

## Original Research Article

# REPRODUCTIVE & BIOMARKER RESPONSE OF MALE ALBINO RATS (*Rattus norvegicus*) TO A DAILY DOSE OF SOFT DRINK

### Abstract

The effect of a daily consumption of soft drink was evaluated using 24 Albino rats divided into two groups viz: control and treatment. The experiment was carried out for four (4) weeks. The treatment (a brand of soft drink) was administered to the test group for three weeks while on the fourth week no treatment was given to the test group. The parameters analysed include; Sperm count, kidney function test, liver test, red blood cell, pack cell volume, haemoglobin, white blood cell, platelets, lymphocytes. The results showed that: The mean serum electrolyte for Na (mmol/l) was low for week 1, 2, 3 and 4 having 142, 140, 133.6 and 141.66 respectively when compared to the average control (147.3) with a significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in week 1 and 4, K (mmol/l) were all lower than the average control (5.4) across the week with no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) but had the least mean value of 4.8 in week 2. Bicarbonate (mmol/l) was also significantly lower ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the treated group when compared to the average control (24.3) with the least mean value in week 4 (18.67) and Cl (mmol/l) had a mean of 93.0 in week 1, 94.67 in week 2, 108.66 in week 3 and 107.67 in week 4 with an average control of 99.33. AST (U/L) mean value was 20.67 in week 1 which increased to 31.67 in week 4 while ALT (U/L) mean value was 10 in week 1 which also increased to 13 in week 4. The mean serum protein (g/dL) reduced from 81.83 in week 1 to 73.24 in week 4. Mean PCV (%) reduced from 33.67 in week 1 to 32.7 in week 4, Hb (g/dL) increased from 11.2 in week 1 to 13.4 in week 4 with a significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) when comparing the test with the average control, WBC ( $\times 10^9$ ) increased from a mean 5.26 in week 1 to 11.9 in week 4 with a significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ), Platelet ( $\times 10^9$ ) mean value was 315 on week 1 and 419 in week 4 with significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in week 3 and 4 when compared with its control, RBC ( $\times 10^{12}$ ) increased from a mean of 4.23 in week 1 to 6.90 in week 4 with significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ). Lymphocyte ( $\times 10^9$ ) mean value for week 1 was 70 and 82.26 in week 4 with significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) across the week. While the mean sperm count ( $\times 10^6$ ) reduced significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) from 425 in week 1 to 400 in week 4 when compared to the average control (566). These findings demonstrate that regular consumption of soft drink had a detrimental effect on the sperm count, liver, kidney and on the haematological parameters.

### INTRODUCTION

Coca-cola and Pepsi are some of the world's favorite soft drinks, they comprise of kola known to be a key source of caffeine, other components include: phosphoric acid, sugar in the form of glucose and other forms of chemicals that are used for preservation, flavor and colorings [1]. The intake of soft drinks has increased in the past two decades [2], and several health conditions has been associated with steady or regular intake of soft drinks [3]. There is some evidence that consumption of two bottles of soft drinks per day can cause kidney

44 disease [4]. The consumption of sugary sweetened beverages has been found to increase the  
45 rate of insulin resistance in adolescent [5]. This insulin resistance is known to increase  
46 oxidative stress which can exert a negative influence on sperm motility [6; 7]. Caramel which  
47 is also used as a coloring in soft drinks, is composed of carefully controlled heat treatment of  
48 carbohydrate, generally in the presence of acids and alkalis in a process called  
49 Caramelitization. It has also been linked to increased insulin resistance and inflammation [8;  
50 9]. Soft drinks are widely consumed regularly, because of their sweet taste, in many cases the  
51 consumption is without knowledge of the possible detrimental effects to our health or body if  
52 consumed daily. According to epidemiological study regular intake of soft drinks is  
53 associated with liver diseases, tooth decay and type 2 diabetes [1,3] and Type 2 diabetes in  
54 adult also has been associated with lower sperm motility [10, 11]. It was estimated that the  
55 consumption of sugar was around 68 kg (150 lb) per person per year in the US in 2003 [12,  
56 13]. This increased consumption of sugar- sweetened soft drinks has also been hypothesized  
57 to be associated with a modest but significant increase in risk among women who have an  
58 underlying degree of insulin resistance [14], and also enhance hepatic steatosis [8]. Recent  
59 studies have also shown that the consumption of soft drinks, and sweetened fruit soups leads  
60 to a greater risk of pancreatic cancer [15]. A recent study in rodents also found that sugary  
61 drinks can have negative impact in male fertility [3, 16, 17, 18 and 19]. In addition to the high  
62 sugar content, Cola beverages also contain phosphoric acid which is a colorless, odorless  
63 crystalline liquid. It gives the soft drink a sharp flavor and prevents the growth of mold and  
64 bacteria, which can multiply easily in sugary solution [4], phosphorous may have an effect on  
65 the kidney causing kidney dysfunction, laboratory studies have shown that high phosphorous  
66 diets can cause nephrocalciosis in rats [20]. It has also been associated with urinary changes  
67 that promote kidney stones [21]. Increase in phosphate level may increase plasma  
68 phosphorous levels, with phosphate in colas perhaps being more bioavailable. [22, 23]. This  
69 study therefore aims at assessing the effect of daily consumption of cola soft drinks on sperm  
70 count, determine their effect on renal functions and evaluate the effects of a daily dose on the  
71 liver and kidney.

