



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_49682
Title of the Manuscript:	Field Survey of cassava susceptibility to termite infestation as influenced by time, scale and management strategy in selected Owerri Agricultural zones, Southeast Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Why in text box? – see journal guidelines Start with problem statement sentence (e.g. In Africa, cassava provides a basic daily source of dietary energy and has gained popularity as one of the most important root crops in Nigeria especially in the Southern States. However, a thorough survey on cassava susceptibility to termite infestation in relation to time and management strategy has not received attention by farmers in Owerri (Southeastern Nigeria). Therefore, the need to carry out field survey of cassava susceptibility to termite infestation as influence by time, scale and management strategy in selected Owerri Agricultural zones.) Where are results on scale? <p>See Journal Guidelines</p> <p>SAMPLE ABSTRACT:</p> <p>Aims: Here clearly write the aims of this study. Sample: To correlate platelet count, splenic index (SI), platelet count/spleen diameter ratio and portal-systemic venous collaterals with the presence of esophageal varices in advanced liver disease to validate other screening parameters.</p> <p>Study design: Mention the design of the study here.</p> <p>Place and Duration of Study: Sample: Department of Medicine (Medical Unit IV) and Department of Radiology, Services Institute of Medical Sciences (SIMS), Services Hospital Lahore, between June 2009 and July 2010.</p> <p>Methodology: Please write main points of the research methodology applied. Sample: We included 63 patients (40 men, 23 women; age range 18-75 years) with liver cirrhosis and portal hypertension, with or without the medical history of gastrointestinal bleeding. Clinical as well as hematological examination (platelet count) and ultrasonography (gray as well as color Doppler scale including splenic index and splenorenal/ pancreaticoduodenal collaterals) was done besides upper GI endoscopy for esophageal varices. Platelet count/spleen diameter ratio was also calculated.</p> <p>Results: Kindly make sure to include relevant statistics here, such as sample sizes, response rates, P-values or Confidence Intervals. Do not just say "there were differences between the groups". sample: Out of 63 patients, 36 patients with small varices (F1/F2) and 27 with larger (F3) varices were detected on endoscope. Significant increase in mean splenic index from low (86.7 +/- 27.4) to high (94.7 +/- 27.7) grade varices was documented. Opposite trend was found with platelets (120.2 +/- 63.5 to 69.8 +/- 36.1) and platelets/ splenic diameter ratio (1676.7 to 824.6) declining significantly. Logistic regression showed splenic collaterals and platelets are significantly but negatively associated with esophageal varices grades.</p> <p>Conclusion: Non-invasive independent predictors for screening esophageal varices may decrease medical as well as financial burden, hence improving the management of cirrhotic patients. These predictors, however, need further work to validate reliability.</p> <p>See Journal Guidelines: There is no strict page limit for a Short Communication; however, we advise a length of 2500-3500 words (<i>this manuscript contains 4377 words</i>), plus 2-3 tables (<i>this manuscript contains 9 Tables</i>), and 15-20 key references (<i>this manuscript contains 21 references</i>). REDUCE!!!</p> <p>INTRODUCTION</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> See Journal Guidelines: In the text, citations should <u>ONLY</u> be indicated by the reference number in brackets The newest reference used in Introduction is: 2002 – already 17 years old!! Most sources from 1980s and 1990s – The authors are urged to upgrade the information 	The paper has been thoroughly modified



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>by using newer sources from the most recent years - past 5 years (2014-2019)!</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</p> <p>1. The abstract indicate: "Therefore, the need to carry out field survey of cassava susceptibility to termite infestation as influence by <u>time</u>, <u>scale</u> and <u>management strategy</u> in selected Owerri Agricultural zones." Thus the results and discussion section should be structured according to the following sub-headings:</p> <p>3.1 Influence of time 3.2 Influence of scale 3.3 Management strategy</p> <p>2. DISCUSSION ABSENT FOR MOST RESULTS!</p> <p>3. DISCUSSION OF TABLE 2 (and other tables) RESULTS are INSUFFICIENT – HOW RELATE TO OTHER SIMILAR STUDIES? If similar, what does the "trend" indicate? If different, why not in line with similar studies? What do the results mean? What conclusions can be drawn? What recommendation can be made?</p> <p>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</p> <p>Short communications as a rule do not have conclusion/recommendation section – this information should be incorporated into the results and discussion section</p> <p>See Journal Guidelines: Tables & figures should be placed inside the text (Results and Discussion section). Tables should be presented as per their appearance in the text. It is suggested that the discussion about the tables should appear in the text before the appearance of the respective. No tables should be given without discussion or reference inside the text. Tables should be explanatory enough to be understandable without any text reference. Double spacing should be maintained throughout the table, including table headings and footnotes. Table headings should be placed above the table. Footnotes should be placed below the table with superscript lowercase letters.</p> <p>See Journal Guidelines: AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS</p> <p>Authors may use the following wording for this section: " 'Author A' designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. 