



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_50332
Title of the Manuscript:	AMELIORATING EFFECT OF GARNODERMA LUCIDUM ON COMBINED ORAL CONTRACEPTIVE-INDUCED CARDIOMETABOLIC SYNDROME IN FEMALE GUINEA PIGS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The study carried is an interesting and has shown dramatic effects of Garnodrma in COC induced cardio-metabolic syndrome. The language used in the manuscript is good and appreciating. There are few typical mistakes that are highlighted and need to be corrected. The dose of Garnoderma used in present study was arbitrary or ther are are references for that and if so then they need to be incorporated. Further, references quoted should be strictly as per the journal guidelines.	The highlighted mistakes have been corrected;  <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Mistake on Line 139 corrected.</li> <li>2) Lines 148-151 modified to avoid unnecessary repetition</li> <li>3) Concerning the inclusion of the full form of the parameters on the table, their full form is already stated in the body of the article.</li> <li>4) The choice of <i>G.lucidum</i> dosage was guided by previous studies. Reference are on line 179.</li> <li>5) References have been corrected to be strictly in accordance with the journal guidelines for references.</li> </ol>



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	