

A brief Review: Lectins, Protease Inhibitors and Saponins in Cereals and Legumes

Abstract

Cereals and legumes account for the substantial amount in the human diet of tropical and sub-tropical regions. Anti-nutrient factors in cereals and legumes are secondary metabolites which can interfere with nutrient digestion and absorption after ingestion. This review will focus on the different content factors found in cereals and legumes including lectins, protease inhibitors, and saponin. It is important to reveal about the treatments which are used to reduce the anti-nutrient factors in cereals and legumes. Therefore, this review also summarised the available literature on different techniques that used to reduce the concentration of anti-nutrient factors in foods.

Keywords: Anti-nutrient contents, Cereals, Legumes

Introduction

In Asian dietaries, cereals and legumes are very important major staple foods (Oghbaei and Prakash, 2016). They are significant sources of nutrients especially protein, dietary fibre, vitamins, minerals, and phytochemicals (Pereira *et al.*, 2002). It is important to know that various anti-nutritional substances are present in foods which could be reduced/removed by different techniques (EI- Hady and Habiba, 2003).

Anti-nutrient factors are considered as secondary metabolites of cereals and legumes. Some of them are produced by the plants to protect themselves against attacks by herbivores, insects, and pathogens or to survive adverse weather conditions such as droughts (Bora, 2014). However, they can interfere with digestion and absorption of nutrients in the digestive tract after ingestion (Nadeem *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, the majority of these compounds may be labelled as anti-nutrients in the human diet.

Anti-nutrient factors in cereals and legumes include phytic acid, saponins, polyphenols, lathyrogens, α -galactosides, protease inhibitors, α - amylase inhibitors and lectins. Different methods are widely employed to reduce or remove anti-nutritional factors from cereals and legumes. Those methods include soaking, cooking, germination, fermentation, selective

Comment [IW01]:

Comment [IW02]: Replace with "are substantial"

Comment [IW03]: saponins

Comment [IW04]: show the

Comment [IW05]: sought to summarize

Comment [IW06]: that have been used

Comment [IW07]: These

31 extraction, irradiation and enzymatic treatment (EI- Hady and Habiba, 2003). Moreover, a
32 combination of different techniques has been proven more effective compared with single
33 techniques. However, complete removal is impossible (Khokhar and Aparenten, 2003).
34 This article will focus on phytic acid, saponins, protease inhibitors, and lectins which are
35 found almost in all grains and forage legumes. It also elucidates some that could be used to
36 reduce them before consumption of constituent grains.

Comment [IWO8]: article focused

Comment [IWO9]: This sentence is very unclear.
Suggested: "This article also investigated some techniques that could be used to inactivate the activities of these anti-nutrients before consumption of the constituent grains".

Comment [IWO10]: ..are known to have erythroagglutinating and leucoagglutinating factors.

37 Lectins

38 Lectins are proteins or glycoproteins which are commonly found in beans. They include
39 erythroagglutinating and leucoagglutinating (Lioi *et al.*, 2003). Most lectins have the ability
40 to agglutinate erythrocytes (Puztai, 1991). Besides, they can bind with glycoproteins on the
41 epithelial surface of the small intestine, interfering with nutrient absorption (Sgarbieri, 1982).
42 It has been proven *in vitro* that isolated lectin can induce enlargement of the small intestine and
43 cause damage to the epithelium of the small intestine (Zucoloto, 1991). Although legume lectins can
44 be harmful to humans, there is no evidence/indication of the anti-nutritional effect of cereal lectins
45 (Jansman *et al.*, 1998). However, lectins can be easily disintegrated (Mubarak, 2005).

Comment [IWO11]: ...lectins...

Comment [IWO12]: Delete

Comment [IWO13]: This statement is far-fetched. There are copious data that show adverse effects of cereal lectins. A case in point is wheat germ agglutinin (WGA). Pls refer to "sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0733521014000228" and make amends. It is more current.

Comment [IWO14]: However, some lectins ...

46 Lectin contents had been reported to be higher in Kidney beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris*),
47 soybeans (*Glycine max*), cowpeas (*Vigna unguiculata*), and lupin seeds (*Lupinus*
48 *augustifolius*) (Grant *et al.*, 1995).

