***Review Article***

# MARKER-ASSISTED SELECTION IN FISH: A REVIEW


## ABSTRACT

The important economical traits like body growth, resistance to diseases, meat quality, etc. highly influence the profitability of food animals including fishes. The main target of every selective breeding programme is to produce improved traits **offsprings**offspring's **for these traits**. However, improvement of performance traits through traditional phenotype-based selection needs several generations to optimise these characters. Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS) is a type of indirect method of selection of better performing breeding individuals. MAS is beneficial when the traits are difficult, expensive to measure and has both low heritability and recessive traits. MAS facilitates the exploitation of existing genetic diversity in breeding populations and can be used to improve desirable traits in livestock. MAS depends on identifying the link between a genetic marker and Quantitative Traits Loci (QTL). The distance between marker and target traits determines the association of the marker with the QTL. After identifying the markers linked to QTL, they can be used in the selective breeding programme to select the brooders having better genetic potential for the targeted trait. Improvement of performance traits through MAS is fast and more accurate and allows us to understand the genetic mechanism affecting performance traits.


Formatted: Font color: Red


**Keywords:** Marker-Assisted Selection, Quantitative traits loci, genetic diversity, trait


## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS) is a type of biotechnology that uses molecular genetic markers as a criterion for selecting desired traits (Ashraf, 2012). Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) is an indirect selection process where a trait of interest is selected not based on the trait itself but on a marker linked to it (Ribaut and Ragot 2007).

MAS is considered a "revolutionary" approach to traditional tree breeding as it allows breeders to select individuals based on their genotypes, rather than being restricted to phenotypic characteristics (Boopathi *et al.,* 2013).

Sax (1923) was the first to show how genetic factors influencing quantitative traits can be identified using markers.

Recently MAS became a very popular method of indirect selection for production of the genetically improved **offspring's** in aquaculture breeding programme offspring´s. Most of the performance traits including growth or disease resistance are controlled by multiple genes and are therefore inherited as quantitative traits, analysis of their associated quantitative trait loci (QTL) is an essential part of aquaculture genomics (Liu and Cordes, 2004). QTLs are largely unknown genes that affect performance traits (such as growth rate and disease resistance) and these are important to breeders.

Formatted: Font color: Red

MAS in a breeding context involves scoring indirectly for the presence or absence of a desired phenotype or phenotypic component based on the sequences or banding patterns of molecular markers located in or near the genes controlling the phenotype. The sequence polymorphism or banding pattern of the molecular marker is indicative of the presence or absence of a specific gene or chromosomal segment that is known to carry a desired allele (Brumlop and Finckh, 2011).

Marker-assisted selection method (MAS) or genome-wide marker-assisted selection method (G-MAS) was not widely used in aquaculture, but nowadays its use is increasing due to its ease of use and quicker than traditional phenotype-based selection. Now it becomes a fertile field of research for the aquaculture researchers to discover novel genetic marker that can be used to link with the QTLs in selective breeding programmes (Hauser *et al.,* 2011; Dichmont *et al.,* 2012; Abdul-Muneer, 2014).

In order to manage individual species effectively, identification of different species from a mixed catch becomes important. DNA markers are widely being accepted not only to obtain information about gene flow and allele frequencies in aquaculture practices but also to identify hybrids. The majority of the markers, which are used in inter- and intra-specific disparity, include Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) for species and sub-species identification done in tilapia (Bardakci and Skibinski, 1994), and iso-enzyme used in intraspecific variations in Sparidae species (Alarcón and Alvarez 1999). Similarly, Nijman *et al.,* (2003) reported the use of mtDNA markers as an important tool in rapid detection of hybridization between species and subspecies of livestock.

Markers tend not to have any biological effect, but rather can be thought of as notable and constant points of reference within the genome (Guimaraes, *et al.,* 2007). Markers can be found within the desired gene or, more commonly, linked to a gene determining a trait of interest (Brumlop and Finckh, 2011; Guimaraes *et al.,* 2007). Unlike genetic engineering, MAS does not alter the original DNA (Vogel and Van Aken, 2009); instead it uses genetic marker to identify naturally-occurring genetic variations among individuals, with the intent of selecting those with the best potential to meet desired criteria and objectives.

Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) provides several other benefits to breeders, in that it can select for genes that demonstrate low heritability, have recessive alleles, and are difficult, expensive, or time exhaustive to determine phenotypically (Boopathi, 2013a; Brumlop and Finckh, 2011; Xu and Crouch, 2008). MAS also allows for gene pyramiding or combining multiple genes within the same breeding line, while having fewer unintentional losses and fewer selection cycles (Boopathi, 2013a; Xu and Crouch, 2008).

Furthermore, MAS may be viewed by the public with more support than genetic engineering as breeders are not manually manipulating the genes, and thus all offspring inheritance occurs naturally (Vogel and Van Aken, 2009). It is also believed that genetic markers may be important in the assessment, conservation and use of diversity in germplasm and varieties (Brumlop and Finckh, 2011).

Molecular marker maps have been constructed for a number of aquaculture species, e.g. tilapia, *Clarias*, giant tiger prawn, kuruma prawn, Japanese flounder and Atlantic salmon, although their density is generally low (Nichols *et al.,* 2003). As many preferred traits are not observed until maturity, MAS eliminates this waiting period by allowing for the early selection of desired genotypes at the seedling stage (Yanchuk *et al.,* 2002).

The desirable phenotypic variations in the performance traits of fishes are used to increase the aquacultural yield, improve incomes of farmers and enhances food security through selective breeding by choosing better-performed individuals. However, phenotype-based selection needed considerable time to optimise the traits, so researchers are now moving from phenotype based selection to genotype-based selection. The absence of a molecular marker is the main limiting factor for the realization of genotype based selection potentials in fishes. However, with the advent of DNA-based genetic markers in the late 1970s and now the ease of the marker discovery through the next generation sequencing allowed researchers to identify large numbers of markers spreads throughout the genome of any species of interest. The markers are used to detect linkage with the traits of interest, thus

allowing MAS finally to become a reality (Peterson *et al.,* 1990). This paper aims to provide information regarding the technical aspect of MAS and the current application in fisheries and Aquaculture in other to increase high quality production within a period of time.