## 72 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 73 *Experimental Design:*

74 Twenty four (24) male Albino wistar Rats weighing between 175-250 grams were used for  
75 the study, they were acclimatized for seven days before any treatment. An average weight  
76 adult human of 65kg drinks about 350ml of soft drink, this body weight was used to estimate

77 the concentration in millilitres administered to the rats based on their body weight. The daily  
78 dose administered was based on the weekly body weights of the rats. The rats were divided  
79 into two (2) groups. Group 1 comprised the control group, they were fed with regular feed and  
80 water, no treatment was administered to them. Group 2 the treatment group had daily access  
81 to drinking water and feed and were treated with 1ml to 1.3ml of soft drink depending on  
82 their weekly body weight using a 2ml syringe through the oral route. The experiment was  
83 carried out for four (4) weeks. The treatment (a brand of soft drink) was administered to the  
84 test group daily for three weeks while on the fourth week no treatment was given to the test  
85 group. This was done to observe their possible recovery from any effects of the treatment.  
86 Three (3) rats of uniform weight from the test group were sacrificed weekly and three (3) rats  
87 from the control group were sacrificed weekly. This was done to enable us to collect blood  
88 and sperm samples for analysis. The animals were sacrificed by jugular puncture while under  
89 anaesthesia. Blood samples collected were taken with both EDTA and Heparin bottles for  
90 laboratory analysis while the testes were collected for sperm analysis which was done using  
91 an electron microscope.

92

### 93 *Biochemical analysis:*

94 Standard procedures were ensured during the collection of the blood and sperm samples prior  
95 to biochemical analysis. The epididymal sperm count was determined with the Neubauer  
96 haemocytometer (Deep 1/10 mm, LABART, Munich, Germany) and light microscope at 40×  
97 magnifications. Haemoglobin, Packed Cell Volume, White Blood Cells, Red blood cells,  
98 Platelets and lymphocyte counts were determined according to the methods of [24].  
99 Electrolytes were determined according to the methods of [25]. The plasma activity of Alkaline  
100 Phosphatase (ALP) was determined using Radox kit (colorimetric method) of [26]. Biuret  
101 method was used to determine the level of total protein in the samples according to the  
102 method of Flack and Woollen [27]. The plasma activity of aspartate transaminase AST and  
103 alanine transaminase ALT was determined using Reitman and Frankel method [28]. The  
104 serum electrolytes were determined using ISO 4000 Automated electrolyte analyser. SFRI,  
105 France.

### 106 *Method of Data Analysis*

107 Data were analyzed using Tukey test at a level of 5% probability, using Assitat Software  
108 Version 7.7 en (2017).

