

1 Original Research Article

2 **Multidrug Resistant *Salmonella* Isolated from Street**  
3 **Foods in Chittagong, Bangladesh**

4  
5 **ABSTRACT**  
6

**Aim:** The oodles raising of zoonotic multi-drug resistance (MDR) *Salmonella* spp. during the last decade, especially in developing countries by repeated challenges resulting from increased and indiscriminate use of antimicrobials in food animals, fish and crop production, and human treatments is one of the dismal issues and might have a dire consequence in near future. The nascent MDR salmonella may also find their way to commonly available street foods in Bangladesh. Therefore, it is imperative to find out the existence of MDR salmonella in street foods of Bangladesh.

**Study design:** We conducted a cross-sectional study to interrogate the prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in street food items and the antimicrobial resistance pattern of isolated *Salmonella* spp.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The study was conducted from January to June 2016 in 5 street side markets (Agrabad, Colnel Hat, Alonkar Bazar, Bohderhat Bazar and Riazuddin Bazar) of Chittagong City Corporation (CCC) area of Bangladesh.

**Methodology:** Standard microbiological methods were used for isolation and identification of *Salmonella* spp. selected street foods. The antibiotic susceptibility tests were conducted by using disc diffusion method with commercially available 11 anti-microbials which are frequently used for medical and veterinary practices in Bangladesh.

**Results:** Prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. were varied from 60% to 78% among the street food items. The study revealed MDR *Salmonella* (resistance to up to 6 of 11 tested antimicrobials) from each of the food items tested. Concerning the degree of resistance, among the isolated *salmonella*, the highest resistances (100%) were detected for Ampicillin and Amoxicillin and lowest for Pefloxacin (around 13%). Moreover, the degree of resistance of *salmonella* to antimicrobials also varied among the various street food items.

**Conclusion:** The existence of MDR *salmonella* notably a high rate in the street foods cues poor hygiene in street food production and it is a major threat for the advent of foodborne zoonoses.

**Keywords:** Antimicrobial, prevalence, resistance, street foods, *Salmonella* spp.

7  
8  
9  
10  
11 **1. INTRODUCTION**  
12

13 Street foods are defined as a variety of ready-to-eat foods and beverages prepared and sold by vendors  
14 in streets and other public places for immediate consumption [1]. Microorganisms contamination of street  
15 foods has become a major public health concern globally [2,3]. Foodborne diseases are among the most  
16 widespread global public health problems of recent times, and their implication for health and economy is  
17 being increasingly recognized [4,5]. Among these pathogens, *Salmonella* are considered the most  
18 prevalent foodborne pathogens worldwide and has long been recognized as an important zoonotic  
19 pathogen of economic significance in animals and humans, predominantly in the developing countries [6].  
20 The important route of transmission of *Salmonella* organism from animals to man is via food products of  
21 animal origin which may be contaminated at the source or during handling [7]. Infections through  
22 *Salmonella* throughout the world by food have increased [8]. Street foods in particular continue to be  
23 identified as leading food sources for human Salmonellosis [9]. Infections caused by eating foods  
24 contaminated with *Salmonella* spp. has important implication on public health worldwide [10]. The  
25 majority of human infections caused by *Salmonella* is related to the ingestion of contaminated foods such  
26 as poultry, beef, pork, egg, milk, cheese, seafood, fruit, juices and vegetables [11,12,13]. Worldwide  
27 *Salmonella* is a significant food and water-borne zoonotic pathogens [14]. In developing countries like  
28 Bangladesh antimicrobial resistance occur due to an increased and indiscriminate use of antibiotics in  
29 food animals, environments and human [6,15]. Throughout the previous era, multi-drug resistance of

30 *Salmonella* spp. has increased in excessive amount [16]. It is presumed that the extensive use of  
31 antibiotics, especially in livestock production, may have resulted in the increasing incidence of antibiotic  
32 resistance in food borne *Salmonella* spp. and other microorganisms [17]. Street foods in particular  
33 continue to be identified as leading food sources for human Salmonellosis [18]. It is not yet clear as to  
34 which route is most important for *Salmonella* to contaminate the foods, which may be contaminated  
35 with *Salmonella* by vertical transmission and/or horizontal transmission [19]. Very few studies were  
36 conducted on isolation and drug resistance in *Salmonella* spp. throughout the world from street foods. In  
37 Bangladesh, evaluation of microbiological prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility in common street  
38 foods is also negligible. This study, therefore, aimed to investigate prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in  
39 common street foods (Fuska, Sugarcane juice and Borhani) and antimicrobial resistance pattern of  
40 *Salmonella* isolates from these foods to commonly used antimicrobials in Bangladesh.

