1

2

3

IN VITRO ANTIBACTERIAL EFFICACY OF Bryophyllum pinnatum leaf EXTRACTS

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

ABSTRACT

- A study on the antibacterial activities of Bryophylum pinnatum against multidrug resistant bacterial pathogens was carried out in this research. Air-dried and powered Bryophylum pinnatum leaves was extracted using ethanol and aqueous solvents. Five bacteria strains including Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa were obtained from the University of Benin Teaching Hospital and they were preliminarily identified using standard microbiological methods. Antibacterial activity was carried out using agar well diffusion method. Mean zone diameter of inhibition in aqueous extract ranged from 9.20±0.17-10.50±0.50 mm and 9.30±0.33- 10.33±0.89 mm against Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus respectively at 25-100 mg/ml. In the ethanol extract, mean zone of inhibition ranged from 9.50±0.28-13.33±0.88 mm and 10.67±0.67-19.00±0.58 mm at concentration range of 6.25-100 mg/ml. Minimum inhibitory concentrations of ethanol extract ranged from 6.25-100 mg/ml against bacterial strains. While those of aqueous extract ranged from 25-100 mg/ml against bacterial isolates. Minimum bactericidal/fungicidal concentrations of ethanol extract ranged from 25-50 mg/ml. While in the aqueous extract, value was 50 mg/ml and against bacteria. The test bacterial pathogens were found to possess multiple drug resistance potential with multidrug resistance index ranging from 0.3 - 0.5. This study has shown that multidrug resistant clinical bacterial pathogens are sensitive to aqueous extract of Bryophyllum pinatum
- 24 Keywords
- 25 Antibacterial, antibiotics, inhibition, resistance, pathogen, bacteria.

INTRODUCTION

- 27 Bryophyllum pinnatum (calcynium) is a medicinal plant belonging to the crassulaceae family. It
- has gained extensive recognition for its medicinal properties. It is frequently known as air plant,
- love plant, miracle leaf, life plant, Zakham-e-hyat, panfutti and Ghayamari, canterbutury bells,
- 30 parnabija etc. It is conventionally used as an herbal remedy in approximately all parts of the
- world (Gupta et al., 2010). This plant widely grows in hot and humid areas, around the dwelling

place, along road sides and herbal garden and field. Bryophyllum pinnatum plant is widely used 32

in folk medicine and it is easily found in places such as, India, Tropical Africa, Madagascar. 33

China, Australia, Pakistan, Hawaii and Tropical America (Ojewole, 2005). (Okwu, 2006. The 34

active ingredients of most of the commonly used conventional drugs were originally derived

from plant part before their pharmaceutical mass production from synthetic chemical (Sofowara, 36

37 1993). The plant is has been used as an herbal remedy to treat infections by many people in

different parts of the world including many African countries (Gupta et al., 2010). 38

39 Bryophyllum pinnatum contain appreciable amount of bioactive compounds. Medicinally, the

presence of phytochemicals explains the role of this plant leaves in ethnomedicine in Nigeria 40 41

(Nwali et al., 2012). Phytochemical screenings of Bryophyllum pinnatum have yielded alkaloids,

triterpenes, glycosides, flavonoids, steroids, butadienolides, lipids, and organic acids, Phenol and 42 tannins, free amino acid and terpenoids. Arachidic acid, astragalin, behenic acid, beta amyrin, 43

benzenoids, bersaldegenin, beta-sitsterol, bryophollenone, bryophollone, bryophyllin, caffeic

44 acid, ferulic acid, quercetin, steroids and taraxerol. Despite the progress made in the 45

development of drugs and antimicrobial agents, occurrence of drug resistant microbes and the 46

emergence of unknown disease causing microbes pose an enormous public health concern (Iwu,

47 1999). This fact has forced scientists to search for new antibiotics/antimicrobial compounds from 48

various sources (Mann et al., 2007) such as the medicinal plants to replace those that have 49

become inactive. Traditional medicine uses numerous plants parts for the treatment of respiratory 50

51 diseases among which is this plant, Bryophyllum pinnatum (Okwu, 2005).