109

110

## 111 **RESULTS**

### 112 **Effects of Soft drink on Haematology of an Albino rat**

113 The result in Table 1 shows the summary of effect of Soft drink on some blood parameters; it  
114 shows the mean value and Standard Deviation (STDEV) for each of the parameters. The  
115 result for Red Blood Cell (RBC), Packed Cell Volume (PCV), and Hemoglobin (Hb), in rats  
116 treated with Soft drink for 7 days (week 1) showed that there was no significant difference  
117 ( $p>0.05$ ) compared to the control, while for White Blood Cell (WBC), Platelet, and  
118 Lymphocytes, there was also no significance difference ( $p>0.05$ ). PCV, Hb, WBC, and  
119 Lymphocytes showed no significant difference ( $p>0.05$ ) in rats treated with Soft drink orally  
120 for 14 days (2<sup>nd</sup> week) while RBC and Platelet had a significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) when  
121 compared to the control. When the treated group after 21 days (3<sup>rd</sup> week) were compared to  
122 the control, PCV, Hb, RBC, WBC and Platelet had no significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) while  
123 only Lymphocytes had a significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ). PCV and WBC showed significant  
124 difference ( $p<0.05$ ) in rats treated with Soft drink for 21 days + 7 days withdrawal (4<sup>th</sup> week)  
125 with Hb, RBC, Platelet and Lymphocytes having no significant difference ( $P>0.05$ )  
126 compared to the control. The result also showed non-significant differences ( $p>0.05$ ) in PCV,  
127 Platelet and Hb in rats treated with soft drink orally for 7 days, while RBC, WBC and  
128 Lymphocytes showed significant difference ( $p<0.05$ ) in rats treated with soft drink orally for  
129 7 days, compared to weekly average control. The treated group showed no significant  
130 difference ( $p>0.05$ ) in Hb, RBC and WBC in rats while PCV, Platelets and Lymphocytes had  
131 a significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) for 14 days compared to weekly average control. After 21  
132 days, only Platelets had no significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) while PCV, Hb, RBC, WBC and  
133 Lymphocyte had a significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) when comparing the treated group with the  
134 average control. The treatment effect on Lymphocyte showed non-significant difference  
135 ( $p>0.05$ ) in rats treated with Soft drink orally for 21 days+ 7 days withdrawal while there  
136 were significant differences ( $P<0.05$ ) in PCV, Hb, RBC, WBC and Platelet of treated rats  
137 compared to the control.

138

### 139 **Effect of Soft drink on liver, and kidney of Albino rat**

140 The result in Table 2 shows the summary of effect of soft drink on kidney and liver  
141 parameters evaluated. Chlorine (Cl), Alanine Aminotransferase (ALT), Bicarbonate,  
142 Aspartate Aminotransferase (AST) and potassium (K) were non-significantly different  
143 ( $p>0.05$ ) while Sodium ( $\text{Na}^+$ ) recorded a significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) in rats treated with  
144 soft drink orally for 7 days compared to their control. Only AST and Protein showed  
145 significance difference ( $p<0.05$ ), in rats treated with soft drink orally for 14 days and 21 days,  
146 compared to the control. The rats after 21 days+ 7days withdrawal recorded a significant  
147 difference ( $P<0.05$ ) in Sodium and AST only when comparing the treated group with the  
148 control.  $\text{Na}^+$ , ALT, AST, CL, Protein, Bicarbonate and  $\text{K}^+$  showed non-significance  
149 difference ( $p>0.05$ ) in rats treated with soft drink orally for 7days, compared to average  
150 weekly control. In week 2 (14 days), all the parameters had no significant difference ( $P>0.05$ )  
151 when compared to the control, week 3 (21 days) had a significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) only in  
152 Protein. Week 4 (21 days+ 7 days withdrawal) had a significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) only in  
153 ALT when compared to the weekly average control.

154

155

#### 156 **Effects of Soft drink on Sperm Count**

157 The result in Table 3 shows the summary of effect of soft drink on sperm count. There were  
158 no significant difference ( $p>0.05$ ) in sperm count of rats treated with soft drink orally for  
159 7days and the control. Significant differences ( $P<0.05$ ) in sperm count were observed when  
160 comparing the treated group with the control after 14 days, and 21 days treatments. Treatment  
161 also showed significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) in rats treated with soft drink orally for 21 days +  
162 7 days withdrawal, compared to the control. Generally there were non-significance  
163 differences in sperm counts of rats treated with soft drink orally for 7days while a significant  
164 difference ( $P<0.05$ ) was recorded 14 days, 21 days and 21 days + 7 days withdrawal, when  
165 compared to the average weekly control.

166 Table 1: Effects on Hematological Parameters in rats treated orally with soft drink for 7 days, 14 days, 21 days and 21 days + 7 days withdrawal.

