SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Archives of Current Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ACRI_49805**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Risk Evaluation of a Boron Mine and Processing Plant with respect to Dust**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Clarity required in the selection of the title itself.** **Thorough reading of related articles suggested.** **Introduction & literature shown is inadequate. More data apt to the topic is needed.** **Data collected should be organised systematically.** **More references may be added.**	The title of the manuscript is taken from the related section of the dissertation written upon the subject. Corrections and rephrasing for some parts of the manuscript has been performed by addition of several new references and citations. The rephrased and corrected parts are highlighted in the manuscript to be reviewed again.
Minor REVISION comments	Thorough reading and modification by a language expert enhance the quality of the paper.	The manuscript has been checked by a native speaker mining engineering professor and suggested corrections have been applied.
Optional/General comments	May be published after the corrections.	Authors thank to the reviewer for his/her positive and constructive comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	-