



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_45540
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield and Nutritional Value Of <i>Abelmoshus esculentus</i> L. (Okra) and <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i> Hook, F. (Fluted pumpkin) As Influence By Beauty Salon Wastewater. (I changed the Title of this article)
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the references. That's not according to guideline. 2. Methodology does not serve clear procedure. 3. Need to revise your data presentation. 4. Figure is not clear to describe your results. 5. There is no information about plant (<i>T. occidentalis</i>) growth and yield. 6. Discussions should be focused the effect of wastewater. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. References had been well reviewed according to guideline. 2. Methodology had been accurately stated. 3. I had worked on the data presentation 4. Figures presented in this paper has been illustrated correctly. 5. Enough information about <i>T. Occidentalis</i> has been provided. 6. This has been done.
Minor REVISION comments	Need to present the initial nutrients status of both soil and waste water.	The initial nutrient status of soil and wastewater was not examined
Optional/General comments	Well manuscript.	Thank You.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No