## 2.0 Marker Assisted Selection

Incorporation of marker information into breeding programs in aiding identification and selection of superior individuals has been widely studied (Bernardo, 1994; Han *et al.,* 1997; Xie and Xu, 1998; Romagosa *et al.,* 1999; Ayoub *et al.,* 2003; Jordan *et al.,* 2003).

Molecular markers in aquaculture and fisheries have been used for over 50 years (Ryman and Utter, 1987; Liu and Cordes, 2004) and their use has steadily increased over the last two decades (Park and Moran, 1994; Chauhan and Rajiv, 2010; Dichmont *et al.,* 2012; Abdul-Muneer, 2014).

An important factor in MAS is the accuracy of estimating the genetic effects related to the trait of interest. In contrast to genetic engineering (GE), MAS does not alter the original DNA. Rather, it identifies whether the desired trait(s) are being expressed, so that individuals with the best potential can be selected (Andersson, 2001).

Molecular marker analysis allows the identification of genome segments, so called Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL), contributing to the genetic variance of a quantitative trait and thus to select superior genotypes as these loci (Cannai *et al.,* 2003). Allelic variation in genetic markers can be linked to the variation in traits of economic interest, and thus the marker provides DNA level information on the inheritance of the traits.

The practical use of markers in selection can be roughly divided into three classes:

1) Removing genetic disorders,

2) Marker breeding value-selection, and

3) Genomic selection.

### 2.4 MAS versus Phenotypic Selection

Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS) will probably never replace Phenotypic Selection (PS) entirely. There is no general pattern by which it can be predicted whether MAS or PS will be more useful. Empirical comparisons of MAS and PS for increasing gain from selection have been made in several studies. The outcomes of these studies are conflicting. In some **studies**studies, MAS is reported to be more effective/efficient than PS (Yousef and Juvik 2001; Abalo *et al.,* 2009) while other studies considered the two methods equal (Van Berloo and Stam 1999; Willcox *et al.,* 2002; Hoeck *et al.,* 2003; Moreau *et al.,* 2004). In a third group of studies PS proved to be more effective/efficient than MAS (Davies *et al.,*

**Comment [JCM1]:** And 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3?????????????

**Formatted:** Font color: Auto, Highlight

**Formatted:** Highlight

**Formatted:** Font color: Red

2006; Wilde *et al.,* 2007) and in other comparisons the effectiveness/efficiency of MAS and PS varied within the same study, depending on the populations or on the trait selected for (**e.g.** FlintGarcia *et al.,* 2003b; Robbins and Staub 2009).

**2.5 Limitations of MAS**

• Cost

• Requirement of technical skill

• Automated techniques for maximum benefit

**2.6 Advantages of MAS**

In addition to the cost and time savings described above, for a number of breeding scenarios, MAS methods are likely to offer significant advantages compared with conventional selection methods. These scenarios assume the availability of markers for multiple traits and take into consideration the advantages of MAS under optimum situations (Dreher *et al.,* 2002; Dudley, 1993).

1. Gene stacking for a single trait: MAS offers potential savings compared with conventional selection when it allows breeders to identify the presence of multiple genes/alleles related to a single trait, and the alleles do not exert individually detectable effects on the expression of the trait.
2. Early detection*:* MAS offers potential savings compared with conventional selection when it allows alleles for desirable traits to be detected early, well before the trait is expressed and can be detected phenotypically. This benefit can be particularly important in species that grow slowly.
3. Heritability of traits*:* Up to a point, gains from MAS increase with decreasing heritability. However, due to the difficulties encountered in QTL detection, the gains are likely to decline beyond a certain threshold heritability estimate.

**2.7 Disadvantages of MAS**

Perhaps the greatest disadvantage of MAS is the time and financial investment required to develop markers that are widely applicable for traits of agronomic importance. Often a marker developed in one or a few related genotypes will not work for other genotypes in a breeding scheme due to allelic effects. Furthermore, development of markers, particularly for QTL, is complicated by epistatic interactions and the critical need for good quality phenotypic data.

**Formatted:** Font color: Red

**2.8 Quantitative Trait Loci**

In fish, several QTL studies have been published; in salmonids (Jackson *et al.,* 1998; Johansen 1999; Robinson *et al.,* 1999; Sakomoto *et al.,* 1999; Marfyniuk 2001, Ozaki *et al.,* 2001 Somorger 2001. Tao and Bailding 2003), in catfish (Liu *et al.,* 2003), in tilapia (Cnaani *et al.,* 2003) and in silver barb (Hussain *et al.,* 2002).

Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) is followed by two steps, detection of molecular markers associated with quantitative trait locus (QTL) and application of those markers.

The position of the chromosome that controls the economical important trait is termed as QTL.

The concepts for detecting QTL were developed more than 90 years ago (Sax, 1923). In aquaculture species, much effort has been applied for QTL mapping. QTLs are mapped by linkage disequilibrium with molecular markers exhibiting Mendelian segregation. Economically important traits are controlled by the single or group of gene.

The basic concept of QTL studies is to know the number and location of loci associated with phenotypic traits (Mackay, 2001; Mauric io, 2001; Burt and Hocking, 2002; Erickson *et al.,* 2004). Thus, candidate gene or molecular markers, resulted by QTL mapping, could be used in MAS (Groenen *et al.,* 2000). QTL detection is an ongoing effort in aquaculture species. More than 37 important traits have been located in about 20 aquaculture species.

QTL mapping is the practical application of marker-assisted selection in aquaculture (Al-Samarai, 2015). With rapid advancement of molecular technology, it is now possible to use molecular marker information to map major quantitative trait loci (QTLs) on chromosomes (Paterson *et al.,* 1988,1991; Hilbert *et al.,* 1991; Jacob *et al.,* 1991; Stuber *et al.,* 1992). Once QTL for a trait are identified, individuals can be selected for breeding on the basis of marker alleles that segregate with favourable phenotypes (Lande and Thompson, 1990). This strategy, known as marker-assisted selection (MAS), is particularly useful for traits that cannot be measured on selection candidates directly, notably disease resistance or meat quality traits (Sonesson, 2007a).

