



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_42737
Title of the Manuscript:	Unraveling the Metaphysical, Epistemological, and Ethical elements of Oromo Proverbs.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The researcher has backgrounded the topic with relevant Literature grounded in philosophers' views. Discussion is adequately presented framing on the Oromo proverbs that fit for the context of truth and ethics among Oromo. The Manuscript should be accepted as it is by and large. The highlighted parts should be revisited.	I have enclosed my manuscript revising it based on the comments given by the reviewers.