. 2009.
- 274 14. Goulart PB, Xavier A, Iarema L, Otoni WC. Morphoanatomy of adventitious
275 rhizogenesis in minicuttings of *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla*. Rev. Ci.
276 Fi. 2014; 24 (3): 521-532.

- 277 15. Bischoff AM, Vendramim DW, Gomes EN, Zuffellato Ribas KC, Engel ML, Maggioni
278 RA. Rooting of black sage cuttings according to different indolebutyric acid
279 concentrations and number of leaves. Rev. Ciências Agroveterinárias. 2017; 16 (1):
280 41-47.
- 281 16. Cassol DA, Wagner Jr A, Pirola K, Dotto M, Citadin I. Packing, seasoning and
282 indolbutiric acid in the propagation of jaboticabeira by aporporquia. Rev. Bras. Frutic.
283 2015; 37 (1): 267-272.
- 284 17. Mendonça CI. Multiplication of the nematode *Meloidogyne paranaensis* and rooting
285 speed of stem cuttings in seven species of medicinal plants. Master in Agronomy,
286 University of Brasilia, Brasília. 2016.
- 287 18. Vignolo GK, Picolotto L, Gonçalves MA, Pereira IS, Antunes LEC. Presence of
288 leaves in the rooting of mulberry cuttings. Rev. Cien. Rural. 2014; 44 (3): 467- 472.
- 289 19. Santoro PH, Mikami AY, Souza SGH, Roberto SR. Influence of leaves and lesions
290 on the base of herbaceous cuttings in guava rooting of the selection 8501-9. Rev.
291 Semina. 2010; 31 (2): 289-294.
- 292 20. Sasso SAZ, Citadin I, Danner MA. Propagação de jaboticabeira por estaquia.
293 Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura, 2010; 32 (2): 577-583.
- 294 21. Paulus D, Valmorbidia R, Paulus E. Indolebutyric acid on rosemary vegetative
295 propagation. Hortic Bras. 2016; 34: 520-528.
- 296 22. Carvalho Jr WGO, Melo MTP, Martins ER. Length of cuttings in the development of
297 rosemary seedlings. Rev. Cien. Rural. 2009; 39 (7): 2199-2202.