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic, Font color: Red

**Formatted:** Font color: Red

**Formatted:** Font color: Red

### 2.8.1 QTL Detection in Fish

A number of genetic maps have been developed specifically to locate QTL in several fish species. The first of such map was produced in Zebrafish insert scientific name (Postleth wairt *et al.,* 1994; Shimoda *et al.,* 1999), which is a non-aquacultural species. Among cultivable fish groups low-density maps have been developed for salmonids (Sakamoto *et al.,* 2000; Ghabi 2001) for catfish (Liu *et al.,* 2003; Poompuang and Na-Nakorn 2004) for tilapia

(Kocher *et al.,* 1998; Cnaani *et al.,* 2003), for Japanese flounder (Sanchez *et al.,* 2003), for red sea beam (Sakamoto *et al.,* 2003), for Oyster (Yu and Geso 2003), and for shrimp (Http://shrimpmap.tag.csiro.au).

### 2.8.3 QTL Mapping in Fish

Although in fish several studies have confirmed the existence of significant genetic variation for quantitative traits at commercial importance (Kause *et al.,* 2003) and have recognized the potential of MAS for their genetic improvement (Flint and Mott, 2001). Thus far, very few QTL for production traits have been identified in fish (Sonesson, 2003). Much effort is devoted to QTL mapping for growth, feed conversion efficiencies, disease resistance, fecundity, and spawning time (Dunham *et al.,* 2001).

Several QTL studies have been published in rainbow trait for temperature tolerance (Jackson *et al.,* 1998). Danzmann *et al.,* 1998, Pperry 2001), spawning time (Sakamoto *et al.,* 1999; fish back et al 2000, O' Malley 2001); growth (Martynicik 2001), disease resistance (Ozaki *et al.,* 2001), and fitness traits (Somorjai 2001). Other notable QTL studies published in **aquaculturalaquaculture** fish species include: in tilapia for temperature and salinity tolerance (Streadman and Kocher 2002; Cnaan *et al.,* 2003), in catfish for feed conversion efficiency and bacterial **septicenmia**septicaemia resistance (Liu 2003), in guppy for growth (Nakajima and Taniguchi 2002), in at fautic salmon for infectious **anemia**anaemia resistance (Moen *et al.,* 2003 and in Artic**ctia** charr**Charr** for growth rates and fitness traits (Johansen 1999, Somorjai 2001).

In salmonids, QTL have been found related to body weight and size (Martyniuk *et al.,* 2003; O'Malley *et al.,* 2003; Reid *et al.,* 2005), for colouration pattern (Streelman, Albertson and Kocher, 2003) and for one form of albinism (Nakamura *et al.,* 2001). Zimmerman *et al.,* (2005) found QTL for pyloric caeca number, a trait related to feed conversion efficiency.

**Table 1: QTL studies in selected aquaculture species**

| Species | Traits | Reference |
|---|---|---|
| Arctic charr | Body weight and sexual maturation; Salinity tolerance | Küttner *et al.,* 2011 |
| Asian seabass | Resistance against viral nervous necrosis disease<br>Growth-related trait<br>Omega-3 fatty acids | Wang *et al.,* 2006<br>Xia *et al.,* 2014 |

Comment [JCM2]: And 2.8.2????????????????????????

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Comment [JCM3]: Please check this word

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Spanish (Mexico)

Formatted: Spanish (Mexico)

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Spanish (Mexico)

Formatted: Spanish (Mexico)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Atlantic salmon | Growth traits and flesh colour | Baranski *et al.*, 2010; |
| | Resistance against IPN | Tsai *et al.*, 2014; |
| | Late sexual maturation | Moen *et al.*, 2009 ; |
| | | Houston *et al.*, 2008 ; 2010 |
| | | Gutierrez *et al.*, 2014 |
| Catfish | Columnaris disease resistance | Geng *et al.*, 2015 |
| | ESC disease resistance | Wang *et al.*, 2013; Zhou *et al.*, 2017 |
| | Hypoxia tolerance | |
| | Heat stress | Wang *et al.*, 2016; |
| | Head size | Jin *et al.*, 2016 |
| | | Geng *et al.*, 2016 |
| Common carp | Common carp | Zhang *et al.*, 2011 |
| | Morphometric traits | Boulton *et al.*, 2011 |
| | Swimming ability | Laghari *et al.*, 2014 |
| Eastern oyster | Disease resistance | Yu and Guo, 2006 |
| European seabass | Growth, body weight | Louro *et al.*, 2016 |
| | Morphometric traits and stress | Massault *et al.*, 2010 |
| | Response | |
| Pacific white shrimp | Growth parameters | Andriantahina *et al.*, 2013 |
| Giant tiger prawn | Disease resistance and sex determination | Robinson *et al.*, 2014 |
| Japanese flounder | *Vibrio anguillarum* resistance | Wang *et al.*, 2014 |
| Pacific oyster | Growth | Guo *et al.*, 2012 |
| | Resistance against summer mortality | Sauvage *et al.*, 2010 |
| | Viability | Plough and Hedgecock, 2011 |
| | | Plough *et al.*, 2016 |
| Gilthead seabream | Skeletal deformities | Negrín-Báez *et al.*, 2015 |
| | Sex determination and body growth | Loukovitis *et al.*, 2011 |
| | | Massault *et al.*, 2011 |

**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Font color: Red
**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Font color: Red, Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Font color: Red, Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Font color: Red, Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Font color: Red
**Formatted:** Font color: Red
**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Italic, Font color: Red
**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Font color: Red
**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Font: 11 pt, Spanish (Mexico)
**Formatted:** Spanish (Mexico)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Rainbow trout | Growth related traits | Kocmarek *et al.*, 2015; Wringe at al., 2010; Leder *et al.*, 2006. |
| Tilapia | Growth traits<br>Sex | Liu *et al.*, 2014;<br>Wang *et al.*, 2015<br>Palaiokostas *et al.*, 2015 |

### 2.8.4 QTL analysis

**1. QTL for growth traits**

Growth is one of the most important economic traits of all aquaculture species. Up to 2012, QTL analyses have been conducted in more than 20 aquatic species (Yue *et al.*, 2014), and growth was the most popular trait studied. Wang *et al.*, (2006) used 380 F1 Asian seabass to identify five major QTLs and 27 potential QTLs. Of them, three major QTLs for body weight, length, and body length were located at a similar linkage group 2 (LG2) position with the nearby Lca287 microsatellite and accounted for 28.8%, 58.9%, and 59.7% of the phenotypic variations. The other two major QTLs for body weight were located at another LG2 position. These five major QTLs have been confirmed in two other Asian seabass populations (Wang *et al.*, 2008). Further QTL fine mapping of the Asian seabass growth trait identified three **candidate**candidates "growth genes" (CATHEPSIN D, KCTD15, and CSMD2) affecting body weight, body length, and total length (Wang *et al.*, 2011). The function of the *cathepsin* D gene in humans involves cell proliferation and cell growth; therefore, *cathepsin* D may also be a major "growth gene" in Asian seabass. O'Malley *et al.*, (O'Maller *et al.*, 2008) identified QTLs for body weight in rainbow trout on 10 different LGs.

