



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_49540
Title of the Manuscript:	SOME PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF THREE VARIETIES OF MANGO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The topic should read physical and mechanical properties of three varieties of mango fruits. 2. 2.1 under materials and methods should read collection of mango fruits. 3. The materials and methods should have method of data analysis for analyzing data i.e T-test, ANOVA etc. 4. Subject Tables 2 – 4 to determine significant differences between treatments. 5. Incorporate all figures at appropriate sections in the results section. 6. The results were not discussed. What you have as discussion is results. You must compare your findings with findings from other authors who have done similar works. Please, rewrite section. 7. Make compulsory grammatical corrections in the entire manuscript. 8. Arrange the journal according to journal format. 	All the suggestions of the reviewer have been noted and effected accordingly.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is devoid of discussion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	