3

# **Evaluation of In Vitro Nematicidal Efficiency of Copper**

# Nanoparticles Against Root-Knot Nematode *Meloidogyne*

#### incognita 5

- Elwy A. Mohamed\*1; Sherif F. Elsharabasy1; Doaa Abdulsamad2 6
- (1) Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt. 7
- (2) October University for Modern Sciences and Technology 8

9

10

# **ABSTRACT**

12 Root-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne spp.) are considered among the most deteriorating soilbu3n parasites that can significantly affect many plants. Such nematodes are developing a resistance against nematicides used currently to control them, so that continuing usage of these nematicides faces a challenge in terms of resisting them besides their environmental consequence; thus 16 he need for new alternatives aroused. This paper evaluated the In vitro nematicidal efficiency of coppler nanoparticles (CuNPs) against root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne incognita. In this study, CuNPs were prepared according to the chemical reduction method; physicochemical characterization of CMNPs was done using UV-Vis spectroscopy, Dynamic Light Scattering and Transmission Electron Micr**26**copy. When second stage juveniles (J2) of *M. incognita* were incubated in soil saturated with CuNPs (spherical shape; 100 nm diameter) for 3 days, it was found that J2 mortality is directly propartional to the concentration of CuNPs and 0.2 q/L was sufficient to cause 100% mortality. Statical analysis showed that all mortalities caused by treatment with CuNPs at different concentrations were statistically significant compared with non-treated control. Conclusively, this pap@15 may provide a potential alternative nematicide against root-knot nematode Meloidogyne incogoita. Further In vivo and toxicological research on CuNPs should be conducted in order to asses the possible applicability of such nanoparticles as a nematicide.

Key Mords: Meloidogyne incognita; Nematicide; Copper Nanoparticles; Nematicide alternative.

29

\*Tel.: +02-01028197494

1. INTRODUCTION

Meloidogyne spp. was first reported in cassava (Manihot esculenta) by Neal in 1889 [1]; Since

then 20 ot-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne spp.) are considered among the most deteriorating soilborn

parasites that can significantly affect many field crops, trees and turfgrass [2]. Nematodes are

characterized with a broad host range of greater than 3,000 plant species [3]. Furthermore, it was

reposted that around 5% of the world crop production was lost annually due to infection with

Melaidogyne species [4] and the losses can reach up to 64% of the yield [5-7].

NEgative effects of nematode infections are not limited to decreased productivity of the

econamical crops, since it can also affect the playability and aesthetic quality of golf courses [8].

Breloidogyne species encompass 98 species, among them M. incognita, M. javanica, M. hapla,

and 400. arenaria are considered the most common [9].

After banning Nemacur in 2008 due to environmental concerns, there is a dire need for developing

new42 efficient alternatives to control such plant-parasitic nematodes. In this respect, the narrow

ranges effectiveness characterizing biological control agents limits its applicability. For example, the

bacterial parasite, Pasteuria sp. can control sting nematodes (Belonolaimus longicaudatus) [10];

however, it cannot affect the other species of plant-parasitic nematodes such as root-knot nematodes

(Meladogyne spp.).

4Manotechnology is considered a promising and effective mean for controlling root-knot

nemalode, wherein some papers reported the nematicidal effect of silver nanoparticles (AqNPs) 11,

12], 490ld nanoparticles [26] and silicon carbide nanoparticles [24] against root-knot nematodes. By

virtus of the well-established namticidal effect of AqNPs, AqNPs were proposed [13] as a potential

alternative nematicide.

52 this regard, many papers have established a robust emphasis on the antimicrobial effect of

CuNF3s [14-16]; thus, in this paper, we evaluate the In vitro nematicidal efficiency of CuNPs against J2

M. ifalognita as another potential alternative for controlling such parasite.

55

2. M9ATERIALS AND METHODS

A- Chemicals

All chemicals used were analytical grade of purity and were used without further purification.

\*Tel.: +02-01028197494

L-as59rbic acid (Future Modern Co., Egypt.); Cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) (Sigma-

Aldricon, Egypt.); copper sulfate pentahydrate (Elnasr Pharmacuticals Co., Egypt) were used to

prepate CuNPs.

62

B- Methods

Prepoatration of CuNPs

**65NPs** were prepared according to the chemical reduction method [17]. In this method, L-

ascomotic acid was used as a reducing agent, in the presence of CTAB as a cationic surfactant, to

reduce copper cations provided from copper sulfate pentahydrate into copper atoms, which were

aggi@gated and developed into copper nanoparticles, with their characteristic reddish brown color, at

pH 69 6.8 and temperature of 85°C. CuNPs were centrifugally (4000 rpm) collected for further

characterization and application.