49 Germination can be used to reduce the concentration of lectins in legumes before
50 consumption. The reduction is due to proteolytic action of different enzymes taken place
51 inside legumes (Savelkoul *et al.*, 1992 (Lajolo and Genovese, 2002).

Comment [IWO15]: delete

52

53 Protease Inhibitors

54 Protease inhibitors are a class of antiviral drugs that are widely used to treat HIV/AIDS and
55 hepatitis C. Protease inhibitors can interfere with the action of proteolytic enzymes in the
56 digestive tract especially with pancreatic trypsin and chymotrypsin (Birk, 1989). There are
57 two types of protease inhibitors. They are Kunitz and Bowman-Birk. Kunitz type especially
58 acts against trypsin, while Bowman-Birk type inhibits both trypsin and chymotrypsin
59 simultaneously (Lajolo *et al.*, 1991). However, protease inhibitors are known to be effective
60 in suppressing carcinogenesis in many different *in vivo* and *in vitro* assay systems, but the

Comment [IWO16]: This narration appears to be a functional definition. Though it is correct, it would have been more appropriate to say "It is an agent that blocks a protease ability to hydrolyze proteins. They are typically applied in the pharmaceutical industry as antiviral drugs to treat HIV/AIDS"

Comment [IWO17]: delete

Comment [IWO18]: Please use transition word/words to link the two statements.

Comment [IWO19]: on

Comment [IWO20]: delete

Comment [IWO21]: *in vivo* and *in vitro* ?

61 mechanisms for the anti-carcinogenic activity of protease inhibitors are unknown and yet to
62 be discovered (Ei Morsi, 2001).

63 Protease inhibitors have been reduced by cooking and autoclaving due to the heat sensitivity
64 of proteins. However, it has been reported that germination did not have a significant effect in
65 reducing protein inhibitors in grains (Shimelis and Rakshit, 2017).

Comment [IWO22]: Please interrogate this statement carefully. If you can cite to support it, it would be better.

66 Phytic Acid

67 Phytic acid is generally regarded as the major storage form of phosphorous in cereals which
68 exists mainly in the form of phytates (Wu *et al.*, 2009). Phytic acid content of cereals varies
69 from 0.5% - 2.0%. Besides, legumes are found to contain more phytic acids than grains as
70 reported by in Hungary (Hidvegi and Lasztity, 2002). Phytic acid contents in some cereals
71 and legumes are indicated in Table 1.

Comment [IWO23]: ..occur..

Comment [IWO24]: vary

Comment [IWO25]: Replace with "have been reported to"

Comment [IWO26]: delete

72 Phytic acid has a strong ability to form complexes with multivalent metal ions, especially
73 zinc, calcium, and iron. These complexes are insoluble salts (Weaver and Kanna, 2002)
74 which reduce the bioavailability of minerals in such foods (Lesteinne *et al.*, 2005).

Comment [IWO27]: ..which are ...

Comment [IWO28]: ..subsequently...

75 Germination has been an effective treatment to reduce phytates. During germination, phytates
76 are hydrolysed by phytase enzyme and release phosphate groups (Pawar and Ingle, 1988).

Comment [IWO29]: delete

Comment [IWO30]: to

77 Table 1: Phytic acid content in cereals and legumes

Cereal/Legume	Average phytic acid content (g/100g)
Wheat (MV-4)	0.85
Wheat (Besostaya-19)	0.93
Wheat (durum, GK Basa)	0.72
Maize (yellow dent)	1.02
Maize (flint)	0.90
Maize (sweet)	0.85
Barley	0.97
Oats	1.01
Soybean	1.43
Cowpea	0.42

Comment [IWO31]: g/100 g

Common bean	0.55
Peas	1.02

(Hidvegi and Lasztity, 2002).

79 Saponins

80 Saponins are widely distributed in all cells of leguminous plants. They have ability to form
81 stable, soap-like foams in aqueous solutions (Bora, 2014).

82 Moreover, saponins can bind to cholesterol and therefore reduce their absorption (Sidhu and
83 Oakenfull. 1986). However, saponins are not destroyed during cooking or processing (Birk,
84 1980). Fermentation had been reported to reduce their levels. Tempeh, a fermented soya
85 product had been found to contain half the saponin contents present in the unfermented
86 soybean seeds (Potter et al., 1980).