## 41 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

42 **2.1 Study Design and sampling area:** A cross-sectional study was conducted from January to June  
43 2016 in 5 street side markets (Agrabad, Colnel Hat, Alonkar Bazar, Bohderhat Bazar and Riazuddin  
44 Bazar) of Chittagong City Corporation (CCC) area of Bangladesh. These places are the hot spots of  
45 street food trading.

46 **2.2 Sample collection and preservation:** Among the various street foods, we considered only 3  
47 Bangladeshi traditional street food items: (i) *Fuska*, a fried food prepared mostly from flower, eggs and  
48 various spices; (ii) *Sugarcane juice*, a drink prepared from the trunk of mature sugarcane by pressure  
49 extraction and (iii) *Borhani*, a drink prepared from milk card with incorporation of rock salt and spices. A  
50 total of 143 samples of various street foods (Fuska surface water: 55, Sugarcane juice: 58 and Borhani:  
51 30) were collected from 5 aforementioned street markets. All the samples were collected in sterile vials  
52 containing 6 ml amines transport media (Oxoid) and transported to the Poultry Research and Training  
53 Center (PRTC) laboratory, Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (CVASU) using an  
54 insulated ice cool box.

55 **2.3 Salmonella isolation and identification procedures:** A previously described protocol [20] was used  
56 for this study for the isolation and identification of *Salmonella*. . Briefly, 1ml of food samples were  
57 transferred into 10 ml Mannitol Selenite Broth (Oxoid) and incubated at 37°C for 18 hours. After  
58 incubation, a loop full of broth was streaked on Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate medium and incubated at  
59 37°C for 24 hours. Colonies with black centers were considered presumptive *Salmonella* spp.  
60 Presumptive colonies were grown on blood agar and the *Salmonella* was confirmed based on cultural  
61 properties and biochemical tests (Urease: Negative, Oxidase: Negative and Catalase: Positive).

62 **2.4 Selection of antimicrobials for antimicrobial susceptibility testing:** In the present investigation,  
63 the *Salmonella* isolates were tested whether they are resistant or not to antimicrobials by using commonly  
64 used antimicrobial (Ampicillin, Amoxicillin, Ciprofloxacin, Enrofloxacin, Pefloxacin, Colistin Sulphate,  
65 Oxytetracycline, Tetracycline, Azithromycin, Erythromycin, Ceftriaxone) in Bangladesh.

66 **2.5 Anti-microbial Susceptibility Test:** An antimicrobial susceptibility test was done by disk diffusion  
67 method as described by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [21]. In this method, Mueller  
68 Hinton agar plates were as per instructions provided by the manufacturer. McFarland 0.5 turbidity  
69 standards were prepared as the standard guidelines described by the CLSI. After swabbing the pure  
70 salmonella suspension with cotton swab, selected antibiotic disks were placed on the surface of the plate  
71 at equidistance. The plates were then kept at 4°C for 1-2 hours for proper diffusion of antibiotics and  
72 incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. The zone of inhibition was observed for antibiotic sensitivity or resistant,  
73 and zone diameter was measured. The sizes of zones of inhibition were interpreted by referring to zone  
74 diameter interpretive standards from NCCLS 2000 [21] and the isolates were considered as sensitive,  
75 intermediately sensitive, or resistant to these tested antimicrobials according to the standard [21].

## 76 2.6 Data Analysis

77 Field and laboratory data were stored and then cleaned in the MS Excel-2007 program before exporting  
78 to STATA/IC-13 for analysis. Descriptive analysis was performed to know the frequency and distribution  
79 of *Salmonella* and antibiotic resistance pattern. Chi-square test was performed to compare the  
80 frequencies between groups.

83 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

84

85 **3.1 Realm of *Salmonella* in street foods:**

86 We first looked for the existence of *Salmonella* based on cultural properties and biochemical test among  
87 the collected food samples and expressed them in frequencies and percentages (Table1).