Wringe *et al.*, (2010) used additional backcrossed families and SSR markers to confirm the O'Malley *et al.*,'s results and found several major candidate growth genes (e.g., *GH2* and *Pax7*). Reid *et al.*, 2004 identified a QTL for body weight in two LGs (AS8 and 11) of Atlantic salmon, and reported that it was homologous to the growth QTL in rainbow trout. Houston *et al.*, (2009) identified QTLs for body weight in Linkage group 1 (LG1) and LG5 of Atlantic salmon. Gutierrez *et al.*, (2012) further used a 6.5 K Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNP) chip to identify QTLs in six LGs at the genomic level. Cnaani *et al.*, (2004) identified a QTL for tilapia growth on LG23, which is the linkage group with the genetic sex-determining region. Song *et al.* (2012) used 1487 SSRs to produce a high-density

**Comment [JCM4]:** This must be 2.8.4.1??????
Or 3.0 ??????????

**Formatted:** Highlight

**Formatted:** Font color: Red

**Comment [JCM5]:** Year?????????

**Formatted:** Highlight

genetic linkage map and successfully identified a QTL affecting body weight in LG14 of Japanese flounder.

Some reports have used a candidate gene approach to identify growth-related genes and molecular markers in fish. Tao and Boulding (2003) found polymorphisms in the growth hormone gene (*GH)* that were significantly associated with growth rate of Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*). Li *et al.,* (2009) reported an SNP in the insulin-like growth factor-(IGF)1

gene 5′ untranslated region (UTR) of largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*). Sun *et al.,* (2012) reported that two SNPs in exon 3 of the myostatin (*MSTN*) gene were significantly related to body weight and Fulton's factor in common carp. Liu *et al.* (2012) also found that a SNP in the *MSTN* 3′ UTR was very significantly associated with total length, body length, and body weight of bighead carp.

**2. QTL for feed conversion rate**

Food Conversion Ratio (FCR) is one of the most important economic traits in fish, as fish with a better FCR increase profits.

Liu (2005) used Amplified Fragment Length polymorphisms (AFLP) markers to construct a catfish genetic map and found a QTL associated with FCR. Zimmerman *et al.,* (2005) revealed three QTLs for the number of pyloric caeca in three LGs of rainbow trout, and this is an important index associated with FCR.

Food Conversion Ratio (FCR) studies have also been reported in common carp from the Heilongjiang Fisheries Research Institute of the Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences (Wang, 2012).

**3. QTL for sex determination**

Sex phenotype and sex determination in fish have specific evolutionary status and diversity. Males and females of some species have significant differences in growth rate or commercial value; therefore, mono_sex fish culture is a promising strategy. The sex-determining (SD) loci and QTLs have been studied in a limited number of fish, such as tilapia (Lee *et al.,* 2004) rainbow trout (Alfaqih *et al.,* 2009) and salmonids (Davidson *et al.,* 2009). Previous studies have demonstrated that sex QTLs are located on LG1, 2, 3, 6, and 23 of tilapia (Cnaani *et al.,* 2004; Lee *et al.,* 2004; Cnaani *et al.,* 2008) Eshel *et al.* (2011) reported a major candidate sex QTL that is considered the sex determining region in tilapia. Fifty-one genes in this region have been annotated, and 10 have been confirmed.

Formatted: Font color: Red

The anti-Müllerian hormone gene is the most differentially expressed gene in male and female tilapia. Sun *et al.,* (2014) recently published several sex-specific markers, and one is tightly linked with the sex-determining region discovered by Eshel *et al.,* (2011) The sex-determining locus in rainbow trout is located on the LG of RT10, and this locus also significantly affects thermo-resistance and body length. The sex-determining regions in Artic charr (Moghadam *et al.,* 2007) brown trout (Gharbi *et al.,* 2006) and Atlantic salmon (Gilbey *et al.,* 2004) are located on the LGs of AC4, BT28, and AS1, respectively.

Woram *et al.,* (2003) compared LGs of sex-determining loci in four salmonids and found that although the nucleotide sequences flanking the sex-determining loci were well-conserved, the SD LGs were diverse, suggesting that the regions underwent different recombination events.

Loukovitis *et al.,* (2011) located growth and sex-determining QTLs in gilthead sea bream and showed that these two traits have similar genetic control in LG21. Martínez *et al.,* (2009) located a sex QTL on LG5 of turbot and proposed a ZZ/ZW sex-determining mechanism. Viñas *et al.,* (2012) also found a major sex QTL on turbot LG5. These findings suggest that the sex-determining genes may occur on turbot LG5. Song *et al.,* (2012) used high-density genetic maps to locate seven sex QTLs on the half-smooth tongue sole LG1f, LG14f, and LG1m.

Additional study by Chen *et al.,* (2014) provided insight into ZW sex chromosome evolution and identified sex-determining genes, such as *dmrt1* and *neurl3*.

**2.8.5 Factors affecting QTL analyses**

The power of mapping QTL can be influenced by a number of factors, such as genetic properties of QTL, experimental design, environmental effects, marker density and informativeness, genotyping errors and precision of trait measurement. Details about how these factors influence the power of QTL mapping can be found in some very good reviews (Crosses 2001; Flint and Mott 2001; Doerge 2002).

**2.8.6 Methods of Detecting QTL**

Basically, three methods are frequently used for mapping QTL and estimating their effects, namely Single-Marker Association Analysis (SMAA), Simple Interval Mapping (SIM) and Composite Interval Mapping (CIM) (Crosses 2001; Flint and Mott 2001; Doerge 2002).