The plant has been found to possess antibacterial activity against several bacterial pathogens 52

including Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Different 53

54 solvents such as aqueous, ethanol, methanol and n-hexane have been used for extraction and the

respective extracts have shown varying degree of antibacterial actions against selected pathogens 55

(Mudi and Ibrahim, 2008). Irrespective of the researches so far, adequate information on the 56

antibacterial activity of the plant extract is very important. Therefore this study was designed to 57

investigate the antibacterial potency of leaf extract of Bryophylum pinnatum against multidrug 58

resistant bacterial pathogens. 59

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials

60

61

66

35

- Bryophylum pinnatum leaves were obtained from Adolor Street in Benin City and identified at 62
- the Herbarium, Department of Plant Biology and Biotechnology, University of Benin, Benin 63
- City, Edo State. The leaves were air-dried, macerated using sterilized laboratory blender. The 64
- powdered plant material was kept in a sterile bottle container until required. 65

Preparation of Crude Extracts

- Fifty grams (50 g) of the grinded Bryophylum pinnatum leaves was soaked in 250 ml each of 67
- distilled water and ethanol (95%) for 48 hr with shaking. The extract was filtered through a sieve 68
- with pore size of about 250µm to remove debris. The filtrate was then filtered through membrane 69
- filter paper. The final filtrate was evaporated in a water bath at 40°C to get the crude extract. 70
- During evaporation, batch evaporation was carried out, with small volume of the filtrate added to 71
- evaporation dish. This made possible effective evaporation. The crude aqueous and ethanol 72

- 73 extracts were stored at 4°C until required. These were used for antimicrobial analysis
- 74 (Abdulazeez *et al.*, 2014).

75 Preparation of concentration of plant extract

- One gram (1g) each of both ethanol and aqueous extract (that of aqueous extract was jelly-like)
- was separately added to 10ml distilled water in different sterile test tubes to give a concentration
- of 100mg/ml. Other concentrations of 50, 25 and 12.5 and 6.25mg/ml were prepared by double
- 79 dilution method (Aneja, 2003).

Test microorganisms

80

- 81 Three Gram positive bacteria, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Bacillus
- 82 subtilis and two Gram negative bacteria, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa. The
- 83 microorganisms were obtained from the Microbiology Laboratory stocks in University of Benin
- 84 Teaching Hospital. The bacteria were then identified in the Microbiology laboratory, University
- of Benin, Benin City, based on their cultural, morphological and biochemical characteristics. The
- multidrug resistance ability of the bacteria was also assayed. The reagents and chemicals used
- were sourced from the school laboratory.

88 Bacteria Inoculum Preparation

- 89 The inocula were prepared by inoculating the test organisms in nutrient broth and incubating
- 90 them for 24 hours at 37°C for the bacteria, After incubation, 0.2 milliliter of the diluted cultures
- 91 in normal saline was inoculated onto solidified nutrient agar at 45°C using a Pasteur pipette.

92 Agar Well Diffusion Technique

- 93 The ability of the various extracts to inhibit the growth of the clinical test organisms was
- 94 determined using the agar well technique. The inoculated nutrient agar plates were allowed to
- 95 dry. After which, wells were bored on the surface of inoculated agar plates using 4mm cork
- 96 borer. Zero point two millilitres 0.2ml of the different concentration of each extracts was
- 97 transferred into the well using Pasteur pipette. The wells were sufficiently spaced to prevent the
- 98 resulting zones of inhibition from overlapping. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24hr. The
- 99 experiment was performed in triplicate and the resulting zones of inhibition were recorded as
- mean \pm standard error (Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009).