88

89

**Table 1. Prevalence of *Salmonella* in different samples and sampling sites**

Variables	Categories	Number of samples	Positive (%)	$\chi^2$ -value	P-value
Samples	Fuska surface water	55	40 (72.72)	3.057	0.216
	Sugarcane juice	58	45 (77.58)		
	Borhani	30	18 (60.00)		
Sampling sites	Agrabad	30	20 (66.67)	1.502	0.826
	Colonel Hat	35	24 (68.57)		
	Alonkar Bazar	25	20 (80.00)		
	Bohderhat Bazar	31	23 (74.19)		
	Riazuddin Bazar	22	16 (72.72)		

90

91 We found that, considering the categories of food item, the highest prevalence was found in sugar cane  
92 juice (77.58%) and lowest (60.00%) in borhani. Giving consideration to sites of sample collection, the  
93 prevalence was highest (80%) in Alonker Bazar and lowest (66.67%) in Agrabad. Neither types of food  
94 item nor the sites of sample collection were varied significantly ( $p>0.2$ ) in terms of prevalence of  
95 *salmonella*.

96 **3.2 Drug-resistance *salmonella*:**

97 We, investigated the *salmonella* positive samples, for the existence of drug resistance *salmonella* by  
98 antimicrobial susceptibility test and the outcomes are presented as each category of food items (Table 2).

99

100 **Table 2. Antimicrobial resistance pattern of *Salmonella* isolates from fuska surface water,  
101 Sugarcane juice and Borhani**

102

Antibiotics	Fuska surface water				Sugarcane juice				Borhani			
	N	R (%)	I (%)	S (%)	N	R (%)	I (%)	S (%)	N	R (%)	I (%)	S (%)
Ampicillin	40	100	0	0	45	100	0	0	18	100	0	0
Amoxicillin	40	100	0	0	45	100	0	0	18	100	0	0
Ciprofloxacin	40	27.5	42.5	30	45	60	28.89	11.11	18	11.11	5.55	83.33
Enrofloxacin	40	60	37.5	2.5	45	51.11	48.89	0	18	38.88	5.55	55.55
Pefloxacin	40	12.5	35	52.5	45	40	42.22	17.78	18	38.88	5.55	55.55
Colistin	40	57.5	7.5	35	45	91.11	0	8.89	18	33.33	50	16.66
Sulphate												
Oxytetracycline	40	62.5	17.5	20	45	86.67	13.33	0	18	100	0	0
Tetracycline	40	82.5	12.5	5	45	82.22	17.78	0	18	100	0	0
Azithromycin	40	95	5	0	45	84.44	15.55	0	18	100	0	0
Erythromycin	40	90	10	0	45	100	0	0	18	100	0	0
Ceftriaxone	40	70	30	0	45	62.22	26.67	11.11	18	0	0	100

103

104 N: Number of *salmonella* positive isolates in each categories of food; R: Resistance; I: Intermediate and  
105 S: Sensitive.

106 The *Salmonella* isolates were found to exhibit a certain degree of resistant to all of the anti-microbials  
107 tested. In general, the resistance was highest (100%) for Ampicillin and Amoxicillin followed by  
108 Azithromycin (95%), Erythromycin (90%) and lowest in Pefloxacin (around 13%), and none of ani-  
109 microbials were 100% sensitive to *Salmonella*.

110 Considering the data on sugarcane juice, the highest percentages of drug-resistance *Salmonella* (100%)

111 were detected to Ampicillin, Amoxicillin and Erythromycin followed by Colistin Sulphate (around 92%),  
112 Oxytetracycline (approximately 87%), and lowest in Pefloxacin (40%).

113 In a view to Borhani, the highest rate of antimicrobial resistant *salmonella* were found (100%) against  
114 Ampicillin, Amoxicillin, Oxytetracycline, Tetracycline, Azithromycin, and Erythromycin followed by  
115 Enrofloxacin and Pefloxacin (55.55%). The highest sensitive drugs against *Salmonella* isolates was  
116 Ceftriaxone (100%) followed by Ciprofloxacin (84%), Enrofloxacin and Pefloxacin (56%).

