



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_49963
Title of the Manuscript:	Urban Agriculture among Households of Makurdi Metropolis of Benue State, Nigeria: Key Challenges
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	NIL	Ok. This is good.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Conclusion reports theft of crops, however this has not been reported in main body.	It was reported in the body of the article. Kindly see lines 132-133 of the article.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is suggested to add information on the average size of plot for UA. Also define what is adequate and what is un-adequate. What is the size of UA plot per family or per person.	The size of plot for UA was not captured as one of the specific objectives and as such not needed here. On the issue of use of inadequate on some variables as seen in Table 3, I have effected the corrections (See the revised manuscript).