



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_42027
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of microbial load of milk shakes available in various educational institutes of Lahore
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The study could useful for reporting the hygienic situation for a largely consumed food preparation but it is presented in a disastrous manner.</p> <p>The language is incorrect: the manuscript should be first entirely rewritten with more attention also in the order of parts and then corrected by a proficient English proof-reader. The Introduction is very little informative. It is not stated if similar studies are available and no data from previous research are commented. No motivation is given as to why the study was carried out.</p> <p>Materials and methods; Only microbial species taxonomic designations must be italicized. Please, check the correctness of taxonomic names, names of culture media and so on!</p> <p>Do not describe the procedure for making serial dilutions, to pour plates, to inoculate plates and to count plates, refer to other articles on how to synthesize these aspects.</p> <p>Page 4, Line 87; please, report what statistic tests you applied;</p> <p>Tables; please, delete all tables since the information is limited and it is already in the text;</p> <p>Figures 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 and 9; do not separate panels but make a unique one on two rows with a unique title and a unique legend. The characters and graphics should be the same in all figures. Moreover, in Figure 2 there is something wrong in the juxtaposition of title axis and data labels;</p> <p>Figures 4, 6, 8 and 10; please, delete these figures since the information is limited and it is already in the text;</p> <p>Discussion; please, delete all repetitions of results (that is more than half of the section) and discuss if the microbial loads you found are of public health concern and how the situation could be improved.</p>	<p>Grammatical errors have been removed</p> <p>Taxonomic names have been rechecked and corrected accordingly</p> <p>Statistical test has been given</p> <p>All tables have been removed from the text</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Please, add page numbers</p> <p>Page 4, Line 90; how many institutes did you sample?</p>	
Optional/General comments		