117  
118 Foods are important part of the human health [22]. Consuming un-hygienic street foods has been  
119 associated with negative health impacts. Street foods if improperly handled can be a source of food-borne  
120 diseases such as Salmonellosis [5]. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of *Salmonella*  
121 spp. in street foods along with the prevalence and pattern of antimicrobial resistance of isolated  
122 *Salmonella* spp. against commonly used antimicrobials in selected areas of Chittagong City Corporation,  
123 Bangladesh. The results of the present study indicated that, a considerable prevalence of *Salmonella* in  
124 selected street foods and similar finding was reported in Vietnam [23]. The prevalence levels of  
125 *Salmonella* infection caused by eating contaminated foods reported in United Kingdom, was from zero to  
126 7% [24,25] but scenario of prevalence in developing countries were much higher, this might be due to  
127 poor hygienic measurement in food production and processing. Salmonellosis can be controlled in foods  
128 of animal origin by several ways such as improved bio-security, vaccination, introduction of novel  
129 immune-potentiators etc. with limited use of antimicrobials [26]. An organism develops resistance against  
130 an antibiotic by repeated low dose exposure. Microorganisms that can be transmitted via foods might get  
131 exposure to low dose repeated antibiotic exposure from environmental contamination as most of the  
132 antimicrobials used in human and food-producing animal find their way to environment as final  
133 destination. The situation in developing countries like Bangladesh may be exaggerated by easy  
134 accessibility of antimicrobials at a cheaper price and their extensive use in food production system [27].  
135 Thus, there is widespread availability and uncontrolled use of antibiotics poses the antimicrobial  
136 resistance in food products, which is the actual threat of public health [16]. 100% resistant Ampicillin and  
137 Amoxicillin were found in the present study almost similar (87-100%) resistance that was reported earlier  
138 in Bangladesh [14,28,29]. Ampicillin and Amoxicillin antibiotics resistant might have been due to use as  
139 growth promoters. Cross antimicrobial resistance cannot be ignored as it is evident in many earlier  
140 studies and causes higher resistance to Ampicillin and Amoxicillin [15,30,31].

141  
142 The high resistance of Ampicillin and Amoxicillin is a great threat of public health. Resistance to  
143 Ciprofloxacin was recorded relatively higher proportions in present study. Ciprofloxacin is used for the  
144 treatment of Salmonellosis in humans [32,33]. Among Fluoroquinolones, resistance to Ciprofloxacin was  
145 found comparatively higher in the present study as compared to 35% resistance in USA [34] and 10.2-  
146 16.8% in Germany [35]. In present study higher resistant of Enrofloxacin were evident against the  
147 *Salmonella* isolates. In several investigations resistant to Enrofloxacin were found 14% [36] and 0.6-2%  
148 [37] in Australia that were comparatively lower than the current investigation. In the current study  
149 resistance to Pefloxacin was relatively lower. Similar type of result was found in Bangladesh in case of  
150 layer poultry *salmonella* isolates [12]. It is less used for the treatment of Salmonellosis in humans and  
151 animals [38] that might be a cause of less resistance. The resistance pattern of *Salmonella* to Colistin  
152 Sulphate was not high in the current study. Resistances to Colistin sulphate among street foods isolates  
153 are reported from Senegal [39] Mexico [40] and USA [26] were more or less similar to the current study  
154 result. Oxytetracycline and Tetracycline are most commonly used antibiotics in Bangladesh that might be  
155 the cause of higher resistant revealed in the present study and the results agreed with the earlier  
156 researchers of Bangladesh and India [28,41]. *Salmonella* was resistance to Azithromycin in the present  
157 study, similar result was found in several reports of Bangladesh. It could be due to frequent use of  
158 Azithromycin against different infectious diseases including Salmonellosis. High resistant to Enrofloxacin  
159 by *Salmonella* isolates was observed in this study and this result is consistent with many other previous  
160 studies in street foods in developing countries including Bangladesh. In the present study highest  
161 sensitive drugs against *Salmonella* isolates were found in Ceftriaxone similar result was found recently in  
162 Bangladesh [42,43]. It may be due to less exposure of this drug to the community.

