

**Editor's Comment:**

I have read the attached files, and in my opinion the opinion of one reviewer ("This is a good article but the writing style is very poor. Especially to manage the subsections. I am really confused whether the author wishes to present it as a review or research article. The Intro and materials method section need to be shortened to only 2.5. pages. Same is applied to the discussion section." is 100% valid. The scientific content of the study is quite valid, but the manuscript should be dramatically reduced in all its parts. Until now, it looks as the Author has simply re-submitted it without any real cut: also the number of total pages is identical to that of the older version.

In conclusion, the Author should deeply cut the manuscript, and it will become a good piece of science, to be published without further delay. Otherwise, the scientific content of the article is publishable.

**Editor's Details:**

**Dr. Enrico Sanjust**

Associate Professor, Department of Internal Medical Sciences,  
University of Cagliari, Italy