



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_50332
Title of the Manuscript:	AMELIORATING EFFECT OF GARNODERMA LUCIDUM ON COMBINED ORAL CONTRACEPTIVE-INDUCED CARDIOMETABOLIC SYNDROME IN FEMALE GUINEA PIGS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	None	
Minor REVISION comments	None	
Optional/General comments	Very few edits shown in the reviewed copy of the manuscript	Highlighted mistake pointed out on line 15 has been corrected.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>The author(s) declared that this study was approved by Babcock University Health and Research Ethics committee and it was carried out according to the guidelines for care and use of laboratory animals</p>	Yes it was.