163  
164 All the isolates were resistant to Ampicillin, Amoxicillin, Oxytetracycline, Tetracycline, Erythromycin, and  
165 Azithromycin. This study has also confirmed the prevalence of varying drug resistance pattern among the  
166 *Salmonella* isolates. This may be due to the presence of more than one serovar of *Salmonella* in the

167 various food items. A higher proportion of antibiotic resistance in *Salmonella enteritidis* has been reported  
168 from southern Brazil [44]. Increasing antibiotic resistance can limit the therapeutic options available to  
169 physicians for clinical cases that require antibiotic treatment. There is a need to find strategies to minimize  
170 the risk of spreading antimicrobial resistance among animal and human populations.

171  
172

#### 173 **4. CONCLUSION**

174

175 *Salmonella* is a well-known food borne threat in a tropical country like Bangladesh. The current study  
176 revealed a relatively greater prevalence of *salmonella* among the street foods. Moreover, the *Salmonella*  
177 isolates from most of the food samples were multidrug resistant. The findings of the current study suggest  
178 that food born drug-resistant *Salmonella* is one of the major concerning issues in Bangladesh. The poor  
179 sanitation and handling of sewage could be a source of contamination. The excess utilization of  
180 antibiotics in the veterinary, human and fish practice might be the cause of increased resistance to  
181 different antibiotics. The valuable information of these research findings might be useful for awareness  
182 buildup among the common people, consumers and street food trader. Strict hygienic measures like-  
183 efficient hand cleaning, cleaning of food contact surfaces and utensils might reduce *salmonella*  
184 contamination to those street foods. In the view of drug-resistant *Salmonella*, obviously, it is not possible  
185 to stop the use of antibiotics, but a rational use may minimize the risk.

186  
187

#### 188 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

189 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

190

#### 191 **ETHICAL APPROVAL**

192

193 Written consent from the salesmen of mentioned products were taken before sample collection. No  
194 animal or human experiments were involved here.

195

#### 196 **REFERENCES**

197

- 198 1. Rane S. Street vended food in developing world: hazard analyses. Indian J microbiol. 2011;  
199 51:100-6.
- 200 2. Muleta D, Ashenafi M. *Salmonella*, *Shigella* and growth potential of other food-borne pathogens in  
201 Ethiopian street vended foods. East Afr Med J. 2001; 78:576-80.
- 202 3. Omemu A, Aderoju S. Food safety knowledge and practices of street food vendors in the city of  
203 Abeokuta, Nigeria. Food Control. 2008; 19:396-402.
- 204 4. De Buyser M-L, Dufour B, Maire M, Lafarge V. Implication of milk and milk products in food-borne  
205 diseases in France and in different industrialised countries. Int J Food Microbiol. 2001; 67:1-17.
- 206 5. Newell DG, Koopmans M, Verhoef L, Duizer E, Aidara-Kane A, Sprong H, et al. Food-borne  
207 diseases the challenges of 20 years ago still persist while new ones continue to emerge. Int J  
208 Food Microbiol. 2010; 139:13-15.
- 209 6. Faruq AA, Hassan MM, Uddin MM, Rahman ML, Rakib TM, Alam M, et al. Prevalence and  
210 multidrug resistance pattern of *Salmonella* isolated from resident wild birds of Bangladesh. Int J  
211 One Health. 2016; 2:35-41.
- 212 7. Forshell LP, Wierup M. *Salmonella* contamination: a significant challenge to the global marketing  
213 of animal food products. Rev sci tech Off int Epiz. 2006; 25:541-54.
- 214 8. Lues JF, Rasephei MR, Venter P, Theron MM. Assessing food safety and associated food  
215 handling practices in street food vending. Int J Environ Health Res. 2006; 16:319-28.
- 216 9. Choudhury M, Mahanta L, Goswami J, Mazumder M, Pegoo B. Socio-economic profile and food  
217 safety knowledge and practice of street food vendors in the city of Guwahati, Assam, India. Food  
218 Control. 2011; 22:196-203.

