



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Hematology Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AHRJ_49638</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CHANGES IN TISSUE ERYTHROPOIETIN AND SOME HAEMATOLOGICAL PARAMETERS IN CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE SUBJECTS ON BLOOD TRANSFUSION IN PORT-HARCOURT</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Ms AHRJ 49638 can be accepted after some minor revisions.</p> <p>In the text presented, the tables and graphs need to be improved to make the description of the result clearer.</p> <p>The table legend must be formatted below the table.</p> <p>Tables 4.3 and 4.4 could be summarized in a histogram in which the individual parameters are compared with the standard deviation and significance.</p> <p>In the discussion there are entire paragraphs of didactic description on the functionality of various parameters such as for example the description of the functions and the DPG 2.3 that can be avoided with bibliographical references, making the whole paragraph less heavy.</p> <p>The text must be carefully checked again as there are many errors in form and typing</p>	Corrections have been made.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Ethical approval was obtained from the regulatory body.