



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_49980
Title of the Manuscript:	Flood Resilience Measures in buildings on the Flood-plains of Ogbaru, Anambra State, Nigeria;
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>As the authors had write in the abstract, “The study evaluates the flood resilience measures in buildings on flood-plains of Ogbaru, with a view to establishing the extent of its incorporation into the design and construction of Buildings”. I think the topic is very original and interesting, but the text needs some adjustments.</p> <p>The abstract is too long, and splitting it into paragraphs makes it redundant with the rest of the text.</p> <p>I would try to reduce it and merge it into a small single paragraph.</p> <p>In paragraph 2.1 it could be interesting in addition to the tables of materials, to also group the interventions useful for resilience to floods in these contexts (already described in the text).</p> <p>Tables 1,2 and 3 lack a description of what is reported inside. Finally, it could be interesting to insert in the text a risks matrix that identifies the lowering of the same following the resilience measures adopted and the consequent reduced damage.</p> <p>The conclusions should be improved by including the future development of the research and the purpose of its use.</p> <p>Following these arrangements the text can be published in this journal.</p>	<p>Abstract: I adopted the format of the journal. Sciencedomain uses structured format of abstracting. So, it's not possible to reduce into a single paragraph (i.e. block format).</p> <p>Categorisation of the flood resilience measures has been done in table 3 further categoristion will amount to repetition.</p> <p>Done and highlighted in the work</p> <p>Done</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	