	Treatment	Treatment	PCV (%)	Hb (g/dl)	RBC( $\times 10^{12}$ )	WBC( $\times 10^9$ )	PLATELET	LYMPH. ( $\times 10^9$ )
Week 1	7 Days	Control	26.67 $\pm$ 1.52 <sup>a</sup>	9.0 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.76 $\pm$ 0.25 <sup>a</sup>	9.0 $\pm$ 2.5 <sup>a</sup>	270.0 $\pm$ 0 <sup>a</sup>	70.0 $\pm$ 5 <sup>a</sup>
Week 2	14 days	test	33.67 $\pm$ 4.5 <sup>a,A</sup>	11.2 $\pm$ 1.5 <sup>a,AB</sup>	4.23 $\pm$ 0.95 <sup>a,B</sup>	5.26 $\pm$ 0.75 <sup>a,B</sup>	315.0 $\pm$ 35 <sup>a,B</sup>	70.0 $\pm$ 0 <sup>a,B</sup>
		Control	32.57 $\pm$ 2.95 <sup>a</sup>	9.9 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>a</sup>	7.31 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>a</sup>	9.86 $\pm$ 5.65 <sup>a</sup>	335.67 $\pm$ 105.5 <sup>b</sup>	84.4 $\pm$ 1.4 <sup>a</sup>
Week 3	21 days	Test	37.16 $\pm$ 3.75 <sup>a,A</sup>	11.26 $\pm$ 1.15 <sup>a,AB</sup>	5.56 $\pm$ 0.29 <sup>b,A</sup>	12.56 $\pm$ 5.05 <sup>a,AB</sup>	733.0 $\pm$ 96 <sup>a,A</sup>	83.67 $\pm$ 7.5 <sup>a,AB</sup>
		control	32.85 $\pm$ 3.95 <sup>a</sup>	10.03 $\pm$ 1.15 <sup>a</sup>	6.35 $\pm$ 0.64 <sup>a</sup>	7.46 $\pm$ 2.85 <sup>a</sup>	423.0 $\pm$ 108 <sup>a</sup>	78.2 $\pm$ 1.4 <sup>b</sup>
Week 4	21 days+ 7 days withdrawal	Test	35.6 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>a,A</sup>	11.25 $\pm$ 0.35 <sup>a,AB</sup>	6.04 $\pm$ 0.43 <sup>a,AB</sup>	14.56 $\pm$ 3.75 <sup>a,A</sup>	383.67 $\pm$ 53 <sup>a,B</sup>	83.76 $\pm$ 1.35 <sup>a,A</sup>
		Control	39.06 $\pm$ 2.35 <sup>a</sup>	13.86 $\pm$ 0.45 <sup>a</sup>	6.30 $\pm$ 1.67 <sup>a</sup>	6.26 $\pm$ 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	416.67 $\pm$ 3.5 <sup>a</sup>	84.0 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	32.7 $\pm$ 1.22 <sup>b,A</sup>	13.4 $\pm$ 0.73 <sup>a,A</sup>	6.90 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>a,AB</sup>	11.90 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>a,AB</sup>	419.33 $\pm$ 7.7 <sup>a,B</sup>	82.26 $\pm$ 1.95 <sup>a,AB</sup>
	Weekly average control	control	30.69 $\pm$ 2.81 <sup>A</sup>	9.75 $\pm$ 0.78 <sup>B</sup>	5.27 $\pm$ 0.53 <sup>B</sup>	8.15 $\pm$ 3.6 <sup>B</sup>	343.0 $\pm$ 71.17 <sup>B</sup>	77.53 $\pm$ 2.6 <sup>AB</sup>

167

168 <sup>a-b</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (p<0.05) within the week

169 <sup>A-B</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (p<0.05) across the week

170

171

172

173

174

175

176 Table 2: Effects on Liver and Renal function in rats treated orally with soft drink for 7 days, 14 days, 21 days and 21 days + 7 days withdrawal.

