



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_42737
Title of the Manuscript:	Unraveling the Metaphysical, Epistemological, and Ethical elements of Oromo Proverbs.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The research work is technically sound. However, there are a number of grammatical errors such as the use of punctuation marks, collocations e.g. built on NOT built in (L 15), whereas NOT where as (L 42), depend on NOT depend for (L 68), etc and spellings; take for instance, Oluwole NOT Oluwle (L50). It is difficult to offer any assistance in this regard because the sent file is in pdf format which does not make any editing possible. In view of this, the writer is advised to do a proper editing of his/her write-up to meet international standard.	I have enclosed my manuscript revising it based on the comments given by the reviewers.
Optional/General comments		