



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_50216
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF SOME HEAVY METALS (Cu, Co, Ni) IN EDIBLE CLAY (NZU) FROM SOUTH EASTERN NIGERIA AND ITS EFFECT DUE TO CONSUMPTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It is a very elementary paper.</p> <p>English should be reviewed And correct: Line 17/20: the way to explain the formation of clay minerals is not correct. Rewrite. Line 24: The groups are not correct; the reference used is not adequate. Would serve any mineralogy / clay minerals manual) Line 43: anaemia, hypoferranaemia? Figure 2: Complete it by placing Nigeria on the map of Africa Line86: Indicate how it has been sprayed Line 88: Indicate the purity of the reagents Line 92: indicate the brand and model of AAS Line 96: In all the paper, it must be clarified if it is Kaolin or kaolinite. Table 1: how many analyses have been done; indicate the mean, the standard deviation.</p> <p>The references are not complete and do not conform to the standards of the journal.</p>	The paper has been modified accordingly
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	