



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_49872
Title of the Manuscript:	PROJECTION OF PADDY PRODUCTION IN KEDAH MALAYSIA: A CASE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	A lean and efficient production of the rice can eliminate the dependency to the imported rice in particular from the bordering countries. The finding suggested that the rainfall rate does not have direct affect on the paddy production. From the literature, other reasons should be considered to identify causes of which may have affecting paddy production. Such factors include the temperature behavior, pests control and farmer's practices. Reluctant to the shifting paradigm from the conventional practice to modern practice may also affect paddy production as time factor and human capital are underutilized.	No correction needed

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	