Editor's comments:

Please find attached herewith the edited manuscript.

The language, grammar, and the whole paper's structure and writing has nothing to do with scientific research writing.

I have made as much comments and critiques as I could, but the paper had to be rewritten in readable English and to be structured according to scientific writing standards in order to be considered for editing.

Author's feedback:

Response to reviewer (version 2 on LARCs)

- ❖ It is a questionnaire I have modified and developed from another standardized questionnaire?
- ❖ We have got 100% response rate. It is justified as follows:
 - > There was no any missed or incomplete questionnaire because the data collectors were properly trained and supervisors returned any missed or incomplete questionnaire to be refilled.
 - Fortunately we did not face any refusal case.
- Font size of the table is corrected(made 12 as the whole text)
- ❖ The two percentages (13.5% and 79.6%) are used for the same category of people but for different variables(knowledge and practice)
- ❖ I have highlighted the corrected words, phrases and sentences.