



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_50079
Title of the Manuscript:	Capabilities for Use of Improved Catfish Production Technologies among Fish Farmers in Delta State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>INTRODUCTION</p> <p>* Line # 69, 74: production technologies into their fish farming system hence the need for this study. The study was designed to provide answers to the following research questions: What are the socio-economic characteristics of the catfish farmers? What are sources of funds available for the catfish farmers? What are sources of information used by the catfish farmers? What are improved catfish production technologies used by the farmers? What are constraints to use of improved catfish production technologies by the farmers?</p> <p><u>Delete highlighted ones.</u></p> <p>* Introduction portion is too lengthy, needs to be short down.</p> <p>METHODOLOGY</p> <p>Mean score calculation formulae / procedure missing.</p> <p>CONCLUSION</p> <p>Needs revision as per study findings.</p> <p>REFERENCES</p> <p>* Not incorporated as per journal's format in <u>reference section</u></p> <p>* Almost all references added are of 2005, 2006, 2007; are there no new references available???</p> <p><u>Manuscript have some Language issues; needs to be addressed</u></p>	<p>This section of the introduction is centered on the research questions and is an important aspect and a such should remain.</p> <p>This is ok having 2-3 pages.</p> <p>Not necessary here.</p> <p>Noted and have been revised.</p> <p>This is the period the research was carried out.</p> <p>The author followed the Journal's reference style.</p>
Optional/General comments	Data / results can be presented in graphic form.	This is not necessary because the figures are many and should appear on table.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	