**2.9 Current Status of Applications of MAS in Fish**

316 Molecular marker maps have been constructed for a number of aquaculture species,
317 e.g. tilapia, catfish, giant tiger prawn, kuruma prawn, Japanese flounder and Atlantic salmon,
318 although their density is generally low. Density is high for the rainbow trout, where the map
319 published in 2003 has over 1 300 markers spread throughout the genome – the vast majority
320 are AFLPs but it also includes over 200 microsatellite markers (Nichols *et al.*, 2003). Some
321 QTLs of interest have been detected (e.g. for cold and salinity tolerance in tilapia and for
322 specific diseases in rainbow trout and salmon).

323 In a recent review of MAS in fish breeding schemes, Sonesson (2003) suggested that
324 MAS would be especially valuable for traits that are impossible to record on the candidates
325 for selection such as disease resistance, fillet quality, feed efficiency and sexual maturation,
326 and concluded that MAS is not used in fish breeding schemes today and that the lack of dense
327 molecular maps is the limiting factor. Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) has become a
328 valuable tool in selecting organisms for desirable traits. MAS is expected to increase genetic
329 gain compared to traditional breeding programs and reduce the cost of progeny testing by
330 early selection. The application of MAS in breeding programmes depends on the knowledge
331 of breeders about variable marker information.

332 **REFERENCES please check all bibliography to make it all uniform with respect**
333 **Journal**

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Spanish (Mexico)


334 Alarcon, J.A., Alvarez, M. C. (1999). Genetic identification Sparidae species by isozyme
335 markers. Applications to interspecific hybrids. *Aquaculture*, *173*, 95-103.
336 Anderson, J. L., Marí, A. R., Braasch, I., Amores, A., Hohenlohe, P., Batzel, P. (2001).
337 Multiple sex-associated regions and a putative sex chromosome in zebrafish
338 revealed by RAPD mapping and population genomics. *3*, 427-437.
339 Ashraf, M., Akram, N. A., Foolad, M. R. (Eds.). (2012). Marker-Assisted Selection in Plant
340 Breeding for Salinity Tolerance. *913*, 305–333. doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-986-0.
341 Bardakci, F., Skibinski, D. O. F. (1994). Application of the RAPD technique in tilapia fish
342 species and subspecies identification. *73,* 117–123. doi:10.1038/hdy.1994.110.
343 Bernardo, R. (1994). Prediction of maize single-cross performance using RFLPs and
344 Biotechnology in Agriculture and Food
345 (http://tandfonline.com/doi/book/10.1081/EEBAF).
346 Boopathi, N. M. (2013a). Marker-Assisted Selection. In Genetic Mapping and Marker
347 Assisted Selection: Basics, Practice and Benefits. 173–186. doi:10.1007/978-81-
348 322-0958-4

Brumlop, S., Finckh, M. R. (2011). Applications and potentials of marker assisted selection ( MAS ) in plant breeding. Pp. 178. http://www.bfn.de/0502_skripten.html

Chen, J., Wang, Y., Yue, Y., Xia, X., Du, Q., Chang, Z. (2014). A novel male-specific DNA sequence in the common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*. *Mol Cell Probes*. *23*, 235–9.

Cnaani, A., Zilberman, N., Tinman, S., Hulata, G., Ron, M. (2004). Genome-scan analysis for quantitative trait loci in an F-2 tilapia hybrid. *Mol Genet Genomics.* 272(2):162-172.

Danzmann, R. G., Gharbi, K. (1994). Gene mapping in fishes: a means to an end. Genetica. 111(1-3):3-23.

Davidson, W. S., Koop, B. F., Jones, S. J. M., Iturra, P., Vidal, R., Mas, A., Jonassen, I., Lien, S., Omholt, S. W. (2009). Sequencing the genome of the Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Genome Biol* 11:403

Davies, J., Berzonsky, W., LEACH, G. (2006): A Comparison of Marker-Assisted and Phenotypic Selection for High Grain Protein Content in Spring Wheat. *152*, 117-134.

Dekkers, J. C. M., Hospital, F. (2002). The use of molecular genetics in the improvement of agricultural populations. *Nature Revs. Genet.* 3: 22–32.

Doyle, J. J., Doyle, J. L. (1987). A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochem. Bull.* 19: 11–15.

Dreher, K., Khairallah, M., Jean-Marcel, R., Monis, M. (2002). Money matters (I): costs of field and laboratory procedures associated with convenlional and marker assisted maize breeding at CIMMYT. Molecular Breeding I I: 221-234.

Dudley, J. W. (1993). Molecular markers in plant improvement: manipulation of genes affecting quantitative traits. *Crop Sci.* 33: 660–668.

Edward, M. D., Stuber, C. W., Wendel, J. F. (1987a). Molecular markers facilitated investigation of quantitative trait loci in maize. I. Numbers, genomic distribution and types of gene action. Genetics 115: 113-125

Erickson, D. L., Fenster, C. B., Stenoien, H. K., Price, D. (2004). Quantitative trait locus analyses and the study of evolutionary process. Molecular Ecology 13: 2505-2522.

Eshel, O, Shirak, A., Weller, J. I., Slossman, T., Hulata, G., Cnaani, A. (2011). Fine mapping of a locus on linkage group 23 for sex determination in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Anim Genet*. 42: 222–4.

Formatted: Spanish (Mexico)

Ferguson, M. (1994). The role of molecular genetic markers in the management of cultured fishes. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 4(3): 351–373. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00042909

Flint-Garcia, S.A., Darrah, L. L., McMullen, M. D., Hibbard, B. E. (2003). Phenotypic versus genomic selection in an established commercial layer breeding program. *Genetics Selection Evolution* 45: 29.

Gharbi, K., Gautier, A., Danzmann, R.G., Gharbi, S., Sakamoto, T., Hoyheim, B., Taggart, J. B., Cairney, M., Powell, R., Krieg, F., Okamoto, N., Ferguson, M. M., Holm, L. E., Guyomard, R. (2006). A linkage map for brown trout (*Salmo trutta*): chromosome homeologies and comparative genome organization with other salmonid fish. *Genetics* 172:2405–2419

Gilbey, J., Verspoor, E., McLay, A., Houlihan, D. (2004). A microsatellite linkage map for Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Anim Genet* 35:98–105

Gimelfarb, A., Lande, R. (1994). Marker-assisted selection and marker QTL association in breeding programs. Genetics. 132: 1199-1210.