	Treatment	Treatment	Na (mmol/l)	K (mmol/l)	Cl(mmol/l)	Bicarbonate(mmol/l)	AST (U/L)	ALT (U/L)	PROTEIN
Week1	7 days	Control	133.67±2.51 <sup>b</sup>	4.06±0.25 <sub>a</sub>	100.67±4.5 <sup>a</sup>	23.67±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	17.67±3.51 <sup>a</sup>	10.67±1.52 <sup>a</sup>	65.7±12.1 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	142±3 <sup>a,A</sup>	5.2±0.7 <sup>a,A</sup>	93.0±7 <sup>a,A</sup>	22.0±2.00 <sup>a,AB</sup>	20.67±6.51 <sub>a,A</sub>	10.0±2 <sup>a,BC</sup>	81.83±11.8 <sup>a,A</sup>
Week 2	14 days	Control	157.67±22.5 <sup>a</sup>	7.26±2.55 <sub>a</sub>	109.67±18.5 <sup>a</sup>	23.6±1.52 <sup>a</sup>	34.67±3.51 <sup>a</sup>	10.0±2 <sup>a</sup>	72.31±3.36 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	140.67±1.52 <sup>a,A</sup>	4.80±0 <sup>a,A</sup>	94.67±2.52 <sup>a,A</sup>	24.0±3 <sup>a,AB</sup>	23.0±1.00 <sup>b,A</sup>	9.0±1 <sup>a,C</sup>	65.8±0.61 <sup>b,AB</sup>
Week 3	21 days	Control	136.67±10.5 <sup>a</sup>	5.0±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	120±4.5 <sup>a</sup>	24.67±3.51 <sup>a</sup>	24.0±5.50 <sup>b</sup>	11.0±4 <sup>a</sup>	69.26±2.15 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	133.6±0.5 <sup>a,A</sup>	5.6±0.1 <sup>a,A</sup>	108.66±0.5 <sup>a,A</sup>	28.0±0 <sup>a,A</sup>	31.67±2 <sup>a,A</sup>	13.67±0.5 <sup>a,A</sup>	54.35±1.15 <sup>b,B</sup>
Week 4	21 days+ 7days withdrawal	Control	149.67±0.5 <sup>a</sup>	5.1±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	106.0±1 <sup>a</sup>	23.0±1 <sup>a</sup>	23.0±1 <sup>b</sup>	13.0±1 <sup>a</sup>	73.27±2.15 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	141.66±0.47 <sup>b,A</sup>	5.2±0.08 <sub>a,A</sub>	107.67±1.25 <sub>a,A</sub>	18.67±2.86 <sup>a,B</sup>	31.67±0.47 <sub>a,A</sub>	13.0±0.82 <sub>a,AB</sub>	73.24±0.82 <sup>a,A</sup>
		Weekly average control	Control	147.3±11.8 <sup>A</sup>	5.4±1.12 <sup>A</sup>	99.33±9.17 <sup>A</sup>	24.3±1.8 <sup>AB</sup>	25.67±4.17 <sup>A</sup>	10.67±1.3 <sub>ABC</sub>

177

178 <sup>a-b</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (p<0.05) within the week

179 <sup>A-B</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (p<0.05) across the week

180 Table 3: Effect on Sperm Count in rats treated orally with soft drink for 7 days, 14 days, 21  
 181 days and 21 days + 7 days withdrawal.

182

	Treatment	Treatment	Sperm Count(x10 <sup>6</sup> )
Week 1	7 days treatment	Control	650±50 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	425±108.3 <sup>a,AB</sup>
Week 2	14 days treatment	Control	465±175 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	140±225 <sup>b,B</sup>
Week 3	21 days treatment	Control	575.0±25 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	325.0±81.8 <sup>b,AB</sup>
Week 4	21 days treatment+ 7 days withdrawal	Control	575.0±125 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	400.0±0 <sup>b,AB</sup>
	Weekly average control	Control	566.67±83.3 <sup>A</sup>

183

184 <sup>a-b</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (p<0.05) within the week

185 <sup>A-B</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (p<0.05) across the week

186

## 187 **DISCUSSION**

188 The RBC count was generally lower than the Control for week 1, 2, and 3 while the week 4  
 189 which is the 7 days after withdrawal was higher than the control although not significantly.

190 This result for RBC shows that soft drink exerted a negative effect on the RBC and when it  
 191 was withdrawn, the body system recovered. The level of PCV was generally higher in the  
 192 treated group when compared to the control group. The Hb level was observed to be  
 193 significantly high in the treated group. According to a study, abnormal high level of Hb could  
 194 be as a result of dehydration and kidney tumor among other effect [29]. This can be due to  
 195 the excessive consumption of Colas because reports have linked chronic kidney diseases to  
 196 the consumption of two or more Colas daily [30, 31]. The WBC also had an abrupt increase  
 197 in the second week up to the fourth week, with a significant difference (p<0.05). The result of  
 198 this work is in line with the, findings in other studies of increases in WBC corresponding  
 199 with increased dosage of Cola acuminata methanoic extract, [32, 33, 34] and contradicts the



