



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_50089
Title of the Manuscript:	Common findings in Ultrasound Examination of the Liver and Kidneys in Sokoto Metropolis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		All correction were noted and effected
4	Remove "s" from the kidneys and full stop at the end of the title;	
10-11	Include the study design, sampling method and study duration.	
12-17	Is not needed in the results section of the abstract, state the frequency and percentage of the major findings in this section.	
24-27	State the frequency and percentage of the renal pathology.	
74	Provide additional paragraph as the last paragraph and clearly explain the statement of research problem, significance of the study and main objective of the study.	
94-111	Remove, the tables carried all these information's.	
180=234	Whenever, you are comparing the findings of the current study with the previous published work and there is variation, clearly explain the possible reasons of the variation and the implications of the findings of the current study.	
244-268	The authors shall revise Vancouver method of referencing and re-write the reference list appropriately.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	