101 Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum

102 **Bacteriocidal Concentration (MBC)**

- The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the extracts was determined for each of the test
- organisms at varying concentrations of 100, 50, 25, 12.5 and 6.25mg/ml. 1ml of various
- concentrations was added into different test tubes, 1 ml of nutrient broth was added and then a
- loopful of the test organism previously diluted to 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard was
- introduced to the tubes. A tube containing nutrient broth only was seeded with the test organism
- to serve as control. All the tubes were then incubated at 37°C for 24 h and then examined for
- growth by observing for turbidity. The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of the plant
- extract on the clinical isolates were carried out according to Akinyemi et al. (2005). Briefly, 1 ml
- each of bacterial cultures were pipetted from the mixture obtained in the determination of MIC
- tubes which did not show any growth and subcultured on to nutrient agar. Nutrient agar plates

were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. After incubation the concentration at which there was no single

growth of bacteria was taken as MBC (Akinyemi et al. (2005).

Antibiotics Susceptibility Testing

114

115

121

128

Antimicrobial disc tests of the isolates were performed according to the recommendations of the National Committee Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) using the following antibiotic discs:

tetracycline (20μg), ampiclox (30μg), zinnacef (20μg), amoxicillin (30μg), rocephin (25μg),

119 ciprofloxacin (10µg), Nitrofurantin (20µg), streptomycin (30µg), erythromycin (10µg),

gentamycin (I0µg), septrin (30µg), chloramphenicol (25µg), perfloxacin (10µg), and ofloxacin

(30µg) and antibiotics resistance was interpreted by diameter of inhibition zones around

the antibiotic discs (Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009).

123 RESULTS

Table 1: zone of inhibition of aqueous extract of *Bryophyllum pinnatum*(mm) against bacterial isolates

Test organisms			Concentrations (mg/ml)					
	100	50	25	12.5	6.25			
S. pneumonia	9.33±0.33	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0			
B. subtilis	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0			
P. aeruginosa	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0			
E. coli	10.50±0.50	9.80±0.76	9.2±0.17	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0			
S. aureus	10.33±0.89	10.0±0.29	9.3±0.33	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0			

The zones of inhibition (mm) of aqueous extract of Brophyllum pinnatum against bacterial

isolates is shown on table 1. No antimicrobial activity of aqueous extract against Bacillus subtilis

and Pseudomonas aeruginosa while a low antibacterial activity was observed against

129 Streptococcus pneumoniae (100mg/ml). High antibacterial activity was observed against

130 Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus at concentration of 25mg/ml.

Table 2: zone of inhibition of ethanolic extract of *Bryophyllum pinnatum* (mm) against bacterial isolates

Test organisms			Concentration (mg/ml)					
	100	50	25	12.5	6.25			
S. pneumoniae	14.33±0.33	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0			
B. subtilis	12.00±0.58	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0±0.0			
P. aeruginosa	19.33±0.33	16.83±0.44	16.5±1.25	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0			
E. coli	19.00±0.58	15.33±0.33	14.33±0.33	11.33±0.33	10.67±0.67			

S. aureus 13.33±0.88 12.50±0.29 11.33±0.33 10.83±0.44 9.50±0.28

Antibacterial activity of the ethanolic extract of *B. pinnatum* on the bacteria isolates is shown in table 2 with the lowest activity observed against *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Bacillus subtilis* at 100mg/ml. A slightly higher antimicrobial activity was observed on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at 25mg/ml while the highest was observed on *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* at 6.25mg/ml.

138

139140

Table 3: Minimum inhibitory concentration and Minimum bactericidal concentration of ethanolic and aqueous extract of *Bryophylum pinnatum*

Test organisms	MIC(mg/ml)		MBC (mg/ml)	
	Ethanol	Aqueous	Ethanol	Aqueous
E. coli	6.25	25	25	50
S. aureus	6.25	25	25	50
P. aeruginosa	25	ND	50	ND
B. subtilis	100	ND	ND	ND
S. pneumonia	100	100	ND	ND

