



## SDI Review Form 1.6

+

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_45265
Title of the Manuscript:	Systematic Review: Training Needs of Agriculture Extension Workers
Type of the Article	Review Paper

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>I. The region and country where the study was carried should reflect in the topic</p> <p>II. Abstract too lengthy. Abstract is the resume of a research work and should contain the a brief introduction/objectives, methodology, key findings, conclusion and recommendations</p> <p>III. What is the source of the 2<sup>nd</sup> figure?</p> <p>IV. Kindly include the results on the personal characteristics of the respondents</p> <p>V. Some references listed are not contained in the text; please check</p>	Thank you for your comment. The corrections have been done accordingly.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For results; you need to always state the implications of your results and collaborate with other authors.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	--------------------	---

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>