200 report of [35] that the extract of kola nut did not have a significant effect on WBCs count of  
201 rats. The platelet level was high in the first two weeks while the last week was low in the  
202 treated group indicating that soft drink had a negative effect on blood platelet. The abnormal  
203 and irregular rise and fall in serum electrolytes are indicators of kidney diseases which affect  
204 the ionic balance [36] and Cola beverages contains phosphoric acid which is known to  
205 promote kidney stones [21] and also kidney dysfunction. Laboratory studies have also shown  
206 that high phosphorous diets can cause nephrocalciosis in rats [20]. The AST level was  
207 observed to be high in the treated group compared to the average control, while ALT was  
208 high in the last two weeks when also compared to the average control and this indicates  
209 possible liver damage [37]. A study by [38] revealed that soft drinks may cause fatty liver  
210 disease. The sperm count was significantly low in the soft drink treated group when  
211 compared to the control group, this low sperm will affect fertility and may be due to  
212 hormonal changes associated with sugary drinks consumption and oxidative stress induced by  
213 insulin resistance [6, 7, 39 40].

## 214 CONCLUSION

215 This study clearly indicates that a daily dose of soft drinks had negative effects on parameters  
216 studied in rats which are mammals. Since the primary consumption of soft drinks is by man  
217 belonging to the class mammalia having similar though higher and more advanced  
218 anatomical and physiological responses with rats, Excessive consumption of soft drinks  
219 should be avoided due to its negative impact on the kidney, sperm and liver as observed in  
220 this study.

## 221 ETHICAL APPROVAL

222  
223 As per international standard or University standard written ethical approval has been collected and  
224 preserved by the authors.

225

## 226 COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

227 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are  
228 commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is  
229 absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we  
230 do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of

231 knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by  
232 personal efforts of the authors.

233

## 234 REFERENCES

235

236 1. Adjene, J. O., Ezeoke J.C. and Nwose E.U. (2010).Histological effects of chronic  
237 consumption of soda pop drinks on kidney of adult wister rats. *NAM, J. Med Sci.*, 2,  
238 215-217.

239 2. Neilsen, S.J., popkin, B.M.(2004). Changes in beverage intake between 1977 and  
240 2001.*Am J. prev Med.* 27, 205-210. Doi: 10.1016/j. ampere.

241 3. Amato, D., Maravilla, A., Garcia- Contreras, F., *et al.*(1997). “Soft drink and health”,  
242 *Rev Invest. Clin.*, 49,387-395.

243 4. Saldana, T., Basso, O., Darden, R. and Sandler, D. (2007). Carbonated beverages and  
244 chronic kidney disease. *Epi- demiology*, 18(4), 501-506.

245 5. Kondaki K1,Grammatikaki E,Jiménez-Pavón D,De Henauw S,González-  
246 GrossM,Sjöstrom M,Gottrand F,Molnar D,Moreno LA,Kafatos A,Gilbert C,Kersting  
247 M,Manios Y. (2013). Daily sugar-sweetened beverage consumption and insulin  
248 resistance in European adolescents: the HELENA (HealthyLifestyle in Europe by  
249 Nutrition in Adolescence) Study. *Public Health Nutr.* (3):479-86. doi:  
250 10.1017/S1368980012002613.

251 6. Park, K., Gross, M., Lee, D.H, Holvoet, P., Himes, J.H., Shikany, J.M. and Jacobs,  
252 D.R.(2009). Oxidative stress and insulin resistance: the coronary artery risk  
253 development in young adults study. *Diabetes Care.* 32, 1302–1307.

254 7. Chen, S. J., Allam, J.P., Duan, Y. G. and Haidl, G. (2013). Influence of reactive  
255 oxygen species on human sperm functions and fertilizing capacity including  
256 therapeutical approaches. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.*,288, 191–199.

257 8. Gaby A.R., (2005). Adverse effects of dietary fructose. *Alt Med Rev*;10, 294–306.

258 9. Vlassara, H., Vlassara, H., Cai, W., Crandall, J., Goldberg, T., Oberstein, R., (2002).  
259 Inflammatory mediators are induced by dietary glycotoxins. A major risk factor for  
260 diabetic angiopathy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci US*, 99,15596–15601.