Gjedrem, T. (2009). Genetic improvement of cold-water fish species. *Aquac Res.* 31:25–33.

Groen, A. F., Crooijmans, R. P. M. A., Van Kampen, A. J. A., Van der Beek, S, Van der Poel, J. J., Groenen, M. A. M. (2000). Microsatellite polymorphism in commercial broiler and layer lines. *Proc 5th World Congr Genet Appl Livestock Prod* 21:95-98.

Gross, M., Schneider, J., Moav, N., Alvarez, C., Myster, S., Liu, Z., Hallerman, E., Hackett, P., Guise, K., Faras, A., Kapuscinski, A. (1995). Molecular analysis and growth evaluation of transgenic northern pike. *Aquaculture* 103: 253-273.

Guo, X., Hershberger, W.K., Cooper, K., Chew, K.K., 2012. Artificial gynogenesis with

Hayes, B. J., Chamberlain, A. J., Mcpartlan, H., Macleod, I., Sethuraman, L., Goddard, M. E, (2007). Accuracy of marker-assisted selection with single markers and marker haplotypes in cattle. *Genetics Research* 89**:** 215-220.

Houston, R. D., Bishop, S. C., Hamilton, A., Guy, D. R., Tinch, A. E., Taggart, J. B., Derayat, A., McAndrew, B. J., Haley, C. S. (2009). Detection of QTL affecting harvest traits in a commercial Atlantic salmon population. *Anim Genet*. 40(5):753-755.

Houston, R. D., Haley, C.S., Hamilton, A., Guy, D. R, Tinch, A. E., Taggart, J. B. (2009). Major quantitative trait loci affect resistance to infectious pancreatic necrosis in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Genetics*. 178:1109–15.

Formatted: Spanish (Mexico)

Hulata, G. (2003). Detection of a chromosomal region with two quantitative trait loci, affecting cold tolerance and fish size, in an F-2 tilapia hybrid. 223(1-4):117-128.

Jackson, T.R., Ferguson, M.M., Danzmann, R.G., Fishback, A.G., Ihssen, P.E., O'Connell, M., Crease, T.J. (1998). Identification of two QTL influencing upper temperature tolerance in three rainbow trout (*Oncorhynnchus mykiss*) half-sib families. Heredity 80: 143– 151.

Jacob, H. J., Lindpainter, K., Lincoln, S. E., Kusumi, R. K., Mao, Y. P., Ganten, D., Dzau, V. J., Lander, E. S., (1991). Genetic mapping of a gene causing hypersensitive rat. Cell. 67:213-224.

Jansen, R.C. (1993). Interval mapping of multiple quantitative trait loci. Genetics 135, 205-211.

Jonasson, J., Stefansson, S. E., Gudnason, A., Steinarsson, A. (1999). Genetic variation for survival and shell length of cultured red abalone (*Haliotis rufescens*) in Iceland. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 18: 621-625.

Kause, A., Paananen, T., Ritola, O., Koskinen, H. (2003). Direct and indirect selection of visceral lipid weight, fillet weight, and fillet percentage in a rainbow trout breeding program. *Journal of Anim Sci.* 85: 3218-3227.

Kocher, T. D., Lee, W.J., Sobolewska, H., Penman, D., McAndrew, B. (1998). A genetic linkage map of a cichlid fish, the tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Genetics* 148: 1225–1232.

Lander, E. S., Botstein, D. (1989). Mapping Mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits using RFLP linkage maps. Genetics 121: 185-1 99

Lee, M., Sharopova, N., Beavis, W. D., Grant, D., Katt, M., Blair, D. and Hallauer, A. (2004). Expanding the genetic map of maize with the intermated B73 Mo17 (IBM) population. *Plant Molecular Biology* 48: 453–461.

Li, J., Boroevich, K. A., Koop, B. F., Davidson, W. S. (2009). Comparative genomics identifies candidate genes for Infectious Salmon Anemia (ISA) resistance in Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Mar Biotechnol*. 13: 232-241.

Liu, F., Sun, F., Xia, J. H., Li, J., Fu, G. H., Lin, G. (2014). A genome scan revealed significant associations of growth traits with a major QTL and GHR2 in tilapia. Sci Rep. 4:7256



Formatted: Spanish (Mexico)

445 Liu, P., Li, J., He, Y. Y., Kong, J., Wang, Q. (2004). Present situation and protective
446 measures of genetic resources in *Fenneropenaeus chinensis*. Mar. Fish Res 25: 80–
447 85.
448 Liu, Z., Karsi, A., Li, P., Cao, D., Dunham, R. (2003). An AFLP-based genetic linkage map
449 of channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) constructed by using an interspecific hybrid
450 resource family. Genetics 165: 687–694.
451 Liu, Z.J., Li, P., Argue, B., Dunham, R., (1998a). Inheritance of RAPD markers in channel
452 catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), blue catfish (*I. furcatus*) and their F1, F2 and
453 backcross hybrids. *Anim. Genet*. 29: 58–62.
454 Liu, Z.J., Nichols, A., Li, P., Dunham, R., (1998b). Inheritance and usefulness of AFLP
455 markers in channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), blue catfish (*I. furcatus*) and their
456 F1, F2 and backcross hybrids. Mol. Gen. Genet. 258, 260–268.
457 Loukovitis, D., Sarropoulou, E., Tsigenopoulos, C. S., Batargias, C., Magoulas, A.,
458 Apostolidis, A. P., Chatziplis, D., Kotoulas, G. (2011). Quantitative trait loci
459 involved in sex determination and body growth in the gilthead sea bream (*Sparus*
460 *aurata* L.) through targeted genome scan. PLoS ONE, 6:e16599.
461 Luo, W., Zeng, C., Deng, W., Robinson, N., Wang, W., Gao, Z. (1997). Genetic parameter
462 estimates for growth-related traits of blunt snout bream (*Megalobrama*
463 *amblycephala*) using microsatellite-based pedigree. *Aquac Res.* 45:1881–8.
464 Mackay, T. F. C. (2001). The genetic architecture of quantitative traits. *Annu Rev Genet*,
465 35:303-339.
466
467 Martinez, P., Bouza, C., Hermida, M., Fernandez, J., Toro, M. A., Vera, M., Pardo, B.,
468 Millan, A., Fernandez, C., Vilas, R., (2009). Identification of the Major Sex-
469 Determining Region of Turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). Genetics 183(4):1443-
470 1452.
471 Martyniuk, C. J., Perry, G. M. L., Mogahadam, H. K., Ferguson, M. M., Danzmann, R. G.
472 (2003). The genetic architecture of correlations among growth related traits and
473 male age at maturation in rainbow trout. *Journal of Fish Biol* 63:746–764
474 Moen, T., Agresti, J. J., Cnaani, A., Moses, H., Famula, T. R., Hulata, G., Gall, G. A. E.,
475 May, B. (2004b). A genome scan of a four-way tilapia cross supports the existence
476 of a quantitative trait locus for cold tolerance on linkage group 23. *Aquaculture* 35:
477 893–904.

