



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2019_AIR_46929
Title of the Manuscript:	To identify the problems faced by the medicinal and aromatic plants growers in Hoshiarpur district of Punjab
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Keywords should be chosen more carefully. In the study, the literature was not used sufficiently. Passive structure should be used in scientific studies. Information on the scope of the survey questions should be given. The data given in the tables should be analyzed and interpreted in more detail. In the conclusion, concrete suggestions should be made to solve the problems. There are big errors in references.	Thank you for your thoughtful observation. We have done the correction in the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	Few corrections were made and marked on text	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	