141 KEY

142 ND- Not determined

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of ethanolic extract against bacterial isolates is shown in table 3 and they ranged from 6.25-100mg/ml while that of aqueous extract ranged from 25-100mg/ml. In the aqueous exract, there were no MIC determined against *P. aeruginosa* and *B. subtilis*. Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of ethanolic ranged from 25-50mg/ml and that of aqueous extract was 50mg/ml. There were no MBC determined against *P. aeruginosa*, *B. subtilis* and *S. pneumonia* in the aqueous extract while for the ethanol extract, no MBC was determined against *B. subtilis* and *S. pneumonia*

149 150 151

143

144

145

146

147148

Table 7: Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates

Gram +ve	CPX	St	SXT	E	PEF	CN	APX	Z	AM	Ro	MDR
Streptococcus. Pneumoniae	S	S	S	R	S	R	R	S	R	S	0.4
Bacillus subtilis	S	R	S	S	R	S	S	S	R	S	0.3
Staphylococcus aureus	R	S	S	R	S	S	R	S	R	S	0.4
Gram –ve	СН	SP	AU	OFX	SXT	PEF	AM	St	CN	CPX	

Pseudomonas aeruginosa	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	0.4
Escherichia coli	S	R	R	R	S	R	S	S	R	S	0.5

- 153 KEY: CPX-Ciprofloxacin, Ro-Rocephin, St-Streptomycin, AU-Augmentin, SXT-Septrin, SP-
- Sparfloxacin, E-Erythromycin, CH-Chloramphenicol, PEF-Pefloxacin, CPX- ciprofloxacin,
- 155 CN-Gentamicin, APX-Apmpiclox, AM-Amoxacillin, Z-Zinnace.

156 **DISCUSSION**

The antibacterial properties of plants in general have been attributed to the presence of 157 phytochemicals such as flavonoid, alkaloids, tannins, saponins and terpenes, in plants. 158 Flavonoids are known to be synthesized by plants in response to microbial attack. Their activity 159 is probably due to their ability to react with extracellular and soluble proteins and to complex 160 with bacterial cell walls leading to the death of the bacterium (Okwu and Nnamdi, 2011). 161 Tannins are also reported to have various physiological effects like anti-irritant, antisecretolytic, 162 antiphlogistic, antimicrobial and antiparasitic effects. Phyto-therapeutically, tannin containing 163 plants are used to treat non-specific diarrhoea, inflammations of mouth and throat and slightly 164 injured skins (Mudi and Ibrahim, 2008)). This study revealed moderate in vitro antibacterial 165 activity against test bacterial isolates at higher concentrations while at lower concentrations 166 ranging from 25.0 to 6.25mg/ml, no inhibition zone was observed. The test bacterial isolates 167 exhibited variation in their susceptibility to B. pinnatum extract. The lower susceptibility 168 observed at lower concentrations could be due to inability of the extract to permeate the cell wall 169 of the organisms or possession of drug inactivating enzymes mediated by plasmid or 170 chromosomes on the bacterium. 171

- 172 Minimal antibacterial activity was observed against bacterial isolates in the aqueous extract.
- Mean zone diameter of inhibition ranged from 9.20±0.17-10.50±0.50mm and 9.30±0.33-
- 174 10.33±0.89mm against Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus respectively at 25-
- 175 100mg/ml. At lower concentrations, there were no zones of inhibition recorded.

In the ethanol extract, mean zone of inhibition ranged from 9.50±0.28-13.33±0.88mm and 176 10.67±0.67-19.00±0.58mm at concentration range of 6.25-100mg/ml. Higher antibacterial 177 activities were observed at higher concentration compared to lower concentrations of the ethanol 178 extract. It was observed that the antibacterial activity of the plant extract was dependent on the 179 solvent used for extraction and also on the concentration of the extract used. Plants have been 180 reported to be vast repertoire of bioactive phytochemical compound. These compounds which 181 include flavonoids, alkaloids, tannins etc., are usually responsible for the various biologic 182 properties of the plant, including antimicrobial and other medicinal properties. It has been 183 reported that organic solvent such as ethanol, usually extract more of the bioactive 184 phytochemical component of the plant compared to aqueous solvent, hence the reason for higher 185 antibacterial activity in the ethanolic fraction of the leaf extract (Ufelle et al., 2011). 186

- 187 Minimum inhibitory concentrations of ethanol extract ranged from 6.25- 50mg/ml against
- bacteria. While those of aqueous extract ranged from 25-100 mg/ml against bacteria. Minimum
- bactericidal concentrations of ethanol extract ranged from 25-50 mg/ml. While in the aqueous
- extract, value was 50mg/ml.