87 Table 2: Saponin content in legumes

Source	Saponin content (% dry weight)
Soybeans (<i>Glycine max</i>)	5.6
Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)	3.6
Lucerne (<i>Medicago sativa</i>)	2.5
Lupine (<i>Lupinus angustifolius</i>)	1.5

88 (Khokhar and Apenten, 2003)

89 Future research needs

90 Even though anti-nutrient factors reported to have adverse effects, *in vivo* studies related to
91 those factors are lacking. Furthermore, it is vital to carry out studies related to the
92 effectiveness of different techniques such as soaking, fermentation, germination and heat
93 treatment etc. to find out the best methods to reduce the concentration of these anti-nutrient
94 factors in cereals and legumes. In addition, the positive impact of these anti-nutrient factors
95 resulting from their anti-cancer, anti-diabetic and anti-cholestremic effects should be
96 investigated using *in vivo* studies.

97 **Ethical approval: NA**

98 **Consent: NA**

Comment [IWO32]: inserted

Comment [IWO33]: inserted

Comment [IWO34]: inserted

Comment [IWO35]: is it soy or soya?

Comment [IWO36]: This narration is difficult to understand.

Comment [IWO37]: Please delete

Comment [IWO38]: inserted

Comment [IWO39]: cholesterolemic ?

100 **References**

- 101 El-Hady, E. A., & Habiba, R. A. (2003). Effect of soaking and extrusion conditions on
 102 antinutrients and protein digestibility of legume seeds. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*,
 103 36(3), 285-293. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0023-6438\(02\)00217-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0023-6438(02)00217-7)
- 104 **Birk** Y. (1989) Protein protease inhibitors of plant origin and their significance in nutrition.
 105 In: Recent advances of research in anti-nutritional factors in legume seeds: J Huisman, AFB
 106 Van der Poel, IE Liener (Eds), PUDOC, Wageningen, The Netherlands, pp.83-94
- 107 **Birk** Y. (1980) Saponins. In: Liener IE (ed.) Toxic Constituents of Plant Foodstuffs, 2nd edn.
 108 pp. 169-211. New York: Academic Press
- 109 **Bora**, P., 2014. Anti-Nutritional Factors in Foods and their Effects. , 3(6). **incomplete**
- 110 **El-Morsi** Abou El-Fotoh El-Morsi (2001) Legume seed protease inhibitors: their functions,
 111 actions and characteristics, Proceedings of the First International Conference (Egyptian
 112 British Biological Society, EBB Soc) *Egyptian Journal of Biology*, 3, pp. 164-173
- 113 **Grant**, G.; Dorward, P. M., Buchan, W. C., Armour, J. C., Pusztai, A. (1995) Consumption of
 114 diets containing soya beans (*Glycine max*), kidney beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris*), cowpeas
 115 (*Vigna unguiculata*) or lupin seeds (*Lupinus augustifolius*) by rats for up to 700 days:
 116 effects on body composition and organ weights. *Br. J. Nutr.* 73, 17-29.
- 117 **Hidvegi, M. and Lasztity**, R. (2002). Phytic acid content of cereals and legumes and
 118 interaction with proteins. Periodica Polytechnica Series in Chemical Engineering, 46, pp.59–
 119 64. **Publishers**
- 120 **Jansman**, A.J., Hill, G.D., Huisman, J. and Vander Poel, A.F. (1998) Recent advances of
 121 research in anti-nutritional factors in legumes seeds. Wageningen. The Netherlands:
 122 Wageningen Pers, pp.76.
- 123 **Khokhar** S. and Apenten, R.K.O. (2003) Anti-nutritional Factors in Food Legumes and
 124 Effects of processing, The role of food, agriculture, forestry and fisheries in human nutrition,
 125 Encyclopedia of Life support systems, Publishers CO Ltd, Oxford, UK

Comment [IWO40]: Inconsistency, are you using the long name of the journal or short name?

127 Lajolo, F. M., Finardi-Filho, F., Menezes, E. W. (1995) Amylase inhibitors in Phaseolus
128 Vulgaris beans. *Food Technol.* 45, pp.119-121.