- 261 10. Echavarria, S. M., Franco L. E., Juarez B. A. and Villanue, D. C.( 2007). Seminal  
262 quality and hormones in patients with diabetes mellitus type 2.*Ginecol. Obstet.*  
263 *Mex.*, 75, 241–246.
- 264 11. RamaRaju, G.A., Jaya, P.G., Murali, K.K., Madan, K., Siva N.T, Ravi and Krishna  
265 C.H. (2012).Noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: effects on sperm  
266 morphological and functional characteristics. nuclear DNA integrity and outcome  
267 of assisted reproductive technique. *Andrologia.*, 44,490–498
- 268 12. Fox, C.S., Larson., M. G. and Leip, E.P.( 2004). Predictors of new onset kidney  
269 disease in a community-based population IAMA. 291, 844-850.
- 270 13. Richard J Johnson, Mark S Segal, Yuri Sautin, Takahiko Nakagawa, Daniel I Feig,  
271 Duk-Hee Kang, Michael S Gersch, Steven Benner and Laura G Sánchez-Lozada  
272 (2007). Potential role of sugar (fructose) in the epidemic of hypertension, obesity and  
273 the metabolic syndrome, diabetes, kidney disease, and cardiovascular disease. *The*  
274 *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, Volume 86, Issue 4, Pages 899–906.
- 275 14. Schernhammer, E.S., Hu, F.B., Giovannucci, E., Michaud, D.S., Colditz, G.A.,  
276 Stampfer, M. J. (2005). Sugar-sweetened soft drink consumption and risk of  
277 pancreatic cancer in two prospective cohorts. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers*  
278 *and Prevention*, 14, 2098-2105.
- 279 15. Larsson, S.C., Bergkvist, L. and Wolk, A. (2006). Consumption of sugar and sugar-  
280 sweetened foods and the risk of pancreatic cancer in a prospective study.  
281 *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 84 (5), 1171-1176.
- 282 16. Wright, C.M., Parker, L., Lammon, D. and Craft, A.W. (2001). Implications of  
283 childhood obesity for adult health. Findings from thousand families cohort study.  
284 *British Medical Journal*, 323, 1280-1284.
- 285 17. Malik, V.S, Popkin, B.M, Bray, G.A, Despres, J. P., Willett, W. C. and Hu F.B.  
286 (2010). Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of metabolic syndrome and type 2  
287 diabetes;a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*.33, 2477–2483.
- 288 18. Mozaffarian, D., Hao, T., Rimm, E. B., Willett, W. C. and Hu F. B. (2011). Changes  
289 in diet and lifestyle and long-term weight gain in women and men. *N Engl J Med.*,  
290 364, 2392–2404.

- 291 19. Pan, A., Malik, V.S, Hao, T., Willett, W.C., Mozaffarian, D. and Hu, F.B.(2013).  
292 Changes in water and beverage intake and long-term weight changes. Results from  
293 three prospective cohort studies. *Int J Obes (Lond)*,37, 1378–1385.
- 294 20. Matsuzaki, H., Uehera, M., and Suzaki, K.(1997). High phosphorous diet rapidly  
295 induces Nephrocalcinosis and proximal tubular injury in rats.*J. Nutr.*, 119, 1423-1431.
- 296 21. Shuster, J., Jenkins, A., Logan, C., Barnett, T., Riehle, R., and Zackson, D. (1992).  
297 Soft drink consumption and urinary stone recurrence. A randomized prevention trial.  
298 *Journal of Clin Epidemiol.*45,911-916.
- 299 22. Calvo, M.S., and Carpenter, T.O. ( 2003). The influence of phosphorous on the  
300 skeleton.In New S.A., Bonjour, J.P., editor’s nutritional aspects of bone health. Royal  
301 society of chemistry, Cambridge U.K, 229-265.
- 302 23. Uribarri, J. and Calvo M.S.(2003). Hidden sources of phosphorous in the typical  
303 American diet, “Does it matter in nephrology”? *Semin Dial.* 16, 186-188.
- 304 24. Wararut Buncharoen, Supap Saenphet, Siriwadee Chomdej and Kanokporn Saenphet  
305 (2012). Evaluation of biochemical, hematological and histopathological parameters of  
306 albino rats treated with *Stemona aphylla* Craib. Extract. *Journal of Medicinal Plants*  
307 *Research* Vol. 6(27), pp. 4429-4435.
- 308 25. Jonhson O. Oyewale, Olusola A. Oke, Funsho O. Olayemi and Ajibola O. Ogunsanmi  
309 (1998). Electrolyte, enzyme, protein and metabolite levels in the blood plasma of the  
310 wild adult African giant rat (*Cricetomys gambianus*, Waterhouse). *VETERINARSKI*  
311 *ARHIV* 68 (4), 127-133.
- 312 26. Rec, G. S. C. C. (1972). Colorimetric Method for Serum Alkaline Phosphatase  
313 Determination. *Journal of Clinical Chemistry and Clinical Biochemistry*, 10(2): 182
- 314 27. Flack, C. P. and Woollen, J. W. (1984). Prevention of interference by dextran with  
315 biuret-type assay of serum proteins. *Clinical Chemistry*, 30(4). 559-561.
- 316 28. Reitman, S. and Frankel, S. (1957). A colorimetric method for determination of serum  
317 glutamate oxaloacetate and glutamic pyruvate transaminase. *American Journal of*  
318 *clinical pathology.* 28: 56-58.
- 319 29. Fox, C.S. (2002). Human physiology. Seventh edition. Mc Graw-Hill companies,  
320 New York.