Characterization of CuNPs

7the characteristic surface plasmon resonance of the synthesized CuNPs was detected using UV-

Vis Spectrophotometer (ORION AQUAMATE 8000). Also, particles size distribution by number of

CuNPs was detected using Dynamic light scattering (DLS) (Zetasizer nano series (Nano ZS),

Malværn, UK). Moreover, the shape of the CuNPs was detected through Transmission Electron

Micro6copy (Tecnai G20, Super twin, double tilt, FEI, Netherland).

In vitto application of CuNPs

7800 cm<sup>3</sup> jars were filled with soil composed of 1:1 beet moss and sand. Water saturation level of

300 73 no soil was determined to be 100 ml. each filled jar was inoculated with 1,000 larva second

stag&0juveniles (J2) and homogenized well. Then, each jar was saturated with 100 ml of copper

nan@darticles solution at different concentrations, (0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.08, 0.1 and 0.2 g/L). Soil jars

satu82ted with water were used as a control. All jars were incubated at room temperature for 3 days.

Afte& the mentioned exposure time, nematodes were extracted, counted and mortality was calculated

acc&ding to equation (1).

(1)

86


Stat&stical analysis

SHRSS 22 software (Chi Square Method) was used at  $P \le 0.05$  to distinguish between the nembericidal efficacies. Each treatment was conducted in triplicate, and the whole experiment was repercently twice [18].

## 3- RESULTS

# Physicochemical Characterization of CuNPs


Stuccessful synthesis of CuNPs was confirmed through exhibiting their characteristic surface plastrum resonance peak which was detected using UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (ORION AQUAMATE 800@5at wavelength of 572 nm [27], as shown in Figure (1).



96

97 Figure (1): characteristic surface plasmon resonance peak of CuNPs at 572 nm.

98 Also, Dynamic Light Scattering revealed that the average size of the synthesized CuNPs was abound 100 nm; as shown in Figure (2).




100

Figu**10**(2): Particle size distribution by number of CuNPs, showing the average particle size of about 100 **1002**.

In 10 addition, Transmission Electron Microscopy revealed that the synthesized CuNPs have spheroval shape, as shown in Figure (3).

\*Tel.: +02-01028197494



105

Figulre6(3): Transmission Electron Micrograph of the synthesized CuNPs showing the spherical shape

of the particles.

108

### Evaluation of the nematicidal effect of CuNPs

Statistical analysis showed that all concentrations of CuNPs exhibited significant inhibitions on the J2 M11 Incogneta. It was shown that CuNPs have a linear nematicidal effect against J2 M. incognita, i.e. the 2 higher the concentration of CuNPs, the higher the mortality of nematodes. The concentration of 01213g/L was sufficient to completely inactivate all nematodes. Viable nematodes are circular or curve 144 while dead nematodes are straight, as shown in Figure (4).




115

Fig16e (4): the shape of viable vs. dead nematodes under compound microscope.

Containtration-dependent mortality of *M. incognita* caused by CuNPs can be shown in Figure (5).

118

\*Tel.: +02-01028197494



120 Figure (5): a graph shows the direct proportionality between CuNPs concentration and J2 mortality.

122

119

#### 4- DISCUSSION

124This study has emphasized on the potential *In vitro* nematicidal effect exhibited by CuNPs againate through the second stage juveniles (J2) of root-knot nematodes, *M. incognita*; this was demonstrated through the significant increase of J2 mortality at various concentrations of CuNPs compared with non-thated control.

128Recently, the effect of silicon carbide nanoparticles on hatching and survival of *M. incogneta* was 129 estigated [24]. In that study, it was found that silicon carbide nanoparticles neither affect hatchaoility of larvae nor survival of second stage juveniles (J2) of *M. incogneta*. Which urge the need for assessing the nematicidal effect of more toxic nanoparticles against such tolerant nematodes. In this 129 ard, CuNPs may offer that alternative due to their potential nematicidal effect against *M. incogneta*, as shown from the present study.

134so, among different types of nanoparticles, the nematicidal effect of AgNPs has extensively studies but, from this investigation, it is noteworthy that CuNPs could exhibit a significantly higher nematicidal effect than AgNPs at the same concentration against J2 of root-knot nematodes, *M. incommeta*. In this regard, it was reported that 200 ppm of AgNPs could cause a mortality of 52% at the that day of direct exposure in water [19]. On the other hand, CuNPs at the same concentration

\*Tel.: +02-01028197494

could 38 chieve a mortality of 100% after 3 days of indirect exposure in soil. This may due to the prof 0.40 dtoxicological effect of copper nanoparticles in DNA damage, this in contrast to the more mild effect 4 of AgNPs, which depended mainly on disturbance of many cellular mechanisms such as synth 42 is of ATP, permeability of the cellular membrane and response to the oxidative stresses in prok 44 otes [20, 21] and eukaryotes [12, 22].

