



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_50152
Title of the Manuscript:	The impact of agricultural practices on soil organisms: lessons learnt from market-gardens
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This might prove to be a useful and interesting paper one day but it needs some reorganization before that can happen. Currently, it is difficult to follow the paper because it lacks structure – it moves from one subject to another without apparent logic. It would be better if the author stated the research questions at the conclusion of the literature review and then posed these in the form of hypotheses which could then be tested in the findings section. The contribution to knowledge provided by the hypothesis testing could then be addressed in the discussion section, which needs to be added. The conclusion should include the research limitations and the suggestions for future research.	We thank you for reviewing the manuscript and for your suggestions, abstract and conclusion have been rewritten. Moreover, results and discussion sections have been completed to facilitate the understanding of the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		