



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_50529
Title of the Manuscript:	Difference in Types of Freshwater Fish as Raw Materials for the Preference Level of Korean Fish Cake
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	SIMPLE WORK. TOPIC SEEMED INTERESTING. SYSTEMATICALLY ORGANISED STEPS AND METHODOLOGY. DISCUSSION PART MAY BE LITTLE MORE ELABORATED.	
Minor REVISION comments	MORE REFERENCES MAY BE INCLUDED	We already add more references
Optional/General comments	MAY BE PUBLISHED INCORPORATING THE SUGGESTIONS.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	