14th addition, it was reported [23] that the highest percentage of mortality achieved after 3 days of direct45xposure of second stage juveniles (J2) to AgNPs was 95%; while higher mortality percentage (1001/46) was attained using CuNPs, despite the indirect exposure, which reflect the superior nematicidal efficiency of CuNPs over both silicon carbide nanoparticles and AgNPs.

148 In Intermore, the non-specific nematicidal effect of copper nanoparticles provided a relative advarage over the microbial agents of bio-control, which are limited with their relatively high specific host 1500 ge among different nematode species.

But \$50ne concerns may arise due to the emphasized toxicity of CuNPs [25]. In this regard, our paper just 1552nfirms the nematicidal effect of copper nanoparticles, this effect can be exploited to control nematodes infecting, for example, ornamental plants in pots or turfgrass, but not to control nematodes infecting, for example, edible crops; so as not to harm the human or environment. Otherwise, further reseason should be conducted to minimize such toxic effect of CuNPs through, for example, masking CuNPs6or loading them on non-toxic matrix such that increase its targeting to nematodes only.

157

## 5- CONCLUSION

150 sum up all, it can be concluded that CuNPs may provide an alternative nematicide against the root 160 t nematodes, *M. incogneta*. But, further research should be conducted in order to investigate the 460 ironmental consequences of CuNPs, hence determining the optimum doses and methods that can 162 applied in field without considerable hazards.

163

## 6- REFERENCES

[1] Neal, J. C. (1889). The root-knot disease of the peach, orange and other plants in Florida, due to the work of Anguillula. *Bulletin US Division of Entomology*. Volume No.20.

\*Tel.: +02-01028197494

168	[2] Gill, H.K.& Mcsorley, R. (2011) Cover Crops for Managing Root-Knot
169	Nematodes. University of Florida, IFAS Extension, ENY-063(July), 1–6.
170	[3] Reddy, D. D. R. (1985). Analysis of crop losses in tomato due to Meloidogyne
171	incognita. Indian Journal of Nematology, 15(1), 55-59.
172	[4] Karajeh, M. (2008). Interaction of root-knot nematode (Meloidogyne javanica)
173	and tomato as affected by hydrogen peroxide. Journal of Plant Protection
174	Research, 48(2), 181-187.
175	[5] Roberts, P. A., Matthews, W. C., & Ehlers, J. D. (2005). Root-knot nematode
176	resistant cowpea cover crops in tomato production systems. Agronomy
177	Journal, 97(6), 1626-1635.
178	[6] Sikora, R. A., Schäfer, K., & Dababat, A. A. (2007). Modes of action associated
179	with microbially induced in planta suppression of plant-parasitic
180	nematodes. Australasian Plant Pathology, 36(2), 124-134.
181	[7] Balbaa E.M.E. (2010). Biological and environmental studies on root-knot
182	nematode affecting Leguminosae [M.Sc. Thesis]. Egypt: Faculty of Agriculture,
183	Alexandria University; p. 50.
184	[8] Crow, W. T. (2005). Plant-parasitic nematodes on golf course turf. Outlooks on
185	Pest Management, 16(1), 10-15.
186	[9] Jones, J. T., Haegeman, A., Danchin, E. G., Gaur, H. S., Helder, J., Jones, M.
187	G., Kikuchi, T., Manzanilla-López, R., Palomares-Rius, J.E., Wesemael, W.M., &
188	Perry, R. N. (2013). Top 10 plant-parasitic nematodes in molecular plant
189	pathology. Molecular Plant Pathology, 14(9), 946-961.
190	[10] Luc, J. E., Pang, W., Crow, W. T., & Giblin-Davis, R. M. (2010). Effects of
191	formulation and host nematode density on the ability of in vitro-produced
192	Pasteuria endospores to control its host Belonolaimus longicaudatus. Journal of
193	Nematology, 42(2), 87.
194	[11] Roh, J. Y., Sim, S. J., Yi, J., Park, K., Chung, K. H., Ryu, D. Y., & Choi, J. (2009).
195	Ecotoxicity of silver nanoparticles on the soil nematode, Caenorhabditis elegans
196	using functional ecotoxicogenomics. Environmental Science &
197	Technology, 43(10), 3933-3940.

