



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_48889
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SOCIO ECONOMIC STATUS (SES) ON FOOT LENGTH, PALM LENGTH, AND MID-FINGER LENGTH OF SCHOOL GOING CHILDREN (8-10 YEARS OLD) IN MUMBAI.
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper.

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the paper is very interesting; However, the Introduction of the paper is very limited. Author have to read the literature carefully and add information in the introduction part.</p> <p>2. Citations of the paper are not correct or not in APA format, please follow the APA style for citation. If there is three Author a) give all three names first time and thereafter use et al., b) give all three names for every citation.</p> <p>3. The format of the paper need to revise, please see the standard paper and follow the format, example – in the method section – Method, Participants, Material and Procedure followed by Result and Discussion.</p> <p>4. Please look at the reference section and follow the example method or look at some journal articles for correct referencing— example - Armony, J. L., & Dolan, R. J. (2002). Modulation of spatial attention by fear-conditioned stimuli: An event-related fMRI study. Neuropsychologia, 40, 817–826.</p> <p>5. I think the number of participants in each groups (distribution of the categories) made up on such a framework that it would be truly comparable.</p>	Changes made as per the reviewer's comments.
Minor REVISION comments	Please see the above mentioned comments	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NO