'Author B' and 'Author C' managed the analyses of the study. 'Author C' managed the literature searches..... All authors read and approved the final manuscript."</p> <p>See Journal Guidelines: CONSENT (WHERE INTERVIEWING PEOPLE)</p> <p>Authors may use the following wording for this section: "All authors declare that 'written informed consent was obtained from the interviewees (or other approved parties) for publication of this case report and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editorial office/Chief Editor/Editorial Board members of this journal."</p> <p>See Journal Guidelines: ETHICAL APPROVAL (WHERE INTERVIEWING PEOPLE)</p> <p>If human subjects (interviewees) are involved, informed consent, protection of privacy, and other human rights are further criteria against which the manuscript will be judged. It should provide a statement to confirm that the authors have obtained all necessary ethical approval from suitable Institutional or State or National or International Committee. This confirms either that this study is not against the public interest, or that the release of information is allowed by legislation.</p> <p>All manuscripts which deal with the study of human subjects (interviewees) must be accompanied by Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Ethical Committee Approval, or the national or regional equivalent. The name of the Board or Committee giving approval and the study number assigned must accompany the submission. If required, the author should be ready to submit a scanned copy of the IRB or Ethical Committee Approval at</p>	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>any stage of publication (Pre or post publication stage).</p> <p>REFERENCES See Journal Guidelines: Reference style References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. Only published or accepted manuscripts should be included in the reference list. Articles submitted for publication, unpublished findings and personal communications should not be included in the reference list but may be mentioned in the text (e.g., T Nelson, Purdue University, USA, Unpublished results or personal communication). Avoid citing a “personal communication” unless it provides essential information not available from a public source, in which case the name of the person and date of communication should be cited in parentheses in the text. For scientific articles, obtain written permission and confirmation of accuracy from the source of a personal communication. An unpublished result which has been accepted for publication in any journal should be cited as "in press". Journal name abbreviations should be those found in the NCBI databases (Link: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nlmcatalog/journals). Note: All SDI journals will follow this new reference style, effective from 09 Oct, 2012.</p> <p>All references should follow the following style: Reference to a journal: For Published paper: 1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. Note: List the first six authors followed by et al. Note: Use of DOI number for the full-text article is encouraged. (if available). Note: Authors are also encouraged to add other database's unique identifier (like PUBMED ID). For Accepted, unpublished papers. Same as above, but “In press” appears instead of the page numbers. 1. Saha M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. Review of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. J Embryol Exp Morphol. 2009;49(3): (In press).</p> <p>Note: List the first six authors followed by et al. Note: Use of DOI number is encouraged (if available). Note: Authors are also encouraged to add other database's unique identifier (like PUBMED ID).</p> <p>For Articles not in English Forneau E, Bovet D. Recherches sur l'action sympathicolytique d'un nouveau dérivé du dioxane. Arch Int Pharmacodyn. 1933;46:178-91. French.</p> <p>Reference to a book: Personal author(s) Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Moore PK. Pharmacology. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2003. Editor(s) or compiler(s) as authors Beers MH, Porter RS, Jones TV, Kaplan JL, Berkwitz M, editors. The Merck manual of diagnosis and therapy. 18th ed. Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck Research Laboratories; 2006.</p> <p>Authored chapter in edited publication Glennon RA, Dukat M. Serotonin receptors and drugs affecting serotonergic neurotransmission. In: Williams DA, Lemke TL, editors. Foye's principles of medicinal</p>	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>chemistry. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2002.</p> <p>Reference to Web-resource or Electronic articles.</p> <p>Hugo JT, Mondal SC. Parallels between tissue repair and embryo morphogenesis: a conceptual framework. Global Health. 2006;16:4. Accessed 29 March 2012. Available: http://www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/1/1/14.</p> <p>Anonymous. Parallels between tissue repair and embryo morphogenesis: a conceptual framework. Global Health. 2006;16:4. Accessed 29 March 2012. Available: http://www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/1/1/14.</p> <p>Reference to Organization as author</p> <p>Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. J Embryol Exp Morphol. 2009;49(2):259–276.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This paper must be reformatted in its totality to conform to the journal guidelines. 2. The introduction must be upgraded to include the newest available information 3. The Tables must be reduced to be in line with journal guidelines for Short communication papers. 4. The discussion of results must be upgraded in line with suggestion made above 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	