\*Tel.: +02-01028197494

198	[12] Lim, D., Roh, J. Y., Eom, H. J., Choi, J. Y., Hyun, J., & Choi, J. (2012). Oxidative
199	stress-related PMK-1 P38 MAPK activation as a mechanism for toxicity of silver
200	nanoparticles to reproduction in the nematode, Caenorhabditis
201	elegans. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 31(3), 585-592.
202	[13] Cromwell, W. A., Yang, J., Starr, J. L., & Jo, Y. K. (2014). Nematicidal effects of
203	silver nanoparticles on root-knot nematode in bermudagrass. Journal of
204	Nematology, 46(3), 261.
205	[14] Karthik, A. D., & Geetha, K. (2013). Synthesis of copper precursor, copper and
206	its oxide nanoparticles by green chemical reduction method and its antimicrobial
207	activity. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science, 3(5), 16.
208	[15] Betancourt-Galindo, R., Reyes-Rodriguez, P. Y., Puente-Urbina, B. A., Avila-
209	Orta, C. A., Rodríguez-Fernández, O. S., Cadenas-Pliego, G., Lira-Saldivar, R.H.
210	& García-Cerda, L. A. (2014). Synthesis of copper nanoparticles by thermal
211	decomposition and their antimicrobial properties. Journal of
212	Nanomaterials, 2013, 1-5.
213	[16] Viet, P. V., Nguyen, H. T., Cao, T. M., & Hieu, L. V. (2016). Fusarium antifungal
214	activities of copper nanoparticles synthesized by a chemical reduction
215	method. Journal of Nanomaterials, 2016, 1-7.
216	[17] Biçer, M., & Şişman, İ. (2010). Controlled synthesis of copper
217	nano/microstructures using ascorbic acid in aqueous CTAB solution. Powder
218	Technology, 198(2), 279-284.
219	[18] McDonald, J.H. (2008). Handbook of Biological Statistics. Sparky House
220	Publishing, Baltimore.
221	[19] Taha, Entsar H. (2016). Nematicidal Effects of Silver Nanoparticles on Root-knot
222	Nematodes (Meloidogyne incognita) in Laboratory and Screenhouse. Journal of
223	Plant Protection and Pathology, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 7 (5): 333 – 337.
224	[20] Lok, C. N., Ho, C. M., Chen, R., He, Q. Y., Yu, W. Y., Sun, H., Tam, P. K., Chiu,
225	J. F., & Che, C. M. (2006). Proteomic analysis of the mode of antibacterial action
226	of silver nanoparticles. Journal of Proteome Research, 5(4):916–924.

227	[21] Choi, O., & Hu, Z. (2008). Size dependent and reactive oxygen species related
228	nanosilver toxicity to nitrifying bacteria. Environmental Science &
229	Technology, 42(12), 4583-4588.
230	[22] Ahamed, M., Posgai, R., Gorey, T. J., Nielsen, M., Hussain, S. M., & Rowe, J. J.
231	(2010). Silver nanoparticles induced heat shock protein 70, oxidative stress and
232	apoptosis in Drosophila melanogaster. Toxicology and Applied
233	Pharmacology, 242(3), 263-269.
234	[23] Hassan, M. E., Zawam, H. S., El-Nahas, S. E., & Desoukey, A. F. (2016).
235	Comparison study between silver nanoparticles and two nematicides against
236	Meloidogyne incognita on tomato seedlings. Plant Pathology Journal, 15(4), 144-
237	<mark>151.</mark>
238	[24] Al Banna, L., Salem, N., Ghrair, A., & Habash, S. (2018). Impact of Silicon
239	Carbide Nanoparticles on Hatching and Survival of Soil Nematodes
240	Caenorhabditis Elegans and Meloidogyne Incognita. Applied Ecology and
241	Environmental Research, 16(3), 2651-2662.
242	[25] Karlsson, H. L., Cronholm, P., Gustafsson, J., & Moller, L. (2008). Copper oxide
243	nanoparticles are highly toxic: a comparison between metal oxide nanoparticles
244	and carbon nanotubes. Chemical research in toxicology, 21(9), 1726-1732.
245	[26] Thakur, R. K., Shirkot, P., & Dhirta, B. (2018). Studies on effect of gold
246	nanoparticles on Meloidogyne incognita and tomato plants growth and
247	development. bioRxiv, 428144.
248	[27] Kaminskienė, Ž., Prosyčevas, I., Stonkutė, J., & Guobienė, A. (2013). Evaluation
249	of Optical Properties of Ag, Cu, and Co Nanoparticles Synthesized in Organic
250	Medium. Acta Physica Polonica, A., 123(1).
251	
252	
253	
254	
255	
256	

\*Tel.: +02-01028197494

\*Tel.: +02-01028197494