- 219 10. Tunung R, Chai L, Usha M, Lee H, Fatimah A, Farinazleen M, et al. Characterization of  
220 *Salmonella* enterica isolated from street food and clinical samples in Malaysia. ASEAN Food J.  
221 2007; 14:161.
- 222 11. Sattar S, Hassan MM, Faruq AA, Alam M, Al Faruk MS, Chowdhury S, et al. Antibiotic residues in  
223 broiler and layer meat in Chittagong district of Bangladesh. Vet World. 2014; 7:467-471.
- 224 12. Hassan MM, Amin KB, Ahaduzzaman M, Alam M, Faruk M, Uddin I. Antimicrobial resistance  
225 pattern against *E. coli* and *Salmonella* in layer poultry. Res J Vet Pract. 2014; 2:30-35.
- 226 13. Hassan M, Ahaduzzaman M, Alam M, Bari MS, Amin K, Faruq AA. Antimicrobial resistance  
227 pattern against *E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp. in environmental effluents. Int J of Natu Sci. 2016;  
228 5:52-8.
- 229 14. Chowdhury S, Hassan MM, Alam M, Sattar S, Bari MS, Saifuddin A, et al. Antibiotic residues in  
230 milk and eggs of commercial and local farms at Chittagong, Bangladesh. Vet World. 2015; 8:467.
- 231 15. Islam A, Saifuddin A, Faruq AA, Islam S, Shano S, Alam M, et al. Antimicrobial residues in tissues  
232 and eggs of laying hens at Chittagong, Bangladesh. Int J One Health. 2016;2:75-80.
- 233 16. Mahmud T, Hassan MM, Alam M, Khan MM, Bari MS, Islam A. Prevalence and multidrug-resistant  
234 pattern of *Salmonella* from the eggs and egg-storing trays of retail markets of Bangladesh. Int J  
235 One Health. 2016;2: 7-11.
- 236 17. Islam A, Nath AD, Islam S, Chakma S, Faruq AA, Hassan MM, et al. Isolation, identification and  
237 antimicrobial resistance profile of *Staphylococcus aureus* in Cockroaches (*Periplaneta*  
238 *americana*). J Adv Vet Animal Res. 2016;3: 221-228.
- 239 18. Mead G, Lammerding AM, Cox N, Doyle MP, Humbert F, Kulikovskiy A, et al. Scientific and  
240 technical factors affecting the setting of Salmonella criteria for raw poultry: a global perspective. J  
241 Food Prot. 2010; 73:1566-90.
- 242 19. Gantois I, Ducatelle R, Pasmans F, Haesebrouck F, Gast R, Humphrey TJ, et al. Mechanisms of  
243 egg contamination by *Salmonella* Enteritidis. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2009; 33:718-38.
- 244 20. Hoque M, Burgess G, Greenhil A, Hedlefs R, Skerratt L. Causes of morbidity and mortality of wild  
245 aquatic birds at Billabong Sanctuary, Townsville, North Queensland, Australia. Avian Dis. 2012;  
246 56:249-56.
- 247 21. Wikler MA. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: Seventeenth  
248 informational supplement: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2013;33(1):15-180.
- 249 22. Magnusson MK, Arvola A, Hursti U-KK, Åberg L, Sjödn P-O. Choice of organic foods is related to  
250 perceived consequences for human health and to environmentally friendly behaviour. Appetite.  
251 2003; 40:109-17.
- 252 23. Van TTH, Moutafis G, Istivan T, Tran LT, Coloe PJ. Detection of *Salmonella* spp. in retail raw food  
253 samples from Vietnam and characterization of their antibiotic resistance. Appl Environ Microbiol.  
254 2007; 73:6885-90.
- 255 24. Humphrey T. Contamination of egg shell and contents with *Salmonella* enteritidis: a review. Int J  
256 Food Microbiol. 1994; 21: 31-40.
- 257 25. Evans MR, Lane W, Ribeiro CD. *Salmonella* enteritidis PT6: another egg-associated salmonellosis.  
258 Emerg inf dis. 1998; 4: 667.
- 259 26. Zhao S, White D, Friedman S, Glenn A, Blickenstaff K, Ayers S, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in  
260 *Salmonella* enterica serovar Heidelberg isolates from retail meats, including poultry, from 2002 to  
261 2006. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2008; 74:6656-62.
- 262 27. Prakash B, Krishnappa G, Muniyappa L, Kumar BS. Epidemiological characterization of avian  
263 *Salmonella* enterica serovar infections in India. Int J Poult Sci. 2005; 4:388-95.
- 264 28. Suresh T, Hatha A, Sreenivasan D, Sangeetha N, Lashmanaperumalsamy P. Prevalence and  
265 antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* enteritidis and other *salmonella* in the eggs and egg-storing  
266 trays from retails markets of Coimbatore, South India. Food Microbiol. 2006; 23:294-9.
- 267 29. Begum K, Reza TA, Haque M, Hossain A, Hassan FK, Hasan SN, et al. Isolation, identification  
268 and antibiotic resistance pattern of *Salmonella* spp. from chicken eggs, intestines and  
269 environmental samples. Bangladesh Pharm J. 2010; 13:23-7.
- 270 30. Rowe B, Ward L, Threlfall E, Wallace M, Yousif A. Spread of multiresistant *Salmonella* typhl. The  
271 Lancet. 1990; 336: 1065-66.
- 272 31. Gupta V, Ray P, Sharma M. Antimicrobial resistance pattern of *Shigella* & non-typhi *Salmonella*  
273 isolated from patients with diarrhoea. Indian J Med Res. 1999; 109: 43.

