



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_50180
Title of the Manuscript:	Variations in total species richness and the unevenness of species abundance distribution between two distant Conus communities (Neogastropoda): a case study in Mannar Gulf (India)
Type of the Article	Original Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Materials and Methods : sampling procedures need to describe briefly Discussions : Need to be compared with other relevant research 	<p>Thanks for the Review</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> As I have mentioned in the Method section, sampling procedures are described in details in the reference dealing with the field data (reference [26]). This reference is <i>open access</i> (as is the present paper), so that there is no difficulty for the reader to get full knowledge about this. The Method section of the paper focus – as required - on the main objective of the paper itself, which is the analysis of the already reported field data, using new dedicated procedure of numerical extrapolation. Comparaisons are made, in Discussion section, with eight other relevant research that are explicitly cited in the text as references [17 to 24]
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Key words : make them shorter Use passive words Do not allow using appendix, but refer it to references 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> None of the seven <i>expressions</i> in the list of key-words is redundant with the Title, and all of them point at major aspects of the paper Using or not using passive words remains a matter of discussion; in this respect, I have received, in the past, contrary recommendations from Reviewers and up to now different policies are still in use Appendix are common practice when the author actually considers them as being appropriate in this format.