Formatted: Spanish (Mexico)

Moen, T., Fjalestad, K.T., Munck, H. and Gomez-Raya, L. 2003. A multistage testing strategy for detection of quantitative trait loci affecting disease resistance in Atlantic salmon. *Genetics* 167: 851–858.

Moen, T., Hoyheim, B., Munck, H., Gomez-Raya, L. (2004a). A linkage map of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) reveals an uncommonly large difference in recombination rate between the sexes. *Anim. Genet.* 35: 81–92.

Moghadam, H., Poissant, J., Fotherby, H., Haidle, L., Ferguson, M., Danzmann, R. (2007). Quantitative trait loci for body weight, condition factor and age at sexual maturation in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*): comparative analysis with rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Mol Genet Genomics* 277(6):647-661.

Moreau, L., Lamarie, S., Charcosset, A., Gallais, A. (2000). Economic Efficiency of One Molecular marker assisted selection for the mall quality traits in barley. *Mol Breeding* 3: 427-437

Nichols, K. M., Bartholomew, J., Thorgaard, G. H. (2003). Mapping multiple genetic loci associated with *Ceratomyxa shasta* resistance in *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Dis. Aquat. Org*. 56: 145–154.

O'Malley, K.G., Sakamoto, T., Danzmann, R. G., Ferguson, M. M. (2003). Quantitative trait loci for spawning date and body weight in rainbow trout: testing for conserved effects across ancestrally duplicated chromosomes. *J. Hered.* 94: 273–84.

Ozaki, A., Sakamoto, T., Khoo, S., Nakamura, K., Coimbra, M.R., Akutsu, T., Okamoto, N. (2001). Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) associated with resistance/susceptibility to infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Mol. Genet*. Genomics 265: 23–31.

Perry, G. M., Danzmann, R. G., Ferguson, M. M., Gibson, J. P. (2001). Quantitative trait loci for upper thermal tolerance in outbred strains of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Heredity*. 86: 333– 341.

Poompuang, S., Hallerman, E. M. (2004). Toward detection of quantitative trait loci and marker-assisted selection in fish. Rev. Fish. Sci. 5: 253–277.

Park, S.O., Crosby, K. M., Huang, R., Mirkov, T. E. (1995). Identification and confirmation of FAPD and SCAR markers linked to the ms-3 gene controlling male sterility in melon (*Cucumis melo* L.). *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Sciences*. 129:819-825.

Paterson, A., Lander, S. E., Hevit, J. D., Peterson, S., Lincoln, S. E., Lanksley, S. D. (1988). Resolution of quantitative traits into Mendelian factors by using a complete linkage map of Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms. Nature. 325: 721-726

Peterson, B.K., Weber, J.N., Kay, E.H., Fisher, H. S., Hoekstra, H. E. (1990). Double digest RADseq: an inexpensive method for de novo SNP discovery and genotyping in model and non-model species. PLoS One. 1990;7:e37135.

Postlethwait, J.H., Johnson, S.L., Midson, C.N., Talbot, W.S., Gates, M., Ballinger, E.W., Africa, D., Andrews, R., Carl, T., Eisen, J.S. (1994). A genetic linkage map for the zebrafish. Science 264, 699-703.

Reid, D. P., Szanto, A., Glebe, B., Danzmann, R. G., Ferguson, M. M. (2005). QTL for body weight and condition factor in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): comparative analysis with rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*). *Heredity*. 94(2):166-172.

Ribaut, J. M., Ragot, M. (2007). Marker-Assisted Selection to improve drought adaptation in maize: the backcross approach, perspectives, limitations, and alternatives. *J Exp Bot* 58: 351-360.

Robison, B.D., Wheeler, P.A., Sundin, K., Sikka, P., Thorgaard, G.H. (2001). Composite interval mapping reveals a major locus influencing embryonic development rate in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *J. Heredity* 92: 16–22.

Rodriguez, M.F., LaPatra, S., Williams, S., Famula, T., May, B. (2005). Genetic markers associated with resistance to infectious hematopoietic necrosis in rainbow and steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) backcrosses. *Aquaculture* 241: 93–115.

Ross, P., Hall, L., Smirnov, I., Haff, L. (2011). High level multiplex genotyping by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Nat. Biotechnol. 16, 1347– 1351.

Ryman, N., Utter, F. (1987). Population Genetics and Fishery Management University of Washington Press, Seattle. 420 pp.

Sakamoto, T., Danzmann, R. G., Gharbi, K., Howard, P., Ozaki, A., Khoo, S. K. (2000). A microsatellite linkage map of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) characterized by large sex-specific differences in recombination rates. Genetics. 155: 1331-1345.

Sakamoto, T., Danzmann, R.G., Okamoto, N., Ferguson, M. M., Ihssen, P. E. (1999). Linkage analysis of quantitative trait loci associated with spawning time in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture* 173: 33–43.

Sakamoto, T., Danzmann, R.G., Okamoto, N., Ferguson, M.M., Ihssen, P.E. (1999). Linkage analysis of quantitative trait loci associated with spawning time in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquaculture 173: 33–43.