- 321 30. Bonnie, A. (2017). Why Is Phosphoric Acid Bad for You? Retrieved on March, 3  
322 2018 from [https://www.livestrong.com/article/468217-why-is-phosphoric-acid-bad-](https://www.livestrong.com/article/468217-why-is-phosphoric-acid-bad-for-you/?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C5768045725)  
323 [for-you/?\\_e\\_pi\\_=7%2CPAGE\\_ID10%2C5768045725](https://www.livestrong.com/article/468217-why-is-phosphoric-acid-bad-for-you/?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C5768045725)
- 324 31. Rex, (2018). Phosphoric Acid: The Dangerous Hidden Additive You've Likely  
325 Consumed. Retrieved on March 3, from [https://draxe.com/phosphoric-](https://draxe.com/phosphoric-acid/?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C3370440497)  
326 [acid/?\\_e\\_pi\\_=7%2CPAGE\\_ID10%2C3370440497](https://draxe.com/phosphoric-acid/?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C3370440497)
- 327 32. Adam, S.I., Yahya, A.A., Salih W.M. and Abdelgadir, W.S.(2011). Toxicological  
328 aspect of cola acuminata nut extract.*British Journal Pharmacology and*  
329 *Toxicology*, 2(4), 199-204.
- 330 33. Drugnon, T.J., Kpodekon, T. M., Lalaye, A., Ahissou, H. and Loko, F. (2010). Effect  
331 of pineapple on the haematology and biochemical parameters in albino wistar rats  
332 intoxicated with Doliprane. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2(4),199-204.
- 333 34. Bassini-Cameron A, Sweet E, Bottino A, Bittar C, Viega C and Cameron L (2007).  
334 Effects of caffeine supplementation on hematological and biochemical variables in  
335 elite soccer players under physical stress conditions. *British journal of sports*  
336 *medicine*, 41: 523-30.
- 337 35. Obadike, I.R., Aka, L.O., and Ezema, W.S.(2011). Effects of caffeine extract from  
338 kola nut on body weight hematology, sperm reserve and serum enzyme activities in  
339 albino rats. *Comparative clinical pathology*, 20(6), 62-30.
- 340 36. Dhondup T. and Qian Q. (2017). Electrolyte and Acid-Base Disorders in Chronic  
341 Kidney Disease and End-Stage Kidney Failure. *Blood Purif*. 43: 179-188
- 342 37. Green, R.M. and Flamm, S.A. (2002). Technical review on the evaluation of liver  
343 chemistry tests. *Gastroenterology*, 123:1367-1384.
- 344 38. Byrne, J. (2017). Diet Soda's Effects on Liver Functions. Retrieved on March 3, 2018  
345 from [https://www.livestrong.com/article/224712-diet-sodas-effects-on-liver-](https://www.livestrong.com/article/224712-diet-sodas-effects-on-liver-functions/?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C9788628095)  
346 [functions/?\\_e\\_pi\\_=7%2CPAGE\\_ID10%2C9788628095](https://www.livestrong.com/article/224712-diet-sodas-effects-on-liver-functions/?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C9788628095)
- 347 39. Ruff JS, Suchy AK, Hugentobler SA, Sosa MM, Schwartz BL, Morrison LC, Gieng  
348 SH, Shigenaga MK, Potts WK. Human-relevant levels of added sugar consumption  
349 increase female mortality and lower male fitness in mice.*Nat Commun*.2013;4:2245

350 40. Björndahl, L., Söderlund, I and Kvist U. (2003). Evaluation of the one-step eosin-  
351 nigrosin staining technique for human sperm vitality assessment. *Hum Reprod.*  
352 18(4):813–6.

353

354

355

356