Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ACRI_49805**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Risk Evaluation of a Boron Mine and Processing Plant with respect to Dust**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment ***SUGGESTIONS:***	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments **ABSTRACT**..... **Tables 1, 2 and 3**..... **Line {32} 'L type risk assessment matrix´**..... **Lines {35, 36, 37}**..... **Lines {58,59} : .....%28 CaO, %6.5 SiO_2, %42 B_2O_3 and %23.5 H_2O**..... **Lines {127-129}**..... **Line {169}...administrative personal, on the contrary**...	**… .** So, the risks of occupational accidents and... **are** quite high…… **Review spaces between/among words and/or adjust letters dimensions!** Define "**L type risk…**" before using this specific expression….. Review and clarify statements. **..... CaO (28%), SiO_2 (6.5%), B_2O_3 (42%)** and **H_2O (23.5%).....** **Review text !** Line {169}…administrative personal**;** on the contrary**,** ……	Corrected as suggested Corrected as suggested (as much as possible) Corrected as suggested (added new citations) Corrected as suggested Corrected as suggested Corrected as suggested Corrected as suggested
Optional/General comments ***I consider the present paper or article a very important study in order to promote protection and preventive actions in order to avoid a serious occupational illness!***		Authors thank to the reviewer for his/her positive and constructive comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	