Sax, K. (1923). The association of size differences with seed-coat pattern and pigmentation in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Genetics 8: 522-560.

Shimoda, N., Knapik, E.W., Ziniti, J., Sim, C., Yamada, E., Kaplan, S., Jackson, D., de Sauvage, F., Jacob, H., Fishman, M.C. (1999). Zebrafish genetic map with 2000 microsatellite markers. Genomics 58, 219-232.

Somorjai, I.M., Danzmann, R. G., Ferguson, M. M. (2001). Distribution of temperature tolerance quantitative trait loci in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) and inferred homologies in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Genetics* 165: 1443–1456.

Sonesson, A. (2007). Within-family marker-assisted selection for aquaculture species. *Genet Sel Evol*. 39:301–18.

Sonesson, A.K. (2003). A combination of walk-back and optimum contribution selection for fish – a simulation study. *Genet. Sel. Evol.* 37: 587–599.

Song, W., Li, Y., Zhao, Y., Liu, Y., Niu, Y., Pang, R. (2012). Construction of a high density microsatellite genetic linkage map and mapping of sexual and growth-related traits in half-smooth tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*). PLoS One.7:e52097.

Song, W., Pang, R., Niu, Y., Gao, F., Zhao, Y., Zhang, J. (2012). Construction of high density genetic linkage maps and mapping of growth-related quantitative trail loci in the Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*). PLoS One.7:e50404.

Spelman, R. J., Garrick, D. J. (2013). Genetic and economic responses for within-family marker-assisted selection in dairy cattle breeding schemes. *Journal of Dairy Science* 81: 2942–2950.

Streelman, J.T., Kocher, T. D. (2003). Microsatellite variation associated with prolactin expression and growth of salt-challenged tilapia. Physiol. Genomics 9: 1 –4.

Sun, X. W., Liang, L. Q. (2004). A genetic linkage map of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio L.*) and mapping of a locus associated with cold tolerance. Aquaculture. 238:165–72.

Tong, J. G., Sun, X. W. (2004). Genetic and genomic analyses for economically important traits and their applications in molecular breeding of cultured fish. Sci China Life Sci. 58:178–86.

575 Van Ooijen, J. W. (1999). Join Map 4, software for the calculation of genetic linkage maps in
576 experimental populations. Netherlands.
577 Vogel, B., Van Aken, J. (2009). Smart Breeding - Marker-Assisted Selection: A non-invasive
578 biotechnology alternative to genetic engineering of plant varieties Amsterdam, the
579 Netherlands. (p. 28).
580 Gharbi, K, Ferguson, M. M., Danzmann, R. G. (2001). Characterization of Na, K-ATPase
581 genes in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) and comparative genomic organization with
582 rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Mol Genet Genomics* 273:474–483
583 Wang, C. M., Lo, L.C., Feng, F., Zhu, Z.Y., Yue, G.H. (2008). Identification and verification
584 of QTL associated with growth traits in two genetic backgrounds of Barramundi
585 (*Lates calcarifer*). Anim Genet. 39(1):34-39.
586 Wang, C.M., Lo, L. C., Zhu, Z. Y., Yue, G. H. (2006). A genome scan for quantitative trait
587 loci affecting growth-related traits in an F1 family of Asian seabass (*Lates*
588 *calcarifer*). BMC Genomics.
589 Wang, S., Meyer, E., McKay, J. K., Matz, M. V. (2012). 2b-RAD: a simple and flexible
590 method for genome-wide genotyping. Nat Methods. 9:808–10.
591 Weller, J. I. (2001). *Quantitative trait loci analysis in animals*. London, CABI Publishing.
592 287 pp.
593 Willcox, M. C., Khairallah, M., Bergvinson, D., Crossa, J., Deutsch, J.A., Edmeades, G.O.,
594 Gonzalez-de-Leon, D., Jiang, C., Jewell, D.C., Mihm, J.A., Williams, W.P.,
595 Hoisington, D.A. (2002). Selection for resistance to southwestern corn borer using
596 marker assisted and conventional backcrossing. *Crop Sci*. 42: 1516–1528.
597 Woram, R.A., McGowan, C., Stout, J.A., Gharbi, K., Ferguson, M.M., Hoyheim, B.,
598 Sakamoto, J., Davidson, W., Rexroad, C., Danzmann, R.G. (2003). A genetic
599 linkage map for Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*): evidence for higher recombination
600 rates and segregation distortion in hybrid versus pure strain mapping parents.
601 *Genome* 47: 304–315.
602 Wringe, B., Devlin, R., Ferguson, M., Moghadam, H., Sakhrani, D., Danzmann, R. (2010).
603 Growth-related quantitative trait loci in domestic and wild rainbow trout
604 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). BMC Genetics. 11(63).
605 Xie, C., Xu, X. (1998) . Efficiency of multistage marker-assisted selection in the
606 improvement of multiple quantitative traits. *Heredity*. 80: 489-498.

607 Xu, Y., Beachell, H., McCouch, S. R. (2008). A marker based approach to broadening the
608 genetic base of rice in the USA. *Crop Sci.* 44: 1947–1959.
609 Yanchuk, A. D. (2002). The role and implications of biotechnology in forestry. *Food and*
610 *Agriculture Organization of the United Nations*, *Unasylva* (30), 18–22.
611 Yu, Z., Guo, X. (2003). Genetic linkage map of the eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
612 Gmelin. Biol. Bull. 204, 327–338.
613 Yue, G. H. (2014). Recent advances of genome mapping and marker-assisted selection in
614 aquaculture. 15:376–96.
615 Zhang, Y., Wang, S., Li, J., Zhang, X., Jiang, L., Xu, P. (1992). Primary genome scan for
616 complex body shape-related traits in the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *J Fish*
617 *Biol*. 82:125–40.
618 Zheng, J., Liu, J., Liu, H., Li, J., Chen, K. (2003). Sequence and structural analysis of 4SNc-
619 Tudor domain protein from Takifugu rubripes. Bioinformation. 4:127-131.
620 Zimmerman, A.M., Wheeler, P.A., Ristow, S.S., Thorgaard, G. H. (2005). Composite interval
621 mapping reveals three QTL associated with pyloric caeca number in rainbow trout,
622 *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Aquaculture* 247: 85–95.
623
624