- 274 32. Brown N, Millar M, Frost J, Rowe B. Ciprofloxacin resistance in *Salmonella* paratyphi A. J  
275 Antimicrob Chemother. 1994; 33: 1258-1259.
- 276 33. Griggs D, Hall M, Jin Y, Piddock L. Quinolone resistance in veterinary isolates of *Salmonella*. J  
277 Antimicrob Chemother. 1994; 33: 1173-1189.
- 278 34. Cai H, Lu L, Muckle C, Prescott J, Chen S. Development of a novel protein microarray method for  
279 serotyping *Salmonella* enterica strains. J Clin Microbiol. 2005; 43:3427-30.
- 280 35. Heisig P, Kratz B, Halle E, Gräser Y, Altwegg M, Rabsch W, et al. Identification of DNA gyrase A  
281 mutations in ciprofloxacin-resistant isolates of *Salmonella* typhimurium from men and cattle in  
282 Germany. Microb Drug Resist. 1995; 1:211-8.
- 283 36. EFSA E. The European Union summary report on antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and  
284 indicator bacteria from humans, animals and food in 2010. EFSA J. 2016; 10:2598.
- 285 37. Cheng AC, Turnidge J, Collignon P, Looke D, Barton M, Gottlieb T. Control of fluoroquinolone  
286 resistance through successful regulation, Australia. Emerg Infect Dis. 2012; 18:1453.
- 287 38. Weill F-X, Lailier R, Praud K, Kérouanton A, Fabre L, Brisabois A, et al. Emergence of extended-  
288 spectrum- $\beta$ -lactamase (CTX-M-9)-producing multiresistant strains of *Salmonella* enterica serotype  
289 Virchow in poultry and humans in France. J Clin Microbiol. 2004; 42:5767-73.
- 290 39. Bada-Alambéji R, Fofana A, Seydi M, Akakpo AJ. Antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* isolated  
291 from poultry carcasses in Dakar (Senegal). Braz J Microbiol. 2006; 37:510-5.
- 292 40. Zaidi MB, McDermott PF, Fedorka-Cray P, Leon V, Canche C, Hubert SK, et al. Nontyphoidal  
293 *Salmonella* from human clinical cases, asymptomatic children, and raw retail meats in Yucatan,  
294 Mexico. Clin Infect Dis. 2006; 42:21-8.
- 295 41. Akter M, Choudhury K, Rahman M, Islam M. Seroprevalence of salmonellosis in layer chickens  
296 with isolation, identification and antibiogram study of their causal agents. Bangladesh J Vet Med.  
297 2007; 5:39-42.
- 298 42. Asna S, Haq JA, Rahman MM. Nalidixic acid-resistant *Salmonella* enterica serovar Typhi with  
299 decreased susceptibility to ciprofloxacin caused treatment failure: a report from Bangladesh. Jpn J  
300 Infect Dis. 2003; 56:32-3.
- 301 43. Mahbubur R, Shoma S, Rashid H, El Arifeen S, Baqui A, Siddique A, et al. Increasing spectrum in  
302 antimicrobial resistance of *Shigella* isolates in Bangladesh: resistance to azithromycin and  
303 ceftriaxone and decreased susceptibility to ciprofloxacin. J Health Popul Nutr. 2007; 25:158.
- 304 44. de Oliveira SID, Flores FS, dos Santos LR, Brandelli A. Antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella*  
305 enteritidis strains isolated from broiler carcasses, food, human and poultry-related samples. Int J  
306 Food Microbiol. 2005; 97:297-305.