- 191 Antibiotics sensitivity of the bacterial isolates revealed multidrug resistance of the bacterial
- pathogens. Escherichia coli had the highest multidrug resistance index (0.5) while Bacillus
- subtilis had the lowest (0.3).

194 Conclusion

- 195 This work has shown that Bryophyllum pinnatum ethanol extract has potent antibacterial
- activities against multidrug resistant clinical bacterial isolates while the aqueous extract has low
- to moderate activity. The antibacterial activity was observed to be dependent on the solvent for
- extraction and concentration of the extract used. Therefore this plant can be incorporated into
- medicine for phyto-therapeutic purposes

200

201

Competing Interests

All authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

203

204

REFERENCES

- 205 Abdulazeez AA, Hassan AO, Adewole AA and Fadairo JK. Synergistic effect of combined
- 206 extract of Bryophyllum pinnatum and Aloe barbadensis enhances antimicrobial activity in-vitro.
- 207 Global Advanced Research Journal of Medicine and Medical Science 2014; 3(1): 26-32.
- Akinyemi KO, Oladapo O, Okwara CE, Ibe CC and Fasure KA. Screening of crude extracts of
- 209 six medicinal plants used in Southwest Nigerian unorthodox medicine for anti-methicillin
- 210 resistant Staphylococcus aureus activity. BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine 2005;
- 211 5: 6-13
- Gupta R, Lohani M and Arora S. Anti-Inflammatory activity of the leaf extracts/fractions of
- 213 Bryophyllum pinnatum Saliv. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and
- 214 Research 2010; 3 (1): 1-3.
- Iwu MW. New antimicrobials of plant origin. In: Perspectives on new crops and their uses.
- Janick, J. (ed). ASHS Press, Alexandria, Virgina. 1999; p457- 462
- Mann A, Amupitan JO, Oyewale AO, Okogun JI and Ibrahim K. Antimicrobial activity and
- 218 phytochemical analysis of two Nigerian medicinal plant used for treatment of respiratory
- diseases. A paper presented at the 5th ChemClass conference, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.
- 220 2007.
- 221 Mudi SY and Ibrahim H. Activity of *Bryophyllum pinnatum* s. kurz extracts on respiratory tract
- pathogenic bacteria. Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences 2008; 1(1): 43 48.
- Nwali BU, Okaka ANC, Ibiam UA and Aja PM. Phytochemical composition of Bryophyllum
- pinnatum leaves. International Journal of Advanced Biological Research 2012; 2(4): 614-616.

- Ojewole JAO. Antinociceptive, anti-inflammatory and anti-diabetic effects of Bryophyllum
- pinnatum (Crassulaceae) leaf aqueous extract. Journal of Ethanopharmacology 2005; 99:13-19
- Okwu DE and Josiah C. Evaluation of the chemical composition of two Nigerian medicnal
- plants. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 2006; 5(4):357-361
- Okwu DE and Nnamdi FU. Two novel flavonoids from Bryophyllum pinnatum and their
- antimicrobial activity. *Pharmceutical Chemistry Journal* 2011; 3(2):1-10.
- Ufelle SA, Ukaejiofo EO, Neboh EE, Achukwu PU, Ghasi S, Ikekpeazu EJ and Maduka IC. The
- effect of crude methanolic leaf extract of Bryophyllum pinnatum on some haematological
- parameters in Wistar rats. Asian Journal of Medical Sciences 2011; 3(3): 121-124.