



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_50092
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANT FACTORS OF FARMERS' PERFORMANCE REGARDING FERTILIZER APPLICATION: AN OVERVIEW FROM BANGLADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting topic as it swings between agriculture and economics. The empirical analysis has been paid much attention to but the theoretical part may need more work. Here are some suggestions and observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This paper is too brief. The references alone are about 3 pages which leaves only 9-10 pages containing the main work. More Infor can be added to it. 2. Please rectify the referencing styles 3. The creation of a literature review section can be very helpful to this paper. \ 4. The ideas in this paper are all over the "shore" i.e. there is no pattern in which they are presented <p>All in all, its</p>	<p>Thank you.</p> <p>We are very delighted to know that you found our paper interesting. Concerning your comments regarding the theoretical part of our paper, we tried our level best to update the literature review section of our paper. We have deleted few less important references while added more evidence-based discussion to the theoretical section. For your better understanding, changes are highlighted in yellow colour.</p> <p>We checked the referencing style once again, identify and revise the styles, whenever possible.</p> <p>We have added a separate review section (Sub-section-1.1).</p> <p>While revising our paper, we tried to clarify the objectives of the paper clearly, and organized the paper accordingly. We hope readers will find our paper interesting.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	