

**Editor's Comment:**

We have carefully analyzed the paper and the evaluation process. The paper has been improved by reviewers' recommendations, however, I consider that the paper can be accepted only after the improvement, at least the following 2 points:

1. As one of the reviewers asks, the references used should be improved. Recent references are needed, last reference is from 2015, since then 4 years, nothing new has happened in this area?. So I think 3-4 topical references are needed to fit the theme of the paper.
2. The final correction of the paper according to the instructions of the journal. For example, table 1 needs to be cut last column (which is empty).

**Editor's Details:**

**Dr. Rusu Teodor**

Department of Technical and Soil Sciences, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine  
Cluj-Napoca, Romania