

Editor's Comment:

The manuscript is not of high standard and could be rejected. However, below, I have given some input to the author in order to improve the text.

To conclude on the weight of the rats it is important to know about the feed intake, which is not reported here. Also it is not clear how much of the blend the rats have been given. Does it constitute 100 % or 10% of the feed to the rats? The weight data are not very clear or consistent (please report any weight figures in whole grams). Further, a weight reduction is often considered as adverse effects. Therefore the authors should minimize the description of the weight data in the text as much as possible and don't mention it in the Conclusion. In addition, don't mention that the blend has a significant role to play according to blood glucose – you don't know that. Merely say that you observed a decrease in blood glucose and that it could be worthwhile to study it further.

In the main text section 2.5.1-6 should be merged. Only mention the methods that have been followed and a reference and no text descriptions.

The Discussion should be shortened considerably and description already given in the Results should be omitted. For example could section 3.2.4 be deleted as it is almost just a repetition?

The corrections made should also be reflected in the Abstract.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Morten Poulsen,
Head of research group, Div. of Toxicology and Risk Assessment, National Food Institute, Technical
University of Denmark, Denmark