129 Lajolo, F.M. and Genovese, M.S. (2002) Nutritional Significance of Lectins and Enzyme
130 Inhibitors from Legumes, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 50, pp.6592–6598

Comment [IWO41]: Long name or short name, Inconsistency!

131 Lestienne, I., Icard-Vernière, C., Mouquet, C., Picq, C., & Trèche, S. (2005). Effects of
132 soaking whole cereal and legume seeds on iron, zinc and phytate contents. *Food chemistry*,
133 89(3), 421-425.

134 Lioi L., Sparvoli F., Galasso I., Lanave C., Bollini R. (2003). Lectin-related resistance factors
135 against bruchids evolved through a number of duplication events. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 107,
136 814–822. 10.1007/s00122-003-1343-8

Comment [IWO42]: Italicize , short name or long name

137 Mubarak, A. E. (2005). Nutritional composition and anti-nutritional factors of mung bean
138 seeds (*Phaseolus aureus*) as affected by some home traditional processes. *Food Chemistry* 89:
139 pp.489-495.

Comment [IWO43]: Italicize

140 Oghbaei & Prakash, Cogent Food & Agriculture (2016), Effect of primary processing of
141 cereals and legumes on its nutritional quality: A comprehensive review, Cogent Food &
142 Agriculture 2: 1136015, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2015.1136015>

143 Pawar, V.D. and U.M. Ingle. (1988) Investigations on phytate protein mineral complexes in
144 whey fractions of moth bean (*Phaseolus aconitifolius Jacq*) flour. *J.Food Sci. Techn.* 25
145 pp.190-195.

Comment [IWO44]: Long name or short name

146 Pereira, M.A., D.R. Jacobs, J.J. Pins, S.K. Raatz , M.D.Gross, J.L. Slavin and E.R. Seaquist.
147 2002. Effect of whole grains on insulin sensitivity in overweight hyper insulinemic adults.
148 *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 7: 848-855

Comment [IWO45]: same

149 Potter J.D., Illman R.J., Calvert G.D., Oakenfull D.G. and Topping D.L. (1980) Soya
150 saponins, plasma lipids, lipoproteins and fecal bile acids: a double blind cross-over study.
151 *Nutr Rep Intl* 22: pp.521-528

Comment [IWO46]: same

152 Pusztai, A., Watt, W. B., Stewart, J. C. (1991) A comprehensive scheme for the isolation of
153 trypsin inhibitors and the agglutinin from soybean seeds. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 39, pp.862-
154 866

Comment [IWO47]: same

155 Savelkoul, F., H., M., G., Van der poel, A., F., B. and Tamminga S. (1992) The presence
156 and inactivation of trypsin inhibitors, tannins, lectins and amylase inhibitors in legume seeds
157 during germination. A review *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 42, pp. 71-85,

158 Sgarbieri, V. C. and Whitaker, J. R. (1982) Physical, chemical, and nutritional properties of
159 common bean (*Phaseolus*) proteins. *Adv. Food Res.*, 28, pp. 93-166

160 Shimelis, E.A. and Rakshit, S.K. (2017) Effect of processing on antinutrients and in vitro
161 protein digestibility of kidney bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris L.*) varieties grown in East Africa,
162 *Food Chemistry*, 103, pp.161–172

163 Sidhu, G. S. & Oekenfull, D. G. (1986). A mechanism for the hypocholesterolaemic activity
164 of saponins. *Br. J. Nutr.* 55: pp. 643- 649.

165 Weaver, C.M. and S. Kanna. (2002) Phytate and mineral bioavailability In: N.R. Reddy and
166 S.K. Sathe, editors food phytates. CRC press boca raton. pp. 211-224.

167 Wu, P., Tian, J.C., Walker, C.E. & Wang, F.C. (2009) Review article Determination of phytic
168 acid in cereals – a brief review *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, pp.
169 44, 1671–1676

170 Zucoloto, S., Scaramello, A. C., Lajolo, F. M., Muccillo, G. (1991) Effect of oral
171 hytohemagglutinin intake on cell adaptation in the epithelium of the small intestine of the rat.
172 *Int. J. Exp. Pathol.*, 72, pp. 41-45.

Comment [IWO48]: incomplete, is it lunatus or vulgaris what?

Comment [IWO49]: Inconsistency: long name or short name

Comment [IWO50]: same

Comment [IWO51]: same